Haplotype Structure and Divergence at Human and Chimpanzee Serotonin Transporter and Receptor Genes: Implications for Behavioral Disorder Association Analyses

Katrina G. Claw,† 1 Raul Y. Tito,‡ 1 Anne C. Stone, 2 and Brian C. Verrelli*, 1

1 Center for Evolutionary Functional Genomics, The Biodesign Institute and School of Life Sciences, Arizona State University, Tempe
2 School of Human Evolution and Social Change, Arizona State University, Tempe

† Present address: Department of Genome Sciences, University of Washington
‡ Present address: Department of Anthropology, University of Oklahoma
* Corresponding author: E-mail: brian.verrelli@asu.edu.

Abstract

Genetic variation in the human serotonin system has long-been studied because of its functional consequences and links to various behavior-related disorders and it being routinely targeted in research and development for drug therapy. However, aside from clinical studies, little is known about this genetic diversity and how it differs within and between human populations with respect to haplotype structure, which can greatly impact phenotype association studies. In addition, no evolutionary approach among humans and other primates has examined how long- and short-term selective pressures explain existing serotonin variation. Here, we examine DNA sequence variation in natural population samples of 192 human and 40 chimpanzee chromosome sequences for the most commonly implicated ~38-kb serotonin transporter (SLC6A4) and ~63-kb serotonin 2A receptor (HTR2A) genes. Our comparative population genetic analyses find significant linkage disequilibrium associated with functionally relevant variants in humans, as well as geographic variation for these haplotypes, at both loci. In addition, although amino acid divergence is consistent with purifying selection, promoter and untranslated regions exhibit significantly high divergence in both species lineages. These evolutionary analyses imply that the serotonin system may have accumulated significant regulatory variation over both recent and ancient periods of time in both humans and chimpanzees. We discuss the implications of this variation for disease association studies and for the evolution of behavior-related phenotypes during the divergence of humans and our closest primate relatives.
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Introduction

The serotonin system that includes a number of ligands and their receptors affects almost every physiological aspect of the body such as the cardiovascular, gastrointestinal, and nervous systems. Meta-analyses have shown that variation in these molecules has been linked to various human behavior-related conditions, such as bipolar (Lasky-Su et al. 2005), anxiety–depression (Sen et al. 2004), and personality (Savitz and Ramesar 2004) disorders, as well as clinical diseases such as schizophrenia (Abdolmaleky et al. 2004) and Alzheimer’s (Holmes et al. 1998). Because of this strong association with so many common behavioral phenotypes, the serotonin system is the focus of the most popularly used antidepressant drugs, the serotonin-selective reuptake inhibitors or “SSRIs” (Butler and Meegan 2008). However, the inconsistent success of these SSRIs in treating the population as a whole is not because the genes are unknown, but because we lack a general knowledge about the level and impact of serotonin functional variation within these genes in natural populations. As pharmacological research leans toward “personalized medicine” (Malhotra et al. 2007; Sjöqvist and Eliasson 2007; Nebert et al. 2008; Laje et al. 2009), successful treatment of these diseases will come from understanding the evolutionary change within the genes that code for these neurotransmitters and receptors.

Several genic variants have been associated with behavior-related disorders and diseases at two major loci, the serotonin transporter (SLC6A4 or 5-HTT) gene, which is the target for the most important class of SSRIs and is the most studied of the serotonin system molecules (Murphy et al. 2008) and the serotonin receptor 2A (HTR2A) gene (e.g., Serretti et al. 2007). Following the release of serotonin in the brain, and the central and peripheral nervous systems where it binds to several receptors like HTR2A, serotonin is then bound to SLC6A4 for recycling and transportation back to the neurotransmitter pool, and thus, protein expression variation in this cycling is expected to have large interacting consequences (Jonnakuty and Gragnoli 2008). The most examined SLC6A4 variants are a promoter “linked polymorphic region” (LPR) 44-bp insertion/deletion variant with “short” (S) and “long” (L) alleles (Heils et al. 1996) and an intron 2 variable number tandem repeat (VNTR) ~17-bp variant with 9-, 10-, and 12-repeat alleles (Ogilvie et al. 1996). Two single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) have been commonly studied at HTR2A, the A/G SNP at site −1438 in the promoter region (Spurlock...
et al. 1998) and the T/C SNP at synonymous site 102 in exon 1 (Warren et al. 1993).

Both of the SLC6A4 length variants have been linked to serotonin transporter expression, with the LPR-S allele exhibiting as much as a 2-fold decrease in promoter activity and mRNA transcription (Lesch et al. 1996; Heinz et al. 2000), and differential development in core brain regions (Hariri et al. 2002; Heinz et al. 2005; Pezawas et al. 2005). However, others have shown significant conflicting results, and even complex interactions among the two variants when combined (Kaiser et al. 2002; Sakai et al. 2002; Ali et al. 2009). The HTR2A/C0 1438A and 102T alleles have been associated with a 10%–20% increased brain and central nervous system serotonin receptor expression and serotonin binding (Polesskaya and Sokolov 2002; Parsons et al. 2004; Fukuda et al. 2006), which downregulates brain-derived neurotrophic factor that is linked to increased depression (Vaidya et al. 1997; Manji et al. 2001). Yet again, several have shown that this genotype–expression association is not consistent (e.g., Bray et al. 2004), which may be explained by other functional promoter variants not well characterized (Myers et al. 2007). These different functional associations have translated to inconsistent results in genotype–phenotype association analyses for the most common behavioral traits, such as depression disorders who were treated with the SSRI drug citalopram found that the HTR2A – 1438A allele experienced an 18% increase in response to the drug, but only when ethnicity was taken into consideration (McMahon et al. 2006), suggesting underlying variation across populations.

