

Attribution analysis of the spatial variations in potential evapotranspiration on the Loess Plateau of China by a total differential equation

Tingting Ning, Wenzhao Liu, Hong Shen and Zhi Li

ABSTRACT

A total differential equation was proposed to assess the driving factors for the spatial variations in potential evapotranspiration (ET_0). Using China's Loess Plateau as an example study area, three transects with distinct ET_0 gradients in space, i.e., northwest–east, northwest–south and northwest–southwest, were chosen to sample spatially varied ET_0 and four climatic variables (solar radiation, actual vapor pressure, wind speed, and mean temperature) at an interval of 10 km. Considered an independent variable, the distance was differentiated to quantify the contribution of each climatic variable to the spatial ET_0 variations along each transect. A significant decrease in solar radiation and an increase in actual vapor pressure were identified as the dominant impact factors that led to a decreased ET_0 in the northwest–east and northwest–south directions, respectively. As another key contributor, the decreasing wind speed induced a decreasing trend in ET_0 from northwest to southwest. The above results implied that the dominant factor(s) for the spatial variations in ET_0 differed among the regions. Therefore, the total differential equation is a powerful approach to determine the driving factors and to quantify their individual contribution to the spatial variations in ET_0 .

Key words | Loess Plateau, potential evapotranspiration, spatial variation, total differential equation

Tingting Ning

Wenzhao Liu (corresponding author)

State Key Laboratory of Soil Erosion and Dryland Farming on the Loess Plateau, Institute of Soil and Water Conservation, Northwest A&F University, Yangling, Shaanxi 712100, China
E-mail: wzliu@ms.iswc.ac.cn

Tingting Ning

Key Laboratory of Ecohydrology of Inland River Basin, Northwest Institute of Eco-Environment and Resources, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Lanzhou 730000, China

Hong Shen

State Key Laboratory of Hydrosience and Engineering, Department of Hydraulic Engineering, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, China

Zhi Li

College of Natural Resources and Environment, Northwest A&F University, Yangling, Shaanxi 712100, China

INTRODUCTION

As one of the major components of the hydrologic cycle, evapotranspiration (ET) drives energy and water exchanges among the hydrosphere, atmosphere, and biosphere (Wang *et al.* 2007). An accurate estimate of ET is important for improving the efficient use of water resources and for planning future water resource management (Gavilan & Castillo-Llanque 2009). However, direct measurement of ET is time-consuming and expensive. Thus, potential evapotranspiration (ET_0) has been widely used in water resource studies and management practices (Li *et al.* 2014) because it can be directly estimated by meteorological data (Allen *et al.* 1998).

doi: 10.2166/nh.2018.189

In recent decades, global air temperature has become increasingly significant. However, ET_0 and pan evaporation have decreased worldwide over the past decades, known as the 'evaporation paradox' phenomenon (Brutsaert & Parlange 1998). Subsequently, attribution analysis has been widely conducted to understand this phenomenon. Three main approaches including the linear stepwise multivariate regression, detrended method, and sensitivity analysis method are commonly used. The linear stepwise multivariate regression method generally considers the major climatic factors as independent variables; a higher correction associated with ET_0 for a certain variable suggests

that the variable has a larger impact on the ET_0 variations (Liu *et al.* 2004, 2015; Shan *et al.* 2015). The steps of the detrended method for quantifying the contributions of a certain climatic variable to the trend of ET_0 include the following: (1) removing the trend from a time-series climatic variable to make it stationary; (2) recalculating ET_0 by using this detrended variable and other climatic variables; (3) the differences between the original ET_0 values and the recalculated ones indicate the influence of this variable imposed upon ET_0 (Xu *et al.* 2006; Liu & Yang 2010). Compared with the former two methods, the total differential method has solid theories, because it calculates the contributions of major variables based on the total differential equation. Specifically, the ET_0 change induced by a certain variable is evaluated by multiplying the long-term trend of the variable with its partial derivative. Further, many studies have demonstrated that the attribution errors induced by this method are relatively small and the sum of the contributions of each variable fit well with the actual trend of ET_0 (Roderick *et al.* 2007; Zheng *et al.* 2009; Liu *et al.* 2011; Ning *et al.* 2016).

However, the above three approaches have rarely been applied to conduct quantitative attribution analysis of the spatial ET_0 variations. Current studies are more likely to focus on qualitative analysis to solve the most related climatic variables that contribute to the spatial distribution of ET_0 ; for example, only by qualitatively analyzing the spatial patterns of ET_0 and related climatic variables, did Li *et al.* (2012) detect the main cause of the lowest ET_0 values in the southwest region and the highest ET_0 values in the northwest region. Furthermore, methods such as clustering or zonality analysis have also been used to assist the attribution study over the spatial variations of ET_0 and other climatic variables. Based on clustering analysis, Guangdong Province in China was divided into four parts by He *et al.* (2015) to calculate the correlation between pan evaporation and certain climatic variables in order to detect the underlying driving factors that cause pan evaporation changes in subregions. The same method was also used to study the spatiotemporal variations of pan evaporation over all of China by Zhang *et al.* (2015). Even though clustering analysis can be regarded as a quantitative method operated on the spatial variations of ET_0 , it is still a temporal analysis that essentially explores the attributes of ET_0 changes in

subregions. The zonality analysis method, building the multiple regression equations for climatic variables with longitude, latitude, and altitude information, can indicate how the climatic variables vary spatially with these geographical factors (Li *et al.* 2016a; Sun & Zhang 2016). Nevertheless, the problem with this method is that it ignores the interaction among the climatic variables.

