What is the primary factor controlling trend of Glacier No. 1 runoff in the Tianshan Mountains: temperature or precipitation change?
Yanlong Kong and Zhonghe Pang

ABSTRACT
The internal relationship between summer temperature (ST), annual precipitation (AP), annual mass balance (AM) and annual runoff (AR) of Glacier No.1 from 1959 to 2006, a so-called ‘summer accumulation type’ glacier, was examined with several statistical methods including simple linear regression, the Mann–Kendall test and wavelet analysis. In total, ST, AP and AR increase with a rate of 0.02 W/year, 1.53 mm/year and 2.83 × 10^4 m^3/year, respectively, while AM decreases at a rate of –14.5 mm/year. A step change of ST, AP, AM and AR was identified to have occurred in the mid-1990s. After that, ST and AR increase significantly. Meanwhile, the period of coherence changes from 4–8 years to 2–4 years, implying that when temperature increases greatly, the period tends to be shorter due to the fast response of the glacier. The increase of AR is caused by the loss of AM. The rise of ST is found to be responsible for the loss of AM, although the rise of AP is beneficial to the glacier accumulation. Our results on the dominant effect of temperature (rather than precipitation) on runoff of Glacier No. 1 could be used as the input to models in hydrology, geomorphology, climatology and paleoclimatology.

Key words | climate change, Glacier No. 1, glacier river runoff, Mann–Kendall test, wavelet analysis

INTRODUCTION
Increases in surface temperatures have significant consequences on the hydrological cycle, particularly in regions where the water supply is currently dominated by melting snow or ice (Barnett et al. 2005). It is believed that the retreat of glaciers and ice caps during the last hundred years has contributed to a global sea-level rise of several centimeters (Oerlemans 2001). Hence, it is necessary to examine the relationship between glacier runoff change and climate change, and to further assess the impact of climate change on water resources.

Glaciers in the Tianshan Mountains, the water tower of Central Asia, with limited disturbance from human activities, offer a good opportunity to study the impact of climate change on their runoff. The mechanism of response of Tianshan Glacier No. 1’s runoff to climate change, as a representative of continental glaciers in the Tianshan Mountains, has great potential for the study of glaciology and hydrology as well as climatic change implications for Central Asia (Mikhalenko 1997; Li et al. 2007). Furthermore, in these arid regions glacier melt water is vital to water resources management, as it may be a substantial contributor to a local potable and agricultural water source.

Glaciers in the Tianshan Mountains are considered to be ‘summer-accumulation type’, because they receive the main part of annual accumulation in summer (Ageta & Higuchi 1984; Li et al. 2010). In the Tianshan Mountains, the glacier rivers such as the headwaters of the Urumqi River are mainly recharged by seasonal glacier melt water and precipitation. Because temperature correlates with most terms of energy balance, it is always used as the index for melt (Li et al. 2010). So temperature and precipitation are always chosen as the climate factors affecting the recharge of the river. Previous studies investigated the variability of climate and runoff and discussed the relationship between them, showing that the runoff of Glacier
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No. 1 hydrometric station has increased largely due to the rise of temperature and precipitation (Ye et al. 2005; Li et al. 2007, 2010; Xu et al. 2010). However, it is still not known which of these factors (precipitation or temperature) is more important to runoff, though this information is useful for runoff prediction and water resources management.

It is known that the hydroclimatic characteristics of a watershed have both long and short periods, and hydrological models are quite sensitive to these scales. Given various patterns of hydroclimatic change in different periods, analysis at different temporal scales may reveal some internal relationship between hydroclimatic time series. Thus, future investigations of the correlations between climate change and runoff will require research at different climatological scales (Kaser et al. 2004).

Recently, many statistical methods have been used to compare the seasonal and inter-annual variability in hydrological regimes and to study the trends, periods and the interrelationships among the key factors including air temperature, precipitation and stream discharges (Lafreniere & Sharp 2003; Labat et al. 2004; Chaouche et al. 2010; Ben Aissia et al. 2011). However, analysis of the variability of glacier runoff and its surrounding climate using time as well as frequency domain approaches is limited in the literature. Furthermore, to the authors’ best knowledge, none of the studies have quantitatively determined the coherence between glacier river runoff and climate change (temperature and precipitation) at different time scales.

