Erlotinib resistance in EGFR-amplified glioblastoma cells is associated with upregulation of EGFRvIII and PI3Kp110δ
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Background. The treatment efficacy of epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) tyrosine kinase inhibitors like erlotinib has not met expectations for glioblastoma therapy, even for EGFR-overexpressing tumors. We determined possible mechanisms of therapy resistance using the unique BS153 glioblastoma cell line, which has retained amplification of the egfr gene and expression of EGFR variant (v)III.

Methods. Functional effects of erlotinib, gefitinib, and cetuximab on BS153 proliferation, migration, and EGFR-dependent signal transduction were systematically compared in vitro. The tumor-initiating capacity of parental and treatment-resistant BS153 was studied in Naval Medical Research Institute/Foxn1nu mice. Potential mediators of resistance were knocked down using small interfering (si)RNA.

Results. Erlotinib and gefitinib inhibited proliferation and migration of BS153 in a dose-dependent manner, whereas cetuximab had no effect. BS153 developed resistance to erlotinib (BS153resE) but not to gefitinib. Resistance was associated with strong upregulation of EGFRvIII and subsequent activation of the phosphatidylinositol-3-OH kinase (PI3K) pathway in BS153resE and an increased expression of the regulatory 110-kDa delta subunit of PI3K (p110δ). Knockdown of EGFRvIII in BS153resE largely restored sensitivity to erlotinib. Targeting PI3K pharmacologically caused a significant decrease in cell viability, and specifically targeting p110δ by siRNA partially restored erlotinib sensitivity in BS153resE. In vivo, BS153 formed highly invasive tumors with an unusual growth pattern, displaying numerous satellites distant from the initial injection site. Erlotinib resistance led to delayed onset of tumor growth as well as prolonged overall survival of mice without changing tumor morphology.

Conclusions. EGFRvIII can mediate resistance to erlotinib in EGFR-amplified glioblastoma via an increase in PI3Kp110δ. Interfering with PI3Kp110δ can restore sensitivity toward the tyrosine kinase inhibitor.
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Glioblastoma multiforme (GBM) is characterized by a variety of genomic rearrangements and mutations that underlie resistance to conventional chemo- and radiotherapy as well as to novel experimental therapeutic strategies. One common alteration is an amplification of the epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) gene, which is present in 40%–60% of all GBM. Amplification is often associated with expression of the oncogenic variant III of the receptor (EGFRvIII), which lacks exons 2–7 and is constitutively active. Amplification-dependent overexpression of full-length EGFR and EGFRvIII at the protein level contributes to the proliferative and migratory/invasive phenotype of malignant GBM. Therefore, EGFR is considered an attractive therapeutic target.

Targeting of EGFR has been attempted by various approaches, including tyrosine kinase inhibition by small molecules such as erlotinib (Tarceva) or gefitinib (Iressa) and antibodies, including cetuximab (Erbitux). However, clinical trials have been disappointing so far, as they have not proven superior to standard treatment. Potential reasons for treatment failure are multifold and include
insufficient tissue penetration of the tyrosine kinase inhibitor (TKI), insufficient target inhibition, and compensatory activation of EGFR-independent signaling pathways by tumor cells, as well as cellular heterogeneity of EGFR-amplified GBM, as not all cells carry genetic aberrations of the egfr gene.⁹–¹¹

A major obstacle to identifying the exact mechanisms underlying resistance to EGFR-directed therapies has been the sparsity of preclinical models that faithfully recapitulate the in vivo situation. Cells from EGFR-amplified tumors usually lose amplification rapidly in vitro, rendering them unsuitable for research.¹² Therefore, approaches have been used based on forced overexpression of wild-type EGFR (wtEGFR) or EGFRvIII in a non-amplified background, such as the U87MG cell line and subsequent blockade of the artificially overexpressed proteins.¹³ Alternatively, freshly resected patient material can be directly xenografted into immunocompromised rodents, a method that maintains amplification present in the original tumor¹²,¹⁴ but is highly laborious and difficult to standardize. The only relatively well-known glioma-derived, adherent EGFR-amplified cell line is SKMG-3.¹⁵

In the current study, we used a GBM-derived cell line, BS153, which has only recently come to broader scientific attention.⁹,¹⁷ BS153, originally described by Jones et al,¹⁸ is highly amplified for the egfr gene (~50-fold), expresses EGFRvIII, and grows as a monolayer in the presence of serum. Furthermore, we demonstrate BS153 to be tumorigenic in the brains of nude mice. We systematically compared the effects of erlotinib, gefitinib, and cetuximab on EGFR-induced proliferation, signaling, migration, and tumorigenicity, to identify possible mechanisms of resistance to these agents in a bona fide egfr-amplified background. BS153 cells developed resistance to erlotinib but not to gefitinib, while cetuximab failed to show any inhibition of proliferation or migration. Resistance was accompanied by a strong increase of EGFRvIII protein and upregulation of P13K, in particular p110ε. Knockdown of P13Kp110ε inhibited proliferation of erlotinib-resistant cells, suggesting that targeting downstream effectors of EGFRvIII signaling can circumvent EGFRvIII-dependent erlotinib resistance.

