Physiologic MRI for assessment of response to therapy and prognosis in glioblastoma
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Aside from bidimensional measurements from conventional contrast-enhanced MRI, there are no validated or FDA-qualified imaging biomarkers for high-grade gliomas. However, advanced functional MRI techniques, including perfusion- and diffusion-weighted MRI, have demonstrated much potential for determining prognosis, predicting therapeutic response, and assessing early treatment response. They may also prove useful for differentiating pseudoprogression from true progression after temozolomide chemoradiation and pseudoresponse from true response after anti-angiogenic therapy. This review will highlight recent developments using these techniques and emphasize the need for technical standardization and validation in prospective studies in order for these methods to become incorporated into standard-of-care imaging for brain tumor patients.
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Pseudoprogression (PsP) and pseudoresponse remain substantial impediments to accurate response assessment of high-grade gliomas (HGGs). Advanced MRI may elucidate aspects of tumor physiology that augment structural information from conventional MRI when interpreting complex enhancement and fluid attenuated inversion recovery (FLAIR) signal changes in treated gliomas. Such physiologic techniques include diffusion and perfusion MRI, which have gained considerable experimental support as methods for improving diagnostic accuracy. Securing the potential gains in accuracy achieved with these techniques requires additional standardization and validation in prospective trials, with the overarching goal being the betterment of outcomes in HGG patients through improved clinical decision making. This review focuses on the latest advances in diffusion and perfusion MRI and provides an assessment of the evidence supporting the role of advanced MRI in improving the evaluation of HGGs.

Basic Principles of Perfusion and Diffusion MRI

Perfusion MRI

Brain perfusion can be assessed with MRI using dynamic susceptibility contrast (DSC), dynamic contrast-enhanced (DCE), and arterial spin labeling techniques. Because contrast-enhanced MRI is standard-of-care for assessing brain tumors, perfusion-weighted imaging (PWI) techniques using a gadolinium-based contrast agent (GBCA), particularly DSC-MRI and to a lesser extent DCE-MRI, are the most prevalent MRI-based methods for measuring brain tumor perfusion. An overview of DSC- and DCE-MRI techniques is provided in Table 1.

DSC-MRI rapidly acquires gradient echo or spin echo planar images during first-pass transit through the brain of an exogenous, paramagnetic GBCA that transiently decreases signal intensity. Voxel-wise changes in contrast agent concentration are determined from signal-time curves and processed using tracer kinetic modeling and indicator dilution theory to estimate cerebral blood volume (CBV), cerebral blood flow (CBF), and mean transit time. Relative CBV (rCBV) is the most common DSC-MRI metric for evaluating brain tumors.

DSC-MRI is based on the assumption that a GBCA remains intravascular, a condition frequently violated in brain tumors. Various methods to minimize the error introduced by contrast extravasation have been developed, although no standardization of technique has yet been achieved. A few options will be briefly discussed. One simple method is to focus analysis on nonenhancing portions of the tumor; however, this technique is obviously prone to bias and exclusion of the most malignant regions.
contrast-enhancing portions of the brain tumor. \(^1\) Other techniques include the use of gamma-variate fitting of the relaxivity-time curves to eliminate recirculation effects, and the use of low flip angles (ie, 35–60 degrees) or longer repetition times and echo times to reduce T1 contamination. However, one may encounter a lower signal-to-noise ratio of the CBV maps using these methods. \(^5,6\) We recommend a technique which combines a preload of a GBCA along with model-based postprocessing leakage correction. \(^4,6\) A preload refers to administration of a GBCA prior to the subsequent dose of a GBCA for the dynamic imaging in DSC-MRI. This, along with model-based postprocessing leakage correction, can decrease both T1 and T2* effects that can result in inaccurate rCBV values seen in enhancing lesions like brain tumors.