Therefore, in this study, we extended the total differential method based on the FAO 56 Penman–Monteith formula to conduct an attribution analysis of the spatial ET_0 variations over the Loess Plateau, China. In addition, the attribution results were compared with those of the multiple regression analysis. Three main directions with distinct ET_0 gradients were selected to operate the attribution analysis.

DATA AND METHODS

Study area

The Loess Plateau is located in the upper and middle reaches of the Yellow River in North China (Figure 1), covering a total area of 6.4×10^5 km². Most areas are dominated by the semi-arid and subhumid climate, with decreased precipitation along the southeast–northwest direction, ranging from 200 to 750 mm. Characterized by highly erodible wind-deposited loess soil, sparse vegetation, but heavy rainstorms in the summer, the plateau faces the severe problems of erosion and sedimentation. Several soil and water conservation practices have been implemented since the 1950s. Other human activities, such as coal mining and urbanization, have also seriously altered the local landscapes and hydrological cycle.

Data collection

Daily meteorological data composed of daily maximum and minimum temperature at an elevation of 2 m, atmospheric pressure, wind speed at an elevation of 10 m, mean relative humidity, and sunshine duration were used to calculate the daily ET_0 . They were obtained from the China Meteorological Administration (<http://data.cma.cn/>); the records were collected from 96 stations during the period of 1960–2013. There are a few missing data points that were replenished




Figure 1 | Location of the Loess Plateau and the spatial distribution of the meteorological stations used in this study.

based on the regression relationship with their neighboring station records. DEM (digital elevation model) data were provided by Geospatial Data Cloud of China.

Calculation of potential evapotranspiration

The Penman–Monteith formula, recommended by the FAO (Food and Agriculture Organization) in 1998, was often used to calculate the daily reference evapotranspiration (mm d^{-1}). Reference evapotranspiration is a special case of potential evapotranspiration, because it also describes the maximum evaporative capacity from the surface, and even the surface is defined as a hypothetical grass reference crop with specific characteristics. Thus, reference evapotranspiration was used to represent the potential evapotranspiration in this study, and its specific calculation was as follows:

$$ET_0 = \frac{0.408\Delta(R_n - G) + \gamma(900/(T_{\text{mean}} + 273))U_2(e_s - e_a)}{\Delta + \gamma(1 + 0.34U_2)} \quad (1)$$

where R_n is the net radiation at the crop surface ($\text{MJ m}^{-2} \text{d}^{-1}$); G is soil heat flux density ($\text{MJ m}^{-2} \text{d}^{-1}$); T_{mean} is the mean daily air temperature measured at an elevation of

2 m ($^{\circ}\text{C}$), which is defined as the mean of the daily maximum (T_{max}) and minimum temperatures (T_{min}); U_2 is the wind speed at an elevation of 2 m (m s^{-1}); e_s is the saturation vapor pressure (kPa); e_a is the actual vapor pressure (kPa); Δ is the slope of the vapor pressure curve ($\text{kPa } ^{\circ}\text{C}^{-1}$); and γ is the psychrometric constant ($\text{kPa } ^{\circ}\text{C}^{-1}$).

Spatial interpolation

First, the mean annual ET_0 of each station was calculated. Then, the cokriging method was chosen to spatially interpolate the mean annual ET_0 , U_2 , R_s , T_{mean} , and e_a at a resolution of 1 km. Elevation information extracted from the DEM data was used as a correction factor. The correlation between climatic variables and elevation were described by the crossover-mutation function as:

$$z(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i Z_{ui} + \lambda [y(x) - m_y + m_z] \quad (2)$$

where $z(x)$ and Z_{ui} are the climate data for the unknown point and station i , respectively; $y(x)$ is the elevation data; n is the number of stations; m_y and m_z are the mean elevation and climatic variable values, respectively; and λ_i and λ are the weights.

Table 1 | The cross-validation tests for the mean annual ET_0 and the four climatic factors spatially interpolated by the cokriging method

		ET_0 (mm)	U_2 (m/s)	R_s (MJ/m ²)	T_{mean} (°C)	e_a (kPa)
Not considering elevation	RMSE	9.79	0.22	0.35	1.16	0.012
	RE (%)	10.3	5.5	1.7	12.1	4.2
Considering elevation	RMSE	5.82	0.18	0.28	0.33	0.007
	RE (%)	8.2	4.9	1.3	3.6	2.8

The root mean square error (RMSE) and the relative error (RE) were employed to assess the performance of the cokriging interpolation method (Table 1). The results showed that the cokriging method that considers the elevation information can improve the accuracy of the interpolation for all variables.