**Materials and Methods**

**Chemicals and Antibodies**

Erlotinib, gefitinib, and PX-866 were purchased from LC Laboratories. EGF came from PeproTech. Antibodies recognizing EGFR phosphorylated tyrosine (Tyr)1068, phosphorylated phosphatase and tensin homolog (PTEN)/PTEN, phosphorylated P13K, p110α, p110β, phosphorylated extracellular signal-regulated kinase (ERK)/ERK, and phosphorylated Akt/Akt came from Cell Signaling Technology. The tubulin antibody came from Merck Millipore. The p110β antibody came from Abcam. The antibody recognizing Ki-67 (MIB-1) came from Dako. Cetuximab was a kind gift from ImClone Systems.

**Cell Lines and Cell Culture**

The cell line BS153 was generated by Jones et al from a primary glioblastoma. The cells grew as an adherent monolayer cell culture in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM) supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum (FCS), 2 mM l-glutamine, and 1 mM sodium pyruvate (all from Life Technologies). BS153-resE cells were grown in the presence of erlotinib (10 μg/mL; ~2.5 μM) for at least 20 passages (>6 months). For phosphorylation analysis, cells were serum starved for 4 h and preincubated with inhibitors for 10 min prior to the addition of mitogens as described previously.¹⁹

**EGFR-specific PCR and Small Interfering RNAs**

RNA was extracted using the NucleoSpin RNA XS Kit (Macherey & Nagel). cDNA was amplified with Superscript II (Life Technologies) and used for semiquantitative PCR to detect expression of wtEGFR and EGFRvIII as described previously.¹⁶ For quantitative gene expression analysis of all EGFR transcripts and wtEGFR-specific transcripts, validated TaqMan Gene Expression Assays were purchased (Hs01076088_m1 and Hs01076078_m1, respectively, Life Technologies). Primer/probe detecting EGFRvIII has been described by Rae et al.²⁰ Reactions were performed in a 7500 Fast Real-Time PCR System (Life Technologies). Relative amounts of target mRNAs were normalized to RPL–13A as an internal control. Expression values were calculated according to the delta-delta cycle threshold method. The EGFRvIII-specific small interfering (si)RNA has been described by Yamoutpour et al.²¹ The p110β-specific siRNA was described by Luk et al.²² Cells were transfected using Lipofectamine RNAiMax (Life Technologies) as described previously.¹⁹

**EGFR-specific Fluorescence In situ Hybridization**

Fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) analysis for the egfr gene and centromere of chromosome 7 for glioma cells and xenograft tumors was performed as described previously using a probe derived from Homo sapiens PAC clone RP5–1091E12 (GenBank accession no. AC006977) labeled with Spectrum Orange–deoxyuridine triphosphate (Abbott Molecular), a centromere 7 probe (Spectrum Green), and mounted with Vectashield mounting medium containing 4′,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (Vector Laboratories).
Western Blotting

Western blotting was performed as described previously. Proteins were extracted with 1% Triton in phosphate buffered saline containing 0.01% Na3S and incubated with either EGFR or an isotype-matched control antibody (immunoglobulin IgG2b; 3 μg/mL). For detection of EGFRvIII, cells were incubated with the EGFRIII antibody or an isotype-matched control antibody (IgG1; 3 μg/mL). Bound primary antibody was detected using a secondary phycoerythrin-conjugated anti-mouse IgG (Jackson). Fluorescence was measured on a PAS Particle Analysing System (Partec).

Flow Cytometry

Cells were analyzed by flow cytometry as described previously. In brief, cells were scraped off cell culture dishes in ice cold phosphate buffered saline containing 0.01% NaN3 and incubated with either EGFR,1 or an isotype-specific peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibodies (Jackson ImmunoResearch) and visualized using enhanced chemiluminescence or SuperSignal West Femto substrate (Pierce). Scans of x-ray films were saved as.tif files and quantified by densitometry using ImageJ software (version 1.44p).

