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ABSTRACT
The micro-topography feature of a riverine system is a controlling attribute to induce the change of patterns and magnitudes of hyporheic water exchange. The study aims to determine how hyporheic water exchange is affected by the bank curvatures of test points at meter scale. A one-dimensional heat steady-state transport model was applied to determine patterns and magnitudes of vertical hyporheic water exchange in January and July 2015. The bank curvatures were calculated based on the curvature formula. The results demonstrate that vertical water exchange patterns of all test points were upwards during the two test periods, and the higher vertical fluxes mostly occurred in January 2015. Large curvatures for either sides of convex banks in the two periods resulted in higher vertical water exchange fluxes, and the significantly higher vertical fluxes occurred near the apex of bends. Additionally, a flow pattern from river bank discharging into stream was derived during the campaign in July 2015, and significantly higher fluxes were obtained along the straight bank where more riparian vegetation was adjacent to the bank/water interface. It can be suggested that the bank curvatures and riparian vegetation are considered the crucial attributes influencing hyporheic water exchange.
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INTRODUCTION
Interactions between surface water and groundwater mainly occur in or across the hyporheic zone (HZ), which plays a pivotal role in hydrology discipline (Krause et al. 2009). The HZ is regarded as the saturated transition zone and the active ecotone between groundwater and the surface water body (Cheng et al. 2015). Hyporheic water exchange is the movement of water that infiltrates and flows through the streambed and adjacent aquifer, and returns to the surface water after a short period of residence (Cranswick et al. 2014), influencing and regulating the physical and biochemical transport processes (Revelli et al. 2008; Song et al. 2015b). Hence, accurate estimation of the hyporheic water exchange processes is essential to evaluate the fate and transport of the contaminants as well as the health of aquatic biota in aquifer systems, which is significant for water resources management and aquatic habitat investigations (Wörman et al. 2002; Boano et al. 2007; Datry et al. 2007; Zuo et al. 2014). However, hyporheic flow through the deposition environment is, to some extent, driven by topography features along the river bank or the riverbed (Boano et al. 2006; Cardenas 2009; Stonedahl et al. 2013; Cai et al. 2015), thus augmenting the difficulty of understanding the hyporheic water exchange processes.

The dynamics of hyporheic water exchange are easily caused by different topographic features including riverbed slope and bed forms (Cardenas & Wilson 2006; Boano et al. 2007; Jin et al. 2011), land surface topography (Wörman et al. 2006), and channel bars and meandering...
stream channels (Boano et al. 2007; Stonedahl et al. 2013; Boyraz & Kavezyilmaz-Alhan 2014; Jiang et al. 2015). These topography features can further alter or change the patterns and magnitudes of hyporheic water exchange. It has been shown that topographic features, including a fluvial island, the streambed and the adjacent stream bank are the crucial influencing factors of hyporheic water exchange and biochemical transport processes (Jin et al. 2010; Shope et al. 2012; Gomez-Velez et al. 2015). However, scales and dimensions of topography are the pivotal factors influencing patterns and magnitudes of hyporheic water exchange (Stonedahl et al. 2010; Cranswick & Cook 2015). The relations between different sinuosities along the stream and hyporheic water fluxes in field sites are presented by simulating the morphodynamic evolution of meandering rivers at different scales (Revelli et al. 2008). In addition, either small or large scales of topography between ripples and meanders have some significant influences on hyporheic flow fields and residence time distributions (Stonedahl et al. 2010). Furthermore, the HZ near the apex of bends along the bank at large scale can be maintained well even under gaining or losing conditions (Cardenas 2009). However, for small scale, what hyporheic water exchange is and how the bank curvatures influence hyporheic water exchange are still unknown. In this study, the hyporheic water exchange along the meandering bank at meter scale is investigated and the effects of bank curvatures on hyporheic water exchange are demonstrated.