Transect sampling for spatial ET_0 variations

Considering the spatial distribution of ET_0 , three transects along different directions were chosen to conduct the attribution analysis of the spatial variation of ET_0 . The ET_0 samples were taken at an interval distance of 10 km along each transect. Then, the mean ET_0 at each point with a 5-km buffering area was extracted to represent the spatial ET_0 variations along the transects. Similar operations were performed on the four variables of U_2 , R_s , T_{mean} , and e_a . Subsequently, the spatial variation sequences for ET_0 and those for the four climatic variables were obtained along the three transects.

Attribution analysis for the spatial ET_0 variations

Total differential method

Following Equation (1), ET_0 is a function of U_2 , R_s , T_{mean} , and e_a ; thus, Equation (1) can be rewritten as:

$$ET_0 = f(U_2, R_s, T_{mean}, e_a) \quad (3)$$

The sensitivity of ET_0 to a particular independent variable x can be calculated as:

$$S(x_i) = \frac{\partial ET_0}{\partial x_i} \times \frac{x_i}{ET_0} \quad (4)$$

The contribution of each variable to the spatial change in ET_0 can be reformulated as:

$$\frac{dET_0}{dl} = \sum \frac{\partial ET_0}{\partial x_i} \frac{dx_i}{dl} = \sum f_i' \frac{dx_i}{dl} \quad (5)$$

where l represents the distance (10 km) of every sample point from its origin.

Combined with Equation (1), Equation (5) can be expressed as:

$$\frac{dET_0}{dl} = \frac{\partial ET_0}{\partial U_2} \frac{dU_2}{dl} + \frac{\partial ET_0}{\partial R_s} \frac{dR_s}{dl} + \frac{\partial ET_0}{\partial T_{mean}} \frac{dT_{mean}}{dl} + \frac{\partial ET_0}{\partial e_a} \frac{de_a}{dl} \quad (6)$$

Equation (6) can be simplified as:

$$L_-(ET_0) = C_-(U_2) + C_-(R_s) + C_-(T_{mean}) + C_-(e_a) \quad (7)$$

where $L_-(ET_0)$ is the variation in ET_0 for a certain transect with a unit of mm/10 km; $C_-(U_2)$, $C_-(R_s)$, $C_-(T_{mean})$, and $C_-(e_a)$ are the contributions of U_2 , R_s , T_{mean} , and e_a to the spatial ET_0 changes, respectively. Furthermore, the relative contributions of each factor to the spatial change in ET_0 for each transect can be calculated as:

$$RC_-(x_i) = \frac{C_-(x_i)}{L_-(ET_0)} \times 100\% \quad (8)$$

Multiple regression analysis

To prove the attribution results of the total differential method, a multiple stepwise regression method was also applied to identify the primary and the leading climatic variables. Using SPSS, a multiple regression analysis was carried out by considering the spatial series of ET_0 as a dependent variable and the four climatic variables (U_2 , R_s , T_{mean} , and e_a) as independent variables. Specifically, the standard regression coefficient served as the basis to determine the contribution of each climatic variable to the ET_0 variation. The larger the standard regression coefficient is, the larger the contribution.

RESULTS

Spatial variations in the mean annual ET_0 and in the other four climatic variables

Figure 2 shows the spatial distribution of mean annual ET_0 over the Loess Plateau for the period 1960–2013. The




Figure 2 | Spatial distribution of the mean annual ET_0 over the Loess Plateau for the period 1960–2013.

mean annual ET_0 was 987.3 mm, ranging from 700 to 1,226 mm. ET_0 distinctly decreased in three directions, i.e., from the northwest to east, south, and southwest of the Loess Plateau. To perform the quantitative attribution analysis for the spatial variations in ET_0 over the Loess Plateau, three transects were set along these three directions, namely, northwest–east, northwest–south, and northwest–southwest. High ET_0 values (more than 1,050 mm/a) were found in the Ordos Plateau and the Ningxia Plain to the northwest and in Sanmenxia to the southeast. The lowest ET_0 values (less than 900 mm/a) were discovered in the west of Liupan Mountain, the southwest and the north of Wutai Mountain, and the northeast of Lvliang Mountain.

The spatial distributions of U_2 , R_s , T_{mean} , and e_a over the Loess Plateau are shown in Figure 3. The wind speed was not substantially varied in space. Except for the regions to the west and to the east, the U_2 values in most of the regions are in the range of 1.5–2.5 m/s. Solar radiation decreased from northwest to southeast, with the highest R_s values




Figure 3 | Spatial distribution of mean annual wind speed, solar radiation, air temperature, and actual vapor pressure over the Loess Plateau for the period 1960–2013.

(more than 16.5 MJ/m^2) found in the northwest and the lowest values (less than 15.0 MJ/m^2) found in the southeast. The spatial distribution of the mean temperature was generally in line with the changes in the actual vapor pressure, decreasing from southeast to northwest.

