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ABSTRACT
The main goal of this study is to introduce the Archimedean copulas, which overcome the low accuracy and subjective nature of the traditional double mass curve method, to investigate the precipitation–runoff relationship (PRR) and detect change points in the Weihe River Basin (WRB). With the construction of a joint distribution between precipitation and runoff by the Archimedean copulas, a statistical variable considering the distribution parameter was estimated to judge the change point of the PRR. The results show that: (1) annual precipitation and runoff present decreasing trends that are significant and insignificant, respectively, at the 95% significance level, while annual potential evapotranspiration (PET) increases slightly; (2) change points of the PRR occurred in 1971 and 1994; (3) the annual runoff changed more dramatically than precipitation during the periods from 1972 to 1994 and 1995 to 2010 compared with 1960–1971, which indicates that in addition to precipitation, there are some other non-precipitation factors that are responsible for the change in the PRR; and (4) the contributions to runoff from human activities declined from 1972 to 1994 (84.15%) and 1995 to 2010 (57.16%). These results suggest that human activities (e.g., irrigation, reservoirs, water-and-soil conservation) were the primary driving forces leading to changes in the PRR in the WRB.
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INTRODUCTION
The precipitation–runoff relationship (PRR) is an important issue in engineering hydrology, water resource planning and management, and watershed system evolution (Areerachakul & Junsawang 2014; Nourani et al. 2015; Wang et al. 2015). It is widely known that the global environment has undergone a drastic change over the past century, increasing the complexity of the hydrological cycle in many regions (Zhang et al. 2011; Rahmani & Zarghami 2015; Rege et al. 2015). The PRR has undergone great changes in many regions, and presents challenges for water regulation and management. Hence, an in-depth understanding of the PRR (i.e., when, how, and why the PRR changes) is an important issue and should be addressed.

The focus of studies involving the PRR should be when it changes (i.e., detecting the change point(s) of the PRR); however, studies on the change point(s) of the PRR are still lacking. The double mass curve method, which is a simple and practical method, is the most widely used method for testing the long-term evolution tendencies between hydrological and meteorological elements. However, when utilizing the double mass curve method, there is considerable subjectivity in estimating the change point by visual assessment and the inherent variability in hydrological data (Searcy et al. 1960). Some researchers have also applied the cross wavelet spectrum and wavelet transform coherence to study the PRR (Labat et al. 2001; Charlier...
et al. 2015); however, the cross wavelet spectrum and wavelet transform coherence were better for analyzing the periodic properties of two time series and failed to identify the change point(s) of the relationship between the two series. Wang et al. (2015) applied the correlation coefficient to detect the change point of the PRR. Although this method was able to estimate a linear relationship, it was unable to handle non-linear relationships (such as the PRR) because it ignores the structure of the dependence. To avoid these restrictions of the methods mentioned above, the Archimedean copulas (Huang et al. 2015; Jiang et al. 2015a) were introduced to resolve the structure change of the PRR in this study. This method was chosen primarily because of its ability to accurately catch non-linear and asymmetric correlation characteristics between variables.

There have been a number of studies on changes in precipitation and runoff worldwide. Mwale et al. (2009) found that precipitation variability accounted for some runoff variability; however, on its own, it was insufficient for describing catchment runoff variability in southern Alberta and parts of northwestern Alberta. Yang & Tian (2009) showed that intensive human activities, especially agricultural water use, resulted in significant runoff change points, while they had little impact on precipitation in the Huaihe River Basin. Zhang et al. (2011) found that annual precipitation declined more than runoff in the downstream of the Huaihe River. All of these studies indicate that the changes in runoff and precipitation are asynchronous, and that the response of runoff to precipitation varies in response to a changing environment. Partal (2012) showed that variability of both the runoff and the precipitation is generally similar over time, with a noticeable decrease in runoff at all of the stations in the Aegean region of Turkey. Velpuri & Senay (2015) investigated the long-term (1950–2009) trends in precipitation, runoff, and runoff coefficient in major urban watersheds in the United States and showed that most of the stations exhibited a downward trend in precipitation, while nearly half of the stations showed an upward trend in runoff. For the Weihe River Basin (WRB) specifically, many studies have been conducted on this issue (Zhan et al. 2014; Xiong et al. 2014); however, previous studies have mainly focused on the changes in a single hydrological factor (e.g., runoff, precipitation, temperature). In recent years, the response of runoff to climate variability and human activities has also become a focus of research in this region (Du & Shi 2012; Chang et al. 2015; Jiang et al. 2015b). However, there have been few studies regarding the law of water recurrence under the changing environment and the relationships between hydrological variables, such as precipitation and runoff.