DCE-MRI is based on the T1 relaxivity properties of a GBCA. Whereas conventional contrast-enhanced MRI provides a qualitative, static depiction of brain tumor contrast enhancement, DCE-MRI quantifies various dynamic features of blood–brain barrier contrast agent leakage. Commonly, a 2-compartment (plasma and extravascular-extracellular spaces) pharmacokinetic model is used (Fig. 1). \(^7\) After baseline T1 maps are obtained, T1-weighted DCE-MRI images are acquired before, during, and after a GBCA administration. A vascular input function is determined, and pharmacokinetic modeling yields the extravascular-extracellular \((v_e)\) and plasma \((v_p)\) space volume fractions, transfer constant \((K^{\text{trans}})\), and rate constant \((k_{ep} = K^{\text{trans}}/v_e)\). \(^7\) \(K^{\text{trans}}\), thought to reflect microvascular permeability but also representative of blood flow and vessel surface area, \(^7\) is the most common metric in brain tumor studies. The initial area under the contrast agent concentration curve (IAUC) is a model-free parameter reflecting CBF, CBV, microvascular permeability, and \(v_e\) that is less physiologically specific than \(K^{\text{trans}}\). \(^7\)

**Diffusion MRI**

Diffusion-weighted imaging (DWI) is sensitive to random microscopic (Brownian) motion of water molecules that results in signal loss and consequent hyperintensity in areas of restricted diffusion. The apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC) reflects the magnitude of water motion, with restricted diffusion having lower ADC values. DWI-based techniques can provide insight into the microscopic tissue environment, including intra- and extracellular space volumes. Increased extracellular water (as in vasogenic edema) increases ADC, whereas cytotoxic edema (from hypoxia or other causes of cell swelling) decreases ADC. More importantly for tumor imaging, ADC is inversely correlated with cell density, \(^8\) probably due to reduced water mobility from dense cellular packing.

Functional diffusion maps (fDMs) are an extension of DWI in which coregistered scans from multiple time points are compared on a voxel-wise basis to temporally track stereospecific changes in ADC. \(^9\) This permits visualization and quantification of regional variations of response within tumor and peritumoral regions, which is potentially significant in heterogeneous HGGs. Functional DMs require precise registration of images from
multiple time points, presenting a technical challenge. Ongoing work seeks to overcome this difficulty and improve the accuracy of fDM-based biomarkers by using innovative methods that quantify and reduce registration errors.10

**Major Applications of Perfusion and Diffusion MRI to the Management of Brain Tumor Patients**

PWI and DWI can augment conventional MRI in the initial evaluation and posttreatment monitoring of brain tumors. Although there are many potential neuro-oncologic applications of these techniques, most fall within 4 distinct categories: (i) improving accuracy in prognosis and predicting efficacy of a specific therapy prior to treatment initiation; (ii) assessing efficacy of therapy before standard response indicators (eg, change in size of enhancing tumor) are affected; (iii) distinguishing true response from pseudoresponse and increasing sensitivity and specificity for nonenhancing tumor; and (iv) distinguishing true progression from PsP.

**Determining Prognosis and Predicting Efficacy of Therapy Prior to Treatment**

Several studies have demonstrated the prognostic value of rCBV in HGGs, finding that increased rCBV is associated with poorer outcomes. For instance, maximum rCBV within treatment-naïve tumor correlates with OS,11,12 and gliomas with mean rCBV > 1.75 progress earlier than those with lower rCBV.13 Similarly, high pretreatment baseline $K_{trans}$ is associated with worse progression-free survival (PFS) and overall survival (OS).14 A study combining DCE-MRI and DSC-MRI evaluations in the same newly diagnosed case of glioblastoma multiforme (GBM) found that both $K_{trans}$ and rCBV correlated with OS.15 Interestingly, $K_{trans}$ and rCBV are typically not well correlated with each other.16 suggesting that these perfusion metrics reflect different aspects of GBM biology.

In addition to use as a prognostic marker, PWI may also predict treatment response. A recent retrospective study of DCE-MRI in recurrent GBM found that baseline $K_{trans}$ prior to bevacizumab treatment predicted PFS and OS.17 Conversely, there was no relationship between pretreatment $K_{trans}$ and outcome measures in a control cohort that did not receive bevacizumab therapy. This indicates that $K_{trans}$ is a predictive, rather than prognostic, marker in this setting.

