Large-volume low apparent diffusion coefficient lesions predict poor survival in bevacizumab-treated glioblastoma patients
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Background. Glioblastomas treated with bevacizumab may develop low-signal apparent diffusion coefficient (low-ADC) lesions, which may reflect increased tumor cellularity or atypical necrosis. The purpose of this study was to examine the relationship between low-ADC lesions and overall survival (OS). We hypothesized that growing low-ADC lesions would be associated with shorter OS.

Methods. We retrospectively identified 52 patients treated with bevacizumab for the first (n = 42, 81%) or later recurrence of primary glioblastoma, who had low-ADC lesions and 2 post-bevacizumab scans ≤90 days apart. Low-ADC lesion volumes were measured, and normalized 5th percentile histogram low-ADC values were recorded. Using OS as the primary endpoint, semiparametric Cox models were fitted to ascertain univariate and multivariate hazard ratios (HRs) with significance at \( P = .05 \).

Results. Median OS was 9.1 months (95% CI = 7.2–14.3). At the second post-bevacizumab scan, the volume of the low-ADC lesion (median: 12.94 cm\(^3\)) was inversely associated with OS, with larger volumes predicting shorter OS (HR = 1.014 [95% CI = 1.003–1.025], \( P = .009 \)). The percent change in low-ADC volume (median: 6.8%) trended toward increased risk of death with growing volumes (\( P = .08 \)). Normalized 5th percentile low-ADC value and its percent change were not associated with OS (\( P > .51 \)). Also correlated with shorter OS were the pre-bevacizumab nonenhancing volume (\( P = .025 \)), the first post-bevacizumab enhancing volume (\( P = .040 \)), and the second post-bevacizumab enhancing volume (\( P = .004 \)).

Conclusions. The volume of low-ADC lesions at the second post-bevacizumab scan predicted shorter OS. This suggests that low-ADC lesions may be considered important imaging markers and included in treatment decision algorithms.
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Glioblastomas are the most common malignant primary brain tumors in the United States. These tumors secrete high levels of vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) that promote angiogenesis and vascular permeability to drive tumor progression. Bevacizumab is a humanized recombinant monoclonal antibody that blocks the binding of VEGF to its tyrosine kinase receptors, Flt1 and KDR, on endothelial cells. Bevacizumab has been shown to decrease neovascularity and permeability of the blood-brain barrier and induce normalization of remaining tumoral vessels. In patients with recurrent glioblastoma, studies have also demonstrated improvements in radiographic response, progression-free survival (PFS) and symptoms after treatment with bevacizumab.

Bevacizumab may induce rapid and potent suppression of enhancement due to VEGF-mediated effects upon the vasculature even without actual antitumor activity. This phenomenon may explain the observed modest, inconsistent improvements in overall survival (OS) despite prolongations in PFS, which are...
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determined based on clinical and imaging criteria.\textsuperscript{15} Determining progression of disease (PD) in bevacizumab-treated glioblastomas is often difficult due to the suppression of enhancement that may result in pseudoresponse rather than true tumor response.\textsuperscript{16,17} This limitation was recognized by the widely adopted Response Assessment in Neuro-Oncology (RANO) response criteria, which added a significant increase in nonenhancing disease as a new criterion for PD.\textsuperscript{18} In setting of antiangiogenic therapy, not only is the relationship between enhancement and active tumor imperfect, evaluation of change in the size of an enhancing mass is also unreliable. Therefore, there has been great interest in applying functional imaging techniques to characterize tumor activity more accurately.

Diffusion-weighted imaging (DWI) is an advanced MRI technique that allows in vivo quantification of water motion. The parameter of water diffusion is independent from the parameters involved in enhancement (ie, microvascular density, neoangiogenesis, blood-brain barrier disruption),\textsuperscript{19,20} suggesting that it may have a role in patients receiving antiangiogenic therapy. Increased diffusion restriction manifests as low signal intensity on apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC) maps (low-ADC) and has been correlated with high tumor cellularity and upregulated VEGF.\textsuperscript{21,22} In contrast, elevated diffusion manifests as high signal intensity on ADC maps (high-ADC) and has been correlated with treatment-induced and tumor growth-induced necrosis and disruptions in cellular integrity.\textsuperscript{23–25} Researchers have suggested that bevacizumab may prolong PFS in patients with necrotic tumors and high ADC lesions more than in patients with nonnecrotic tumors.\textsuperscript{26} Interestingly, Mong et al.\textsuperscript{27} also described a subset of bevacizumab-treated patients with glioblastoma with stable low-ADC lesions as having improved outcomes, viewing the low-ADC lesions as a reflection of unique, bevacizumab-related gelatinous necrosis rather than highly cellular tumor. In our clinical practice, we have observed growing low-ADC lesions in bevacizumab-treated glioblastomas. The purpose of this study was to examine the changes in these low-ADC lesions over time and their possible implications for OS. We hypothesized that growing low-ADC lesions would predict poor OS.