The hyporheic water exchange can be measured by several methods including head piezometers (Nowinski et al. 2011), seepage meters (Zhu et al. 2015), differential discharge gauging (Lowry et al. 2007), the thermal method (Schmidt et al. 2006; Shope et al. 2012; Anibas et al. 2015) and other methods (Carey & Quinton 2005; Wei et al. 2012; Li et al. 2015). Hatch et al. (2006) presented a table to summarize the characteristics of several methods, and regarded heat as an important natural tracer for identification and quantification of hyporheic water exchange. The thermal method is very effective to evaluate the magnitudes and patterns of hyporheic water exchange, and is fast, adjustable, and relatively inexpensive as well as accurate for temperature measurement. Additionally, water exchange fluxes in the HZ can be inferred quantitatively from convective processes or determined by the temperature gradient profiles of the streambed (Kalbus et al. 2006; Schmidt et al. 2007; Anibas et al. 2011; Naranjo & Turcotte 2013). Numerical models at different spatial scales are increasingly utilized to elucidate the dynamic processes of hyporheic water exchange in controlled scenario simulations (Fleckenstein et al. 2010; Shope et al. 2012; Anibas et al. 2015), and to address the effects of several topography features on hyporheic water exchange (Fanelli & Lautz 2008; Shope et al. 2012; Schmidt et al. 2014; Cranswick & Cook 2015). One-dimensional heat transport model (Suzuki 1960; Stallman 1965) was applied to assess the temporal and spatial distribution of surface water and groundwater interactions at different scales (Anderson 2005; Anibas et al. 2012). On the basis of the steady-state thermal assumption, a one-dimensional heat steady-state model, presented by Schmidt et al. (2006) and validated by Anibas et al. (2009), is able to evaluate the hyporheic water exchange with sufficient temporal and spatial resolution.

Thus, the one-dimensional heat steady-state model is applied to estimate the vertical hyporheic water exchange along the meandering bank at meter scale in the Weihe River, and the bank curvatures are measured as well. The objective of this study is: (1) to illustrate the patterns and magnitudes of vertical hyporheic water exchange at different points along the meandering bank; and (2) to demonstrate the effect of bank curvatures on vertical hyporheic water exchange at meter scale.

STUDY AREA AND METHODS

Study area

The study area (N34°22′28.63″, E108°50′01.70″) is located along the Weihe River, Xi’an City, Shaanxi Province, China (Figure 1). The Weihe River, as the largest tributary of the Yellow River, has a total length of approximately 818 km and a drainage area of about $1.34 \times 10^5$ km$^2$. It originates from Niaoshu Mountain in Gansu Province, and flows into the Yellow River at Tongguan County in Shaanxi Province. The Weihe River basin has an arid and semi-arid climate with an annual average temperature of approximately 13.3°C. The annual rainfall in the river basin is 558–750 mm, with a gradually increasing trend from north
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to south. About 60% of the annual precipitation is concentrated in the flood season of May to September. In addition, the river basin is mostly covered with loose loess, which can result in heavy sediment transport and serious silting in the river channel.

In the study area, the left bank along the flow direction is an erosional bank while the right bank is a depositional bank (Figure 2(a)). During the campaign in January 2015, a total of 18 tests were conducted along the meandering right bank with a length of 180 m, which could be divided into three sections including two convex banks (CB₁ and CB₂) as well as one depositional area (DA) (Figure 3(a) and Table 1). The mean value of water depth from test points was approximately 0.15 m, and the river width ranged from 136 to 160 m. The longitudinal slope was 0.167‰ and the direction of water flow was east-north east (ENE). The meandering bank induced sediment erosion and deposition from flood. The materials in the streambed sediment along CB₁ and CB₂ contained mostly fine sand. The sediment materials along the DA consisted of silt deposition in the upper layer and fine sand and gravel in the lower layer of vertical layers 0.80 m depth.