The Weihe River is one of the most important industrial and agricultural production zones in China, and has presented a complicated PRR under the changing environment. Furthermore, water issues in this region have had a negative impact on societal and ecosystem development. The main purposes of this paper are to: (1) detect the structure change of the PRR; (2) explore the precipitation and runoff changes over different time scales; and (3) analyze the influence of human activities on the PRR by the climate elasticity method. The methodology used in this study can also provide useful insights for detecting the change points in relationships between other hydrological series. The results of this research provide insights for water resources management and planning departments.

**STUDY AREA AND DATASET**

**Study area**

The Weihe River (104–110° E, 33–37° N) is the largest tributary of the Yellow River, with a total length of 818 km and drainage area of 134,800 km², and lies in the semi-humid and semi-arid transitional zone (Figure 1). It originates from the mountains in the southern Gansu Province and passes through 502 km of Shaanxi Province. It is the primary water supply for 0.93 million hm² of fertile fields in the Guanzhong Plain and supports more than 61% of Shaanxi province’s population.

The WRB is dominated by semi-arid hydrological characteristics and has a continental monsoon climate (Zuo et al. 2012). The climate is dry and chilly in winter and hot and rainy in summer. The mean annual temperature is 7.8 ~ 13.5 °C, and the maximum and minimum temperatures have been observed in July and January (42.8 °C and ~28.1 °C, respectively). The annual precipitation is 634.9 mm, of which approximately 60% falls between June and October. The mean annual natural runoff of the river is 10.4 billion m³
and accounts for 17.3% of the Yellow River’s total discharge. The seasonal variations in runoff are similar to precipitation. The runoff in July and October accounts for approximately 65% of the mean annual runoff. The annual potential evapotranspiration (PET) is 800–1,200 mm and decreases from north to south. Maximum evaporation occurs in June or July, and evaporation between May and August accounts for 46–58% of the mean annual evaporation.

During the past 50 years, runoff in the Weihe River has decreased dramatically; in particular, in the late 1990s the average runoff was only 3.60 billion m³ compared to 6.20 billion m³ in the 1950s.

**Dataset**

Monthly and annual runoff series (1960–2010) from gauging stations throughout the basin, including Huaxian and Zhuangtou, were provided by the hydrology bureau of the Yellow River Conservancy Commission (Figure 1). For this study, we assumed that the sum of runoff from the Huaxian and Zhuangtou stations represented the total runoff generated in the WRB.

The meteorological data (1960–2010) from 21 meteorological stations (Figure 1) inside and outside the WRB, including daily, monthly, and annual precipitation, daily mean temperature, daily maximum temperature, daily minimum temperature, air pressure, relative humidity, wind velocity, and sunshine duration, were provided by the China Meteorological Data Sharing Service System (http://cdc.cma.gov.cn). Daily PET was calculated by the FAO56 Penman–Monteith method using meteorological data (Allen et al. 1998). Based on the results from individual stations, the annual precipitation and PET of the whole catchment were calculated by the Thiessen polygon method on the ArcGIS platform. The Thiessen polygon method is a widely used technique and important method to evaluate regional precipitation or PET quantity (Faisal & Gaffar 2012). The first step of this method is to calculate station weights based on the areas of each station. Then the weights are multiplied by the precipitation or PET at the corresponding station to obtain the regional mean precipitation or PET.