The SLC6A4 intron 2 VNTR is actually in the intron after the first translated exon (the first exon is only transcribed, i.e., untranslated region [UTR], fig. 1). These “first” introns are typically enriched by transcription factor–binding domains (Bornstein et al. 1987; Majewski and Ott 2002), and thus, their impact on expression may be predicted. However, although the HTR2A – 1438 SNP is in close proximity to the functionally identified promoter sequence (Zhu et al. 1995), the synonymous 102 SNP in exon 1 has no obvious predicted explanation. Thus, it is likely the case that these variants are in strong linkage disequilibrium (LD) with other variants and even with each other. As human populations have a demographic history of substructure that has resulted in differential levels of nucleotide diversity and LD, this structure alone could explain failures to resolve consistent genotype–phenotype associations in disease studies (e.g., Tishkoff and Verrelli 2003b; Wall and Pritchard 2003). In addition, although many studies have genotyped these functional SLC6A4 and HTR2A variants in clinical samples for behavior association analyses, as exemplified above, the interactions are clearly complex and results are often inconsistent owing to the use of small sample sizes, single ethnic groups, or single variants (Lohmueller et al. 2003; Smits et al. 2004; Fan and Sklar 2005). In fact, given the intimate relationship between the two proteins, if there are strong epistatic interactions between variants, genotyping single loci or SNPs could also explain failures to identify significant associations.

**FIG. 1.** Gene diagrams for the (a) ~63-kb HTR2A locus and (b) ~38-kb SLC6A4 locus (with promoter “LPR” and intron 2 “VNTR” variants). Black boxes denote amino acid coding exons, white boxes denote UTRs, and striped boxes denote functionally identified promoter regions. SNP numbering is with respect to the beginning of amino acid translation. Genes are to scale (except when noted with collapsed distances in kb), and only regions for which data were collected are shown. Significant pairwise associations (filled boxes) among informative variants in 192 human (>5% in frequency) and 40 chimpanzee (>12% in frequency) chromosome sequences are above and below gene diagrams, respectively (see Materials and Methods and Results for more details).
A molecular evolutionary genetic approach that examines the historical impact of these functional variants would circumvent these problems that previous genotyping studies have encountered. For example, characterizing an unbiased sample of haplotypes, and not simply genotyping ascertained SNPs, for coding and noncoding regions across multiple human and primate populations would finally address questions concerning the age and origin of these variants (i.e., ancestral vs. derived states), the LD among them, and how this structure differs across ethnically diverse groups, all of which lend significant statistical power to genotype-phenotype association studies (Pritchard, Stephens, Rosenberg, et al. 2000). In addition, although few have examined evolutionary patterns among mammalian serotonin proteins (e.g., Andrés et al. 2007), functional work suggests that serotonin variation is based in expression regulatory regions, yet no studies of divergence and long-term selective constraints in these latter regions have been conducted.

Here, we conduct the first comparative population genetic analysis and tests of neutrality of the two most commonly studied serotonin system genes, SLC6A4 and HTR2A, in natural population samples of both humans and chimpanzees. Characterizing the evolutionary history of coding, noncoding, and regulatory regions both within and between humans and other primates can shed light on the observed functional variation observed across human populations, whether it is unique to the human lineage, and if it reflects a signature of adaptive or demographic processes. As many studies target these two genes and the common variants within them for behavioral studies, these analyses are necessary to determine how the evolutionary history of these genes and the interactions among them may explain inconsistencies in association studies and the variation in both prevalence and treatment response of common behavioral phenotypes found in human populations today.

**Materials and Methods**

**Population Samples**

Our human population data set includes 96 DNA samples (192 chromosomes) publicly available from the Coriell Institute for Medical Research (Camden, NJ). The 10 sample populations were chosen to reflect a global estimate of nucleotide diversity for geographic regions within and outside of sub-Saharan Africa, the latter typically having the most nucleotide and haplotype diversity owing to its “older” history and larger estimated effective population size (N_e) compared with more recently colonized regions (e.g., Tishkoff and Verrelli 2003a; Campbell and Tishkoff 2008). These samples have been previously used in similar population analyses and, thus, enable direct comparisons of diversity across loci. Samples include (with abbreviation, catalog number, and number of sampled chromosomes): Northern European (NE, HD01, 20), Italian (IT, HD21, 20), Russian (RU, HD23, 20), Chinese (CH, HD32, 20), Japanese (JA, HD07, 20), Southeast Asian (SA, HD13, 20), Mexican (MX, HD08, 20), Middle Eastern (ME, HD05, 20), North African (NA, HD11, 14), and sub-Saharan African (SS, HD12, 18).