In this paper, we use simple linear regression, the Mann–Kendall test and wavelet analysis to carry out statistical analyses of hydroclimatic time series in terms of the response of small continental glaciers’ runoff to climate change with the following objectives: (1) to detect the trend and step change year of the time series for temperature, precipitation, mass balance and runoff; (2) to identify their co-variability; and (3) to identify which is more important in affecting the runoff of glacier rivers.

**STUDY WATERSHED**

Glacier No. 1 watershed in the Tianshan Mountains was selected to analyze the response of glacier runoff to climate change (Figure 1). It maintains a record of hydrological and climatic monitoring from 1959 to the present, which is the longest record of all the glacier observations in China. Previous studies have described its surrounding environments in detail (Li et al. 2007, 2010; Xu et al. 2010; Pang et al. 2011; Kong et al. 2013). Here we give a brief description of Glacier No. 1 watershed. Glacier No. 1 is located in the headwaters of the Urumqi River, Tianshan, China (43°01’N, 86°49’E) (Figure 1). There are seven glaciers at the headwaters of the Urumqi River. Among them, Glacier No. 1 is the largest. It has a catchment area of 3.34 km², of which 54% is covered by glaciers. The area of glaciers has retreated by 0.27 km² or 14% from 1962 to 2006, of which 8% took place from 1992 to 2006 (Li et al. 2010). Glacier No. 1 is composed of east and west tributaries. These two branches became separated into two small independent glaciers in 1994 due to continued glacier shrinkage (Ye et al. 2005).

The hydrometric station of Glacier No. 1 was established for runoff monitoring at the elevation of 3,693 metres above sea level (m.a.s.l.) in 1959. The Daxigou meteorological station at the elevation of 3,539 m.a.s.l. is located 3 km downstream of the Glacier No. 1 hydrometric station, which entered operation in 1958. The mean annual temperature from 1959 to 2006 in the Glacier No. 1 watershed was 5.1 °C, and the temperature was below 0 °C for 7–8 months of the year. The average annual precipitation (AP) is around 450 mm. Precipitation amount and frequency are both higher in summer than in winter. Most of the annual
runoff (AR) at Glacier No. 1 hydrometric station occurs from May to September.

DATA AND METHODS

Data

Stream flow and meteorological parameters were measured at the Glacier No. 1 hydrometric station and at Daxigou meteorological station every year. In spite of the altitude difference of 100 m, the annual temperature and precipitation observed at Daxigou meteorological station can be used to characterize climate variability at Glacier No. 1 hydrometric station (Li et al. 2013), and can be compared with the runoff record of Glacier No. 1 hydrometric station. The observations of runoff have been carried out from May to September every year. Over 95% of the AR at the station occurs during the observation period, whereas for the rest of the year, the streams are mostly frozen. All the data are published in the annual reports of the Tianshan Glacier observatory station of the Chinese Academy of Sciences, with a delay from the observation by a few years. Up to now, data on annual mean temperature, summer temperature (ST) and AP at Daxigou meteorological station and AR at Glacier No. 1 hydrometric station from 1959 to 2006 have been reported by Li et al. (2013) and Jiao et al. (2014). The mass balance data of Glacier No. 1 has been reported by Li et al. (2007, 2011) and Jiao et al. (2011). Considering that melting of Glacier No. 1 mainly occurs in summer, the ST is used in this paper instead of annual temperature.

Methods

Two methods, namely simple linear regression and the Mann–Kendall test, are used to detect trends and the step change year. Each method has its own strength and weakness (Xu et al. 2005; Zhang et al. 2006; Chen et al. 2007). For instance, the simple linear regression method, which is a parametric t-test method, requires the data to be tested to see if it is normally distributed. However, the Mann–Kendall test, a non-parametric trend test, only requires the data to be independent and can tolerate outliers (Chen et al. 2007; Xu et al. 2011). The Mann–Kendall test is summarized as follows:

Let $H_0$ be the ‘series is stationary’ null hypothesis to be tested against alternative hypothesis $H_1$ ‘series displays change’. Let $X_1, \ldots, X_N$ be variable $X$ in dependent observations. The statistics $S$ is calculated as in Equation (1):

$$S = \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} \sum_{k=j+1}^{N} \text{Sign}(X_k - X_j)$$

where:

$$\text{Sign}(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x > 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } x = 0 \\ -1 & \text{if } x < 0 \end{cases}$$

with the $H_0$ hypothesis, $S$ approaches 0. Trends for an increase or a decrease result respectively in $S$ being negative or positive. The expected value and variance of $S$ are given by:

$$E(S) = 0$$

$$V(S) = \frac{N(N - 1)(2N + 5)}{18}$$

The significance of trends is tested by comparing the standardized test statistic $Z$:

$$Z = \begin{cases} \frac{S - 1}{\sqrt{V(S)}} & \text{if } S > 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } S = 0 \\ \frac{S + 1}{\sqrt{V(S)}} & \text{if } S < 0 \end{cases}$$

when the time series has no trends, that is to say following the $H_0$ hypothesis, the value of $S$ differs for each sample. The probability of rejecting $H_0$ when it is true is $\alpha$. In this paper, the significance level (SL) 0.05 was chosen, that is to say $\alpha = 0.05$.

To detect the step change point (the year when step change occurs), Goossens & Berger (1986) presented a graphical technique based on the Mann–Kendall method. For the time series $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_N$ shown above, it uses the intersection of two curves: UFK and UBK. This method is
based on the computation of all \( U(d_i), i = 1, 2 \ldots N \):

\[
U(d_i) = \frac{(d_i - E(d_i))}{\sqrt{\text{var}(d_i)}}
\]  

(6)

in which \( d_i \) is given as:

\[
d_i = m_1 + m_2 + \ldots + m_i
\]  

(7)

where, for each term \( X_i \), the number \( m_i \) of terms \( X_j (i > j) \) preceding it is calculated by

\[
m_i = \begin{cases} 
1 & \text{if } X_i - X_j > 0 \quad i > j \\
0 & \text{if } X_i - X_j \leq 0 \quad i > j
\end{cases}
\]  

(8)

For the statistic \( d_i \), its expected value is given as:

\[
E(d) = \frac{N(N - 1)}{4}
\]  

(9)

and variance as:

\[
\text{var}(d_i) = \frac{i(i - 1)(2i + 5)}{72}
\]  

(10)

The graphical presentation of this ensemble of all \( U(d_i), i = 1, 2 \ldots N \), along the time series will be denoted as UFK. The sequential application to UFK of the rule issued for \( U(d) \) allows us to detect a change in the time series as soon as \( U(d_i) \) becomes larger than 1.96 (corresponding to \( \alpha = 0.05 \)).

In order to localize the step change point, the same principle is applied to the retrograde time series (Let \( X_N \) be \( X_1 \), \( X_{N-1} \) be \( X_2 \ldots X_1 \) be \( X_N \)), then recalculate the statistic UBK (we use UBK to replace UFK in order to differentiate it with the original time series). Plot the curve of UBK-Year and UFK-Year, respectively. If the point where the two lines cross is located between the critical lines of the 5\% level of significance, it is just the step change point, because the point marks the significant change in both sequences (Goossens & Berger 1986; Kong & Pang 2014).

In order to identify the relationship between temperature and runoff and between precipitation and runoff, the wavelet method is employed. It can reveal the localized time and frequency information without requiring the time series to be stationary (Torrence & Compo 1998). Wavelet coherence is defined as (Grinsted et al. 2004):

\[
R_N^2(a) = \frac{|SO(a^{-1}W_{XY}(a))|^2}{SO(a^{-1}|W_X(a)|^2)gSO(a^{-1}|W_Y(a)|^2)}
\]  

(11)

where \( W_{XY} \) is the cross wavelet transform; SO is a smoothing operator. They can be written as:

\[
W_{XY} = W_Y^*W_X
\]  

(12)

where \( ^* \) denotes complex conjugation.