Similar to PWI, diffusion-based metrics also have both prognostic and predictive merit. For instance, in patients with HGGs treated with chemoradiation, low pretreatment mean tumor ADC is associated with shorter survival, potentially reflecting more cellular or aggressive tumor.18,19 ADC histogram analysis improves upon mean diffusion metrics in characterizing the distribution of ADC values within areas of enhancement. Median values of the lower curve (ADC$_c$, thought to represent the tumor-rich rather than edematous/necrotic portion of the lesion) of double Gaussian fits of the histogram data predicted PFS and OS in bevacizumab-treated recurrent GBM. Specifically, higher ADC$_c$ derived from pretreatment scans correlated with better outcomes following bevacizumab treatment, both in a single institution study20 and in a multicenter trial.21 ADC$_c$ was not predictive of outcome following non-anti-angiogenic treatments, and thus ADC$_c$, similar to $K_{trans}$, may represent a predictive marker specific to bevacizumab or anti-angiogenic therapy. Similar results have been obtained for GBM treated with combined bevacizumab and sorafenib,22 as well as using median ADC values in enhancing tumor,23 further supporting the potential of DWI metrics to serve as predictive biomarkers.

The timing of bevacizumab treatment may impact the applicability of DWI biomarkers. For instance, unlike in the recurrent setting, higher ADC$_c$ may not predict prolonged PFS or OS in newly diagnosed GBM patients treated with bevacizumab-containing regimens given with radiation therapy24 and may even be associated with shorter PFS.25 Recurrent and treatment-naïve GBM are genetically distinct. For example, recurrent GBM is often hypermutated and more likely to be of the mesenchymal phenotype.26–28 Potentially these differences impact imaging biomarkers, rendering them dependent on the type and timing of treatment, as well as the time point in the patient’s treatment course at which they are acquired.

**Assessing Early Response to Therapy**

**Cytotoxic therapy.** Relative CBV appears to be an early response marker for GBM treated with cytotoxic methods and may add value to assessment of disease status based on anatomic MRI.29,30 For instance, >5% increase in CBV 1 month after temozolomide chemoradiation correlates with poor OS, whereas changes in enhancing tumor volume do not.31 Analogous to fDMs for DWI, parametric response maps (PRMs) of voxel-wise perfusion changes stratify survival in HGGs treated with temozolomide chemoradiation.32–34 Specifically, rCBV and rCBF PRMs from DSC-MRI 1–3 weeks after treatment were found to correlate with OS, while changes in mean rCBV or rCBF based on standard enhancing tumor region-of-interest (ROI) analysis did not.35 Comparison of (i) percentage change of whole tumor rCBV, (ii) physiologic segmentation into low, medium, and high rCBV, and (iii) PRM applied to serial rCBV measurements at baseline and after 1 and 3 weeks of chemoradiation in GBM found that only PRMs predicted 1-year survival.36

Based on the hypothesis that cell membrane destruction following cell death yields enhanced water movement and increased ADC that precede tumor shrinkage, fDM-based DWI has also been investigated as an early response marker following cytotoxic therapy. For example, brain tumors containing a significant proportion of voxels with increased ADC between baseline and 3 weeks post-chemoradiation have better response at 10-week MRI than tumors without a shift toward increased ADC,9 and fDMs 10 weeks post-chemoradiation initiation predict 1-year survival in HGGs.32,37 Functional DMs between baseline and post-temozolomide chemoradiation in GBM have also shown that large volumes of tumor with decreasing ADC portend shorter PFS and OS.38,39 Similarly, the volume of GBM with decreased ADC on fDMs 2 days after boron neutron capture therapy, an alternative to standard radiation treatment, correlates with MRI-defined response at 10 weeks.40 These results are supported by data from preclinical models using both cytotoxic chemotherapy41 and radiotherapy,42 demonstrating that tumors with increasing ADC on fDMs have increased cytotoxicity and better outcomes. Thus, there is emerging evidence that fDMs can augment standard MRI as an...
early response marker following irradiation alone or in combination with cytotoxic chemotherapy.

