Localizing seizure-susceptible brain regions associated with low-grade gliomas using voxel-based lesion-symptom mapping
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Background. Patients afflicted with low-grade glioma (LGG) frequently suffer from seizures. The mechanisms of seizure initiation in these patients remain poorly understood. Tumor location has been correlated with seizure initiation. However, these correlative studies relied on dichotomized data analysis based on arbitrary lobe assignments. As a result, the lesion-symptom correlation may be incorrectly interpreted. Here, we present the first study that used a voxel-wise quantitative lesion analysis to investigate the spatial correlation between tumor location and seizure susceptibility.

Methods. We collected the medical records and magnetic resonance images of 410 LGG patients. The dataset was divided into a discovery set and a validation set. A voxel-based lesion-symptom correlative analysis was performed to determine whether tumor location was associated with seizure risk and could be related to the specific type of seizure.

Results. For all seizure types, increased seizure risks were identified for LGGs that involved the left premotor area. The LGGs that involved the posterior portion of the left inferior and middle frontal gyrus were associated with increased risk of simple partial seizures. LGGs that involved the right temporal-insular region were associated with an increased risk of complex partial seizures. LGGs that involved the left premotor area were more likely to be associated with seizures that generalize. These correlations were consistently observed in both the discovery and the validation datasets.

Conclusions. Our quantitative neuroimaging analyses support the concept that the anatomic location of an LGG is a contributing factor in tumor-related seizure.
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documented. Most of these studies were limited by a retrospective study design, an MR assessment based on qualitative measures, insufficient sample sizes, and/or a lack of a validation cohort.

In recent years, voxel-based lesion-symptom mapping (VLSM) has been increasingly used for determining the relationships between brain lesions and clinical manifestations. Bates and colleagues first used this technique to localize the brain regions critical for speech fluency and language comprehension on a voxel level in patients with stroke. Using this approach, other studies have also measured specific neural correlates for various neurological symptoms, such as hemispatial neglect, postural difficulties, and decision-making disorder. Because it is based on high-resolution structural imaging rather than on a dichotomous group classification, VLSM can provide a statistical comparison of symptomatic differences between lesion and nonlesion voxels at the individual voxel level. Thus, this analysis can detect effects such as the lesion location, boundary, and volume across the entire brain without losing information.

In this study, we present the results of a prospectively collected dataset of 410 LGG patients. To make a valid statistical inference, the study population was divided into a discovery set (n = 231) and a validation set (n = 179). A quantitative VLSM analysis was performed to determine whether tumor location was associated with seizure risk and was further related to the type of seizure. Our results indicated that LGGs that involved distinct anatomic locations were associated with differing seizure risks.

Materials and Methods

Patients

Clinical data and magnetic resonance images from a total of 410 patients with LGG were used in this study. These included a discovery set of 231 cases prospectively collected at Beijing Tiantan Hospital between September 2006 and December 2011 and an external validation set of 179 cases retrospectively collected from the China Glioma Genome Atlas (n = 145) database and The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) (n = 34) database. All patients included in this study met the following criteria: pathologically confirmed World Health Organization grade II LGG, presurgical T2 MRIs of the brain, and no prior craniotomy or stereotactic biopsy. An additional 14 patients were excluded from the analysis due to apparent image distortion (examined by a senior neuroradiologist) after normalizing to the Montreal Neurological Institute (MNI) space. The remaining patient characteristics, including age, sex, tumor location, and seizure history, are summarized in Table 1. This study was approved by the ethics committee of Beijing Tiantan Hospital, and written informed consent was obtained from all patients in the discovery set in accordance with the 1991 Declaration of Helsinki. Our study design is illustrated in Fig. 1.

