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ABSTRACT

Possible changes in rainfall extremes in Peninsular Malaysia were assessed in this study using an ensemble of four GCMs of CMIP5. The performance of four bias correction methods was compared, and the most suitable method was used for downscaling of GCM simulated daily rainfall to the spatial resolution (0.25°) of APHRODITE rainfall. The multi-model ensemble (MME) mean of the downscaled rainfall was developed using a random forest regression algorithm. The MME projected rainfall for four RCPs were compared with APHRODITE rainfall for the base year (1961–2005) to assess the annual and seasonal changes in eight extreme rainfall indices. The results showed power transformation as the most suitable bias correction method. The maximum changes in most of the annual and seasonal extreme rainfall indices were observed for RCP8.5 in the last part of this century. The maximum increase was observed for 1-day and 5 consecutive days’ rainfall amount for RCP4.5. Spatial distribution of the changes revealed higher increase of the extremes in the northeast region where rainfall extremes are already very high. The increase in rainfall extremes would increase the possibility of frequent hydrological disasters in Peninsular Malaysia.
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INTRODUCTION

Changes in both magnitude and variability of rainfall have been reported in different regions of the world due to global warming induced by climate change (IPCC 2013). Small changes in the mean and variance due to climate change can produce relatively large changes in the probability of extreme events (Shahid et al. 2016; Nashwan et al. 2019d). Therefore, increases in rainfall extremes have been observed in many countries (Nashwan et al. 2019c; Shiru et al. 2019). Assessment of such changes is of importance for developing adaptation strategies to reduce the risks of precipitation extremes. A complete analysis of climate events requires an analysis of both their spatial and temporal extent (Shahid 2009, 2010). Global climate models are the main tools used by the scientific community to reproduce the current climate and project future changes of extreme precipitation events. To facilitate research on climate extremes, the Joint World Meteorological Organization (WMO) Expert Team on Climate Change Detection and Indices (ETCCDI) has defined a set of climate change indices focusing on extremes that can be described from daily temperature and precipitation across different parts of the world (Zhang et al. 2011). These indices have been widely used in detection, attribution and projection of changes in climate extremes (Alexander et al. 2006; Min et al. 2011; Donat et al. 2013; Sillmann et al. 2013a, 2015b; Wen et al. 2013; Zhou et al. 2014; Nashwan & Shahid 2019a, Nashwan et al. 2019b). Sillmann et al. (2013a) compared ETCCDI indices computed from observations and model simulations by the Coupled Model Intercomparison Project phase 5 (CMIP5) and found that CMIP5 models are generally able
to reproduce the historical trend patterns of these extreme climate indices.

One of the major concerns in the simulation of observed climate at the local scale is the reliability of GCMs (Rupp et al. 2015). Due to their coarse spatial resolution and other uncertainties, the projections of GCMs cannot be used at the local scale for projection spatial distribution and extreme precipitation indices (Pour et al. 2014; Ahmed et al. 2015a; Nashwan et al. 2019a). A technique known as downscaling is used for bridging the gap between the higher GCMs resolution and local climate processes. There are two major types of downscaling techniques, statistical downscaling and dynamical downscaling. Due to low computational cost, statistical downscaling methods are preferred over dynamic downscaling (Mpelasoka & Chiew 2009; Lin et al. 2017; Salman et al. 2018). Model output statistics (MOS) and perfect prognosis (PP) are the two main types of statistical downscaling techniques (Maraun et al. 2010; Pour et al. 2018). MOS is often preferred due to its capacity to correct model-inherent errors and biases in GCM simulation (Eden & Widmann 2014; Pour et al. 2018; Chen et al. 2019). In MOS approach, the bias in historical simulation of GCMs are corrected based on observation (Noor et al. 2018). The bias correction parameters are then used to correct the bias in GCM projections for future scenarios (Widmann et al. 2003; Turco et al. 2017).