Proliferation Assay

Proliferation was assessed in octuplicates as described previously. In brief, cells were seeded on 96-well plates (Nunc). On days 1 and 3, cells were counted for their ATP content using CellTiter-Glo Luminescent Cell Viability Assay (Promega) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Luminescence was recorded using a SpectraFluor Plus luminometer (Tecan).

Migration Analysis

Glioma cell migration in response to EGF was analyzed using a modified Boyden chamber chemotaxis assay as described. Cells were preincubated with inhibitors for 10 min prior to analysis. After 5 h of incubation at 37°C/5% CO2, migrated cells were stained as described and counted in 10 high-power fields using a 40× objective with a calibrated ocular grid.

Soft Agar Colony Formation Assay

The assay was performed as described previously. Cells were treated with erlotinib (10 μg/mL) in full medium. Fresh full medium with or without erlotinib was added every second day. After incubation for 7 d, cells were stained with 5% crystal violet for 90 min at 37°C and analyzed by bright field microscopy.

In vivo Tumorigenicity

All animal experiments were approved by the local authority in Hamburg (Behörde für Soziales, Familie, Gesundheit und Verbraucherschutz) and were conducted according to the institution’s guidelines for animal husbandry. Cells were washed twice (DMEM without additives). Anesthetized 8-week-old Naval Medical Research Institute (NMRI)/Foxn1nu mice (Harlan) were each injected with 1.5 × 106 cells/4 μL of DMEM without supplements into the right caudate/putamen as described previously. Mice were sacrificed when they either lost more than 10% body weight or developed neurological symptoms. Following resection, brains were fixed in 10% formalin and embedded in paraffin.

Immunohistochemistry

Paraffin-embedded tissue was analyzed as described previously. Four-micrometer sections were stained with hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) for assessing tumor burden. Antigens were recovered by heat-induced antigen retrieval (total EGFR, EGFRvIII, MIB-1).

Methods of Data Analysis

Statistical survival comparisons were carried out with the MedCalc program (Kaplan–Meier, log-rank test). Comparisons of cell proliferation and migration were carried out using the unpaired t-test for normally distributed samples. Differences were considered statistically significant at P < .05 (*) and as highly significant at P < .005 (**). Error bars represent SDs of a minimum of quadruplicates.

Results

Erlotinib and Gefitinib Inhibit Proliferation and Migration of EGFR-amplified BS153 Cells In vitro

To systematically compare the effect of erlotinib, gefitinib, and cetuximab on GBM-derived, natively egfr-amplified cells in vitro, we first treated BS153 glioma cells with increasing concentrations of the drugs and assessed proliferation. As expected, erlotinib (Fig. 1A) and gefitinib (Fig. 1B) were able to reduce proliferation of BS153 significantly in a concentration-dependent manner within 72 h of treatment, in either the absence or presence of EGF (20 nM; Supplementary Fig. S1). For gefitinib, lower concentrations sufficed to inhibit proliferation (>50% reduction after 72 h at 1 μg/mL, P < .005, t-test; Fig. 1B) compared with erlotinib (>50% reduction after 72 h at 5 μg/mL, P < .005; Fig. 1A). After 6 days, gefitinib had killed most cells at concentrations of 5 or 10 μg/mL (<2% viable cells detectable by trypan blue staining; data not shown), while erlotinib-treated cells still proliferated even at 10 μg/mL (~25 μM). Notably, BS153 reproducibly showed increased proliferation at 0.5 μg/mL erlotinib when compared with untreated cells (day 6, P < .005; Fig. 1A), suggesting that adaptive mechanisms...
allowed BS153 proliferation even in the presence of erlotinib, which was not observed in gefitinib-treated cells. Strikingly, cetuximab had almost no influence on proliferation after 72 h but consistently stimulated proliferation after 6 days at all concentrations tested (Fig. 1C).

A key pathological characteristic of glioblastomas is their invasive phenotype, making complete surgical resection impossible. Therefore, an effective drug to treat GBM should ideally target migration as well as proliferation. To assess the impact of erlotinib, gefitinib, and cetuximab on the migratory capacity of BS153, we performed modified Boyden chamber assays (Fig. 1D). Erlotinib and gefitinib (10 μg/mL) inhibited migration significantly in the absence and presence of EGF, with gefitinib being more potent than erlotinib at equimolar concentrations (65% ± 1 vs. 43% ± 5, respectively, without EGF; P < .005). Cetuximab, in contrast, induced migration at concentrations from 0.5 to 10 μg/mL (30% ± 5 at 10 μg/mL, P < .005; Fig. 1D and data not shown). Stimulation of BS153 with EGF in the presence of cetuximab yielded similar results as did stimulation of BS153 with EGF alone. These findings indicate that cetuximab...
has a partially agonistic effect and does not sufficiently block EGF binding to prevent the activation of EGFR.