**METHODOLOGY**

**FAO56 Penman–Monteith method**

The Penman–Monteith method is recommended by the Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) of the United
Nations and is considered to be the most effective method for estimating PET. The specific calculation formulas used are from Zuo et al. (2012), which can be expressed as:

\[
PET = \frac{0.408\Delta(R_n - G) + \frac{900}{T + 273}\mu_2(e_s - e_a)}{\Delta + \gamma(1 + 0.3\mu_2)}
\]

where PET is PET (mm/day), \(\Delta\) is slope of saturated vapour-pressure curve (kPa/C), \(R_n\) is net radiation at the crop surface (MJ/(m²·day)), \(G\) is soil heat flux (MJ/(m²·day)), \(\gamma\) is psychrometric constant (kPa/C), \(T\) is mean daily air temperature at 2 m height (°C), \(\mu_2\) is wind speed at 2 m height (m/s), \(e_s\) is saturation vapour pressure (kPa), \(e_a\) is actual vapour pressure (kPa) and \(e_s - e_a\) is saturated vapour pressure deficit (kPa).

**The Archimedean copulas**

For the joint function, given that we have \(n\) vectors of observations that can be notated \((x_1, y_1), (x_1, y_2), \ldots, (x_m, y_m)\), the marginal distributions are \(F(x)\) and \(G(y)\), respectively. If \(F(x)\) and \(G(y)\) are continuous, then there is a unique two-dimensional joint function \(C_\theta(u, v)\), which is expressed as:

\[
H(x, y) = C_\theta(F(x), G(y)), \forall x, y
\]

where \(C_\theta(u, v)\) is the copula function, \(\theta\) is the parameter to be estimated, and \(u\) and \(v\) are the marginal distributions for \(F(x)\) and \(G(y)\), respectively.

In hydrology research, Archimedean copulas are popular because of the explicit functional forms, including the Clayton, Frank, and Gumbel–Hougaard copulas, are flexible and allow for differences in tail behavior. As shown by Genest & MacKay (1986), the relationship between the Kendall correlation coefficient \(\tau\) and the generator of Archimedean copulas \(\varphi(t)\) is:

\[
\tau = 1 + 4\int_0^1 \frac{\varphi(t)}{\varphi'(t)} dt
\]

The expression of the Kendall correlation coefficient \(\tau\) and the parameter \(\theta\) of the three copula functions are shown in Table 1.

To build a model of joint distribution on runoff and precipitation, the first step is to obtain an appropriate marginal distribution. In this paper, the generalized extreme value distribution (Gev), logarithmic normal distribution (Logn), and gamma distribution (Gam) were applied to fit the marginal distribution. To identify an appropriate marginal distribution, we utilized root mean square error (RMSE) and Akaike information criteria (AIC) to evaluate distributions.

The RMSE (Equation (4)) is expressed as:

\[
RMSE = \sqrt{MSE} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - x_0(i))^2}
\]

where MSE is the mean square error, \(n\) is the sample size, \(x_i\) is the theoretic frequency estimated by marginal distribution or joint function, and \(x_0(i)\) is the empirical frequency for single- or two-dimensional variables estimated by the Gringorten plotting-position formula (Equations (5) and (6)). This formula is expressed as:

\[
x_0(i) = P(X \leq x_i) = \frac{i - 0.44}{n + 0.12}
\]

\[
x_0(i) = P(X \leq x_i, Y \leq y_i) = \frac{\sum_{l=1}^{i} \sum_{m=1}^{j} N_{ml} - 0.44}{n + 0.12}
\]

**Table 1** Three common Archimedean copulas in the field of hydrology research

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Archimedean copula</th>
<th>(C_\theta(u, v))</th>
<th>Range</th>
<th>Kendall’s tau</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Clayton</td>
<td>((u^{-\theta} + v^{-\theta} - 1)^{-1/\theta})</td>
<td>(\theta &gt; 0)</td>
<td>(\frac{\theta}{\theta + 2})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frank</td>
<td>(\frac{1}{\theta} \ln \left[ 1 + \frac{(e^{-\theta u} - 1)(e^{-\theta v} - 1)}{e^{-\theta} - 1} \right])</td>
<td>(\theta \in R)</td>
<td>(1 - \frac{4}{\theta} \left[ -\frac{1}{\theta} \int_0^\theta \exp(t) - 1 \right] dt - 1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gumbel–Hougaard</td>
<td>(\exp \left[ - \left( -\ln u \right)^\theta + \left( -\ln v \right)^\theta \right]^{1/\theta})</td>
<td>(\theta \geq 1)</td>
<td>(1 - \frac{1}{\theta})</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
where \( P \) is the empirical frequency when \( X \leq x_i \) (Equation (5)), or \( X \leq x_i \) and \( Y \leq y_i \) (Equation (6)). \( i \) is the \( i \)th smallest observation in the dataset arranged in ascending order. \( P(X \leq x_i, Y \leq y_i) \) is obtained by arranging \((x_i, y_i)\) by either \( x_i \) or \( y_i \), and \( N_{mj} \) is the number counted as \( x_i \leq x_j, y_j \leq y_i, i = 1, \ldots, n, 1 \leq j \leq i \).