The performance of a MOS model depends on the bias correction method used (Guo et al. 2019). Considering the complex relationship between GCM simulations and observations, a large number of bias correction methods have been proposed and successfully applied (Gudmundsson et al. 2012; Sachindra et al. 2014; You et al. 2018). It has been reported that the performance of different bias correction methods depends on the nature of climate data (Ahmed et al. 2015b, 2018). Therefore, it is important to select the most suitable method through the evaluation of various bias correction methods (Ines & Hansen 2006; Sharma et al. 2007; Li et al. 2010; Gudmundsson et al. 2012; You et al. 2018).

There is a large number of GCMs developed by various organizations, but the downscaled climate projections of GCMs vary from one another (Scherer & Diffenbaugh 2014; Sa’adi et al. 2017). Jiang et al. (2012) showed that models have certain abilities to simulate both the spatial distribution and trend of extreme precipitation indices, but because of the limitation of coarse spatial resolution and other uncertainties, the simulation results still show many discrepancies. To reduce the uncertainties associated with the downscaled outputs of GCMs, a multi-model ensemble (MME) approach, in which results of selected GCMs are combined for the projection of climate, is commonly used (Lutz et al. 2016; Salman et al. 2018; You et al. 2018). The MME has been widely applied in the evaluation of models and projection of future climate because it can reduce the uncertainty from individual models and shows superior behaviours compared to any single model (Zhang & Yang 2018).

Various methods are in practice for developing MME (Ahmed et al. 2019). Commonly, mean of the selected GCMs is used to develop MME (Pierce et al. 2009). This approach can be justified for global-scale climate assessment; however, it cannot be used at regional scale as the good performing GCMs are given the same weightage as the bad performing GCMs in such an approach (Gleckler et al. 2008; Reichler & Kim 2008). The regression-based MME is also very popular due to its capability to keep the variance in the mean (Steinschneider et al. 2015; Ishizaki et al. 2017; Salman et al. 2018). Data mining-based regression has received attention in recent years due to its ability to capture the nonlinear relationships between the independent and target variable (Sa’adi et al. 2017). Ahmed et al. (2018) used a random forest (RF), a machine learning algorithm, to develop MME of four CMIP5 GCMs and reported that RF can be efficiently used for developing MME. Salman et al. (2018) also developed a single ensemble projection from outputs of different GCMs by using RF regression technique. The studies indicated that a MME developed from a suitable set of GCMs using RF method can efficiently be used for reducing the associated uncertainties in climate projections (Nashwan & Shahid 2019b).

The global change in climate is also affecting Malaysia, especially in terms of the hydrologic cycle. The mean temperature in Malaysia has increased in the range of 0.6 °C to 1.2 °C per 50 years (Paterson et al. 2015). The increase in temperature and variations in rainfall patterns can severely affect the hydrological regimes as well as the quantity of runoff in Malaysia (Sa’adi et al. 2017; Noor et al. 2018). For developing an effective policy to adapt to these climate
variations, it is necessary to analyse and understand the present and possible future climate changes (Batisani & Yarnal 2010; Shahid et al. 2016; Wang et al. 2014, 2017). One of the ways to reduce the vulnerability is to quantify and adapt to these impacts expected to be caused by possible climate change.

The major objective of this work is to use an ensemble of four CMIP5 GCMs of the Fifth Assessment Report (AR5) of IPCC to assess the possible spatial variation in rainfall extremes in Peninsular Malaysia under changing climate scenarios. Due to unavailability of quality and long-term rainfall observation from the network of rain gauge stations in Peninsular Malaysia, the GCM simulated daily rainfall was downscaled based on Asian Precipitation-Highly Resolved Observational Data Integration Towards Evaluation of Water Resources (APHRODITE) rainfall. An RF nonlinear regression algorithm was used to develop an ensemble of the projected rainfall for the period of 2010–2099 separately for all four RCPs. The spatial variation of projected rainfall for three periods, 2010–2039, 2040–2069 and 2070–2099, was finally computed for each RCP. The projected changes in the annual and seasonal indices are summarized using box-and-whisker plots. It is expected that the study would help to understand the possible changes in rainfall extremes in Peninsular Malaysia, resulting in taking policy measures to adapt with the changing pattern of climate.