The practical datasets of micro-topography and temperature along the meandering right bank, including two segmentations of straight bank (SB₁ and SB₂) and one convex bank (CB₃), were collected near the former site in July 2015 (Figure 3(b) and Table 1). Summer and winter in the thermal steady-state investigation are regarded as the two most favorable seasons when the steady temperature distributions are formed in streambed sediment (Schmidt et al. 2006; Anibas et al. 2009). The sediment temperature distributions of different test points could be applied to analyze the influence of bank curvatures on water exchange in the HZ. The riparian vegetation has some significant influence on hyporheic water exchange (Brunke & Gonser 1997; Anibas et al. 2012; Tan et al. 2016). Along the straight bank
segmentations (SB1 and SB2), the riparian vegetation with two areas of $15 \times 15 \, \text{m}^2$ were investigated, which included coverage rates and major floristics of arbor, shrub, and herb adjacent to the bank/water interface (Table 2). The major floristics in the two areas was almost similar, containing Gramineae and Compositae, Oleander as well as Willow. However, the riparian vegetation in SB1 accounted for larger coverage rates, and was significantly closer to the bank/water interface in comparison to the vegetation in SB2.

Table 1 | Evaluations of different sections along the bank in the Weihe River in January and July 2015

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sections</th>
<th>Test points</th>
<th>Ranges of flux (mm d$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Mean flux (mm d$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Standard deviation of flux</th>
<th>Largest curvature (m$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Mean velocity (m/s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CB1</td>
<td>1–9</td>
<td>19.59–78.81</td>
<td>49.15</td>
<td>22.43</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DA</td>
<td>10–12</td>
<td>58.83–81.12</td>
<td>67.81</td>
<td>11.76</td>
<td>$-^a$</td>
<td>0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CB2</td>
<td>13–18</td>
<td>52.91–72.52</td>
<td>52.74</td>
<td>13.27</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SB1</td>
<td>1–5</td>
<td>24.57–27.21</td>
<td>25.89</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SB2</td>
<td>6–9</td>
<td>31.85–34.44</td>
<td>32.40</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CB3</td>
<td>10–17</td>
<td>18.03–27.63</td>
<td>23.30</td>
<td>4.28</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*indicating no data obtained due to uncertainty of changeable bending induced by the depositional processes.

METHODS

Temperature measurement

Along the meandering bank, a 2.0 m manual instrument equipped with seven thermistors at definite depths was pressed into the sediment to monitor the temperatures of seven sediment layers (including 0.00 m, 0.10 m, 0.20 m, 0.30 m, 0.45 m, 0.60 m, 0.80 m) (Figure 2(b)). Once the pipe was inserted into the sediment, the sensors started to work. The temperature signals of different depths in the streambed sediment were transmitted to a data logger with logging and storage functions. In order to eliminate the effects of thermal conduction on the temperatures of different depths, the test was done at least at 15 min intervals for each measurement until the temperature reached a steady state. In addition, to accurately obtain the temperature signals, the device needed to be adjusted and calibrated before and after deployment with an error of $\pm 0.05 \, ^\circ\text{C}$. All test points in the longitudinal flow direction were done at 5–10 m spacing based on the topography along the river bank, and those in the lateral direction were approximately 1.0 m away from the bank/water interface (Figure 3).

Determination of patterns and magnitudes of hyporheic water exchange

Taking into consideration both convection and conductive transports, a one-dimensional heat transport model (Equation (1)) was applied to calculate the magnitudes of water exchange fluxes between surface water and groundwater. The prerequisites are the underlying hypothesis that water flow in the streambed is vertical (e.g., in the $z$ direction).
direction, \( x = 0, y = 0 \) and the materials of the streambed are the natural saturated, porous, homogeneous media (Schmidt et al. 2006):

\[
\frac{\partial T(z)}{\partial t} = \frac{K_{fs}}{\rho c} \nabla^2 T(z) - \frac{\rho f c_l}{\rho c} \nabla (T(z)q_z) = 0
\]

\( \rho c = n \rho f c_l + (1 - n) \rho_s c_s \)