Spatial changes in the sensitivity of ET_0 to the four climatic variables

The mean annual sensitivity coefficients for wind speed (S_{U_2}), solar radiation (S_{R_s}), mean temperature ($S_{T_{\text{mean}}}$), and actual vapor pressure (S_{e_a}) were calculated for each station, and then they were interpolated to show the spatial pattern (Figure 4). S_{U_2} increased from southeast to northwest in the range of 0.13–0.28, which means a 10% increase in U_2 would result in a larger change in ET_0 in the northwest than in the southeast. Conversely, S_{R_s} decreased from southeast to northwest in the range of 0.25–0.50. The highest values of $S_{T_{\text{mean}}}$ were found

in the southeast (more than 0.6), and then it decreased from southeast to southwest, northwest, and north. The absolute value of S_{e_a} decreased from south to north ranging from -0.75 to -0.34 .

The mean annual sensitivity coefficients for the whole Loess Plateau were the largest for e_a (-0.51), intermediate for R_s and T_{mean} (0.38 and 0.38), and the smallest for U_2 (0.20). These results indicated that a 10% decrease in R_s , T_{mean} , and U_2 would result in a 3.8%, 3.8%, and 2.0% decrease in ET_0 , respectively, while a 10% decrease in e_a would result in a 5.1% increase in ET_0 .

ET_0 attribution analysis along three transects

Along the northwest–east transect

Figure 2 shows that there was a substantial decrease in ET_0 from the Ertuoke Banner in the northwest of the Loess Plateau to Fanzhi County in the east. Figure 5(a) presents how




Figure 4 | Spatial distribution of the mean annual sensitivity coefficients of ET_0 to wind speed, solar radiation, air temperature, and actual vapor pressure over the Loess Plateau for the period 1960–2013.




Figure 5 | Spatial variations in ET_0 along the (a) northwest-east, (b) northwest-south, and (c) northwest-southwest directions.

ET_0 varied with different sample points along the northwest-east transect. Except for the relatively high values captured around Shuozhou County in Shanxi Province,

ET_0 exhibited a clear longitudinal zonality with the change rate of -4.9 mm/10 km from northwest to east. In the same direction, U_2 and e_a increased and R_s and T_{mean}

decreased. Except for the U_2 , trends in the other three climatic variables all were significant ($p < 0.01$) (Table 2).

Equations (5)–(9) were used to quantify the contribution associated with the four individual factors to the spatial variation in ET_0 . The results implied that the downward trend in the mean annual ET_0 along the direction of 39°N was potentially contributed by a decrease in R_s and an increase in e_a , with the contribution values identified as -2.65 and -1.32 mm/10 km, equal to 52.6% and 26.3%, respectively. Lower impacts were calculated for U_2 (5.3%) and T_{mean} (15.8%) on the decreased ET_0 along the northwest–east transect. The attribution results of the multiple regression analysis showed that the contribution of R_s to the ET variation along this transect was largest with a standard regression coefficient of 0.55 ($p < 0.01$), followed by e_a , T_{mean} , and U_2 , which agrees with the results of the total differential method (Table 3). However, the multiple regression analysis could not calculate the specific contribution rate of each variable.

Along the northwest–south transect

The ET_0 variation from northwest to south across the Loess Plateau is shown in Figure 5(b). This transect is at the longitude of 108°E . ET_0 decreased overall from northwest to south at the rate of -4.5 mm/10 km. Along this transect, U_2 and R_s decreased significantly ($p < 0.01$), and their contributions to the decreased ET_0 were calculated as -1.50 and 0.13 mm/10 km, equal to the relative contributions of 34.4% and -3.04% , respectively. In contrast, T_{mean} and e_a showed an increasing trend (significance test: $p < 0.01$); their contributions to the decreased ET_0 were identified as 7.97 and -10.95 mm/10 km, which are equivalent to a

Table 3 | Standard regression coefficients between the changes in ET_0 and the climatic variables for three transects

Transects	U_2	R_s	T_{mean}	e_a
Northwest to east	-0.35^{**}	0.55^{**}	0.45^{**}	-0.52^{**}
Northwest to south	0.37^{**}	-0.03	1.70^{**}	-2.27^{**}
Northwest to southwest	0.47^{**}	0.39^{**}	0.28^{**}	0.22

relative contribution of -183.2% and 251.9% , respectively. In summary, even though an increasing T_{mean} could result in an increase in ET_0 , such a trend might be offset by the function of e_a and U_2 . The attribution results of the multiple regression also proved that e_a was the dominant factor that influenced the ET_0 variation along the northwest–south transect.

It is worth noting that ET_0 strongly decreased from Dingbian County to Wuqi County in the Shaanxi Province at the rate of 15.8 mm/10 km along the northwest–south transect. The decreasing U_2 made the maximum contribution to the decreased ET_0 (58.8%), followed by increasing T_{mean} and e_a (23.2% and 15.7%), and the contribution of decreasing R_s was minimal.