**Differing Signaling in BS153 in Response to EGFR Inhibition by Erlotinib, Gefitinib, and Cetuximab**

We next examined key signaling components of EGF-induced signal transduction in the absence or presence of erlotinib, gefitinib, and cetuximab by western blot (Fig. 2). Erlotinib as well as gefitinib were able to abolish EGFR autophosphorylation and EGF-induced activation at Tyr1068 (Fig. 2A and quantification in Fig. 2B) and Tyr1173 (not shown) for wtEGFR at concentrations ranging from 0.5 μg/mL (≏1.25 μM; data not shown) to 10 μg/mL (≏25 μM; Fig. 2A), but we detected little influence on EGFRvIII autophosphorylation. Phosphorylation of Akt, a mediator of PI3K signaling, was nearly absent in the presence of gefitinib as a consequence of EGFR inhibition, whereas erlotinib caused only a small reduction of Akt activity. Conversely, erlotinib inhibited Ras-dependent signal transduction via ERK to a greater extent than gefitinib did. No suppression of EGFR phosphorylation was detectable when the cells were treated with cetuximab. Paradoxically, an increase in EGFR phosphorylation and subsequent downstream activation of Akt in response to cetuximab was observed, while ERK remained relatively unaffected. In the presence of EGF, ERK was phosphorylated, again indicating insufficient inhibition of EGF binding to EGFR by cetuximab and/or a partially agonistic effect of cetuximab on BS153. Similar signaling patterns were observed for transforming growth factor-α, another important EGFR ligand in the brain (data not shown).

**Long-term Exposure of BS153 to Erlotinib Leads to a Resistant Phenotype, Whereas Exposure to Gefitinib Does Not**

The aforementioned data indicate that both TKIs inhibit cell growth significantly, but gefitinib has a more pronounced effect than erlotinib, which is associated with considerably stronger inhibition of Akt activation by gefitinib. To investigate whether growth inhibition by both TKIs was a temporary or a permanent effect, we continuously treated BS153 cells with increasing concentrations of either inhibitor up to 10 μg/mL (≏25 μM). After a
drawn from BS153resE, a slight increase in proliferations of BS153resE, a slight increase in proliferations prolonged exposure. Notably, when erlotinib was with-
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transient decrease in cell number, continuous incubation of BS153 with erlotinib at concentrations as high as 10 μg/mL produced an erlotinib-tolerant cell line (BS153resE; Fig. 3) that survived drug treatment and expanded persistently in the presence of the TKI, with a calculated doubling rate of 44 h versus a doubling rate of 48 h for the parental BS153. In contrast, we could not establish a gefitinib-resistant cell line using any concentrations tested, even as low as 0.5 μg/mL. Interestingly, BS153resE was highly sensitive to gefitinib (Fig. 3A), which eventually killed the erlotinib-resistant cells after prolonged exposure. Notably, when erlotinib was withdrawn from BS153resE, a slight increase in proliferations was detected, probably due to regained wtEGFR activity in the absence of the inhibitor. Since gefitinib has been effective in non–small cell lung cancers with activating mutations in the EGFR tyrosine kinase domain, we sequenced exon 18–21 of the EGFR gene as well as full-
length EGFRvIII-cDNA in resistant and parental BS153, and displayed no substantial alteration of cellular morphology (Supplementary Fig. S3C). However, we detected decreased mRNA levels for wtEGFR by semi-quantitative and quantitative PCR, while the mRNA coding for EGFRvIII did not change significantly (Fig. 4A and B). At the protein level, EGFRvIII was expressed in only a subpopulation of wtEGFR-positive cells in parental BS153, as indicated by flow cytometry (Fig. 4C) and western blot of cells sorted by magnetic activated cell sorting (Supplementary Fig. S3D). The number of EGFRvIII-positive cells analyzed by flow cytometry increased from 8.3% ± 1.3 in BS153 to 52.9% ± 1.9 in BS153resE (6.6–fold; Fig. 4C), accompanied by an almost 2-fold decrease in wtEGFR-positive cells in BS153resE (Fig. 4C). Additionally, expression of EGFRvIII protein, as measured by mean fluorescence intensity (MFI), increased 3.7–fold from 19.8 ± 1.7 in BS153 to 72.2 ± 3.8 in BS153resE while the MFI for wtEGFR decreased from 88.4 ± 1.4 in BS153 to 38.7 ± 3.5 in BS153resE (2.3-fold). Increased EGFRvIII protein expression in BS153resE could also be confirmed by western blot analysis (Fig. 4D). The discrepancy between unchanged mRNA levels for EGFRvIII and strongly increased cell surface expression may be due to either translational regulation or decreased turnover of the mutant receptor. Importantly, upregulation of EGFRvIII occurred only after prolonged exposure of BS153 to erlotinib (>12 passages) and not as an immediate response to acute erlotinib exposure (ie, 3–6 days; Supplementary Fig. S3E).