Whole-blood samples were collected from wild-born chimpanzees housed at research facilities or zoological institutions (in accordance with the Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species of Wild Fauna and Flora under permit 99US013176/9), and DNA was isolated using a standard phenol–chloroform extraction method. Chimpanzee subspecies type was determined from analyses of mitochondrial DNA and Y-chromosome nucleotide sequences as described by Stone et al. (2002). The sample of 20 western Africa Pan troglodytes verus chimpanzees (40 chromosomes) included in this study represents wild-born, documented unrelated individuals from our database of a collection of over 400 chimpanzees, which we have examined in previous population genetic analyses (Stone et al. 2002; Woording et al. 2005, 2006; Verrelli et al. 2006, 2008; Perry et al. 2006, 2008; Becquet et al. 2007). Although several subspecies are recognized, population samples of P. t. verus show no evidence of an unusual historical demographic history (e.g., population expansion or subdivision) and have nuclear genetic diversity similar to that of humans and, thus, are most suitable for direct comparative analyses (Kaessmann et al. 1999; Gilad et al. 2003; Fischer et al. 2004; Woording et al. 2005, 2006; Verrelli et al. 2006, 2008). For inferences on ancestral and derived states, as well as polarizing fixed differences between humans and chimpanzees into their respective lineages for statistical analyses, trace nucleotide sequence files with at least 4× overlap from orangutan (Pongo pygmaeus) and macaque (Macaca mulatta) genome projects were obtained from the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) database.

**Polymerase Chain Reaction and DNA Sequencing**

HTR2A spans ~63 kb on chromosome 13q14–q21 in humans and chimpanzees and codes for a 471-amino acid protein. Figure 1a shows the 7,596 bp sample including the 740-bp functionally identified promoter (Zhu et al. 1995), 5′ and 3′ UTR (638 bp), and amino acid codon exons (1,413 bp). Intron 2, which is ~55 kb and includes many Alu elements, was largely avoided here. SLC6A4 spans ~38 kb on chromosome 17q11–q12 in humans and chimpanzees and codes for a 630-amino acid protein. Figure 1b shows the 11,482 bp sample including 5′ and 3′ UTR (788 bp) and amino acid codon exons (1,890 bp). Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) and sequencing primers were designed using the GenBank human reference sequence (NCBI Build 36.1) and are available upon request. PCR products were prepared using shrimp alkaline phosphate and exonuclease I (US Biochemicals, Cleveland, OH). Nucleotide sequences were collected with an Applied Biosystems (Foster City, CA) 3730 capillary sequencer, and trace files were aligned in the Sequencer v. 4.5 program (Gene Codes, Ann Arbor, MI).

At SLC6A4, we also genotyped the promoter LPR (which is ~15 kb upstream of the first amino acid coding exon 2; fig. 1b) and the intron 2 VNTR in the human and chimpanzee samples. For the promoter LPR, primers from Heil et al. (1996) were used to PCR amplify a 484- to 528-bp fragment...
(depending on the S/L-allelic genotype). The primers for the intron 2 VNTR were designed from the human reference sequence (NCBI Build 36.1) to amplify a ∼338- to 389-bp fragment (depending on the 9/10/12-repeat genotype). PCR products for the length variants were electrophoresed on a 2% sodium borate agarose gel, stained with ethidium bromide, and visualized under UV transillumination. Homozygous and heterozygous genotypes could easily be detected for all samples. Although the SLC6A4 LPR was genotyped, nucleotide sequence population diversity was not collected for this promoter. However, for a comparable divergence data set across regions as in HTR2A, nucleotide sequence for the functionally identified promoter region (1,319 bp; Ramamoorthy et al. 1993) was obtained from the human, chimpanzee, orangutan, and macaque genome projects (as above).

**Statistical Analyses**

As previously mentioned, specific geographic populations such as those from sub-Saharan Africa may have markedly different evolutionary histories, which can influence estimates of diversity, SNP frequency distributions, and LD. We calculated statistics for the overall human sample as a global estimate in comparison to our chimpanzee sample at both genes, as well as within our 10 human population samples for comparison. Unless otherwise noted, we used the Rozas et al. (2003) DnaSP v. 5.1 program for summary statistic estimates. Nonsynonymous sites in exons may be expected to be most functionally conserved given their potential impact on the protein, whereas, although intron and synonymous sites are not completely void of function, compared with amino acid sequences, these “silent” sites typically show less evolutionary constraint in human data sets and are often used to reflect estimates of neutrality. On the other hand, there is very little a priori expectation for which sites are “functional” for promoter and UTRs, yet it is clear that these regions greatly influence expression regulation and exhibit patterns of a neutral evolution (Wray et al. 2003; Haygood et al. 2007; Cheung and Spielman 2009). Thus, we also conducted all intra- and interspecific comparative analyses with these latter gene regions to evaluate hypotheses of functional constraint.