The wavelet coherence is done to show the correlation between the variants mentioned above in the time–frequency domain (Grinsted et al. 2004). Wavelet coherence analysis can be used to identify the correlations between two signals, especially for highlighting the time and frequency intervals between them (Torrence & Compo 1998; Grinsted et al. 2004; Valdés-Galicia & Velasco 2008). If the two series are physically related, one would expect a consistent or slowly varying phase lag that can be tested against mechanistic models of the physical processes (Grinsted et al. 2004). All of the three methods are used comparatively to check the interrelationships among the key factors of temperature, precipitation and runoff time series.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Variability in temperature, precipitation, mass balance and runoff

The statistics for ST, AP, annual mass balance (AM) and AR time series are given in Table 1. For visualization purposes, the 5-year moving averages are also presented in Figure 2. A simple linear regression method is used to test the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Max.</th>
<th>Min.</th>
<th>Variance</th>
<th>Standard dev.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AM (mm)</td>
<td>-250.1</td>
<td>372.0</td>
<td>-893.8</td>
<td>133,848.8</td>
<td>365.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AR (10^4 m³)</td>
<td>134.3</td>
<td>304.5</td>
<td>22.8</td>
<td>4596.4</td>
<td>67.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST (°C)</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AP (mm)</td>
<td>456.7</td>
<td>644.0</td>
<td>287.3</td>
<td>5180.7</td>
<td>72.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1 | Statistics for ST, AP, AM and AR time series of Glacier No. 1 watershed
long-term linear trend. Results show that ST, AP and AR have a mean value of 4.2 °C, 456.7 mm and $134.3\times10^4$ m$^3$, and they all present an increasing trend while AM has an average of −250.1 mm and a trend of decreasing.

Trend analysis of temperature, precipitation, mass balance and runoff

Time series of ST, AP, AM and AR are analyzed with the Mann–Kendall test. In this work, two statistics named UFK and UBK are introduced to test the significance of each time series. Take the time series of runoff for example, the UFK refers to the runoff series from 1959 to 2006. When the values of UFK are above 1.96 (the dotted line in Figure 3), it illustrates a significant rising trend, and when they are below −1.96, it means a significant declining trend. The UBK refers to the retrograde runoff series from 2006 to 1959. The crossing of UFK and UBK between the two dotted lines is the step change point (year) when the record changes profoundly.
Figure 3 gives the graphical representation of AM, AR, ST and AP change. The red line above (> 1.96) or below (< −1.96) the dotted lines (SL 5%) illustrates a statistical significant rising or decreasing trend. The crossing point of the red and blue lines is the year when step change occurs. The full colour version of this figure is available in the online version of this paper, at http://dx.doi.org/10.2166/nh.2016.190.

The step change years for ST, AP, AM and AR are different but close to each other (Figure 3 and Table 2). For the annual average temperature series, the step change towards warming is located in 1997. Before and after the step-change year 1997, the temperature rises from 4.0 to 4.9 °C, the increment of which is close to that of the whole Xinjiang Province (from 8.8 to 9.8 °C (Kong & Pang 2012)), but rises more rapidly than the global average in the past century (0.74 °C/100 years (IPCC 2007)). The step change year for precipitation is 1993. Around the step year 1993, precipitation increased about 83.4 mm or 19% in one of the regions that changes most rapidly in Xinjiang (Kong & Pang 2012). The step change year for mass balance is 1991, before which mass balance changed little, and after which it decreased significantly. The step year for runoff is 1993, which is same as that of precipitation and close to that of temperature (Table 2), suggesting that significant climate and runoff changes exist in Glacier No. 1 around the middle 1990s.

### Wavelet coherence between mass balance, runoff, precipitation and temperature

The squared wavelet coherence between ST, AP and AM is shown in Figure 4, which reveals the localized correlation in
time and frequency space. The directions of arrows and significance of the results show how the two time series are correlated. Arrows pointing straight right/left in phase denote linear positive/negative relationships, while arrows pointing up/down (out of phase) denote nonlinear relationships (Grinsted et al. 2004).

Figure 4(a) illustrates that AM and AR share a cycle with a period of about 4–8 years over much of the instrumental record, but becoming clearer and stronger starting in the 1990s in 2–4 year scale. Most of the arrows in the regions with high coherence point to about −90° in all the 4–8 and 2–4 year periods. Such an anti-phase relationship shows that the rise of AR is caused by the loss of AM.