(1)

where \( T(z) \) is the riverbed temperature at depth \( z \) at time \( t \) (°C); \( \rho f c_l, \rho_s c_s, \) and \( \rho c \) are the volumetric heat capacity of fluid, solid, and solid-fluid system (J m\(^{-3}\) k\(^{-1}\)), respectively; \( n \) is porosity of the porous saturated media; \( K_{fs} \) is thermal conductivity of the solid-fluid matrix (J s\(^{-1}\) m\(^{-1}\) k\(^{-1}\)); \( q_z \) is the specific discharge or flow velocity in the vertical (\( z \)) direction (m s\(^{-1}\)). For the sake of convenience, \( q_z \) is generally expressed with the unit mm d\(^{-1}\).

An underlying assumption is that the water flows are required to be upward in the vertical (\( z \)) direction, which is appropriate for the gaining area (e.g., upward flow) in the study site. Under the thermal steady-state conditions, the temperature variations of streambed sediment in the aquifer domain always tend to be constant during the measurement period, equivalent with \( \partial T / \partial t \to 0 \). Thus, it is a good approximation to treat it as zero, and expressed as follows (Anibas et al. 2011):

\[
\frac{\partial T(z)}{\partial t} = \frac{K_{fs}}{\rho c} \nabla^2 T(z) - \frac{\rho f c_l}{\rho c} \nabla (T(z)q_z) = 0
\]

(3)

The upper condition \( T = T_0 \) (°C) for the \( z = 0 \) and the lower boundary condition \( T = T_L \) (°C) for the \( z = L \) are provided (Schmidt et al. 2007) (Figure 4), and the analytical solution is given as follows:

\[
\frac{T(z) - T_L}{T_0 - T_L} = \exp \left( -q_z \frac{\rho f c_l}{K_{fs}} \right)
\]

(4)

Additionally, Equation (4) can be adjusted and rearranged to obtain the following equation (Schmidt et al. 2007):

\[
q_z = -\frac{K_{fs}}{\rho f c_l} \ln \frac{T(z) - T_L}{T_0 - T_L}
\]

(5)

The one-dimensional heat steady-state transport model (Equation (5)) is undertaken using the parameters in January and July 2015 shown in Table 3, which can be directly applied to calculate the magnitudes of vertical hyporheic water exchange fluxes. The heat capacity of water (\( c_f \)) and thermal conductivity (\( K_{fs} \)) are obtained by applying measured sediment and water samples. As well, the upper and lower boundary conditions are also significant (Yuan et al. 2008), and have been determined (Table 3) (Schmidt et al. 2007). \( T_0 \), as the upper boundary condition, is the temperature value at 0.00 m depth (e.g., the interface between stream and streambed sediment). \( T_L \), as the lower boundary condition, is a measured groundwater temperature value of a well close to the stream in the test site. Therefore, the input parameters of the physical properties and boundary conditions during two test periods are determined for the one-dimensional heat steady-state transport model to estimate the magnitudes of vertical hyporheic water exchange (Table 3).

### Table 2 | Riparian vegetation distributions along the straight bank segmentations in July 2015

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sections</th>
<th>SB₁</th>
<th>SB₂</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Test points</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distance (m)*</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>7.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coverage rates (%)</td>
<td>Herb</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Shrub</td>
<td>8.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Arbor</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Major floristics</td>
<td>Herb</td>
<td>Gramineae and Compositae</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Shrub</td>
<td>Oleander</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Arbor</td>
<td>Willow</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Indicating the measured distances from the riparian vegetation boundary to the bank/water interface.
Additionally, on the basis of the upper and lower boundary conditions, the patterns (upward and downward) of hyporheic water exchange can be indicated by fitting the temperature distributions at multiple depths to the fitting curves (Figure 4). The temperatures include the temperature of surface water and streambed sediment, as well as groundwater. When the groundwater flow is upward and discharges into the surface water, the temperature profiles are convex upward with the high discharge fluxes (Figure 4 (c and c1)) and medium discharge fluxes (Figure 4 (b and b1)). Likewise, temperature profiles are concave upward under the circumstances that water flow is downward and recharges into groundwater (Figure 4 (a and a1)).