Due to the numerous model parameters, the lack-of-fit and unreliability of the model are the two parts of the AIC, which can be expressed as:

\[
AIC = -2 \log(\text{maximized likelihood for model}) \\
+ 2(\text{number of fitted parameters})
\]  

(7)

Additionally, it can be expressed as:

\[
AIC = n \log(\text{MSE}) + 2(\text{number of fitted parameters})
\]  

(8)

Thus, the appropriate \( u \) and \( v \) can be obtained through the two evaluation indices.

Based on the appropriate \( u \) and \( v \), the second step is to estimate the parameter \( \theta \) of the three copula functions by the relationship of the Kendall correlation coefficient \( \tau \) and the parameter \( \theta \) (Table 1).

Finally, the joint distribution model is built and the RMSE and AIC indices are again applied to identify the appropriate copula function.

**Change point detection based on Archimedean copulas**

The joint distribution is defined as:

\[
H(x, y) = C_\theta(F(x), G(y)), \forall x, y
\]  

(9)

Following Equation (9), the density function can be written as:

\[
h(x, y) = f(x)g(y)C_{12}(F(x), G(y))
\]  

(10)

where \( f(x) \) and \( g(y) \) represent the density functions and \( C_{12} \) can be presented as:

\[
C_{12}(u, v) = \frac{\partial}{\partial u} \frac{\partial}{\partial v} C(u, v)
\]  

(11)

The maximum likelihood estimation for the parameters is:

\[
\lambda = \arg \max_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log C_{12}(\lambda; F(x_i), G(y_i))
\]  

(12)

Assuming that only one change point exists, the original and alternative hypotheses of the proposition are:

\[
H_0: \lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \ldots = \lambda_n, H_1: \lambda_1 = \ldots = \lambda_{k^*} \neq \lambda_{k^*+1} = \ldots = \lambda_n
\]  

(13)

If the original hypothesis is rejected, the change point occurs at the position of \( k^* \). When \( k^* = k \), the log likelihood ratio statistic by the maximum likelihood estimation of the joint function can be presented as:

\[
-2 \log \land_k = 2 \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{k} \log C_{12}(\lambda_{k^*}; F(x_i), G(y_i)) \\
- \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log C_{12}(\lambda_n; F(x_i), G(y_i)) \right]
\]  

(14)

where \( \lambda_k \), \( \lambda_{k^*} \), and \( \lambda_n \) are the maximum likelihood estimations of parameter \( \lambda \).

If \( k^* \) is unknown and the statistic \( Z_n = \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} (2 \log \land_k) \) is large, the original hypothesis \( H_0 \) can be rejected (i.e., there is a change point) (Ye & Miao 2009). According to the likelihood ratio test methods, the asymptotic distribution of \( Z_n \) obeys the \( \chi^2(1) \) distribution. The threshold of the \( Z_n \) statistic for rejecting the original hypothesis is based on Dias (2004). The time estimation of \( k^* \) is shown as:

\[
k^* = \arg \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} (-2 \log \land_k)
\]  

(15)

The process of change point detection can be briefly summarized as follows:

- **Step 1:** Select the appropriate marginal distributions for monthly precipitation and runoff.
- **Step 2:** Estimate the parameter \( \theta \) of the joint function and establish the joint function for \( u \) and \( v \).
Step 3: Estimate the log likelihood ratio statistic \(-2 \log L_k\) by Equation (14).

Step 4: Judge the change point by Equation (15).