Along the northwest–southwest transect

ET_0 also decreased significantly from northwest to southwest at the rate of -4.0 mm/10 km (Figure 5(c)). Except for e_a , the other three variables all exhibited a decreasing trend, while the four climatic variables all decreased ET_0 in this direction. The maximum relative contribution to the decreased ET_0 along this transect was U_2 , with the values computed as 46.6%, followed by R_s (37.7%) and e_a (15.4%), compared with the minimum percentage of T_{mean}

Table 2 | Trends of the four climatic factors and their (relative) contributions to the trend in ET_0 along the northwest–east and northwest–south transects over the Loess Plateau

		ET_0	U_2	R_s	T_{mean}	e_a	$\varepsilon(\%)$
Northwest to east	Slope	-4.9^{**}	0.006	-0.031^{**}	-0.033^*	0.002^{**}	
	Contributions (mm/10 km)		-0.27	-2.65	-0.80	-1.32	-0.12
	Relative contributions (%)		5.3	52.6	15.8	26.3	-2.4
Northwest to south	Slope	-4.5^{**}	-0.012^{**}	-0.039^{**}	0.072^{**}	0.008^{**}	
	Contributions (mm/10 km)		-1.50	0.13	7.97	-10.95	0.10
	Relative contributions (%)		34.4	-3.04	-183.2	251.9	2.3

Note: * and ** suggest that the trend of a given variable is significant at the level of $p = 0.05$ and $p = 0.01$ by the F test, respectively; ε is the error between the slope of ET_0 and the sum of contributions of each climatic factor to the change in ET_0 . (The same meanings apply to Tables 3 and 4).

Table 4 | Trends of the four climatic factors and their (relative) contributions to the trend in ET_0 along the northwest–southwest transects over the Loess Plateau

		ET_0	U_2	R_s	T_{mean}	e_a	ε (%)
Ertuohe-Lingwu	Slope	−2.9**	−0.021**	0.004*	0.116**	0.011**	
	Contributions (mm/10 km)		−5.71	0.65	4.85	−2.72	−0.01
	Relative contributions (%)		194.6	−22.2	−165.3	92.9	−0.4
Lingwu-Zhongning	Slope	10.6**	0.043**	0.020**	0.049**	−0.001	
	Contributions (mm/10 km)		8.99	1.56	−0.14	0.18	−0.003
	Relative contributions (%)		84.9	14.8	−1.3	1.7	−0.03
Zhongning-Dongxiang	Slope	−9.4**	−0.032**	−0.043**	−0.106**	−0.001*	
	Contributions (mm/10 km)		−2.30	−6.06	−0.89	−0.14	−0.01
	Relative contributions (%)		24.5	64.6	9.5	1.5	−0.05
	Slope	−4.0**	−0.017**	−0.018**	−0.001	0.002**	
Ertuohe-Dongxiang	Contributions (mm/10 km)		−1.86	−1.51	−0.01	−0.62	0.02
	Relative contributions (%)		46.6	37.7	0.31	15.4	0.5

(Table 4). The order of importance of the climatic variables to the ET_0 variation along this transect detected by the sensitivity method agrees with that by the multiple regression method.

Even though ET_0 showed an overall decreasing trend along this direction, it displayed the following regional differences: (1) from Ertuohe Banner to Lingwu County in Ningxia Province, ET_0 decreased only at the rate of -2.9 mm/10 km; (2) from Lingwu to Zhongning County in Ningxia Province, ET_0 increased up to 1,170 mm/a by a rate of 10.6 mm/10 km; (3) from Zhongning to Dongxiang County in Ningxia Province, ET_0 decreased at a rate of -9.4 mm/10 km to only 870 mm per year. U_2 seems to be the dominant factor for the decreasing trend in ET_0 in the first two regions, with 194.6% and 84.9% in the relative contribution; however, U_2 decreased in the first region but increased in the second region. In the third region, the impacts of U_2 on ET_0 were degraded to only 24.5%; ET_0 seems to be strongly associated with R_s (64.6%).

DISCUSSION

The possible impacts of topography and vegetation change on the spatial pattern of ET_0

Due to little precipitation and cloud coverage in the northwest of the Loess Plateau, where the region is dominated by a semi-arid climate (Qian 1991), the actual vapor pressure

tends to be low but high in solar radiation (see Figure 3). This collectively contributes to the high ET_0 in this region. However, the southeastern part of the Loess Plateau is affected by a semi-humid continental monsoon climate, which is jointly controlled by summer monsoon and westerlies (Liang *et al.* 2015; Yan *et al.* 2016); thus, the local precipitation is richer than other regions of the Loess Plateau. As a consequence, the high actual vapor pressure and low solar radiation in the southeast result in relatively low values of ET_0 . Combining the relatively low elevation in the southeast region with a valley terrain (Wu *et al.* 1982), the temperature in this area is high, and it makes a large positive contribution to ET_0 . Furthermore, there are several abrupt points of ET_0 on the three transects in our study (see Figure 4); they are highly related to the variation in terrain. For example, from the Ordos Plateau in the northwest to the loess hilly-gully region in the south (Figure 1), the terrain became more complex and the land surface elevation roughly decreased, which may explain the decrease in wind speed in the loess hilly-gully region (i.e., from Dingbian County to Wuqi County in Figure 5(b)), and finally resulted in a rapid decrease in ET_0 . To further quantify the impacts of topography on the spatial change in ET_0 , the correlation between the mean annual ET_0 and elevation for 96 stations was calculated. The calculation results showed that the determining coefficient was 0.25 ($p < 0.01$), indicating that regional variation in topography would significantly impact the spatial pattern of ET_0 (Figure 6(a)).