### Calculation of bank curvatures

For the sake of feasibility, the bank/water interface is determined based on simulated estimation of bending shape by establishing the coordinate system in test sites (Figure 5). The x-axis is the distance from the first test point on the bank along the flow direction, while the y-axis is the perpendicular distance from the test site point to the x-axis. Accordingly, the three convex banks (CB1, CB2, and CB3) are simulated and corresponding fitting mathematical equations are obtained such that:

\[ y_1 = -0.0023x^3 + 0.135x^2 - 1.5693x + 9.8619 \quad (R^2 = 0.9808) \]  
\[ y_2 = -0.0285x^2 + 5.8516x - 286.85 \quad (R^2 = 0.9619) \]  
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**Table 3** | Input parameters for determining the physical properties and boundary conditions for one-dimensional heat steady-state transport model (Equation (5))

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Values (winter)</th>
<th>Values (summer)</th>
<th>Units</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Thermal conductivity, ( K_{fs} )</td>
<td>1.695</td>
<td>1.765</td>
<td>J s(^{-1}) m(^{-1}) k(^{-1})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heat capacity of water, ( c_f )</td>
<td>4,223</td>
<td>4,224</td>
<td>J kg(^{-1}) K(^{-1})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Density of water, ( \rho_f )</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>Kg m(^{-3})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper boundary condition, ( T_{s1}^{a} )</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>27.7</td>
<td>°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower boundary condition, ( T_{sb}^{b} )</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>°C</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Located at the interface (0.00 m) between surface water and streambed.

*Located at 10.5 m depth below the interface between surface water and streambed.
\[ y_3 = 0.0009x^3 - 0.204x^2 + 14.912x - 342.86 \quad (R^2 = 0.9985) \]  

where \( y_1, y_2, y_3 \) represent the fitting mathematical equations for CB1, CB2, and CB3 respectively, practically reflecting the bending shape of the meandering banks (Figure 5). The alluvial meandering banks were investigated taking into account site- and time-specific factors; and only the method obtaining the mathematical equations, but not the actual equations, could be applied at other test sites. Simultaneously on the basis of Equations (6)–(8) as well as curvature formula Equation (9), the curvatures of test points along the banks are calculated. The curvature formula is expressed as follows:

\[ \theta = y''/(1 + y'^2)^{3/2} \]  

where \( \theta \) is the curvatures of different test points along the meandering banks (e.g., \( \theta = 1/r \), \( r \) indicates the curvature radius (Bai 2012)). \( y' \), \( y'' \) are the first derivative and second derivative of \( y \) function, respectively.

According to the variations of bank curvatures, the corresponding test points along the meandering bank were conducted during the two campaigns in January and July 2015. Applying Equations (5) and (9), the effects of curvatures of different test points on vertical hyporheic water exchange are elucidated in detail, which can be used to indicate the driving forces of water exchange in HZ.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Patterns and magnitudes of hyporheic water exchange

According to the analyses of temperature distributions of streambed sediment from in situ tests, the vertical hyporheic water exchange patterns were determined along the banks in January and July 2015 (Figure 6). The temperature profiles in streambed sediment indicated that the patterns of vertical hyporheic water exchange were upward for all test sites during the two test periods (Figure 6). The significant variations of temperature profiles in the sediment domain occurred at the depth of 0.00–0.20 m (Figure 6(a) and 6(b)), which could be an active layer of hyporheic water exchange during the campaign in January 2015. However, the bending degrees of different temperature profiles are proportional to the hyporheic water exchange fluxes (Arriaga & Leap 2004), but do not accurately quantify the water exchange fluxes. On the basis of Equation (5), the magnitudes of vertical water exchange fluxes were estimated to range from 19.59 to 81.12 mm d\(^{-1}\) in January 2015 and from 18.03 mm d\(^{-1}\) to 34.44 mm d\(^{-1}\) in July 2015, respectively (Figures 7(b) and 8(b)). These values were higher.
than the 10 mm d\(^{-1}\) magnitude of upward hyporheic water exchange fluxes reported by Storey et al. (2003) and Schmidt et al. (2006), which further indicates the significant upward hyporheic flow of all test points.