STUDY AREA AND DATA

Study area

Peninsular Malaysia, situated in the tropics between latitude 1.20° and 6.40° north, and longitude 99.35° and 104.20° east (Figure 1) covers an area of 130,598 km². It has a hot and humid climate which is influenced by monsoon winds, mountainous topography and complex land–sea interactions (Mayowa et al. 2015; Nashwan et al. 2018a; Muhammad et al. 2019). It has two rainy seasons, the northeast (NE) monsoon (November to March) and the southwest (SW) monsoon (May to September) with annual rainfall ranges between 2,000 and 4,000 mm and 150–200 wet days (Shahid et al. 2017; Nashwan et al. 2018b).

Data and sources

The gridded daily rainfall data of APHRODITE v.1 having a spatial resolution of 0.25° × 0.25° for the period 1961–2005 was used in the current study to represent historical observations. APHRODITE has been developed using rain-gauge data of the Global Telecommunication System reports and collections of national-level gauge networks. A number of studies have recognized APHRODITE as the representative rainfall data for Peninsular Malaysia (Ou et al. 2013; Chen et al. 2018b; Khan et al. 2018, 2019). Ou et al. (2013) reported that estimation of extreme rainfall indices using APHRODITE is very similar to that obtained from station-based data. Khan et al. (2018) suggested that APHRODITE can be efficiently used for the projection of rainfall. In another study, Khan et al. (2019) found APHRODITE to be suitable for assessing the spatial patterns of the trends in rainfall extremes of Peninsular Malaysia. APHRODITE has been developed using ground observations and, therefore, used as reference data in many studies (Chen et al. 2018a). Therefore, APHRODITE was used in the present study to represent historical observations of rainfall.

The gridded daily rainfall of GCMs, listed in Table 1, was used for the projection of rainfall extremes of Peninsular Malaysia. Noor et al. (2019) assessed the performance of
23 CMIP5 GCMs based on their ability to replicate APHRODITE daily rainfall in Peninsular Malaysia for the period 1961–2005. They found four GCMs, namely, BCC-CSM1.1(M), CCSM4, CSIRO-Mk3.6.0 and HadGEM2-ES as the most suitable GCMs for the projection of daily rainfall of Peninsular Malaysia. Therefore, those four GCMs are used in the present study for the projection of rainfall extremes in Peninsular Malaysia.

The daily rainfall of the GCMs was obtained from the CMIP5 database of the Fifth Assessment Report of the IPCC (http://www.ipccdata.org/sim/gcm_monthly/AR5/ReferenceArchive.html). They cover the climatic domain of Peninsular Malaysia for the historical (1961–2005) and future projection (2010–2099) under RCP2.6, RCP4.5, RCP6.0 and RCP8.5.

### METHODOLOGY

The main objective of this study is to assess the spatial and temporal variation of the projected future seasonal rainfall extremes (Table 2) of Peninsular Malaysia under different climate scenarios using an ensemble of four GCMs (Table 1).

The following is an outline of the procedure used in this study.

1. The four GCMs’ data (Table 1) were re-gridded spatially to 0.25° × 0.25° to match with APHRODITE spatial resolution.
2. MOS downscaling approach was used for the downscaling of the rainfall of four GCMs for the historical (1961–2005) and future (2010–2099) periods where four different bias correction methods were used.
3. The output of each bias correction methodology was evaluated using the historical period against APHRODITE data to select the most robust bias correction method to be used in correcting the future period.
4. An ensemble of the downscaled GCMs’ projections was generated using RF to reduce the uncertainty in the projection of rainfall.
5. The rainfall extremes (Table 2) were calculated using the ensemble projections of daily rainfall for three different future periods (2010–2039, 2040–2069 and 2070–2099) under different RCP scenarios.
6. The spatial pattern in the temporal changes in the future rainfall extremes during different periods and scenarios based on APHRODITE historical period were plotted and examined.