If multiple change points exist, the binary segmentation method would be applied to detect the change points between multiple variables. This scenario includes the following: (1) the existence of a single point between the original time series that is detected by the method mentioned above; (2) if no such point exists, the original hypothesis should be accepted; otherwise, the original time series would be divided into two subsequences, and each of them is subject to continued detection; and (3) the process is finished when there is no change point for every subsequence.

Attribution analysis by climate elasticity approach

Runoff change is the consequence of a combination of climate change and human activities, which can be expressed as:

\[
\Delta Q = \Delta Q_C + \Delta Q_H
\]

\[
\Delta Q_C = \Delta Q_P + \Delta Q_{PET}
\]

where \(\Delta Q\) can be obtained by the observation data of a hydro-metric station (i.e., \(\Delta Q = Q_{\text{obs},2} - Q_{\text{obs},1}\) where \(Q_{\text{obs},1}\) and \(Q_{\text{obs},2}\) are the runoff before and after the change point, respectively). \(\Delta Q_C\) and \(\Delta Q_H\) are the runoff increment induced by climate change and human activities, respectively. \(\Delta Q_P\) and \(\Delta Q_{PET}\) are the runoff increments induced by changes in precipitation and PET, respectively. All of these calculations are based on the assumption of mutual independence, which is impacted by climate change and human activities, thus leading to incremental runoff.

The contribution rates from climate changes and human activities to runoff reduction (\(C_C\) and \(C_H\), unit: %) are:

\[
C_C = \frac{\Delta Q_C}{\Delta Q} \times 100\% = \frac{\left(\frac{\Delta P}{P} + \frac{\Delta PET}{PET}\right) Q}{\Delta Q} \times 100\% (23)
\]

\[
C_H = \frac{\Delta Q_H}{\Delta Q} \times 100\% = \frac{\Delta Q - \Delta Q_C}{\Delta Q} \times 100\%
= \left(1 - \frac{\Delta Q_C}{\Delta Q}\right) \times 100\% = 1 - C_C (24)
\]

RESULTS

Trends in annual hydro-meteorological variables

Long-term variations of annual runoff, precipitation, and PET in the WRB from 1960 to 2010 show decreases in annual runoff and precipitation and an increase in annual PET (Figure 2). The results of the nonparametric Mann–Kendall (MK) trend test show that annual runoff (MK value \(-3.79\)) presents a significant decreasing trend at the 95% significance level.
during the period of 1960–2010, while annual precipitation (MK value $-1.63$) presents a decreasing but insignificant trend. The annual PET presents an increasing trend but fails to pass the significance test at the 95% significance level.

The MK trend test results for monthly precipitation, runoff, and PET are all negative for monthly runoff from 1960 to 2010, which indicates that monthly runoff shows a decreasing trend (Figure 3). The MK values for runoff in January, February, June, August, and December are all larger than the critical value of $-1.96$ at the 95% significance level, indicating that the runoff in these months exhibits a decreasing but insignificant trend. Runoff in the remaining months exhibits a decreasing and significant trend with MK values less than the critical value of $-1.96$ at the 95% significance level.

![Figure 2](https://iwaponline.com/hr/article-pdf/48/1/295/367429/nh0480295.pdf)  
**Figure 2** | Annual runoff, precipitation, and PET changes in 1960–2010 in the WRB.

![Figure 3](https://iwaponline.com/hr/article-pdf/48/1/295/367429/nh0480295.pdf)  
**Figure 3** | The MK test results of monthly precipitation, runoff, and PET in 1960–2010 in the WRB. The horizontal dashed lines represent the critical value of the 95% significance level.
For monthly precipitation, there is an insignificant upward trend in January, February, June, August, and December; however, precipitation in the remaining months presents a downward trend. In particular, precipitation in April and November has a significant downward trend at the 95% significance level. Although annual PET is increasing, the PET in January, June, July, and August decreases but is not statistically significant. The PET in April showed a statistically significant upward trend from 1960 to 2010.

Detection of PRR change points

Selection of the appropriate marginal distribution

As introduced in the section ‘Change point detection based on Archimedean copulas’, the Gev, Logn, and Gam distributions were used to fit monthly precipitation and runoff with little difference among the three marginal distributions (Figure 4). To obtain a better marginal distribution, the RMSE and AIC for monthly runoff and precipitation were calculated and are listed in Table 2. It can be easily seen from Table 2 that the Gam and Logn distributions are the more appropriate marginal distributions because of the smaller RMSE and AIC.