Figure 6 | The relationship between mean annual ET_0 and elevation; NDVI for 96 stations.

Moreover, the change in vegetation cover is another potential impact on ET_0 . It was reported that the vegetation cover has been effectively improved in the loess hilly-gully region due to the implementation of the ‘Grain to Green Project’ since 1999 (Zhang *et al.* 2013; Sun *et al.* 2015), which may increase the surface roughness, decrease the wind speed (Vautard *et al.* 2010; Cowie *et al.* 2013) and, finally, impact ET_0 . With the Global Inventory Modeling Studies 3 g database (GIMMS3 g), the mean annual $NDVI$ was calculated from 1982 to 2012, which can reflect the vegetation condition of the whole Loess Plateau. Then, the $NDVI$ value for each station was extracted and its relationship with ET_0 was evaluated. The results showed that ET_0 was significantly related to $NDVI$ ($p < 0.05$), suggesting that the improvement of the vegetation condition would result in the ET_0 change (Figure 6(b)). However, the revegetation project was not carried out for the whole Loess Plateau, and it mainly focused on the hilly-gully region (Xin *et al.* 2008). Thus, the heterogeneity of human interference would influence the spatial pattern of ET_0 .

The possible impacts of the spatial interpolation accuracy on the attribution results

There is no denying that the spatial interpolation accuracy of ET_0 and the four related climatic variables could impact the final imposed uncertainty of our attribution analysis. Here, we will try to discuss this uncertainty. The spatial distribution of these variables generally follows patterns similar

to those of previous studies (Li *et al.* 2012; Li *et al.* 2016b). Thus, it can be determined that the interpolation error in this study is acceptable and it will not influence the global spatial distribution characteristics of climatic variables. Following the same steps of interpolating mean annual values of ET_0 and the four climatic variables, the annual values of these five variables from 1961 to 2012 were first interpolated. Then, their values at each corresponding station were extracted. Finally, the attribution analysis of the extracted ET_0 change was conducted and the results were compared with that of the actual ET_0 for each station (Figure 7). The results showed that the contributions of the interpolated U_2 , R_s , T_{mean} , and e_a variables to ET_0 were similar to those of the four actual variables to ET_0 , with a high determination coefficient R^2 (0.85, 0.88, 0.91, and 0.80). Thus, it can be concluded that the influence of the spatial interpolation error on the attribution result was acceptable.

Other uncertainties

Based on the FAO 56 Penman–Monteith formula, this study used the total differential equation method to quantify the contributions of each impact factor to the spatial ET_0 variation across the Loess Plateau. Although this method is effective in presenting the contribution of a certain climatic variable to the spatial variation in ET_0 , errors exist between the calculated and observed total ET_0 changes. The errors exist in all three transects (see \mathcal{E} values in Tables 1–3). The maximum \mathcal{E} appeared in the direction of 39°N ,




Figure 7 | Comparison between the contributions of the interpolated U_2 , R_s , T_{mean} , and e_a variables to ET_0 and those of the actual four variables to ET_0 at 96 stations.

accounting for 2.4% of the observed ET_0 change. Similar phenomena have been detected in the attribution analysis for the temporal variation of ET_0 (Zheng *et al.* 2009; Liu *et al.* 2011, 2013; Feng *et al.* 2014).

Except for the impact of the interpolation accuracy, several other aspects can also potentially contribute to the errors. First, only a limited number of climatic variables (four in this study) are considered in such kinds of studies; therefore, the ET_0 changes calculated from the limited climatic variables cannot represent those from the climate (Zheng *et al.* 2009). In addition, the errors could come from the assumption of the total differential equation that the climatic variables are independent from each other, which is not true in reality; for example, the increasing temperature would result in a decrease in actual vapor pressure (Liu *et al.* 2011; Liu & Zhang 2013). Furthermore,

the method used for contribution analysis is actually a Taylor expansion. Considering only the first-order approximation to evaluate their contributions would underestimate the calculated total changes in ET_0 .

CONCLUSIONS

In this study, the total differential equation was applied to analyze the contribution of four climatic factors to spatial variation in ET_0 over the Loess Plateau. First, the annual ET_0 records collected from 96 stations in the study area during 1960–2013 were calculated using the FAO 56 Penman–Monteith equation. Second, the annual sensitivity coefficients of ET_0 to U_2 , R_s , T_{mean} , and e_a were calculated for each station. Then, the mean annual ET_0 , four climatic

variables and sensitivity coefficients were mapped using the cokriging interpolation method to show their spatial patterns. Three transects with distinguishable ET_0 gradients were selected and sampled with an interval of 10 km for maps of ET_0 and the four climatic variables. Finally, using distance as an independent variable, the contributions of each climatic variable to the spatial ET_0 variations along the three transects were assessed by the total differential equation method. In addition, the attribution results were compared with those of the multiple regression analysis. The analysis results showed the following: the mean annual ET_0 roughly decreased from northwest to east, south, and southwest over the Loess Plateau. The mean annual sensitivity coefficients for the whole Loess Plateau were largest for e_a , intermediate for R_s and T_{mean} , and smallest for U_2 . The dominant factors that caused ET_0 to decrease from northwest to east and to southwest were the decreasing solar radiation and increasing actual vapor pressure, respectively. As another key contributor, the decreasing wind speed induced a decreasing trend in ET_0 from northwest to southwest. Except for the three directions given in our study, the total differential equation method can also be used for any direction. Compared with the result of the multiple regression analysis, the total differential method can not only obtain the dominant factor but also accurately evaluate the contribution rate of each climatic factor.