**Anti-angiogenic therapy.** Theoretically, the microvascular sensitivity of PWI should have utility for assessing response to anti-angiogenic therapy. However, a retrospective study of bevacizumab-treated recurrent GBM found only marginally significant association of change in rCBV between baseline and first follow-up and time to progression.43 Similarly, early post-treatment changes in $K^{\text{trans}}$ and rCBV were not predictive of OS in recurrent HGGs treated with both bevacizumab and temozolomide.44 However, more promising results were recently obtained using leakage correction and a method for standardizing rCBV measurements across MR scanners and field strengths to predict response to bevacizumab in recurrent HGGs.45 Standardized rCBV measured 60 days before and 20–60 days after bevacizumab therapy was predictive of PFS and OS, while FLAIR hyperintense and contrast-enhancing volumes were not. Additionally, using a population-based rCBV atlas to minimize bias resulting from rCBV variability, pre- and post-bevacizumab hypervascular rCBV volumes in recurrent GBM were predictive of PFS and OS, whereas traditional PWI measures including mean and maximum rCBV were not.46 And recent results from American College of Radiology Imaging Network (ACRIN) 6677/Radiation Therapy Oncology Group (RTOG) 0625, a multicenter, randomized, phase II trial of bevacizumab with irinotecan or temozolomide, demonstrated that increasing rCBV between baseline and 2 or 16 weeks post-bevacizumab initiation portends worse OS.47

Therefore, there is emerging evidence that DSC-MRI can help prognosticate OS and PFS shortly after treatment initiation with bevacizumab.

As with cytotoxic therapy, fDMs and ADC histogram analysis have provided early response markers for anti-angiogenic therapy.10,48–50 For instance, fDMs derived from pretreatment and 6-week post-bevacizumab initiation scans stratified PFS and OS in a cohort of recurrent GBM using ROIs defined within contrast-enhancing or abnormal FLAIR regions.10 Nonlinear registration of ADC maps for fDM generation improved the specificity (73%) for 6-month PFS using ROIs defined by abnormal FLAIR hyperintensity were realized. In addition to nonlinear registration, graded fDMs, in which change in ADC is segmented into discrete bins, also better predicted OS than standard fDM approaches.19 Furthermore, in a study of pre- and post-bevacizumab-treated recurrent GBM, baseline ADC parameters within nonenhancing FLAIR hyperintensity and subsequent changes in ADC within enhancing tumor between pre- and posttherapy scans stratified OS and PFS.48

As mentioned, the timing of anti-angiogenic therapy impacts DWI-based biomarkers. For instance, traditional and graded fDM metrics appear less predictive of outcomes when applied to patients receiving up-front as opposed to adjuvant bevacizumab.74 Changes in ADC from mid- to postradiotherapy appear to outperform changes from pre- to mid-radiotherapy or pre- to post-radiotherapy.51 These results are particularly notable given that mid-radiotherapy scans are typically not acquired in standard patient treatment regimens, even though they may contain useful prognostic information.
substratified the nonprogressors on conventional T1-weighted postcontrast MRI. Whereas there was no survival difference between T1 responders and patients with stable disease, OS was significantly longer for patients with decreased rather than increased rCBV at 2 weeks compared with baseline, suggesting that DSC-MRI can identify likely relative treatment successes. Early posttreatment standardized rCBV also predicted OS and PFS in a similar single-institution study of 36 recurrent HGGs imaged with DSC-MRI 20–60 days after bevacizumab. Results such as these may motivate an interpretation paradigm for recurrent GBM shortly after bevacizumab whereby patients with progressive contrast enhancement are deemed treatment failures, but instead of using responsive enhancement status for further substratification, change in rCBV would be used to further distinguish relative treatment successes from failures. Larger prospective trials are warranted. 

PWI may also improve identification of nonenhancing tumor by diminishing the nonspecificity of FLAIR signal changes. Multiparametric DCE-MRI and DSC-MRI methods applied to nonenhancing regions indicate that decreased rCBV and rCBF and absence of increased $K^{\text{trans}}$ in bevacizumab-treated GBM distinguish vasogenic edema from infiltrative tumor and correlate with PFS. Principal component analysis of temporal DSC-MRI data in GBM identify peritumoral regions likely to be infiltrated with tumor and correlate with OS. A multivariate model including KPS, age at diagnosis, and rCBV of nonenhancing regions found rCBV to be more prognostic than other imaging, genomic, or clinical features. 