Evaluation of Tumor-related Seizure

A patient with tumor-related seizure was defined as having a history of at least one seizure with the presence of an enduring alteration (ie, LGG) in the brain. A history of seizure(s) and

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Seizures</th>
<th>No Seizures</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of patients (%)</td>
<td>152 (65.8)</td>
<td>79 (34.2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, y, median (range)</td>
<td>37 (15–64)</td>
<td>41 (17–67)</td>
<td>.056</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex, M/F</td>
<td>93/59</td>
<td>42/37</td>
<td>.241</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lesion location (% involvement)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemisphere, L/R</td>
<td>82/70</td>
<td>42/37</td>
<td>.910</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frontal</td>
<td>108 (71.1)</td>
<td>49.4</td>
<td>.120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temporal</td>
<td>39 (25.7)</td>
<td>28 (35.4)</td>
<td>.884</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insula</td>
<td>30 (19.8)</td>
<td>16 (20.2)</td>
<td>.926</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occipital</td>
<td>22 (14.5)</td>
<td>12 (15.2)</td>
<td>.696</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRI characteristics</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumor size, cm³, mean ± SD</td>
<td>73.6 ± 53.3</td>
<td>72.3 ± 61.8</td>
<td>.873</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumor pathology (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oligodendroglioma</td>
<td>15 (9.9)</td>
<td>10 (12.7)</td>
<td>.517</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Astrocytoma</td>
<td>48 (31.6)</td>
<td>22 (27.8)</td>
<td>.558</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oligoastrocytoma</td>
<td>89 (58.5)</td>
<td>47 (59.5)</td>
<td>.890</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Result of t-test.*

Fig. 1. Study design. The hypotheses of this study were that heterogeneous susceptibility to tumor-associated seizure exists between brain regions and that tumors involving specific brain regions could be associated with increased seizure risk. To test these hypotheses, we first prospectively examined a discovery set cohort by performing a regression-model based analysis to locate the tumor-associated seizure-susceptible regions. These VLSM-identified regions were subsequently validated by an external dataset to examine whether the identified regions were associated with increased seizure incidence in tumor patients. DICOM, Digital Imaging and Communications in Medicine (file format).
seizure types (generalized, simple partial, complex partial) in
the patients was evaluated at the time of discovery by an epi-
léptologist based on the presentation of the seizures accord-
ing to the classification and terminology of the International
League Against Epilepsy\textsuperscript{16} (Table 2). The types of seizures
were identified for 152 and 66 patients with a history of sei-
zure(s) in the discovery and validation sets, respectively,
based on the consistent criteria referenced above. Information
about seizure type was not available in the database of TCGA.

\textbf{Brain Imaging and Tumor Masking}

MRI scans of the majority of the patients (n = 189) were per-
formed on a Magnetom Trio 3T (Siemens) with an 8-channel
receive-only head coil. The T2 image parameters included: rep-
etition time = 5800 ms; echo time = 110 ms; flip angle = 150
degrees; 24 slices; field of view = 240 × 188 mm\textsuperscript{2}; voxel size = 0.6 × 0.6 × 5 mm\textsuperscript{3}; matrix = 384 × 300. Other structural images were acquired on a Magnetom Verio 3T (Siemens) or HD
1.5T (GE Medical System) scanners. Tumors were traced directly
on the brain MRIs using MRIcron (http://www.
mccauslandcenter.sc.edu/mricro/mricron). Masks of the brain
tumors were drawn on each patient’s T2 image in native
space by 2 board-certified neurosurgeons, who were blinded
to the patients’ clinical information. The areas that produced
abnormal hyperintensive signals on the T2 images of the pa-
tients were identified as tumor areas. The tumor masks were
combined when there was less than a 5\% discrepancy between
the individual masks identified by the 2 neurosurgeons, and the
masks we used were determined by a senior neuroradiologist
when the individual masks from the 2 neurosurgeons were in-
consistent (>5\%). The T2 image and tumor mask for each pa-
tient were registered to the MNI template using the standard
nonlinear spatial normalization algorithm provided by SPM8
(http://www.fil.ion.ucl.ac.uk/spm/software/spm8). Subse-
quently, the registered tumor masks were again manually
checked by the senior neuroradiologist.