Haplotypes were reconstructed from our sequence data with the PHASE program v2.1.1 (Stephens et al. 2001; Stephens and Scheet 2005) using 250, 500, and 1,000 iterations to examine consistency among runs. Haplotypes with the highest average goodness-of-fit were chosen for each individual (as in Scheinfeldt et al. 2009), and iterations ≥250 resulted in no difference in haplotype estimation. Locus-specific estimates of the population parameter θ = 4Nₑμ were calculated using the number of SNPs (S) from Watterson’s (1975) θₑ, as well as the average number of pairwise differences among all sequences (θₑ). These two estimates, θₑ and θₑ, can be compared using Tajima’s (1989) D test, which identifies deviations in the SNP frequency spectrum that may be the result of nonneutral or demographic influences. To evaluate the impact that different historical demographic forces have had on human nucleotide sequence variation, we performed coalescent simulations with the Hudson (2002) MS program and compared our observed summary statistics (e.g., θₑ and Tajima’s D) with those compiled from the simulated data sets. We modeled various human demographic scenarios typically employed by others (e.g., Schaffner et al. 2005; Auton et al. 2009; Scheinfeldt et al. 2009) as well as by us (e.g., Verrelli and Tishkoff 2004; Verrelli et al. 2008). Specifically, we generated 10,000 genealogical trees simulating exponential population expansion at periods between 10 and 50 thousand years ago (kya) from a historical Nₑ of 5,000–50,000, with generation times of 20 years; these analyses were performed for the global population data set as a whole as well as for hypotheses consistent with human population structure (i.e., African vs. non-African population split times). Recombination was factored into these simulations by varying the parameter ρ = 4Nₑμr (where r is the recombination rate per nucleotide site) at intervals of 0 (no recombination) to 100 for each run.

We used the Hudson (2000) Sₑ statistic to describe the haplotype structure across populations at both genes. In contrast to a standard Fₛₚ analysis that examines differentiation among individuals and populations on a site-by-site basis, the Sₑ statistic examines SNPs as haplotypes and has been shown to be a more statistically powerful way to detect genetic differentiation among samples, even with sample sizes as small as 10 sequences per group (Hudson 2000). Pairwise comparisons among the 10 human population samples were conducted and a permutation test that resamples haplotypes from a pooled data set and reconstructs the populations with 1,000 replicates was performed to assess statistical significance (implemented in DnaSP, with Bonferroni corrections applied for multiple-comparisons among populations).

We used this Sₑ analysis along with analyses of LD to detect SNPs significantly correlated as haplotypes and that can explain differentiation among population samples. Many clinical studies of these genes are typically interested in the strict question of whether SNPs are simply “correlated”; thus, we first calculated LD using a standard model, that is, correlations as r² and significance by chi-squared tests with Bonferroni correction, computed in DnaSP for both species. However, given that correlations among SNPs are not rare in the human genome, conventional null hypotheses now consider a background model of recombination and distance among variants to identify the LD that is significantly unusual (i.e., Hudson 2001). Thus, second, we used the LDhat program of McVean et al. (2002), which applies the approximate-likelihood method of Hudson (2001) and uses a permutation analysis to determine if pairwise comparisons among SNPs exhibit significant LD given a locus-specific estimate of θ. SNPs sufficiently rare in frequency and are uninformative are determined by LDhat and omitted prior to the analysis.

We used the method implemented by Thomson et al. (2000) and previously adapted by us as well as others for human gene data sets (e.g., Verrelli et al. 2002; Scheinfeldt et al. 2009), to estimate the age of several mutations of...
Table 1. Population Diversity Estimates for Human and Chimpanzee Serotonin Genes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>θ_0</th>
<th>TD</th>
<th>S</th>
<th>θ_w</th>
<th>TD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Northern European</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.129</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.042</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italian</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.066</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.056</td>
<td>−0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Russian</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.115</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.037</td>
<td>0.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chinese</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.101</td>
<td>1.29</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.036</td>
<td>0.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japanese</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.084</td>
<td>1.53</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.027</td>
<td>−0.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southeast Asian</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.080</td>
<td>−0.25</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.023</td>
<td>−0.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mexican</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.126</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.042</td>
<td>0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle Eastern</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.096</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.039</td>
<td>−0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North African</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.113</td>
<td>2.27</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.059</td>
<td>0.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sub-Saharan African</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.143</td>
<td>−0.67</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>0.081</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Global</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>0.113</td>
<td>−0.78</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>0.047</td>
<td>−1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chimpanzee</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>0.109</td>
<td>−0.20</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.045</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a Number of chromosomes sampled.

b Refers to number of silent site SNPs (synonymous and intron sites; see Materials and Methods).

c Average pairwise sequence differences (%).

d Tajima’s D analysis of SNP frequency spectrum.

relevance to our hypotheses (e.g., SLC6A4 S/L variant). This estimator under a neutral evolutionary substitution model is typically preferred when assumptions of population equilibrium and no recombination are not met (Thomson et al. 2000; Scheinfeldt et al. 2009). The age estimate (t) involves the relationship

$$t = \frac{n}{\mu} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i \left( \frac{n}{\mu} \right),$$

where $x_i$ is the number of mutational differences between the $i$th sequence and the estimated most recent common ancestor (MRCA) of all sequences, $n$ is the total number of sequences in the sample, and $\mu$ is the mutation rate. The latter is also taken from Thomson et al. (2000) and is a “neutral” estimate for each gene based on the number of substitutions between human and chimpanzee sequences divided by twice the estimated divergence time between these two species with a confidence interval spanning a 4–6 million year split time (e.g., Kumar et al. 2005).