Current studies are more likely to focus on qualitative analysis to determine the most related climatic variables that contribute to the spatial distribution of ET_0 . This study provides a quantitative method to conduct the attribution analysis of the spatial ET_0 variations. In addition, this method can also conduct an attribution analysis of the spatial variation in other climatic variables, which should have a clear functional relation with its impact factors.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This study was supported by the National Key Research and Development Program of China (No. 2016YFC0501602), the Opening Fund of State Key Laboratory of Soil Erosion and Dryland Farming on the Loess Plateau (A314021402-1804), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 41571036), and the Public

Welfare Industry (Meteorological) Research Project of China (No. GYHY201506001).

REFERENCES

- Allen, R. G., Pereira, L. S., Raes, D. & Smith, M. 1998 *Crop Evapotranspiration: Guidelines for Computing Crop Water Requirements*. Irrigation and Drainage Paper 56, Food and Agriculture Organization, Rome.
- Brutsaert, W. & Parlange, M. 1998 [Hydrologic cycle explains the evaporation paradox](#). *Nature* **396** (6706), 30–30. doi:10.1038/23845.
- Cowie, S. M., Knippertz, P. & Marsham, J. H. 2013 [Are vegetation-related roughness changes the cause of the recent decrease in dust emission from the Sahel?](#) *Geophysical Research Letters* **40** (9), 1868–1872. doi:10.1002/grl.50273.
- Feng, J., Yan, D. H., Li, C. Z., Yu, F. L. & Zhang, C. 2014 [Assessing the impact of climatic factors on potential evapotranspiration in droughts in North China](#). *Quaternary International* **336** (12), 6–12. doi:10.1016/j.quaint.2013.06.011.
- Gavilan, P. & Castillo-Llanque, F. 2009 [Estimating reference evapotranspiration with atmometers in a semiarid environment](#). *Agricultural Water Management* **96** (3), 465–472. doi:10.1016/j.agwat.2008.09.011.
- He, Y., Lin, K., Chen, X., Ye, C. & Cheng, L. 2015 [Classification-based spatiotemporal variations of pan evaporation across the Guangdong Province, South China](#). *Water Resources Management* **29**, 901–912. doi:10.1007/s11269-014-0850-5.
- Li, Z., Zheng, F. L. & Liu, W. Z. 2012 [Spatiotemporal characteristics of reference evapotranspiration during 1961–2009 and its projected changes during 2011–2099 on the Loess Plateau of China](#). *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology* **154**, 147–155. doi:10.1016/j.agrformet.2011.10.019.
- Li, Z., Chen, Y. N., Yang, J. & Wang, Y. 2014 [Potential evapotranspiration and its attribution over the past 50 years in the arid region of Northwest China](#). *Hydrological Processes* **28** (3), 1025–1031. doi:10.1002/hyp.9643.
- Li, Q., Yang, M. X., Wan, G. N. & Wang, X. J. 2016a [Spatial and temporal precipitation variability in the source region of the Yellow River](#). *Environmental Earth Sciences* **75** (7), 594. doi:10.1007/s12665-016-5583-8.
- Li, Y., Liang, K., Bai, P., Feng, A., Liu, L. & Dong, G. 2016b [The spatiotemporal variation of reference evapotranspiration and the contribution of its climatic factors in the Loess Plateau, China](#). *Environmental Earth Sciences* **75** (4), 1–14. doi:10.1007/s12665-015-5208-7.
- Liang, W., Bai, D., Wang, F. Y., Fu, B. J., Yan, J. P., Wang, S., Yang, Y., Long, D. & Feng, M. 2015 [Quantifying the impacts of climate change and ecological restoration on streamflow changes based on a Budyko hydrological model in China's Loess Plateau](#). *Water Resources Research* **51** (8), 6500–6519. doi:10.1002/2014WR016589.