The methodology for assessing spatial and temporal variation of rainfall extremes is explained step by step as below.

### Development of downscaling model

The MOS approach was used for downscaling of the rainfall of four GCMs to APHRODITE resolution. MOS, a statistical downscaling method, is widely employed, using less computational resources but providing as accurate results as the advanced dynamical downscaling methods. In MOS, the four selected GCMs were interpolated to APHRODITE grid
using inverse distance weighting method (IDW). Next, four widely used bias correction methods were used separately to correct the bias in the historical (1961–2005) GCM simulated rainfall based on APHRODITE data. The bias correction methods tested in this study were scaling, power transformation (PT), generalized quantile mapping (Gen QM) and gamma quantile mapping (Gamma QM). The ‘downscaleR’ package in R was used for the implementation of bias correction methods. The bias correction was done separately for each GCM at each grid point of Peninsular Malaysia. To evaluate the performance of bias correction method, the monthly climatology of mean rainfall and standard deviation of each GCM were calculated and compared with APHRODITE mean and standard deviation. The coefficient of determination ($R^2$) and the normalized root mean square error (NRMSE) were calculated for each downscaled
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**Figure 2** The mean rainfall (left column) and standard deviation (right column) of BCC-CSM1.1 (M), CSIRO-Mk3.6.0, CCSM4, HadGEM2-ES obtained using different bias correction methods. The Y-axis in the left column represents the mean rainfall in millimetres.
output to select the most suitable bias correction method to be used for the correction of bias in GCM projected rainfall for different scenarios. The following is a brief description of the four bias correction methods used in the present study.

**Linear scaling method**

The LS method aims to perfectly match the monthly mean of GCM rainfall with APHRODITE rainfall. It operates based on the differences between observed and GCM rainfall. Rainfall is typically corrected with a multiplier as given below:

\[ P_{\text{cor}, m, d} = P_{\text{raw}, m, d} \times \frac{\mu(P_{\text{obs}, m})}{\mu(P_{\text{raw}, m})} \]  

(1)

where, \( P_{\text{cor}, m, d} \) is corrected GCM rainfall on the \( d^{th} \) day of \( m^{th} \) month, and \( P_{\text{raw}, m, d} \) is the raw GCM rainfall on the \( d^{th} \) day of \( m^{th} \) month. \( \mu \) represents the expectation operator (e.g., \( \mu P_{\text{obs}, m} \) represents the mean value of observed rainfall at given \( m^{th} \) month).

**Power transformation method (PT)**

The PT is a nonlinear method which corrects both mean and variance of rainfall:

\[ P_{\text{cor}} = a \cdot P^b \]  

(2)

where \( P_{\text{cor}} \) is corrected GCM rainfall, \( P \) is raw GCM rainfall, \( a \) and \( b \) are the parameters obtained during the calibration period.

**Gamma quantile mapping (Gamma QM)**

The Gamma QM is a distribution mapping method which adjusts the GCM rainfall such that its statistical distribution matches with APHRODITE rainfall. It constructs a transfer function that transforms GCM rainfall to probabilities via the cumulative distribution function (CDF), and then transforms them back into data values using the inverse CDF (or quantile function) of the APHRODITE distribution. The Gamma QM is a parametric quantile mapping which uses gamma distribution to represent the probability distribution function of GCM precipitation depending on two parameters. The gamma distribution is defined as:

\[ f(x) = \frac{(x/\beta)^{\alpha-1} \exp(-x/\beta)}{\beta \Gamma(x)} \text{ for } x, \alpha, \beta > 0 \]  

(3)

where, \( \alpha \) is the scale parameter, \( \beta \) is the shape parameter and \( \Gamma \) is the gamma function.