**Materials and Methods**

**Study Design**

This retrospective study was granted a waiver of informed consent by the local Institutional Review Board. The study was conducted in a manner compliant with Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA) regulations and with the approval of the hospital Privacy Board.

**Patient Population**

Inclusion criteria for our study were as follows: (i) treatment received for primary glioblastoma consisting of surgical resection and standard partial brain radiation therapy (RT) with concomitant and adjuvant temozolomide chemotherapy; (ii) treatment with bevacizumab for recurrent tumor; (iii) first and second post-bevacizumab MRI scans performed ≤90 days apart; and (iv) presence of one or more low-ADC lesions on the post-bevacizumab MRI scans, as determined by visual inspection. Bevacizumab was administered at standard doses of 10 mg/kg intravenously every 2 weeks. Patients who received bevacizumab as part of their initial treatment regimen (ie, before tumor recurrence) were excluded from the study, as were patients who received alternative chemotherapy regimens. From an institutional database, we retrospectively identified a total of 164 consecutive patients with primary glioblastoma treated with bevacizumab over an 18-month period from January 2009 to June 2010. As summarized in Figure 1, 52 cases were eligible for inclusion in this study. Full chart reviews were performed by an experienced neuro-oncologist to determine the patients’ treatment course including date of diagnosis, surgical resection, RT, chemotherapy, dates of disease progression, date of death, and clinical variables including age and Karnofsky performance scale (KPS).

**Diffusion-weighted Imaging: Acquisition and Analysis**

MRI scans were obtained using 1.5-Tesla and 3-Tesla magnets (Signa Excite/HDx and Discovery 450/750, GE Healthcare). Axial DWI was acquired using a single-shot echo-planar imaging sequence with an acquisition with b = 0 and 3 diffusion-weighted acquisitions with b = 1000 s/mm\(^2\).

Two trained operators (each with 1 year of experience in MRI postprocessing) performed ADC analyses under the direct supervision of a board-certified neuroradiologist who holds a Certificate of Added Qualification in Neuroradiology (with 15 years of experience). The DWI and axial contrast-enhanced T1-weighted images were transferred to an off-line workstation and analyzed using available commercial software (nordicICE, NordicNeuroLab). ADC maps were calculated from the DWI, co-registered with the contrast-enhanced T1-weighted images, and then displayed as overlays. For each scan, a region-of-interest (ROI) was manually delineated around the low-signal lesion on every axial ADC slice. The ROIs were visually verified to include only high-signal areas on the DWI and were also compared against the remaining standard MRI images to exclude hemorrhage and nonenhancing cystic or necrotic areas, although the ADC maps were only explicitly co-registered to the contrast-enhanced T1-weighted images. The low-ADC lesions were always located within the tumor-related fluid-attenuated inversion recovery (FLAIR) hyperintense abnormality. The set of ROIs was then integrated to construct a volume-of-interest (VOI) of the low-ADC lesion recorded in cubic centimeters. The ADC values from the VOI were binned into a histogram and then normalized using the mean ADC obtained from a ROI placed in the contralateral normal-appearing white matter. From the normalized ADC histogram, the bottom 5th percentile was calculated and recorded as the normalized 5th percentile low-ADC value.\textsuperscript{26,27} The percent change was calculated between the pre-bevacizumab and first post-bevacizumab scans as \([(first\ post\ scan)-(pre\ scan)]/[(pre\ scan)]\) and between the second post-bevacizumab and first post-bevacizumab scans as \([(second\ post\ scan)-(first\ post\ scan)]/[(first\ post\ scan)]\) in patients who underwent resection of their low-ADC lesions, the preoperative or last MRI was analyzed, and a VOI was constructed around the low-ADC lesion. The values were binned into a histogram, and the mean low-ADC value was
recorded. For these patients, the mean low-ADC values were not normalized as per LaViolette et al.30

Enhancing and Nonenhancing Acquisition and Analysis
Standard multiplanar T1-weighted, T2-weighted, FLAIR, and contrast-enhanced T1-weighted images were also obtained, along with gradient echo (n = 20) or susceptibility-weighted (n = 19) images. In every patient, a neuroradiologist blinded to the DWI and ADC maps examined the MRI scans, and a VOI was manually constructed around the enhancing tumor while excluding vessels, hemorrhage, and mineralization. A VOI was also manually constructed around the nonenhancing lesion based on the FLAIR images. The VOIs of the enhancing tumor and nonenhancing lesion were recorded in cubic centimeters. The nonenhancing lesion may consist of solid nonenhancing tumor, infiltrating tumor cells, and/or bland edema; although the powerful anti-VEGF and antiedema effects of bevacizumab probably render nonenhancing tumor the predominant constituent at the first and second post-bevacizumab scans.