The mean magnitude of hyporheic water exchange from 18 test points in January 2015 was 53.4 mm d\(^{-1}\), which was approximately two times greater than the mean flux of 25.5 mm d\(^{-1}\) from 17 test points in July 2015 (Figures 7(b) and 8(b)). Mostly, the magnitude of vertical hyporheic water exchange for individual test points was higher in January 2015 than that in July 2015, which was analogous to the results of the Aa River in Belgium from Anibas et al. (2011). This might be caused by the significant variation of instream flow along with occurrence of the dry season in winter and wet season in summer. In addition, for every section during the two test periods, standard deviations (Table 1) indicated the different discrete degree of the magnitudes of vertical hyporheic water exchange for all test points. Compared to the straight banks (SB\(_1\) and SB\(_2\)), the larger discrete degree of vertical water exchange fluxes occurred in the convex banks (CB\(_1\), CB\(_2\), and CB\(_3\)) (Table 1). However, in SB\(_1\) and SB\(_2\), the magnitudes of vertical hyporheic water exchange with the low discrete degree were always the same. It may possibly be affected by microtopography along the meandering bank.

**Evaluation of curvatures for the different test points along the bank**

The significant differences of temperature distributions are illustrated in the isothermal diagrams of sectional drawings in Figures 7(a) and 8(a). Moreover, the temperature distribution and vertical water exchange fluxes of streambed sediment near the apex of bends are strikingly varied (Figures 7 and 8). Anibas et al. (2011) indicated temperature distributions were affected by the underlying uneven geometry of river cross-sections due to the curvature in light of isothermal diagrams along the longitudinal cross-section of the river centerline. However, the curvature along the bank, as the driving force of hyporheic water exchange,
should be investigated to determine the influencing extent of integrated sinuosity on patterns and magnitudes of hyporheic water exchange (Boano et al. 2006; Revelli et al. 2008).

Along the meandering banks at meter scale, the curvatures of different test points in January and July 2015 were calculated and applied to analyze their influences on vertical hyporheic water exchange. In particular, the positive correlation of both curvatures and water exchange fluxes is illustrated along the three convex banks (CB1, CB2, and CB3). The correlation coefficients are 0.601 (CB1), 0.755 (CB2, p < 0.05), and 0.733 (CB3, p < 0.05) (Figure 9(a), 9(b), and 9(d)). On account of possible comprehensive effects of curvatures and riparian vegetation adjacent to the bank/water interface, these test points (including 1 and 2 in CB1 and 10 and 17 in CB3) are excluded. Compared with the curvatures of different test points along either side of the convex bank, larger curvatures among the test points were inclined to induce a higher magnitude of vertical hyporheic water exchange fluxes. In terms of every convex bank, the highest magnitude of vertical fluxes occurred in the apex of bends with the greatest value of curvatures (Figure 9), which were significantly higher than the fluxes in other test points along the convex bank (Table 1). In addition, the finding of higher vertical water exchange fluxes occurring near the bends’ apex was similar to the results reported by Cardenas (2009) in the USA.