As a possible consequence of deregulated EGFR signaling, phosphorylation of the catalytic p110 subunit of PI3K, a key mediator of EGFR signaling, was increased in BS153resE, as seen by western blot (Fig. 4D). For the p110 subunit, 4 different isoforms with different tissue distributions are known (designated α, β, γ, and δ), we performed isoform-specific western blots. Interestingly, we detected a strong increase of PI3Kp110δ protein and mRNA in BS153resE (Fig. 4D and Supplementary Fig. S3F). P110δ is usually not expressed in the brain but in the hematopoietic system26,27 and has only recently been linked to glioma cell migration and invasion.22 Increased expression of p110δ is also detected in only BS153resE and not in acutely treated BS153 (Supplementary Fig. S3E). Additionally, a slight but consistent decrease in PTEN protein, an important negative regulator of EGFR signaling, was detectable in BS153resE by western blot analysis (Fig. 4E). Taken together, BS153resE cells displayed increased expression of EGFRvIII in comparison with parental BS153, which was associated with higher activity of PI3K, in particular due to increased expression of p110δ.

**Resistance to Erlotinib Is Associated With Enhanced Expression of EGFRvIII Protein and PI3K Activity**

We next analyzed the underlying molecular changes mediating tolerance to high doses of erlotinib in BS153resE. Compared with parental BS153, BS153resE displayed an unaltered egfr copy number as determined by quantitative PCR (38.3 ± 6.1 for BS153 vs 39.5 ± 5.0 for BS153resE; Supplementary Fig. S3A) and by FISH analysis (45.9 ± 8.5 vs 41.4 ± 6.5, respectively; Supplementary Fig. S3B) and displayed no substantial alteration of cellular morphology (Supplementary Fig. S3C). However, we detected decreased mRNA levels for wtEGFR by semi-quantitative and quantitative PCR, while the mRNA coding for EGFRvIII did not change significantly (Fig. 4A and B). At the protein level, EGFRvIII was expressed in only a subpopulation of wtEGFR-positive cells in parental BS153, as indicated by flow cytometry (Fig. 4C) and western blot of cells sorted by magnetic activated cell sorting (Supplementary Fig. S3D). The number of EGFRvIII-positive cells analyzed by flow cytometry increased from 8.3% ± 1.3 in BS153 to 52.9% ± 1.9 in BS153resE (6.6–fold; Fig. 4C), accompanied by an almost 2-fold decrease in wtEGFR-positive cells in BS153resE (Fig. 4C). Additionally, expression of EGFRvIII protein, as measured by mean fluorescence intensity (MFI), increased 3.7–fold from 19.8 ± 1.7 in BS153 to 72.2 ± 3.8 in BS153resE while the MFI for wtEGFR decreased from 88.4 ± 1.4 in BS153 to 38.7 ± 3.5 in BS153resE (2.3-fold). Increased EGFRvIII protein expression in BS153resE could also be confirmed by western blot analysis (Fig. 4D). The discrepancy between unchanged mRNA levels for EGFRvIII and strongly increased cell surface expression may be due to either translational regulation or decreased turnover of the mutant receptor. Importantly, upregulation of EGFRvIII occurred only after prolonged exposure of BS153 to erlotinib (>12 passages) and not as an immediate response to acute erlotinib exposure (ie, 3–6 days; Supplementary Fig. S3E).

As a possible consequence of deregulated EGFR signaling, phosphorylation of the catalytic p110 subunit of PI3K, a key mediator of EGFR signaling, was increased in BS153resE, as seen by western blot (Fig. 4D). Since for the p110 subunit, 4 different isoforms with different tissue distributions are known (designated α, β, γ, and δ), we performed isoform-specific western blots. Interestingly, we detected a strong increase of PI3Kp110δ protein and mRNA in BS153resE (Fig. 4D and Supplementary Fig. S3F). P110δ is usually not expressed in the brain but in the hematopoietic system26,27 and has only recently been linked to glioma cell migration and invasion.22 Increased expression of p110δ is also detected in only BS153resE and not in acutely treated BS153 (Supplementary Fig. S3E). Additionally, a slight but consistent decrease in PTEN protein, an important negative regulator of EGFR signaling, was detectable in BS153resE by western blot analysis (Fig. 4E). Taken together, BS153resE cells displayed increased expression of EGFRvIII in comparison with parental BS153, which was associated with higher activity of PI3K, in particular due to increased expression of p110δ.