**Generalized quantile mapping (Gen QM)**

The Gen QM is also a parametric quantile mapping but using two theoretical distributions, the gamma distribution
and generalized Pareto distribution (GPD). By default, it applies a gamma distribution to values under the threshold given by the 95th percentile and a general Pareto distribution (GPD) to values above the threshold. The density function of the three-parameter GPD is:

\[ f(x) = \frac{1}{\sigma} \left( 1 + \frac{\xi}{\sigma}(x - \mu) \right)^{-\frac{1}{\xi}} \\text{for} \quad x \geq \mu, \quad \sigma > 0, \quad \xi > 0 \]

where, \( \sigma \) is the scale parameter, \( \xi \) the shape parameter and \( \mu \) is the location parameter.

**Multi-model ensemble (MME) projections**

Different GCMs projections have obvious heterogeneity and uncertainties. To reduce the associated uncertainty and improve the accuracy of the projection, the MME was adopted in this study using the downscaled outputs of four GCMs. For this purpose, a nonlinear RF regression technique was applied at all the APHRODITE grid points. The RF is a technique of regression using classification or regression trees (Breiman et al. 1984; Breiman 2001). It starts by sampling different bootstrap data to be used to construct each tree. Then, classification and regression tree method were used to develop unpruned trees using each bootstrap sample. The performance of the forest in predicting the new data was assessed by aggregating the predictions of all trees in the forest. This procedure was repeated with new bootstrap samples until all data are used completely. The RF having the least error is considered as the best model. The performance of the final MME was evaluated using \( R^2 \) and NRMSE.

---

**Figure 4** | The percentage of changes in total precipitation in wet days (TOTP) in future periods, 2010–2039, 2040–2069 and 2070–2099 compared to base year (1961–2005) APHRODITE rainfall during northeast and southwest monsoons for different RCP scenarios.
The developed MME model was then used to project future rainfall during the period 2010–2099 for RCP2.6, RCP4.5, RCP6.0 and RCP8.5. The extreme rainfall indices were calculated for three separate future periods (2010–2039, 2040–2069 and 2070–2099) and the spatial and temporal variations were compared with the historical APHRODITE rainfall data to assess their changes.

RESULTS

Evaluation of bias correction methodologies

The bias in the GCM simulated rainfall was corrected using four bias correction methods, namely, scaling, PT, Gen QM and Gamma QM against APHRODITE for the period 1961–2005. The performance of the bias correction methods was evaluated by comparing the monthly climatology of mean rainfall and standard deviation of the bias-corrected outputs with the APHRODITE data. The bias corrected and APHRODITE mean rainfall and standard deviation of the four GCMs are shown in Figure 2. From Figure 2 it can be found that the PT method is performing well compared to other bias correction approaches used. The $R^2$ and NRMSE in bias-corrected rainfall of each GCM by the four bias correction methods are presented in Table 3. It can be clearly observed from Table 3 that bias-corrected rainfall by PT was the nearest to the optimum $R^2$ and RMSE. Therefore, PT was selected for the correction of bias in GCM simulated rainfall for both historical and future periods.
The bias correction techniques used in this study of MOS downscaling have their inherent advantages and drawbacks. The scaling method can accurately correct the biases in mean rainfall; however, the biases in variance cannot be removed using this approach. Both mean and variance can be corrected using the PT method, but it cannot correct the probability in wet days. The QM method attempts to correct all the statistical moments such as mean, standard deviation, skewness, kurtosis as well as statistical attributes such as extremes (Fang et al. 2015). Different forms of QM, such as generalized QM and Gamma QM have been developed where cumulative distribution functions (CDFs) of GCM simulated historical rainfall and the observed rainfall are equated (Sachindra et al. 2014). The major problem of QM methods is that they often disrupt the temporal sequence. Therefore, none of the bias correction methods can be considered good or bad for downscaling rainfall (Maraun et al. 2010; Sachindra et al. 2014). The appropriate bias correction approach should be selected by comparing the performance of different bias correction techniques.