Selection of the appropriate copula function

The frequency obtained by the joint distribution model shows that the correlation coefficients of the Clayton, Frank, and Gumbel–Hougaard copula-based calculation probability distributions, and the empirical probability distributions are both above 0.99 (Figure 5). Thus, there is little difference between the three joint functions modeling monthly runoff and precipitation.

Therefore, the RMSE and AIC were again applied to select the appropriate joint distribution model, and as a result, the Gumbel–Hougaard copula was chosen (Table 3).

Change point detection

Zn was calculated by Equations (14) and (15), and shows that there may be two turning points occurring in 1971 and 1994 (Figure 6). Therefore, the binary segmentation method was applied to further study the PRR change point, and the results are shown in Figure 6(b) and 6(c). It can be inferred from Figure 6 that the change points of PRR occurred in 1971 and 1994, with a Zn value beyond the critical value of 9.
DISCUSSION

Comparative analysis of the PRR change point

The change point detection results of PRR in this paper are similar to the results of Su et al. (2013), Hou et al. (2011), and Bi et al. (2015) using the double mass curve method in the WRB. Su et al. (2013) proved the PRR change point of 1971 while failing to obtain the other change points. Bi et al. (2015) only detected a single change point in 1994. Hou et al. (2011) found PRR change points occurring in 1971, 1983, 1994, and 2003. We also applied the double mass curve method in the WRB and were unable to accurately judge PRR change points, showing that the validity of this method is limited in the WRB (Figure 7).

The judgment of PRR change point(s) is clearly subjective and displays uncertainties (Figure 7). In contrast, determination of the change point(s) by the method based on the Archimedean copulas is accurate and reliable.

Change in PRR

Time series of precipitation and runoff were divided into three stages separated by the change points in 1971 and 1994, representing natural (1960–1971) and impacted periods (1972–1994 and 1995–2010). The PRR is the lowest from 1995 to 2010, followed by 1972–1994 and then 1960–1971; this implies that runoff yield increased from 1995–2010 to 1972–1994 to 1960–1971 at the same annual precipitation (Figure 8).

Table 2 | Fitted effect of different marginal distributions on monthly precipitation and runoff in the WRB

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hydrological variables</th>
<th>Model of marginal distribution</th>
<th>RMSE</th>
<th>AIC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Monthly precipitation</td>
<td>Gev</td>
<td>0.048</td>
<td>−1611.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Logn</td>
<td>0.061</td>
<td>−1485.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gam</td>
<td>0.028</td>
<td>−1906.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monthly runoff</td>
<td>Gev</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td>−2138.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Logn</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>−2342.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gam</td>
<td>0.047</td>
<td>−1623.78</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 5 | Comparisons of theoretical cumulative frequency obtained by Archimedean copulas with empirical cumulative frequency.
For example, when annual precipitation was 600 mm, annual runoff yields were approximately 74, 63, and 46 mm, respectively, during 1960–1971, 1972–1994, and 1995–2010. The linear regression rates of runoff to precipitation for the three periods are 0.28, 0.23, and 0.15, indicating that runoff increased more pre-1971 than in the post-1994 period with the same change in annual precipitation.

Change in precipitation and runoff before and after the change points of PRR

Annual and intra-annual changes in precipitation and runoff

Several indices show that mean annual runoff in the periods of 1972–1994 and 1995–2010 was 57.25 and 36.03 mm, or 25.78% and 53.29% lower than the 1960–1971 period, respectively (Table 4). By contrast, the precipitation change was smaller, with reductions of 5.32% and 11.23% for the 1972–1994 and 1995–2010 periods, respectively. The variation coefficients of inter-annual (Inter-Cv) runoff and precipitation were not significantly altered, although the inter-annual variability of runoff was higher than that of precipitation. Based on the concentration degree (CD) (Zhang & Qian 2005) and intra-annual variation coefficients (Intra-Cv), there is little change in precipitation but a more obvious change in runoff.

The precipitation in September shows the most dramatic change throughout the three different periods (Figure 9). Maximum runoff occurred in September, September, and October for the 1960–1971, 1972–1994, and 1995–2010 periods, respectively, and the intra-annual change is more uniform in 1995–2010 than either of the previous periods.