**BS153resE Exhibits Delayed Spheroid Growth In Vitro and Retarded Tumor Growth In Vivo**

To determine the tumor-initiating capacity of BS153resE, we first analyzed the anchorage-independent growth of BS153 and BS153resE in a colony formation assay.
(Fig. 5A). BS153 readily formed spheroids in soft agar after 48 h of incubation, while erlotinib-treated BS153 started to form spheroids as late as 7 days after seeding. Unexpectedly, BS153resE spheroid formation was compromised in the presence and in the absence of erlotinib, indicating that erlotinib induced permanent changes in BS153resE, resulting in a less aggressive phenotype.

To further validate these findings, BS153 and BS153resE were implanted intracranially into nude mice. Animals carrying BS153 xenografts developed tumor-related symptoms significantly earlier than did animals carrying BS153resE (61 d vs 78 d, \( P = .0194 \), log-rank test; Fig. 5B). Additionally, animals with BS153 tumors sacrificed 4 weeks after cell implantation already displayed...
clear tumor formation (Supplementary Fig. S3), whereas animals implanted with BS153<sub>resE</sub> showed no pathology at this point (not shown), confirming the in vitro observations. Interestingly, BS153 grew as tumors with solid areas as well as prominent invasive features (Fig. 5C), recapitulating a major hallmark of GBM histopathology. Despite their delayed onset, tumors of BS153<sub>resE</sub> cells resembled BS153 tumors closely and exhibited identical histopathological features, while BS153<sub>resE</sub> exhibited no difference in proliferation relative to tumors from BS153 as indicated by MIB–1 staining (Fig. 5C). Importantly, the molecular characteristics observed for BS153 and BS153<sub>resE</sub> cells in vitro were preserved in vivo. At the genomic level, amplification of the egfr gene was preserved, as FISH analysis of paraffin-embedded tissue showed (Fig. 5C). At the protein level, high expression of total EGFR was confirmed for BS153 and BS153<sub>resE</sub> by immunohistochemistry. In particular, the upregulation of EGFRvIII detected in vitro in BS153<sub>resE</sub> was maintained in BS153<sub>resE</sub> xenograft tumors, whereas only a few cells expressed EGFRvIII in BS153-derived tumors.

EGFRvIII Is Essential for Resistance to Erlotinib, and Resistant Cells Are Sensitive to Inhibition of Downstream Mediators of EGFR Signaling

To substantiate the role of EGFRvIII for the continued proliferation of BS153<sub>resE</sub> in the presence of erlotinib, we performed siRNA knockdown in BS153 and BS153<sub>resE</sub> (Supplementary Fig. S5).<sup>21</sup> Knockdown of EGFRvIII in the absence of erlotinib reduced proliferation of BS153<sub>resE</sub> by 25% (P < .005; Fig. 6A). More importantly, EGFRvIII knockdown resensitized BS153<sub>resE</sub> to erlotinib
and reduced proliferation by almost 50% relative to control cells (P < .005). These data indicate a central role for EGFRvIII in BS153resE proliferation, as well as for their tolerance for high doses of erlotinib. Notably, knockdown of EGFRvIII in parental BS153, although significantly inhibiting proliferation (P < .05), did not increase sensitivity to erlotinib upon acute treatment with the TKI.

The analysis of downstream signaling events in response to EGFRvIII knockdown revealed a decrease in PI3K, Akt, and ERK phosphorylation in BS153resE (Fig. 6B) without changes in overall protein levels. We therefore hypothesized that interfering with PI3K signaling should effectively target BS153resE. We treated BS153 as well as BS153resE with PX–866, a Wortmannin-derived PI3Kp110 inhibitor directed against all 4 isoforms of p110 that is currently being tested in a phase II clinical trial for the treatment of recurrent GBM.29 PX–866 inhibited BS153resE proliferation significantly more strongly than proliferation of BS153 at all concentrations tested (P < .005, Fig. 6C). Additionally, BS153 responded to PX–866 only at concentrations above 1 μM, pointing to a pivotal role for PI3K activity in BS153resE. Since BS153resE displayed a strong upregulation of p110δ (Fig. 4F), we tested whether specifically interfereing with this p110 isoform using siRNA would lead to results similar to those obtained by interfering with all 110 isoforms (Supplementary Fig. S6).22 Both BS153 and BS153resE proliferated significantly more slowly when transfected with p110δ siRNA, yet the effect was more pronounced in BS153resE (Fig. 6D). Knockdown of p110δ combined with erlotinib treatment of BS153 did not show cumulative effects on proliferation compared with erlotinib treatment alone. However, p110δ knockdown resensitized BS153resE to erlotinib in a fashion similar to EGFRvIII knockdown, indicating the crucial role of p110δ in mediating EGFRvIII-dependent erlotinib resistance in BS153resE.