DWI has also been applied to GBM receiving with antiangiogenic therapy, based on the theory that lower ADC reflects higher cellularity and is more likely to be seen in tumor infiltration than vasogenic edema. The volume of FLAIR hyperintensity with abnormally low ADC that increases over time has been postulated to correspond with tumor infiltration, and there is histopathological evidence to support this. ADC histogram analysis of FLAIR hyperintense regions indicate that the 

---

**Fig. 3.** Possible nonenhancing tumor vs gliosis or edema. Axial T2-weighted images following surgical resection and chemoradiation (white arrows) of a left frontal anaplastic astrocytoma (A) with follow-up imaging performed 1 year (B) and 1-1/2 years (C) later demonstrate a slight increase in the amount of T2 hyperintensity along the lateral margin of the resection site (darker arrow in C), which may represent gliosis or edema. However, nonenhancing recurrent tumor cannot be excluded.

**Fig. 4.** DSC-MRI and nonenhancing tumor. Contrast-enhanced axial T1-weighted MRI (A) and color rCBV map (B) from DSC-MRI demonstrate a nonenhancing region (yellow oval, A) along the anterior aspect of an enhancing right-sided GBM with increased rCBV (white arrow, B), consistent with nonenhancing tumor.
development of enhancing lesions is associated with a shift toward lower ADC values, which can precede the appearance of contrast-enhancing tumor by an average of 3 months. Thus ADC-based metrics appear to have potential as early indicators of tumor progression, even during bevacizumab-associated suppression of tumor angiogenesis, contrast enhancement, and vasogenic edema.

One caveat to the application of DWI for identifying nonenhancing tumor is that lower ADC values do not always correlate with increasing tumor infiltration. Rather, very low and persistent diffusion restriction can be associated with nonviable tissue demonstrating atypical necrosis. These lesions tend to be periventricular, slowly change over many months, and are associated with better, rather than poorer, survival (Fig. 5). Persistent restricted diffusion has also been reported for bevacizumab-treated metastases. More broadly, this type of necrosis-associated restricted diffusion may be thought of as a type of treatment toxicity. Bevacizumab can induce stroke-like lesions as early as 4–8 weeks after start of therapy. Thus the interpretation of low ADC lesions in bevacizumab-treated gliomas must be made with caution.

**Distinguishing Progression from Pseudoprogression**

Pseudoprogression (PsP) represents transient increased contrast enhancement mimicking tumor progression and complicates response criteria for radiological progression (Fig. 6). Differentiation from progressive disease (PD) is important for avoiding premature trial failures and selecting timely alternative therapies. Its mechanism is incompletely understood but involves increased vascular permeability with edema and contrast enhancement that are difficult to distinguish from PD with conventional MRI. PWI and DWI have been proposed as useful adjunct imaging modalities for identifying PsP.

Although mean rCBV from DSC-MRI has consistently been shown to distinguish tumor and radiation necrosis in the setting of late-delayed progressive enhancement following radiation therapy, its utility for distinguishing PsP from PD in the setting of early-delayed progressive enhancement following temozolomide chemoradiation is more uncertain. There are several possible times for interpreting new or progressive enhancement for chemoradiation-treated gliomas, including early posttreatment to predict future response and at initial progressive enhancement to distinguish PsP and PD.

For early posttreatment evaluation, change in rCBV between baseline and follow-up 1 month after completion of temozolomide chemoradiation stratified OS in a study of 36 GBM tumors, whereas bidimensional enhancement measurements did not. In those patients with progressive enhancement at 1 month, increased mean lesion rCBV corresponded with PD, and decreased mean lesion rCBV with PsP, with favorable receiver operating characteristic curve analysis. However, another study of 27 HGGs 3 weeks after chemoradiation using parametric response maps paradoxically found the converse to be true, with reduced rCBV in lesions destined for PD. Thus the relationship between outcomes and changes in rCBV requires further investigation to clarify these potential discrepancies.