- Liu, Q. A. & Yang, Z. F. 2010 Quantitative estimation of the impact of climate change on actual evapotranspiration in the Yellow River Basin, China. *Journal of Hydrology* **395** (3–4), 226–234. doi:10.1016/j.jhydrol.2010.10.031.
- Liu, X. & Zhang, D. 2013 Trend analysis of reference evapotranspiration in Northwest China: the roles of changing wind speed and surface air temperature. *Hydrological Processes* **27** (26), 3941–3948. doi:10.1002/hyp.9527.
- Liu, B. H., Xu, M., Henderson, M. & Gong, W. G. 2004 A spatial analysis of pan evaporation trends in China, 1955–2000. *Journal of Geophysical Research-Atmospheres* **109** (D15), D15102. doi:10.1029/2004JD004511.
- Liu, X. M., Luo, Y. Z., Zhang, D., Zhang, M. H. & Liu, C. M. 2011 Recent changes in pan-evaporation dynamics in China. *Geophysical Research Letters* **38**, L13404. doi:10.1029/2011GL047929.
- Liu, X., Zhang, D., Luo, Y. & Liu, C. 2013 Spatial and temporal changes in aridity index in northwest China: 1960 to 2010. *Theoretical and Applied Climatology* **112** (1–2), 307–316. doi:10.1007/s00704-012-0734-7.
- Liu, Y., Liu, B. C., Yang, X. J., Bai, W. & Wang, J. 2015 Relationships between drought disasters and crop production during ENSO episodes across the North China Plain. *Regional Environmental Change* **15** (8), 1689–1701. doi:10.1007/s10113-014-0723-8.
- Ning, T., Li, Z., Liu, W. & Han, X. 2016 Evolution of potential evapotranspiration in the northern Loess Plateau of China: recent trends and climatic drivers. *International Journal of Climatology* **36** (12), 4019–4028. doi:10.1002/joc.4611.
- Qian, L. 1991 *The Climate on the Loess Plateau*. China Meteorological Press, Beijing (in Chinese).
- Roderick, M. L., Rotstayn, L. D., Farquhar, G. D. & Hobbins, M. T. 2007 On the attribution of changing pan evaporation. *Geophysical Research Letters* **34**, L17403. doi:10.1029/2007GL031166.
- Shan, N., Shi, Z., Yang, X., Zhang, X., Guo, H., Zhang, B. & Zhang, Z. 2015 Trends in potential evapotranspiration from 1960 to 2013 for a desertification-prone region of China. *International Journal of Climatology* **36** (10), 3434–3445. doi:10.1002/joc.4566.
- Sun, R. H. & Zhang, B. P. 2016 Topographic effects on spatial pattern of surface air temperature in complex mountain environment. *Environmental Earth Sciences* **75** (7), 621. doi:10.1007/s12665-016-5448-1.
- Sun, W. Y., Song, X. Y., Mu, X. M., Gao, P., Wang, F. & Zhao, G. J. 2015 Spatiotemporal vegetation cover variations associated with climate change and ecological restoration in the Loess Plateau. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology* **209**, 87–99. doi:10.1016/j.agrformet.2015.05.002.
- Vautard, R., Cattiaux, J., Yiou, P., Thepaut, J.-N. & Ciais, P. 2010 Northern Hemisphere atmospheric stilling partly attributed to an increase in surface roughness. *Nature Geoscience* **3** (11), 756–761. doi:10.1038/NGEO979.
- Wang, K., Wang, P., Li, Z., Cribb, M. & Sparrow, M. 2007 A simple method to estimate actual evapotranspiration from a combination of net radiation, vegetation index, and temperature. *Journal of Geophysical Research-Atmospheres* **112** (D15), D15107. doi:10.1029/2006JD008351.
- Wu, X., Lv, G. & Tang, J. 1982 The hydrological characteristics of Sanmanxia-Huayuankou region of Yellow River. *Journal of China Hydrology* **25** (4), 53–57 (in Chinese).
- Xin, Z. B., Xu, J. X. & Zheng, W. 2008 Spatiotemporal variations of vegetation cover on the Chinese Loess Plateau (1981–2006): impacts of climate changes and human activities. *Science in China Series D-Earth Sciences* **51** (1), 67–78. doi:10.1007/s11430-007-0137-2.
- Xu, C. Y., Gong, L. B., Jiang, T., Chen, D. L. & Singh, V. P. 2006 Analysis of spatial distribution and temporal trend of reference evapotranspiration and pan evaporation in Changjiang (Yangtze River) catchment. *Journal of Hydrology* **327** (1–2), 81–93. doi:10.1016/j.jhydrol.2005.11.029.
- Yan, M., Li, F., He, L., Lv, M. & Chen, D. 2016 Effects of summer monsoon and other atmospheric circulation factors on periodicities of runoff in the Middle Huanghe River during 1919–2010. *Scientia Geographica Sinica* **36** (2), 917–925 (in Chinese).
- Zhang, B. Q., Wu, P. T., Zhao, X. N., Wang, Y. B. & Gao, X. D. 2013 Changes in vegetation condition in areas with different gradients (1980–2010) on the Loess Plateau, China. *Environmental Earth Sciences* **68** (8), 2427–2438. doi:10.1007/s12665-012-1927-1.
- Zhang, Q., Qi, T. Y., Li, J. F., Singh, V. P. & Wang, Z. Z. 2015 Spatiotemporal variations of pan evaporation in China during 1960–2005: changing patterns and causes. *International Journal of Climatology* **35** (6), 903–912. doi:10.1002/joc.4025.
- Zheng, H. X., Liu, X. M., Liu, C. M., Dai, X. Q. & Zhu, R. R. 2009 Assessing contributions to panevaporation trends in Haihe River Basin, China. *Journal of Geophysical Research* **114**, D24105. doi:10.1029/2009JD012203.

First received 3 December 2017; accepted in revised form 28 April 2018. Available online 30 May 2018