Discussion

The significance of EGFR amplification and overexpression for glioblastoma biology and the relevance for choice of treatment is still unclear despite enormous
research efforts. Recently, we were able to demonstrate that the effects of natural EGFR overexpression differ considerably from those described for engineered overexpression, indicating that results obtained from artificial model systems might not be representative of original tumors. Since controversy exists regarding the efficacy of EGFR-directed therapies in the context of EGFR amplification, we used BS153, a GBM-derived, egfr-amplified, EGFRvIII-positive cell line, to systematically compare the functional effects of erlotinib, gefitinib, and cetuximab.

We found that erlotinib and gefitinib inhibited phosphorylation of wtEGFR and thereby proliferation and migration of BS153, with gefitinib being more potent than erlotinib. This was accompanied by effective inhibition of Akt phosphorylation by gefitinib, while Akt inhibition by erlotinib was less efficient. In cultured lung cancer cell lines, sensitivity to gefitinib has been correlated with dependence on Akt signaling. Our data suggest that the PI3K/Akt pathway is a more potent driver of proliferation and migration in BS153 than is the Ras/ERK pathway. This may also explain the stronger effect of gefitinib on BS153, which significantly inhibited Akt phosphorylation, whereas erlotinib predominantly reduced signaling via ERK. Importantly, only little inhibition of EGFRvIII phosphorylation by both TKIs occurred in BS153. We recently obtained similar results in glioma stemlike cells that retained EGFR amplification and EGFRvIII expression present in the original tumor. In those cells, erlotinib and gefitinib also inhibited wtEGFR phosphorylation but had no effect on EGFRvIII phosphorylation. These findings are in contrast to observations of U87MG cells engineered to overexpress EGFRvIII, in which EGFR TKIs were shown to effectively inhibit EGFRvIII phosphorylation. These discrepancies are probably due to the use of different cell line models that either express EGFRvIII engineered to overexpress EGFRvIII, was described as a positive predictor for response to tyrosine kinase inhibition. In contrast, no association between EGFRvIII, PTEN, and response to erlotinib was found in a large, randomized phase II trial analyzing recurrent GBM. A phase I trial described a positive response to erlotinib to be associated with EGFR overexpression and amplification combined with low levels of phosphorylated Akt in glioma patients, but none of the responders expressed EGFRvIII. Our data show that EGFRvIII-expressing BS153 initially responds to erlotinib. Still, a strong upregulation of EGFRvIII is a major mechanism of resistance, since its knockdown resensitized resistant cells to erlotinib in our study. This could be explained by the selective depletion of cells expressing only wtEGFR, which are thus reliant on ligand-induced receptor activation and can be efficiently blocked by TKIs. This is supported by our finding that other glioma cell lines, which express only wtEGFR and EGFRvIII, followed by stimulation of proliferation and migration of BS153 via Akt. Agonistic effects of cetuximab were described previously in H292 non–small cell lung cancer cells, in which cetuximab also induced phosphorylation of wtEGFR.

Furthermore, Akt downstream signaling has been shown to persist despite cetuximab treatment in egfr-amplified SKMG-3 glioma cells. Cetuximab was also reported to bind to EGFRvIII in transfected U373 glioma cells, causing enhanced phosphorylation of the mutant receptor. Importantly, the effect of cetuximab in vivo differs from its effect in vitro. Previously, we and others have shown that cetuximab effectively inhibits proliferation of EGFR-amplified, EGFRvIII-expressing xenograft tumors derived from freshly resected patient material, while it failed to inhibit nonamplified xenografts. The tumor microenvironment in vivo may play an important role in mediating the antitumor effects of cetuximab. For example, antibody-dependent cellular cytotoxicity has been suggested as a possible mechanism of cetuximab function rather than direct inhibition of EGFR, even in NMRI/Foxn1nu mice.