Finally, we conducted several interspecific tests of neutrality. First, we used the McDonald and Kreitman (1991) neutrality test to contrast patterns of diversity within and between humans and chimpanzees. We examined lineage-specific ratios of polymorphism and divergence at nonsynonymous, UTR, and promoter sites compared with silent sites for both HTR2A and SLC6A4, with the orangutan and macaque sequences used as outgroups to estimate polarity within lineages using the maximum composite-likelihood method in the software program MEGA4 (Tamura et al. 2007). Second, we used the software program HYPhy (Kosakovsky Pond and Frost 2005; Kosakovsky Pond et al. 2005), which uses a phylogenetic approach and maximum likelihood to fit evolutionary models to nucleotide sequence alignments in statistically evaluating substitution rates between gene regions. This analysis enables flexibility in “model-free” testing to determine whether rates vary when standard codon-based models do not apply (e.g., promoter and UTR sites). Similar to the hypothesis-driven approach using HYPhy in Haygood et al. (2007), we evaluated how well substitution rates at each of HTR2A and SLC6A4 promoter, UTR, and nonsynonymous sites are consistent with estimates of neutrality within the respective genes in each of the chimpanzee and human lineages (using orangutan and macaque as outgroups). Specifically, an initial likelihood was derived from a null model where the three classes of promoter, UTR, and nonsynonymous sites each evolved independently, whereas a second likelihood model constrained substitution rates at these three classes to fit the silent site divergence estimates at their respective genes. For each of these three classes, the ratio of these two likelihoods was examined using the chi-squared test implemented in HYPhy (i.e., a standard likelihood ratio test).

Results

Human and Chimpanzee Polymorphism and Divergence

A total of 7,596 bp from the HTR2A gene was collected from each of the human and chimpanzee samples (fig. 1a), with 5,264 reflecting silent site variation as described above. A total of 11,482 bp from the SLC6A4 gene was collected for both species samples (fig. 1b), with 9,378 bp reflecting silent sites as above. Although SLC6A4 human silent site diversity ($\theta_s$) appears quite low compared with HTR2A (table 1), neither are unusual from that typically seen for gene regions and across populations (e.g., Sachidanandam et al. 2001; Garrigan and Hammer 2006). As expected, sub-Saharan Africans possess a higher level of “neutral” silent diversity both in magnitude (S) and frequency ($\theta_s$) for both HTR2A and SLC6A4 compared with other populations here. In addition, although there is some variance in Tajima’s D values across populations, neither gene exhibits an unusually skewed frequency distribution compared with that simulated under our demographic models nor compared with other human genes on average for these populations (Garrigan and Hammer 2006). Finally, the P. t. verus sample shows no unusual pattern of silent diversity compared with other gene studies (Gilad et al. 2003; Fischer et al. 2004; Wooding et al. 2005; Verrelli et al. 2006, 2008). Estimates of human–chimpanzee
dissimilarity across each population sample (fig. 2). Our estimate of the MRCA of this −1438A/102T derived haplotype is 326 ± 65 kya (see Materials and Methods), which was similar across all samples, with the estimate within sub-Saharan Africa being older but not significantly different. Interestingly, our MS coalescent simulations under various demographic models (see Materials and Methods) found that this derived haplotype had significantly little diversity (\(\theta_n = 0.015%\)) given its frequency (40%, \(n = 77\)) and diversity at HTR2A (\(P < 0.0001\)). When simulations independently incorporated different levels of diversity inside and outside of the sub-Saharan Africa sample observed here (table 1) and contrasted models of population change in these different regions (see Materials and Methods), results were similar, suggesting that the pattern associated with this haplotype is not explained by demography alone. Within the −1438A/102T group, our LDhat analysis independently revealed a specific haplotype that uniquely bears

**Table 2. Human and Chimpanzee Divergence in Serotonin Gene Domains.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Lineage</th>
<th>dSil</th>
<th>dN</th>
<th>dP</th>
<th>dU</th>
<th>dN/dSil</th>
<th>dP/dSil</th>
<th>dU/dSil</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HTR2A</td>
<td>Human</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>1.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Chimpanzee</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>1.37</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SLC6A4</td>
<td>Human</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>1.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Chimpanzee</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>1.14</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>2.29</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Estimates (%) at silent (Sil), nonsynonymous (N), promoter (P), and UTR (U) sites.

**Haplotype Structure and Age Estimates**

The \(S_{nn}\) analysis of human HTR2A haplotypes revealed two significant patterns (supplementary fig. 1, Supplementary Material online). First, pairwise comparisons involving sub-Saharan Africans are statistically different, which as previously noted, is not unusual owing to their different demographic history. Second, Chinese and Japanese samples were significantly different compared with others, except the Southeast Asian sample. Correlations among variants are shown in figure 1 for both genes, where SNPs > 5% in frequency were informative for human analyses (see Materials and Methods); although many SNPs are unsurprisingly correlated across both genes, our LDhat analysis was used to further identify significant LD. Under this latter model, as expected, the sub-Saharan sample exhibited less LD at HTR2A, yet the overall relationship among SNPs as core haplotypes was similar across each of the 10 samples, that is, common SNPs were similarly associated within and across populations (data not shown). Thus, it is important to note that the significant HTR2A differences found with the \(S_{nn}\) tests (supplementary fig. 1, Supplementary Material online) are primarily the result of haplotype frequency differences among populations and less the result of different associations among these SNPs (i.e., different core haplotypes).