\textbf{Lesion Analysis}

A VLSM analysis\textsuperscript{18,23} was used in 231 patients (discovery set) di-
vided into 2 groups (left and right hemispheres) based on the
primary location of their tumors. VLSM is based on applying
the general linear model (GLM) (Y = βX + ε) to each voxel inde-
dependently. For each voxel, Y represents tumor involvement (1
indicates that a voxel is inside the masked tumor area, while 0
indicates that it is outside) in each and every patient. X repre-
sents the symptom matrix, which consists of several columns
of different symptoms in all patients and one constant column. Each row in X represents
one patient. β represents the model parameter to be estimat-
ed, and ε is the estimated residual. GLM fitting provides the
t-statistic value for β, showing the sensitivity of the voxel to
the respective symptom (seizure in this case).

First, to statistically analyze each group, we applied VLSM
analyses to determine the general neural correlates of all the
symptom-related seizures. The symptom matrix X consisted of
one symptom column and one constant column. For each
row in X, 1 indicated patients with seizures, 0 indicated no sei-
zure. Second, we fitted the GLM with 3 variables representing
different symptoms (types of preoperative seizure). The symp-
tom matrix X consisted of 3 symptoms and one constant col-
umn. For each row in X, \{0 1 0\} indicated patients with simple
partial, \{0 0 1\} indicated patients with complex partial, and \{0
0 0\} indicated patients with generalized seizures. Then for
each voxel, the t-statistic values for each symptom were calcu-
lated separately. The statistical threshold of each VLSM test
was determined based on permutation testing (n = 1000).\textsuperscript{27}

The t-value of the voxels that were greater than the t-values
in >95\% of permutations was retained in the VLSM results
(alpha set at .05, power >0.8\textsuperscript{13}). The “seizure-susceptible
area” was defined as the largest cluster of significant voxels
acquired by this method.

\textbf{Evaluation of VLSM-identified Regions}

The VLSM-localized seizure-susceptible brain areas (as defined
by their peak voxels [PVs]) were validated by examining the
incidence of seizure following tumor involvement in the valida-
tion set. We chose to use the PV of each VLSM-identified area
in order to eliminate tumors that only touched the edge of
the area. Thus, involvement/noninvolvement was defined by
whether a masked tumor area contained the VLSM-identified
PV in MNI brain space. A chi-square test was used to compare

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Generalized</th>
<th>Simple Partial</th>
<th>Complex Partial</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of patients (%)</td>
<td>107 (46.3)</td>
<td>39 (15 – 61)</td>
<td>55.1*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, median (range)</td>
<td>37 (15 – 64)</td>
<td>12/5</td>
<td>.551*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex, M/F</td>
<td>65/42</td>
<td>14/14</td>
<td>.659</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemisphere, L/R</td>
<td>61/46</td>
<td>15/12</td>
<td>.428</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumor size, cm\textsuperscript{3}, mean ± SD</td>
<td>69.1 ± 49.4</td>
<td>84.9 ± 61.6</td>
<td>.292*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumor pathology (%)</td>
<td>Oligodendroglioma</td>
<td>11 (10.3)</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>.099</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Astrocytoma</td>
<td>29 (27.1)</td>
<td>2 (11.8)</td>
<td>15 (53.6)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oligoastrocytoma</td>
<td>67 (62.6)</td>
<td>11 (64.7)</td>
<td>11 (39.3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Seizure classification based on 1981 Classification of Epileptic Seizures (International League Against Epilepsy).
*Result of t-test.
the incidence of tumor-related seizure between subgroups with LGGs that involved or did not involve the PV. The same statistical analysis was then performed for each type of tumor-related seizure. Therefore, each of the VLSM-identified PVs (PV1, PV2, and PV3 for simple partial, complex partial, and generalized seizures, respectively) was examined to determine whether LGGs were located or not located in these areas.

Results

Demographic and Clinical Data

The main clinical and pathological characteristics of all 231 patients (discovery set) are listed in Table 1. Of the 231 patients, 124 (53.7%) had left-sided tumors and 107 had right-sided tumors; 152 (65.8%) had a history of preoperative seizures before being admitted to the hospital. A total of 179 patients (the validation set) were gathered from 2 external sources. Most of these were obtained from the China Glioma Genome Atlas database (145 cases) and the rest came from the open database of TCGA (34 cases). In the validation set, 88 cases had a history of preoperative seizures, and 66 of them for whom seizure symptoms were available were categorized into 3 subgroups according to seizure type (Supplementary Table S1).