Changes in precipitation and runoff characteristics

Duration curves constructed with monthly runoff and precipitation in different periods in the WRB can visualize

---

Table 3  The goodness-of-fit test of Archimedean copulas

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Joint function</th>
<th>RMSE</th>
<th>AIC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Clayton copula</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>−443.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frank copula</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>−440.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gumbel–Hougaard copula</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>−458.14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

Figure 6 | Changes of statistics $Z_t$ estimated by Equation (14) and the dashed lines represent the occurrence time of change point of PRR.
the impacts of rainfall pattern, catchment size, land use, and river engineering through the change in duration curves (Figure 10 and Table 5). The change in monthly runoff declined more than precipitation at various frequencies in different periods in the WRB.

Compared with the duration curve of the 1960–1971 period, the most remarkable change in monthly runoff duration curves occurs at the 95% exceedance probability in 1972–1994. Here, the curve declines by 42.02%, while precipitation increases by 28.71%. Runoff at the 50% and 5%
 exceedance probabilities declined by 30.62% and 13.83% compared with precipitation, which declined by 25.20% and 8.98%, respectively.

Compared with the duration curve for the 1960–1971 period, runoff in 1995–2010 decreased by 53% and 50% for the 5% and 95% exceedance probabilities, respectively; these changes are more significant than the change in precipitation (−13.63%, −33.72%, and 66.34%, respectively).

**What is responsible for the change in PRR?**

The correlation between precipitation and runoff decreased for the 1972–1994 and 1995–2010 periods compared to the 1960–1971 period, and annual runoff yield significantly decreased at the same annual precipitation. Compared with runoff and precipitation from 1960 to 1971, the mean annual runoff declined by 25.78% and 53.29% in 1972–1994 and 1995–2010, respectively, whereas mean annual precipitation declined by only 5.32% and 11.23%, respectively. Although the change in monthly runoff is notable, there was little change in monthly precipitation. The asynchronous changes in precipitation and runoff may be the driving force behind the changes in PRR. Due to the minimal changes in precipitation, it is essential to determine the reason for the changes in runoff. To this end, the climate elasticity of runoff method \( (Liu \& Cui 2014) \) was applied to study the impact of climate changes (i.e., precipitation and PET) and human activities on runoff reduction (Table 6).

Human activities are the dominant factors (84.15%) leading to decreases in runoff for the periods of 1972–1994 and 1995–2010 (84.15% and 57.16%, respectively). Du & Shi

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Index</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Average (mm)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Inter-Cv</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CD (%)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Intra-Cv</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 9** | Monthly runoff and precipitation changes in different periods in the WRB.
Figure 10 | Duration curves of monthly runoff and precipitation in different periods in the WRB.

Table 5 | Characteristics of monthly runoff and precipitation from Figure 10 in different periods in the WRB

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Elements</th>
<th>Characteristic values</th>
<th>Exceedance probabilities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Runoff</td>
<td></td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R (1960–1971)</td>
<td>18.37 mm</td>
<td>4.54 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R (1972–1994)</td>
<td>15.83 mm</td>
<td>3.15 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R (1995–2010)</td>
<td>8.62 mm</td>
<td>2.03 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Precipitation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P (1960–1971)</td>
<td>145.39 mm</td>
<td>40.95 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P (1972–1994)</td>
<td>132.34 mm</td>
<td>30.63 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P (1995–2010)</td>
<td>125.57 mm</td>
<td>27.14 mm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6 | Impacts of climate variability and human activities on runoff reduction by climate elasticity of runoff method in the WRB