The strongest evidence of LD at HTR2A was found in the haplotype group bearing the derived alleles at the two commonly genotyped SNPs −1438 and 102 within all samples but with frequencies differing from 20% to 70% outside of sub-Saharan Africa alone (fig. 2).
the derived 3092C allele in intron 1 (i.e., cannot explain LD in the overall group and vice versa), which reaches 35% in frequency in Japanese and Chinese samples, yet is otherwise virtually absent. In fact, this SNP alone appears to explain the significant HTR2A \( \text{S}_{\text{mn}} \) tests with these two samples (supplementary fig. 1, Supplementary Material online) as its removal results in no significant haplotype structure other than that generally seen with sub-Saharan Africa. Other than the \(-1438\text{A}/102\text{T} \) haplotype group, no other HTR2A haplotypes (of similar frequency or SNP diversity) had an unusual pattern associated with them in our MS coalescent analyses.

Our similar analysis of the chimpanzee HTR2A haplotypes (fig. 1d) also shows high correlations, and of these, a few are significantly unusual in our LDhat analysis incorporating background recombination estimates (SNPs > 12% in frequency were informative for both genes). Interestingly, chimpanzees have a similar common mutation at the \(-1438\) upstream promoter site. This variant involves a G to A change at a CpG site, which are generally hypermutable (e.g., Subramanian and Kumar 2003); together with the orangutan and macaque outgroup sequences and the fact that shared SNPs (i.e., identical by descent) in humans and chimpanzees are very rare suggests that it is a “multiple hit” occurring independently within the two lineages. Our LDhat analysis finds that the derived \(-1438\text{A} \) allele exhibits significant LD with several SNPs in spite of its frequency of 85%. Nonetheless, although \(-1438\text{A} \) haplotypes have a much lower diversity \((\theta_{\text{nv}} = 0.047\%)\) compared with that seen for HTR2A in general (table 1), our MS coalescent simulations find nothing significantly unusual \((P = 0.13)\).

Our \( \text{S}_{\text{mn}} \) analysis of human SLC6A4 haplotypes found that only the sub-Saharan African sample was significantly different from others (supplementary fig. 1, Supplementary Material online). We included the promoter LPR and intron 2 VNTR variants (fig. 1b), which when compared with chimpanzees suggest that the derived states are the S and 12-repeat alleles, respectively. As with HTR2A, although there is strong LD across SLC6A4 (fig. 1b), our LDhat analysis finds that this structure is similar across samples for common variants (data not shown). Of note is that although the LPR and VNTR variants are correlated, there is significant geographic variation for both, with the S allele differing by 25%–85% \((P < 0.01)\). Our estimates of the MRCA of the LPR-S (223 ± 43 kya) and VNTR 12-repeat (270 ± 50 kya) alleles were similar to each other and like the \(-1438 \) and 102 SNPs are not very recent in age. Our LDhat analysis revealed one haplotype group composed of the LPR-S, intron 2 VNTR 12-repeat, and exon 14 (3’ UTR) site 23966G alleles that showed the strongest LD, with frequencies from 10% to 75% outside of sub-Saharan Africa alone (“S/12/G”; fig. 2). This haplotype had an estimated MRCA of 19 ± 4 kya, and our MS coalescent simulations found it had significantly little SNP diversity \((\theta_{\text{nv}} = 0.005\%)\) given its frequency \((36\%, n = 70)\) and the overall diversity at SLC6A4 \((P < 0.0001)\). As with analyses at HTR2A, this result was not sensitive to MS-simulated differences in diversity and demographic histories inside and outside of Africa. Finally, our similar SLC6A4 LDhat analysis of the chimpanzee SNP associations (fig. 1b) revealed a multi-SNP haplotype group with significantly high LD and which appears to be driven by linkage alone with the 5’ UTR – 97 SNPs. Our MS simulations found that only the haplotype group defined by the derived \(-97\)T allele is unusual in the chimpanzee sample in that it possesses significantly little diversity \((\theta_{\text{nv}} = 0.005\%)\) given its frequency \((33\%, n = 13; P < 0.01)\).

**Discussion**

The serotonin-related genes HTR2A and SLC6A4 have been implicated in common behavior-related disorders, and functionally relevant variants have been identified at both. Nonetheless, although studies of ethnically diverse groups show inconsistencies in association studies and failures in treatment of these disorders, the current study is surprisingly the first to use an evolutionary approach to address these problems. To this extent, we find unusual patterns of haplotype structure across human populations for both genes as well as historical patterns of nonneutral evolution across gene domains in both the human and chimpanzee lineages. Below, we discuss these population and species observations in light of the clinical, functional, and evolutionary implications for these two well-studied serotonin pathway genes.

**SNP versus Haplotype Studies**

Although we find that there are many sites across the human HTR2A gene that exhibit significant LD, the derived \(-1438\text{A} \) and 102T alleles are found in complete linkage >97% of the time in our global sample. On the other hand, although the LPR and VNTR variants are correlated alone, they do not show the strongest LD at SLC6A4. Thus, although clinical studies genotype these variants and analyze them independently, it is clear that this is unnecessary for the \(-1438\)/102 SNPs, and in fact, we find that other variants and combinations may be better candidates. For example, the HTR2A intron 3092C allele is very common in the Chinese and Japanese samples and exhibits the most geographic variation other than that seen for sub-Saharan Africans. Interestingly, our inspection of larger samples (each of 88–120 sequences) in the NCBI HapMap database found that the 3092C allele is similarly high in frequency in their Chinese and Japanese samples, but very rare elsewhere, confirming that our results are not due to sample size alone. However, as this SNP is one of many common alleles preferentially genotyped in these studies, without haplotype analyses considering unbiased samples of SNPs and populations as done here, the pattern associated with 3092C goes unfounded. Additionally, at SLC6A4, we find that incorporating the 23966 SNP into the LPR-S and VNTR 12-repeat haplotype results in the most significant LD and reveals significant geographic variation at the locus. It is interesting to note that this common 23966 SNP is found in the 3’ UTR, which may reflect undocumented functional
variation at this gene given the potential regulatory properties of these regions.