---

**Fig. 5.** Persistent diffusion restriction in recurrent GBM treated with bevacizumab. Contrast-enhanced axial T1-weighted image with fat saturation (A) demonstrates a necrotic, heterogeneously enhancing recurrent GBM in the left temporoparietal region. Follow-up imaging (B–D) after starting bevacizumab demonstrates marked decrease in contrast enhancement with development of prominent diffusion restriction (C, D: DWI and ADC map images, respectively). Another follow-up examination 2 months later (E–G) again demonstrates decreased contrast enhancement and persistent diffusion restriction.
Results are also conflicting for evaluation of disease status after initial progression of enhancement. Some studies have demonstrated significantly different median rCBV after temozolomide chemoradiation between PsP and PD using optimal thresholds of 1.379 and 1.8.80 Another study found significant difference in mean rCBV, but only in GBM with unmethylated rather than methylated O6-DNA methylguanine-methyltransferase.81 To overcome limitations associated with contrast leakage in accurately determining rCBV, ferumoxytol, an intravascular iron-based agent not susceptible to leakage effects, has been employed and compared with a GBCA. In a pilot study of 14 GBM tumors at initial progressive post-chemoradiation enhancement, there was much better separation of mean rCBV between PD and PsP with ferumoxytol than with the GBCA.82,83 Conversely, a retrospective study of HGGs treated with paclitaxel polyglumex, a powerful radiation sensitizer with a high incidence of profound PsP often coexistent with PD, found no significant difference in mean rCBV at initial progressive enhancement between lesions destined for PsP and PD.84

One possible explanation for these inconsistent results based on rCBV from a single time point for distinguishing PsP from PD is that coexistence of tumor and necrosis likely yields a spectrum of chemoradiation-induced vascular morphologies and potentially a wide range of vascular volumes.85 Therefore, especially early in lesion evolution, mean rCBV may be inadequate for capturing the dominant tumor behavior. Conversely, rCBV trends capturing temporal variation, or histograms identifying spatial variation, may be more descriptive and predictive. For instance, the paclitaxel study found that temporal changes in rCBV predicted lesion destiny, with rCBV trending downward.

Fig. 6. Pseudoprogression vs true progressive disease. Axial contrast-enhanced T1-weighted image 2 days after biopsy (A) of a right thalamic HGG shows faint enhancement in the right thalamic region. Four weeks after temozolomide chemoradiation there is progressive enhancement (B) concerning for PsP vs true PD. After an additional 4 weeks, there is further progressive contrast enhancement (C). Follow-up after 4 more weeks (D) shows continued progressive contrast enhancement consistent with true PD rather than PsP.
for PsP and upward for PD.\textsuperscript{84} Histogram analysis of rCBV was used to study 79 GBM cases after progressive post-chemoradiation enhancement, and changes in histogram skewness and kurtosis predicted lesion destiny.\textsuperscript{86} Similarly, fractional tumor volume using single-voxel rCBV thresholding with a cutoff of 1.0 has been shown to depict histologic tumor fraction in a study of 25 GBM tumors, and correlates with OS better than mean rCBV after progressive enhancement.\textsuperscript{87} Trends in combined rCBV and ADC histograms between initial progressive enhancement and first subsequent follow-up were also used to study 35 GBM cases with progressive enhancement, and an increased population of low change in rCBV and high change in ADC on subtracted histograms predicted PsP, with the converse true for PD.\textsuperscript{88} Emerging evidence therefore suggests that because of the complex pathophysiology of PsP and tendency for tumor and necrosis to coexist, rCBV analysis schemes that capture temporal (trends) and spatial (histogram) heterogeneity may have advantages over static measures of mean rCBV for distinguishing PsP and PD.

It is worth noting that multiple factors may impact reported literature results and threshold values of rCBV for distinguishing PsP from PD. These include mix of tumor grades; differences in chemoradiation; methods for confirming lesion destiny; variable DSC-MRI methodology, including acquisition parameters, preload and postprocessing leakage correction, and postprocessing software; timing of DSC-MRI in relation to initiation of chemoradiation; and data reduction strategies, including use of mean or median versus histograms or longitudinal trends. These sources for discrepancy emphasize the importance of careful consideration of methodological differences when adapting techniques from the literature, and the need for more imaging standardization.