Due to uncertainty of changeable bending induced by the depositional processes, no available data could be obtained to express curvatures for the DA in January 2015. The DA was gradually formed by a decreasing of stream flow from the upstream CB1 (Figure 3(a)). Comparing mean values of vertical hyporheic water flux of test points in DA with that of other test points along the bank, it could be found that the extent of vertical hyporheic water exchange increased with sediment deposition (Figure 7(b) and Table 1). The sediment materials in the DA consisted of silt deposition of the upper layer and...
fine sand and gravel of the lower layer. It presented a larger heterogeneity within the vertical layer of streambed sediment, which was induced and further magnified by the bank curvatures. This heterogeneity could give rise to the significant additional water exchange fluxes in comparison to the equivalent homogenous media (Cardenas et al. 2007).

Moreover, the integrated meandering river bank, formed by the sediment erosion and deposition, could cause the variation of hydraulic gradient and further generate the different water exchange fluxes (Jiang et al. 2015; Sahin & Çiftçi 2016). In this study, the erosion and deposition locations along the meandering bank at meter scale differed from those in the meandering stream channel at large scales (Malard et al. 2002; Anibas et al. 2011) (Figure 3). This indicated that the small scales of erosion and deposition locations’ variation had a great effect on vertical hyporheic water exchange.

The isothermal diagrams of sectional drawings illustrate the difference of temperature distributions within a depth of 0.00–0.80 m for streambed sediment in test points during the two campaigns (Figures 7(a) and 8(a)). Especially, the greater change of temperature distributions and vertical hyporheic water fluxes occurred at the three convex banks (CB1, CB2, and CB3) (Figures 7(a) and 8(a)). During the winter, the temperature is colder in surface water than in groundwater, whereas generally it is the opposite in summer. The significantly high temperature in winter and low temperature in summer of the test layers in streambed sediment mostly occurred near the bends’ apex with the large curvatures, where the higher hyporheic water exchange occurred (Figures 7 and 8). Meanwhile, along either side of every convex bank, the streambed sediment of test points indicated strikingly regular temperature...
variations of sediment test layers as variations of the bank curvatures (Figures 7 and 8). It demonstrated that the curvatures of test points along the bank could possibly act as a driving force of hyporheic water exchange to affect the temperature distributions and vertical water exchange fluxes in the HZ. Additionally, the obvious high temperature of test layers in the DA indicated high water exchange fluxes as well (Figure 7(a)). The results showed that the spatial heterogeneity of sediment vertical layers easily led to the higher magnitudes of vertical hyporheic water exchange. Accordingly, the curvatures of test points and heterogeneity of sediment vertical layers are considered as the crucially important influencing factors for vertical hyporheic water exchange.

**Identification of riparian vegetation distributions along the bank**

The respective temperature variations of sediment test layers varied little along SB1 and SB2 (Figure 8(a)). On the other hand, the temperatures of test layers were significantly lower along SB2. The curvatures of straight bank segmentations (SB1 and SB2) were regarded as the ideal zero in comparison to the meandering bank (Figure 9(c)). However, the significant different magnitudes of vertical hyporheic water exchange occurred in the two different river bank segmentations. For SB1 or SB2, the respective magnitudes of vertical water exchange for the test points were almost the same by the analysis of the corresponding standard deviations (Table 1), but the much higher magnitudes of vertical hyporheic water exchange occurred significantly in SB2 (Figure 8).