Our population sampling of HTR2A and SLC6A4 clearly shows that examining only single variants, and not haplotypes, misses a considerable amount of genetic and population diversity. Thus, one reason why association studies find a significant association between functional SNPs and behavioral phenotypes in one population but not in another could be explained by the frequency differences of these SNPs/haplotypes (i.e., sample size and reduced statistical power) and not actually a lack of an association between genotype and phenotype in different groups. One simple solution is not only to examine haplotypes instead of single variants but also to account for population stratification (i.e., Pritchard, Stephens, and Donnelly 2000) to statistically correct for this haplotypic geographic variation in disease association studies with HTR2A and SLC6A4 (Pritchard, Stephens, Rosenberg, et al. 2000; Tishkoff and Verrelli 2003b; Wakeley and Lessard 2003).

Signatures of Demography and Selection
Another interesting observation at both loci is that specific core haplotypes show low SNP diversity associated with them. It is possible that a demographic scenario, such as recent population expansion (i.e., “Out of Africa” colonization events), could generate this pattern associated with haplotypes high in frequency (e.g., Tishkoff and Verrelli 2003a; Campbell and Tishkoff 2008), yet our coalescent simulations are not consistent with this hypothesis alone. In addition, such a demographic scenario would need to explain why only a specific haplotype group shows this pattern, which is inconsistent with patterns seen at other human genes in general (e.g., Garrigan and Hammer 2006). It may be the case that individual population samples are insufficiently small to detect other haplotype patterns or that only specific populations (e.g., non-African samples) are the cause of the pattern overall. Although this is possible, these simulations consider haplotype frequencies, population sample sizes, and SNP diversity, and in fact, although geographic variation exists at both loci, patterns of LD are similar for these specific haplotypes across samples.

It is possible that recent directional selection explains the increase in frequency of specific haplotypes while increasing LD and reducing associated SNP diversity. The estimates of the age of the SLC6A4 LPR and VNTR and the HTR2A 1438 and 102 mutations are all consistent with an origin in Africa and predate estimates of the emergence of modern humans out of Africa. However, coalescent age estimates of some of the specific derived combinations such as the “S/12/G” haplotype appear to be very recent, which altogether may argue an ancient origin, but a recent expansion. As this haplotype is high in Japanese and Southeast Asian populations, yet lower in samples from both sub-Saharan Africa and Northern Europe, again, a simple demographic explanation is difficult to apply, especially because other haplotypes shared among these regions show no such pattern. Instead, a complex model that incorporates both selection and demography may be necessary, and larger sample sizes and estimates of LD in greater distances from these tagged SNPs should be examined to address these scenarios. All adaptive arguments aside, the observation from our coalescent analyses that LD can be haplotype specific provides one of the larger consequences for association analyses. Specifically, because only certain haplotypes bearing the LPR-S allele exhibit unusual SNP diversity and LD and that they differ in frequency across samples, this is compelling evidence for why this functional variant is correlated with phenotypic traits in some studies but not in others.

As previously noted, general patterns of genetic diversity within P. t. verus, including our samples, show no evidence of an unusual demographic history (e.g., Stone et al. 2002; Wooding et al. 2005; Verrelli et al. 2006, 2008). Thus, the find that this species also has an unusually low SNP diversity associated with a putative SLC6A4 promoter variant (−97T haplotypes) may also imply recent positive selection. In addition, the pattern associated with the same −1438 mutation associated with functional variation in humans, although not significant, is also suggestive. Thus, as in our human samples, further long-range LD analyses focused on these tagged SNPs in larger chimpanzee samples, in addition to functional assays of the −97 and −1438 variants will reveal their adaptive potential (Verrelli BC, unpublished data).

Although both humans and chimpanzees possess amino acid variants, their rare frequencies are consistent with purifying selection, albeit relatively weak (e.g., Ohta 1992). On the other hand, the estimated elevated divergence for regions that putatively regulate serotonin receptor and transporter expression appears to reflect historical positive selection. These recent and ancient patterns imply that adaptive changes in serotonin functioning are highly unlikely to come about by altering the structural proteins themselves, but that altering the expression of these proteins via changes to their transcriptional control may be favorable. These results also imply that some level of regulation, the best evidence here coming from the UTRs, was independently adaptive in both lineages. Interestingly, the significant evidence from the population analyses also point to regulatory regions as being putative targets of recent positive selection. To what extent this divergence actually reflects adaptive cis-regulatory variation is unknown; nonetheless, it does provide targets for testing hypotheses in functional assays (Verrelli BC, unpublished data). Specifically, if we determine that these fixed differences represent potential transcriptional variation, it implies serotonin functional diversity and potentially associated behavior, adaptive or not, that separates us from chimpanzees and other primates.