Radiographic Characteristics

An overlap map was constructed to present an overview of all lesions in the discovery cohort of the 231 LGG patients (Fig. 2). This map shows that several brain regions, including the bilateral frontal lobes, insula, and temporal lobes, have large numbers of overlapping tumor masks. In addition, a power map was computed to show the distribution of voxels with adequate statistical power to detect effects in the VLSM analysis (P < .05). In this study, only the results located in regions with sufficient power (>0.8) were considered. The power map shows that the majority of areas in both hemispheres were highly reliable for statistical analysis (Fig. 2).

VLSM Analysis Findings

The VLSM results from the discovery cohort were mapped onto the brain structure template in MNI space. The voxels that significantly correlated to tumor-related seizure were primarily located in the left prefrontal area, with a small portion in the left cingulate cortex. The PV \( (X = 114, Y = 141, Z = 116, t_{\text{max}} = 4.60) \) of this cluster had the highest correlation with tumor-related seizure according to the method we used in this study (Fig. 3).

Using a symptom-based classification of seizures, the t-maps obtained using each of the symptom contrast vectors in the VLSM analysis are presented in Fig. 4. Notably, the VLSM results from the 3 subgroups revealed unique distributions of the seizure-susceptible regions that correspond to different seizure types. For the simple partial seizure group, the significant seizure-related region was located in the posterior portion of the left inferior and middle frontal gyrus (PV1, \( X = 136, Y = 140, Z = 99, t_{\text{max}} = 6.16 \)), involving Broca’s area and the primary motor area. For complex partial seizures, the region with the greatest effect was located in the right temporal-insular area (PV2, \( X = 35, Y = 138, Z = 70, t_{\text{max}} = 5.16 \)). For cases presenting with generalized seizures, the left prefrontal area was identified as the critical seizure-related region (PV3, \( X = 114, Y = 141, Z = 116, t_{\text{max}} = 4.37 \)).

Validation of VLSM-classified Seizure-susceptible Areas

Overall, 93.9% (31/33) of the patients in the discovery set and 84.2% (16/19) of the patients in the validation set had seizures (including all types) when their tumors involved the VLSM-classified PV region. In contrast, 61.4% (121/197) of the patients in the discovery set and 45.6% (72/158) of the
patients in the validation set suffered from seizures (including all types) when the tumor was not located in the PV region (see Supplementary Table S1). In this study, 73.5% (108/147) of the LGGs located in the frontal lobe caused seizures when we used a typical dichotomous categorization.

Notably, significant statistical differences in the incidence of each seizure type were identified between tumors that were located in susceptible areas and those that did not involve the susceptible areas. In the discovery set, 42.1% (8/19) of patients experienced simple partial seizures when gliomas included the
PV1 (X = 136, Y = 140, Z = 99) region. However, only 9.4% (20/212) of patients with tumors that did not involve this region had simple partial seizures (P = .001, chi-square test). A total of 29.2% (71/242) of patients with tumors that involved the PV2 region presented with complex partial seizures versus 4.8% (10/207) of those without PV2 involvement (P = .000, chi-square test). A total of 69.7% (23/33) of patients presented with generalized seizures when their tumors involved the PV3 region, whereas only 42.4% (84/198) had generalized seizures when their tumors had no involvement with this region (P = .004, chi-square test). Moreover, a statistical comparison using the validation set showed the same significant differences in seizure incidence between tumors that did and did not involve the seizure-type–specific susceptible regions classified by VLSM (see Supplementary Table S1).

**Discussion**

This is the largest prospective study to explore the correlation between LGG location and seizure risk by seizure subtype (simple partial, complex partial, and seizures that generalized). It is the first to employ a discovery/validation design to ensure the generalizability of the results. Moreover, the study utilized a voxel-based quantitative analysis of MRIs as the basis for the correlation. Our study revealed that LGG location is strongly associated with seizure risk. Specifically, we found that LGGs that involved the posterior portion of the left inferior and middle frontal gyrus (Broca's area and inferior primary motor area) were associated with increased risk of simple partial seizures; LGGs that involved the right temporal-insular region were associated with increased risk of complex partial seizure; and LGGs that involved the left premotor area were more likely to be associated with seizures that generalize. By demonstrating that the specific brain structures affected by LGGs are associated with increased seizure risk, our results indicate that the anatomic location of an LGG is an influential factor in the initiation of seizure, even those that start in areas distant from the tumor.