**Performance evaluation of the MME mean rainfall**

To assess the spatial and temporal changes in rainfall extremes in Peninsular Malaysia, a single MME mean rainfall was developed from the four GCMs’ projected rainfall using RF. The MME mean rainfall was generated for all the four RCPs separately for the period 2010–2099. The performance of the MME model was assessed for the historical period 1961–2005 against APHRODITE rainfall using R² and NRMSE. The obtained results for all the
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grids over the study area are shown in Figure 3. The figure shows high performance of the MME model in terms of both $R^2$ and NRMSE. It can be noticed that the developed MME is able to reduce the uncertainties associated with the individual GCMs sufficiently and thus able to improve the accuracy of rainfall projection. The projected rainfall of the MME model for the period 2010–2099 under RCP2.6, RCP4.5, RCP6.0 and RCP8.5 was used to assess the changes in rainfall extremes for Peninsular Malaysia.

**Changes in projected rainfall extremes**

The spatial variation in annual rainfall was computed by comparing the annual MME projected rainfall with APHRODITE gridded rainfall for all the grids over Peninsular Malaysia for three periods, 2010–2039, 2040–2069 and 2070–2099. The spatial distribution of APHRODITE annual rainfall (1961–2005) for Peninsular Malaysia is shown in Figure 1. The spatial changes in rainfall extremes were evaluated using eight extreme indices defined in Table 2. The changes for continuous dry days (CDD), consecutive wet days (CWD), R20 and TRD were estimated in number of days while EX5D, SDII and TOTP were estimated in percentage. The changes were evaluated by comparing the projected extremes for three periods, 2010–2039, 2040–2069 and 2070–2099 with the same extreme indices estimated using APHRODITE rainfall for the period 1961–2005 for all grids of Peninsular Malaysia. The assessment was performed for two seasons, NE monsoon and SW monsoon, for each RCP scenario.

The spatial distribution of the percentage of variation in the total precipitation in wet days (TOTP) during the NE and SW monsoons for four RCPs is presented in Figure 4. Overall, the variation of TOTP was found in the range between $-7.37\%$.
and 29.45% during both seasons. However, the increase was projected to be higher during SW monsoon than the NE monsoon. During the NE monsoon, the increase in TOTP was observed in the north of the peninsular for all the RCPs up to 25%, while it was found to increase by 29.45% on the west coast during the SW monsoon. A consistent decrease in TOTP was also observed for all RCPs for all the three future periods in the northwest of the study area during the SW monsoon.

Figure 5 represents the percentage of variation in the spatial distribution of the simple daily precipitation intensity (SDII) during the NE and SW monsoons under the four RCPs. A consistent decrease (increase) in the SDII during the NE (SW) monsoon was observed for four RCPs. During the NE monsoon, the variation was in the range of −25% to 10%. The decrease in the SDII was observed in the northeast and the southwest while the increase was observed mostly in the northwest. During the SW monsoon, the per cent of variation for SDII was in the range of −5% to 35%. An increase in SDII was observed in the entire peninsula except for the southern tip where it was found to decrease by −5%.

Percentage of changes in maximum 1-day rainfall (Ex1D) in three future periods and RCP scenarios are shown in Figure 6. For the NE monsoon, a change in Ex1D in the range of −40% to 60% was observed. For the SW monsoon, the variation was in the range of −15% to 50%. The variation was almost the same for both NE and SW monsoons. An increase in Ex1D was observed in the northern region while a decrease was seen in the south and southwest region.

The percentage of changes in the maximum 5-day rainfall is shown in Figure 7. The observed change was in the range of
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-45% to 55% during the NE monsoon, while it was in the range of -25% to 45% during the SW monsoon. The variation in spatial distribution of this index was found to be similar for both NE and SW monsoons. The maximum increase was observed in the north with a decrease in the east for both the seasons.

Changes in the number of days with 20 mm rainfall (R20) is shown in Figure 8. A decrease in R20 was observed in most parts of Peninsular Malaysia while there was an increase in the northern region during the NE monsoon. An increase in R20 was observed for the SW monsoon in most parts of the country. However, a decrease was also observed in some parts in the coastal region in the south and west during the SW monsoon.

Figure 9 shows the variation in CDD in Peninsular Malaysia under different RCPs. During the NE monsoon, the number of CDD was found to decrease in the east and increase in the south. For the SW monsoon, the number of CDD was found to decrease in the north, while increasing in the south. It can be noted that there would be more wet days during the SW monsoon as the number of CDD during the SW monsoon are less as compared to the NE monsoon.