Serotonin Evolutionary Functional Genomics
Our analyses of the HTR2A 1438 and 102 SNPs would imply that several populations, including those of East Asian descent, would experience increased serotonin receptor expression and thus increased depression as well.
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(Vaidya et al. 1997; Manji et al. 2001). In addition, if we conservatively consider only SLC6A4 LPR-S/S homozygotes (and not S-heterozygotes who also show an increased tendency for depression; Caspi et al. 2003), we would predict that over 60% of the Southeast Asian population, but only 1% of the Northern European population, would experience a major and clinical depression episode (based on allele frequencies in fig. 2). Although the highest rates of depression and social anxiety in populations of East Asian descent are well documented, with the rates for those of European descent being lower (e.g., Okazaki 1997; Schoen et al. 1997; Abright 2002; Ozer and McDonald 2006), our estimates are not consistent with those actually observed in clinical studies, thus demonstrating the clear problems in using single variants in association studies at these loci. Furthermore, even when combinations of variants have been used, haplotype analyses are not conducted in samples of varying ethnic backgrounds. For example, Myers et al. (2007) suggested that the −1483A variant increased HTR2A expression only when paired with the −783G promoter allele, but this study included >86% “Caucasians” and would appear biased. In fact, we found that the −783G allele (estimated ancestral state) reaches the highest frequencies in our Northern European (15%) and African samples (22%), yet it is found only four times in the rest of the global sample (~2%; supplementary fig. 2, Supplementary Material online) and cannot explain the LD and haplotype structure here. Additionally, in a promoter assay, Ali et al. (2009) showed that the LPR-S/VNTR 12-repeat combination actually showed the highest SLC6A4 expression compared with the lowest expression for the LPR-L/VNTR 10-repeat combination. This observation would appear to conflict with large behavioral studies like that of Caspi et al. (2003); however, the latter used exclusively subjects of “Caucasian” background.

A final consideration is not only to use multiple variants as haplotypes across ethnically diverse populations but also a population genomic approach to explain the problem of inconsistent associations. For example, a model that at least considers the interaction of both SLC6A4 and HTR2A proteins would seem to be more suitable. If we simply examine the five best candidates for functional variation at both loci, the SLC6A4 LPR and VNTR and the HTR2A −1483, −783, and 102 variants, we can estimate all possible haplotypes (supplementary fig. 2, Supplementary Material online). Although LD is apparent at both HTR2A and SLC6A4 from our previous analyses, there is no significant haplotype LD between loci either in the overall sample or within any of the population samples. In fact, if we collapse these single-locus haplotypes into predicted “high” and “low” expression phenotypes, the frequencies of di-locus “expression alleles” follow no one pattern and are highly variable across populations (supplementary fig. 2, Supplementary Material online). These analyses not only show that the two loci are statistically independent but also that there is geographic diversity associated with these haplotypes as well.

Our haplotype analyses combined with previous functional analyses suggest that individuals with “low” SLC6A4 expression haplotypes are just as likely to have “low” or “high” HTR2A haplotypes and vice versa; and thus, although these individuals may have reduced capacity for serotonin uptake that would otherwise result in increased risk for depression, they may also have downregulated receptor expression that results in an equilibrium and reduces this risk. Our study is not only the first to explore the haplotype structure of ethnically diverse samples but to provide a potential multilocus haplotype model of serotonin functioning from these major candidate loci. Although our model is simple in its additive assumptions, given the strong LD shared across these samples for core haplotypes and the lack of LD across loci, we would conclude that haplotype frequency differences, and not population-specific combinations of the SNPs themselves, best explain why expression variation appears so variable across samples, and thus, why association studies continue to show inconsistent results.

If it is the case that “low” and “high” expression alleles at these loci interact as hypothesized, then one may speculate that the unusual patterns of diversity associated with these common haplotypes at both loci could be one of balancing selection. That is, overall “low” transporter expression is only adaptive as it counterbalances “high” receptor expression and vice versa. It is also possible that balanced serotonin functioning at the level of expression found today is consistent with the observed historical trend found in primates in general. For example, this balance may be a temporal trade-off as different serotonin expression levels appear to be correlated with behavior at different life stages in rhesus macaques who also possess SLC6A4 promoter length variants similar to that found in humans and chimpanzees (Kinnally et al. 2009).

From an applied perspective, given the vast research on drugs for serotonin regulation, our observations provide direct input into the specific HTR2A and SLC6A4 haplotypes that should be targeted for genotyping across populations. The hypothesis that transcriptional regions were important in the divergence of humans from other primates has long been supported (e.g., King and Wilson 1975; Gilad et al. 2006), yet we are just beginning to connect this primate variation with complex phenotypes using an adaptive evolutionary genomics model (Stone and Verrelli 2006). In fact, together with similar studies on neurotransmitters related to dopamine expression and catabolism in humans and other primates (Gilad et al. 2002; Miller-Butterworth et al. 2007, 2008), our current study sheds light on the genetic change associated with cognition and behavior, the various disorders linked to them, and how their co-evolved during the divergence of humans and our closest primate relatives.

Supplementary Material

Supplementary tables 1 and 2 and figures 1 and 2 are available at Molecular Biology and Evolution online (http://www.mbe.oxfordjournals.org/).
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