The specific locations of the regions of the cerebrum that are at risk for seizure as a result of LGGs suggest that LGG-induced seizure may be related to the seizure susceptibility of the region involved by a tumor. Previous studies have suggested that brain regions harbor differential seizure risks, with the frontal lobe at a higher risk of containing seizure foci. \(^1\,^3\,^9\,^{15}\,^{17}\) This study further suggested that the left premotor area is specifically associated with increased seizure susceptibility in LGG patients. One possible explanation is that the physiologic stress associated with the LGG exacerbated an inherently lowered seizure threshold of a region. Similarly, increased seizure risk has been associated with tumor lesions in the right temporal-insular region. \(^14\) The role of dominant hemispheric eloquent areas (the left inferior frontal) in seizure initiation has also been supported by intraoperative electrophysiologic evidence. \(^28\,^{29}\) In this context, it is likely that LGGs contribute to seizure initiation, at least in part by compromising the tumor-associated seizure susceptibility.

Categorizing patients according to tumor involvement in a single brain area might cause researchers to overlook important information in 2 ways. First, lesion volume is ignored. Thus, tumors that invaded more than one lobe may have been counted repeatedly. Tumors that are larger in size have a higher possibility of involving a specific susceptible seizure-initial zone. Second, the extent (number of voxels) of a lesion involved in a specific brain region can rarely be assessed. Since different subregions in a single brain lobe might have a nonuniform potential for causing seizures, both lesion volume and extent in the brain regions of interest should be considered in localizing tumor-related seizure. Inconsistent incidences of seizure (38.5% to 85.7%) were identified by previous studies for LGGs involving the frontal lobe. \(^1\,^3\,^9\) Remarkably, the involvement of VLSM-identified regions accounted for 93.9% of the seizures in this cohort of patients, finding that suggests that anatomic specificity may exist for tumor-related seizures.

The mechanism underlying tumor-related seizure initiation has yet to be clarified. The identified correlation between tumor locations with seizure in this study does not imply that the seizure-initial foci were located inside the tumors. When a tumor was located in the identified seizure-susceptible areas, the patient was more likely to have seizures that could be in brain regions that were inside, adjacent to, or distant from the tumor area. Meanwhile, LGGs that were not located in the VLSM-identified regions still have a possibility of inducing seizures, since location is one of the significant factors other than the only factor in causing seizure. \(^6\,^8\) Areas that showed abnormal hyperintensive signals on the T2 images of the patients may contain tumor cells, show evidence of gliosis, be caused by edema, or contain other abnormal histologic components. These areas could initiate seizures and were thus included in the regions that we identified as tumor areas.

There are several limitations to the current study, including the nonrandomized nature of the study design, the lack of electroencephalography examination, and the diagnosis of seizure based on patient/witness reports and clinical criteria, as well as inherent challenges such as distortions of the brain structure in the radiographic assessment of LGGs on MRI scans. Potential differences among different types of MRI scanners in the presentation of LGGs have not yet been investigated and were not considered in this study. Because of this potential heterogeneity, the masking process and the segmentation process were manually performed and supervised by independent neuroradiologists. In addition, heterogeneity of seizure susceptibility within a T2 signal abnormality was not considered in this study. Despite the above limitations, the results reported here were highly reproducible between the 2 distinct study cohorts, including a discovery set with prospectively collected data. Further, statistics-based quantitative analysis was employed to achieve objective MR interpretations. For these reasons, we consider the associations reported here to be robust and generalizable. The effect of location on the frequency of tumor-related seizure is another interesting issue that needs further investigation.

In summary, our prospective study demonstrated correlation between LGG location and seizure risk. The findings were highly reproducible between 2 independent patient cohorts. The identification of seizure-susceptible regions further our understanding of the etiology of LGG-related seizure. Additionally, these findings provided new evidence that may eventually be useful for customized seizure management, but currently further evidence is needed.
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