DWI has also been investigated for differentiating PD from PsP, with the underlying assumption that PD will have higher cell density and lower corresponding ADC than PsP. ADC measured 2 months after completion of chemoradiation has shown promise in identifying PsP\textsuperscript{89} with high b-value acquisitions having potential benefit\textsuperscript{90} in combination with ADC histogram analysis. However, DWI alone may not have sufficient accuracy for clinical decision making, and multimodal approaches including DWI may be beneficial,\textsuperscript{91} with recent evidence\textsuperscript{92} that volume-weighted voxel-based multiparametric clustering is more reproducible and accurate than single-parameter measurements for differentiating PsP from early PD in GBM. Several other groups have reported similar results.\textsuperscript{93,94} Thus DWI may help improve the identification of PsP when used in combination with other physiologic imaging modalities; independently, its utility may be limited.

Another nascent application of PWI and DWI is the assessment of response to immunotherapy, which by virtue of induced inflammatory changes resulting in progressive contrast enhancement independent of tumor infiltration presents similar challenges to PsP.\textsuperscript{95} These changes may actually portend a better prognosis and reflect response to treatment, rather than tumor progression. Importantly, the effectiveness of the immune response may take several weeks to manifest in healthy individuals, and potentially even longer in cancer patients with immunosuppression. Thus the time course of response may be patient specific and depend on the exact type of immunotherapy used. Preliminary evidence suggests that rCBV and ADC may have a role in assessing tumor burden in these patients. For instance, in a pilot study of 8 GBM tumors treated with dendritic cell immune therapy, high maximum rCBV and low minimum ADC were associated with tumor progression and were helpful for distinguishing inflammatory change associated with immune response from PD.\textsuperscript{96} However, further investigation is required to confirm these results and to determine whether similar approaches to distinguish PsP from PD are translatable to other forms of immunotherapy.

![Fig. 7. Pseudoprogression and DSC-MRI. Contrast-enhanced axial T1-weighted MRI of a right-sided GBM shortly after temozolomide chemoradiation (A) demonstrates increased contrast enhancement suspicious for PD vs PsP. Color rCBV map from DSC-MRI (B) demonstrates low rCBV, consistent with PsP.](https://example.com/fig7)
Conclusion: General Recommendations, Standardization of Imaging for Brain Tumor Trials, and Emerging Imaging Methods

We have presented an overview of the principles of PWI and DWI and their application to response assessment and prognosis in patients with HGGs. Analysis methods of these functional techniques can vary, including: subjective/qualitative evaluation of parametric maps, user-defined ROI values (using mean, median, maximum, or minimum), histogram analysis, and voxel-wise analysis (ie, PRMs and fDMs). It should be noted that as universal quantitative imaging biomarker thresholds have not been established for various brain tumor applications, subjective/qualitative analysis is often used in the routine clinical setting. This is particularly true with rCBV maps, which are probably the most helpful problem-solving tool at this point, with good support in the literature.

While brain tumor imaging protocols vary widely across institutions, a few brief recommendations will be made with regard to PWI and DWI. We recommend the addition of at least DSC- and DWI-MRI to the standard contrast-enhanced MRI brain tumor protocol. For DSC-MRI, we recommend the use of a GBCA preload along with model-based postprocessing leakage correction for more accurate rCBV measurements. Longitudinal evaluation of rCBV from DSC-MRI can be especially helpful to identify active tumor.

However, it is clear that more technical standardization is required, particularly in the setting of multicenter brain tumor clinical trials. For physiologic MRI techniques such as DWI and PWI, efforts related to technical standardization, including optimal acquisition parameters, postprocessing methods/software, determination of repeatability and reproducibility, and quality control methods are ongoing. Much preliminary evidence indicates the ability of advanced imaging, when judiciously applied, to improve assessment of tumor burden and treatment response in patients with recurrent glioblastoma. Solidifying evidence of clinical impact on decision making and future inclusion of these techniques in multicenter trials require sustained and focused efforts but could significantly improve the process of translating effective therapy from the laboratory to the clinic.

Lastly, emerging fields like imaging genomics may change the way in which physiologic MRI is utilized for brain tumor patient care. Perfusion and diffusion MRI metrics may have underlying genomic correlates. Integration of the imaging and genomic data may improve our understanding of tumor biology as well as be the source of novel prognostic, predictive, and early response imaging biomarkers in the near future.
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