The distances from the bounding of the riparian vegetation region to the bank/water interface, as well as coverage rates and species of arbor, shrub, and herb, are listed in Table 2. Strikingly different distances are demonstrated (Figure 10 and Table 2). In addition, in SB2 riparian vegetation containing more willows was close to the bank/water interface, which accounted for the larger coverage rates compared to SB1 (Figure 10 and Table 2). The same vertical upward water exchange patterns were presented for nine test points along the straight bank in July 2015 (Figure 6(c)). However, the mean magnitude of vertical water exchange fluxes in SB2 was higher than that in SB1 (Table 1), which might have been induced by riparian vegetation distributions in the near-stream system (Williams 1995; Brunke & Gonser 1997; Song et al. 2015a). Tabacchi et al. (2000) explained the transpiration of riparian
vegetation was inclined to induce a lower water table during a certain time period, and then greater penetration of the stream into the bank aquifer as a storage bank, which could be applied to discharge the stream during another time period. Compared to the meandering bank in CB3, which was away from the riparian vegetation regions, the higher vertical water exchange fluxes occurred in the two straight bank segmentations (SB1 and SB2) (Figure 8(b)). Accordingly, the fluxes along the straight bank segmentations could possibly be affected by riparian vegetation with the characteristics of water storage (Tabacchi et al. 2000), and the flow pattern from the river bank discharging into the stream potentially occurred at the study site (Figure 10). Additionally, the magnitudes of vertical water exchange fluxes in SB2 were strikingly higher than in SB1 (Table 1 and Figure 8(b)). The significantly different water exchange fluxes indicated that the water exchange fluxes were mainly affected by the bank storage due to the riparian vegetation (Figures 9(c) and 10). Therefore, on the basis of the upward flow and different vertical water exchange fluxes affected by bank storage, the magnitudes of water exchange fluxes from the river bank discharging into the stream were inferred to be higher in SB2 than in SB1. Besides, the alluvial river bank as shown in Figure 10, acting as a reservoir vessel, can impel water discharge into the stream after a short residence period to maintain an equilibrium state between surface water and groundwater. Consequently, during the campaign in July 2015, the water flow pattern from river bank discharging into stream potentially occurred in the study area, and the river bank segmentations (SB2), with the characteristics of more riparian vegetation adjacent to the bank/water interface, had a larger water discharge capacity in the HZ. Furthermore, the near-stream interactions in the HZ could create a mild habitat for macroinvertebrates and fish by controlling or moderating temperature change (Arrigoni et al. 2008), and are significant in maintaining the river’s state of health (Song et al. 2015a).

In fact, both horizontal and vertical water exchanges are two important components that should be considered. This study focused on vertical hyporheic water exchange. This is a limitation to demonstrating the effect of bank curvatures and riparian vegetation on hyporheic water exchange. The comprehensive effects on hyporheic water exchange

![Figure 10](https://iwaponline.com/hr/article-pdf/48/2/355/365715/nh0480355.pdf)
resulting from bank curvatures and riparian vegetation should be demonstrated in further study.

CONCLUSIONS

In this study, the effect of bank curvatures on hyporheic water exchange are determined and discussed at meter scale along the convex banks and straight bank segmentations. The thermal method was applied to determine the patterns and magnitudes of the vertical hyporheic water exchange along the banks in January and July 2015. The results demonstrate that vertical hyporheic water exchange patterns of all test points were upward during the two test periods, and the higher vertical water exchange fluxes mostly occurred in January 2015.

The two convex banks (CB1 and CB2) in January 2015 and one convex bank (CB3) in July 2015 are fitted by establishing the coordinate system to obtain the three corresponding fitting mathematical equations, respectively. The curvatures of different test points along three convex banks are calculated, and then their influences on vertical hyporheic water exchange are discussed and analyzed at meter scale. By comparing the curvatures of different test points along either side of the convex banks, the larger curvatures easily resulted in higher magnitudes of vertical hyporheic water exchange. Additionally, the higher vertical water exchange fluxes significantly occurred near the apex of bends.

The different temperature distributions and vertical water exchange fluxes of the streambed sediment were presented along two segmentations of straight bank (SB1 and SB2), although these curvatures were regarded as the idealized zero. The higher vertical water exchange fluxes occurred in SB2. Due to larger coverage rates of riparian vegetation adjacent to the bank/water interface, the SB2 site had a larger water discharge capacity in the HZ and was inclined to facilitate the hyporheic flows discharging into the stream to maintain an equilibrium state in the surface–groundwater system.

This study only demonstrates the vertical hyporheic water exchange fluxes. In further study, the other directional flow patterns and magnitudes of hyporheic water exchange should be illustrated as well. It is, therefore, urgent to comprehensively investigate the hyporheic water exchange in all directions along different topographies of the Weihe River based on advanced methodologies.
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