Figure 4(b) reveals that there is remarkable negative correlation between AM and ST in the periods of 6–8 years from 1976 to 1994. And the negative correlation remains but the period tends to be 2–4 years after 1995. The negative correlation indicates that glacier ablation is caused by the rising temperature. The shift of period is just echoed by the step change years found with the method of the Mann–Kendall test. Such a shift implies that when temperature increases significantly, the period of coherence tends to be shorter. This seems reasonable due to the rapid response of the glacier to rising temperature.

Figure 4(c) shows that the hydroclimatic coherence between AM and AP is concentrated on the 4–8 year scale, starting from 1966. In the regions of 4–8 year periods with high coherence, AM and AP change in phase, implying that a strong positive correlation exists between them. This is reasonable, because the accumulation of Glacier No. 1 mainly comes from summer precipitation, which is composed of more than 80% solid precipitation (Ye et al. 2005).

It is very difficult to identify which (temperature or precipitation) is more important in controlling the runoff of Glacier No. 1, because both temperature and precipitation increase. The rise of temperature causes more melted water and thus increases the runoff, and the increase of precipitation directly causes the increase in runoff. However, we find significant correlation between AR and ST ($R^2 = 0.44$, $n = 48$), while there is no correlation between AR and AP. From the analysis of Figure 4, we know that a strong linear correlation exists between the loss of AM and the increase of AR. This indicates that the increase of runoff is primary attributed to the loss of AM and thus to the increase in temperature. Such a result is bolstered by the calculation of the water budget. As we mentioned above, Glacier No. 1 has a catchment area of 3.34 km², of which 54% is covered by glaciers. Weighted by the catchment area, we can transfer the rising rate of AP and AR into $0.51 \times 10^4$ m³/year and $2.83 \times 10^4$ m³/year, and the decreasing rate of AM into $2.62 \times 10^4$ m³/year. Thus the increase in AP is about 1/5 of the increase in AR, and the AP is not the primary factor in the controlling trend of Glacier No. 1 runoff. The loss of AM, caused by temperature rising, is slightly lower than the increase in AR, showing that the increase in AR is caused by the loss of AM.

The dominant effect of temperature on runoff in the study region is also supported by the finding that runoff in the Glacier No. 1 is composed of more than 90% glacier-melt water (Kong & Pang 2012). Kong & Pang (2012) further claimed...
that the sensitivity of glacier river runoff to climate change is dependent on their replenishment type: the more glacier-melt water is incorporated, the more sensitive it is to temperature change. To prevent flood hazards, managers of water resources should be clear about the controlling factors for glacier river runoff. For small glaciers like Glacier No. 1, more attention should be paid to their melt water because of their sensitivity to temperature. In order to prevent water loss through evaporation in the arid regions, major adaptive strategies to utilize melt water, such as groundwater reservoirs, should be constructed for water resources management.

CONCLUSIONS

Using simple linear regression, the Mann–Kendall test and wavelet analysis, we have identified the trends and periods of runoff and climate change at Glacier No.1 hydrometric station in the Tianshan Mountains, and compared the relative significance of temperature and precipitation to the change in continental glacier river runoff. The main findings of the study can be summarized as follows:

1. In the time series of 48 years (1959–2006), ST, AP and AR exhibit an increasing trend with a slope of 0.02 °C/year, 1.53 mm/year and $2.83 \times 10^4$ m$^3$/year, respectively; while AM decreases with a gradient of $–14.5$ mm/year.
2. There is a step change in the trends of ST, AP, AM and AR. The turning point is the middle 1990s.
3. There is a clear negative correlation between AR and AM, which shows that the rise in runoff is caused by glacier ablation.

From a new perspective, we show that temperature has a primary effect on the mass balance and runoff as compared to precipitation throughout the instrumental records. Furthermore, when the temperature began to rise significantly after 1993, the period became shorter and changed to 2–4 years. This implies that temperature rise can dominate the runoff change in such a small continental glacier watershed under climate change conditions. Nevertheless, the effect of temperature and precipitation on runoff is even more complicated, which should be analyzed on short-wave radiation, sensible heat and local geometric characteristics of glaciers. Therefore, long-term monitoring of hydroclimatic parameters is needed in order to identify the cause of variations and interaction between climate change and runoff.
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