Figure 10 shows the changes in the number of CWD for different future periods and scenarios. The number of CWD was found to increase in the southern region while decreasing in the northern region during the NE monsoon. In the SW monsoon, it was found to increase in the north and northeast, but decrease in the south.
Figure 11 illustrates the changes in total number of rainy days (TRD) in a year in Peninsular Malaysia due to climate change. The TRD was found to increase in most parts of the country during both the seasons, although a decrease was also observed in the south. The patterns of spatial distribution were almost the same during both the seasons. However, a higher variation (−30 to +60 days) was observed during the SW monsoon compared to NE monsoon.

DISCUSSION

A MOS-based statistical downscaling approach was used to downscale the rainfall from selected GCMs. For this purpose, four commonly used bias correction approaches were compared and the most suitable downscaling technique was used to project the rainfall. An MME model was developed to generate a single rainfall projection from the simulations of four GCMs in order to project the spatiotemporal changes in rainfall extremes in Peninsular Malaysia. Finally, the spatial variation of MME projected extreme indices was compared with that estimated using APHRODITE rainfall for the historical period to show the possible changes. The PT was found to be the most suitable downscaling method. It was observed that MME can efficiently project the rainfall. Therefore, the MME projected rainfall was used to assess the variations in the annual extremes for Peninsular Malaysia.

During the NE monsoon, the number of CDD was found to decrease in the east and number of CWD was found to increase in the south, which means there will be more rainy days in the south and east during the NE monsoon. During the SW monsoon, the maximum number of CWD was...
found to increase in the north and northeast, while a decrease in the number of CDD was found in the north, which means the north can have rainier days during the SW monsoon. There may be more wet days during the SW monsoon as the number of CDD during SW monsoon are projected to be less compared to the NE monsoon. For maximum 1-day rainfall, the overall changes in both NE and SW monsoons were similar. The overall increase was observed in the northern region. For maximum 5-day rainfall, the changes were also found to be similar for both NE and SW monsoons. The maximum increase was observed in the northern region. It can be said that more and intense rainfall can happen in the northern part due to climate change. The range of change was found greater during the NE monsoon for both maximum 1-day and maximum 5-day rainfall. For R20, the maximum increase was observed in the northern part during the NE monsoon, while the increase was observed in almost all parts of the country during the SW monsoon. In the case of SDII, more variation was observed during the SW monsoon compared to NE monsoon. The increase in TOTP was observed in the east while a decrease was found in the north of the peninsula. The TRD was found to increase in most parts of the country during both the seasons, although a decrease was also observed in the south. The spatial distribution was found to be almost the same during both the seasons; however, more variation in TRD was observed during the SW monsoon compared to NE monsoon.

**CONCLUSION**

Although both an increase and decrease were observed for different indices, it can be concluded that future seasonal
rainfall will vary for all the periods. The results indicate that both droughts and floods can happen due to changes in climate. During the SW monsoon, there will be more wet days as compared to the NE monsoon. For most of the indices, the maximum change was observed under RCP8.5 and mostly in the last part of this century, except for Ex1D and Ex5D which were found to change more under RCP4.5 for both NE and SW monsoons. The greater variability in rainfall extremes was observed in the northeast region. As compared to other regions, this region is more vulnerable to hydro-climatic disasters. Results indicate that climate change can increase the possibility of hydro-climatic disasters in this part of Peninsular Malaysia.

In this study, we used MOS-based statistical downscaling method; PP method can also be used, and the obtained results can be compared with the MOS method in future. Four GCMs were used to develop a MME for the projection of rainfall extremes, more or less number of other GCMs, chosen using different GCM selection methods, can be developed for using MME. For assessing the changes in rainfall extreme indices, the MME projected rainfall was compared with the APHRODITE rainfall indices. Other types of gridded data products can be used for assessing uncertainty in projection that arises due to the gridded data used.
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