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Periods</th>
<th>Runoff (mm)</th>
<th>Precipitation (mm)</th>
<th>PET (mm)</th>
<th>Climate change</th>
<th>Human activities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ΔQc (mm)</td>
<td>Cc</td>
<td>ΔQh (mm)</td>
<td>Ch</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1960–1971</td>
<td>77.13</td>
<td>585.64</td>
<td>851.68</td>
<td>–3.15</td>
<td>15.85%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>–16.73</td>
<td>84.15%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1972–1994</td>
<td>57.25</td>
<td>554.49</td>
<td>830.69</td>
<td>–17.61</td>
<td>42.84%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>–23.49</td>
<td>57.16%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1995–2010</td>
<td>36.03</td>
<td>519.86</td>
<td>870.47</td>
<td>–17.61</td>
<td>42.84%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>–23.49</td>
<td>57.16%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
used the measured and natural runoff in the WRB to determine that human activities accounted for 51.11% of the runoff reduction in 1988–2008 compared to 1956–1987, while climate change accounted for 48.49%. Zhan et al. (2014) combined an elasticity coefficient approach and hydrological model (SIMHYD model) to conclude that the contributions of climate change and human activities to runoff change in 1990–2008 compared with 1958–1989 were 34.1 ~ 47.3% and 52.7 ~ 65.9%, respectively. Chang et al. (2015) used the variable infiltration capacity hydrological model and found that the contribution to runoff changes for the 1970s, 1980s, 1990s, and 2000s from climate change were 36%, 28%, 53%, and 10%, respectively, and 64%, 72%, 47%, and 90%, respectively, from human activities. The results of our study are similar in that human activities were the main factor driving the decrease in runoff in the WRB. Additionally, the contribution of climate changes to the runoff decrease was enhanced in the 1990s. These results show that human activities are the dominant factor influencing the change in PRR. Irrigated areas, water projects, and water and soil conservation are direct causes of the runoff change in the WRB (Du & Shi 2012; Chang et al. 2015).

In the study area, use of the Baojixia (1,948 km²), Jinghuiqu (894 km²), and Fengjiashan (909 km²) irrigation areas has increased gradually since the 1970s, and the large amount of water discharged by irrigation fields has directly resulted in decreased runoff. For example, in the Baojixia irrigation area, the diverted water volume can reach up to 0.60 billion m³ per year (Bi et al. 2013). By the end of 2000, there had been 302 large, medium, and small-scale reservoirs constructed, with a total storage capacity of 2.73 billion m³; these are used primarily for irrigation and industry (Figure 11). Excess reservoirs were constructed in the period of 1970–1975, and these projects have led to the redistribution of inter- and intra-annual runoff in the WRB.

To control water and soil loss, soil conservation projects, such as afforestation, grass-planting, and construction of level terraces and check dams have been implemented in the study area beginning in the 1970s. Zhao et al. (2001) used the soil hydrology method to calculate the effect of water reduction from soil and water conservation. They showed that the mean annual water reduction was 2.85 billion m³ from 1990 to 1996, and was mainly caused by human activities and precipitation (51.5% and 48.5%, respectively).

Yao et al. (2011) found that the El Nino event that occurred in 1994 also resulted in a significant drop in precipitation. After 1994, there were fewer soil and water conservation projects in the WRB, and climate change was
more notable than before. Thus, the role of climate change on runoff is greater than ever.

CONCLUSIONS

Based on monthly runoff and precipitation from 1960 to 2010, the change in PRR was studied using the Archimedean copulas. We identified the change points in the PRR relationship and identified the driving forces behind the change points. The following conclusions were drawn:

1. Some of the decreasing trends in annual runoff and precipitation were significant at the 95% significance level by the MK trend test, and others were not; however, there were minimal changes in annual PET. In the WRB, the change points in the PRR occurred in 1971 and 1994; these dates were used to divide the whole time series into three periods: 1960–1971, 1971–1994, and 1995–2010.

2. The runoff yield was the highest in 1960–1971, followed by 1972–1994, and then 1995–2010 under the same annual precipitation. The inter-annual and intra-annual variations in precipitation were less obvious than for runoff. Comparing the duration curves of different periods showed that the change in monthly runoff declined more than precipitation at various exceedance probabilities. Therefore, it was concluded that the change in PRR was primarily caused by a decline in runoff due to human activities in the WRB.

3. Irrigated areas, water projects, and water and soil conservation efforts directly contributed to changes in runoff in the WRB. Compared with runoff in 1960–1971, human activities account for 84.15% and 57.16% of the runoff reduction in 1972–1994 and 1995–2010, respectively, while climate changes account for 15.85% and 42.84%. It is clear that human activities have played a more dominant role in changing the PRR than climate change.
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