BS153 displayed resistance to erlotinib but not to gefitinib; that is cells survived treatment with the drug and expanded persistently even in the presence of 25 μM of the TKI, possibly due to insufficient inhibition of Akt signaling. Moreover, erlotinib-resistant cells were still susceptible to treatment with gefitinib, showing that erlotinib and gefitinib have substantially different downstream effects. In lung cancer, gefitinib has been approved as a first-line monotherapy for patients harboring activating mutations in the tyrosine kinase domain of the EGFR. These mutations were shown to increase the affinity of gefitinib to the ATP-binding pocket of the EGFR. In contrast to what is observed in lung cancer, mutations in the tyrosine kinase domain of EGFR are virtually absent in GBM. Our findings demonstrate that in EGFR-amplified, EGFRvIII-expressing glioma cells, erlotinib and gefitinib have different molecular mechanisms, although they share a similar chemical backbone and both compete for the ATP-binding site in the tyrosine kinase domain of EGFR. Additionally, off-target effects of gefitinib may in part explain the differential effect on BS153 and the effect of the TKI on EGFR-negative SW620 colorectal cancer cells, since gefitinib has been reported to also inhibit other kinases—Lyn, RICK, BLK, and JNK2—with a half-maximal inhibitory concentration comparable to that of EGFR.

The relevance of EGFRvIII for the response to erlotinib in glioma is disputed. In patients with recurrent glioma treated with erlotinib or gefitinib, EGFRvIII expression, if coexpressed with PTEN, was described as a positive predictor for response to tyrosine kinase inhibition. In contrast, no association between EGFRvIII, PTEN, and response to erlotinib was found in a large, randomized phase II trial analyzing recurrent GBM. A phase I trial described a positive response to erlotinib to be associated with EGFR overexpression and amplification combined with low levels of phosphorylated Akt in glioma patients, but none of the responders expressed EGFRvIII. Our data show that EGFRvIII-expressing BS153 initially responds to erlotinib. Still, a strong upregulation of EGFRvIII is a major mechanism of resistance, since its knockdown resensitized resistant cells to erlotinib in our study. This could be explained by the selective depletion of cells expressing only wtEGFR, which are thus reliant on ligand-induced receptor activation and can be efficiently blocked by TKIs. This is supported by our finding that other glioma cell lines, which express only wtEGFR and EGFRvIII, such as U87MG and G55, do not survive continued treatment with erlotinib (data not shown). Interestingly, studies by Sampson et al showed that vaccination against EGFRvIII selectively eradicated EGFRvIII-positive cells. This suggests selective vulnerability of individual tumor cells, based on their EGFR status, which is known to be intratumorally heterogeneous; that is, only a subpopulation of cells express EGFRvIII and are amplified for egfr.

It is conceivable that depletion of wtEGFR-expressing cells also accounts for the delay in sphere formation and tumor initiation observed for BS153-resistant. WtEGFR...
signaling sustains a stemlike phenotype, which is thought to be a prerequisite for tumor initiation.\textsuperscript{16,48,49} EGFRvIII by itself does not increase tumor-initiating capacity and has been described as enhancing tumor growth of U87MG xenografts only when wtEGFR is overexpressed as well.\textsuperscript{13} EGFRvIII has rather been associated with tumor invasiveness, which is reflected in the unusual invasive growth pattern observed for BS153 and BS153\textsuperscript{resE} in vivo.\textsuperscript{4,23,50}

Targeting common downstream mediators of EGFR, like PI3K, perhaps the most important mediator of EGFRvIII signaling,\textsuperscript{51,52} might help improve EGFR-directed therapy. We found PI3K to be active even in the presence of erlotinib in BS153\textsuperscript{resE}, causing persistent activation of Akt. The reduction of PTEN protein, an important negative regulator of Akt activity, additionally enforces PI3K Akt. The reduction of PTEN protein, an important negative regulator of Akt activity, additionally enforces PI3K Akt. The reduction of PTEN protein, an important negative regulator of Akt activity, additionally enforces PI3K Akt. The reduction of PTEN protein, an important negative regulator of Akt activity, additionally enforces PI3K Akt. The reduction of PTEN protein, an important negative regulator of Akt activity, additionally enforces PI3K Akt. The reduction of PTEN protein, an important negative regulator of Akt activity, additionally enforces PI3K Akt. The reduction of PTEN protein, an important negative regulator of Akt activity, additionally enforces PI3K Akt. The reduction of PTEN protein, an important negative regulator of Akt activity, additionally enforces PI3K Akt. The reduction of PTEN protein, an important negative regulator of Akt activity, additionally enforces PI3K Akt.
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