Statistical Analysis
The primary endpoint was survival, with OS calculated from the bevacizumab start date to the date of death. Age, low-ADC volume and percent change, ADC values and percent change, enhancing volume and percent change, and nonenhancing volume and percent change were all expressed as continuous variables. KPS score (≥80 vs <80) and whether patients were treated at first or subsequent progressions were incorporated as dichotomous variables. In order to incorporate multiple clinical factors, semiparametric Cox models were fitted to ascertain univariate and multivariate HRs. Kaplan-Meier curves were constructed to show the OS versus the percent change in low-ADC volume, as dichotomized by the median change. Candidate clinical factors and imaging factors at the second post-bevacizumab scan with \( P < .10 \) on univariate analysis were incorporated into a multivariate analysis model in a stepwise selection process. To reduce potential systematic underestimation of the rate ratio,31,32 we also calculated an immortal time bias corrected OS (OS ITBC) from the date of the second post-bevacizumab scan. To evaluate changes in low-ADC volume, Fisher’s exact tests were used. For all analyses and 95% confidence intervals, statistical significance was 2-sided with \( P = .05 \). Statistical analyses were performed using R (version 3.0.1; R Development Core Team) with the “survival” package.

Results

Patient Characteristics
As summarized in Table 1, the 52 patients in the study cohort had a median age of 62.7 years with 31 (60%) men and 21 (40%) women. Of the 46 patients with known KPS, the majority of patients (n = 34, 74%) had KPS ≥ 80. Most patients received bevacizumab for first progression (n = 42, 81%). The median time from starting bevacizumab to the first post-bevacizumab scan was 42 days (range: 7–108 d) and from the first to the second post-bevacizumab scan was 14 days (range: 7–70 d).

Low-ADC Lesion Analysis
A low-ADC lesion was present before bevacizumab in the nearly two-thirds of the patients (n = 34, 65.4%). As summarized in Table 2, the median low-ADC lesion volume at the pre-bevacizumab scan was 12.82 cm³ (range: 0.70–167.39), at the first post-bevacizumab scan was 15.20 cm³ (range: 0.41–146.07)
and at the second post-bevacizumab scan was 12.94 cm$^3$ (range: 0.67–263.90). Low-ADC lesions larger than the median volume at the second post-bevacizumab scan were also larger at the first post-bevacizumab scan ($P<.001$). The median volume change to the first post-bevacizumab scan from the pre-bevacizumab scan was −7.0% (range: −79.7% to 406.9%), and to the second post-bevacizumab scan from the first post-bevacizumab scan was 6.8% (range: −95.2% to 1,117.0%), with no correlation for the volume changes between scans ($P> .26$). The median normalized 5th percentile low-ADC values and the changes at the first and second post-bevacizumab scans were not significant ($P>.52$). A representative case is shown in Figure 2.

Pathology of the low-ADC lesion was available for 4 patients after gross total resection (n = 2), subtotal resection (n = 1), or autopsy (n = 1). All 4 patients showed persistent/recurrent tumor: 3 patients had only tumor as illustrated in Figure 3, and one patient had tumor admixed with necrotizing treatment effects. In these 4 patients, the mean low-ADC value was $0.828 \times 10^{-3}$ mm$^2$/s (range: 0.775–0.878).

### Low-ADC Survival Analysis

As summarized in Table 2, survival analysis showed that all patients died during follow-up with median OS of 9.1 months (95% CI = 7.2–14.3). In univariate analyses, the absolute volume of the low-ADC lesion at the second post-bevacizumab scan was a significant predictor of OS, with larger volumes associated with shorter OS (HR = 1.014 [95% CI = 1.003–1.025], $P = .009$). The other metrics were not statistically significant ($P>.11$) including the normalized 5th percentile low-ADC value ($P=.52$) and the change in normalized 5th percentile low-ADC ($P>.71$). When the change in low-ADC volume was dichotomized by the median 6.8%, there was no difference in survival (HR = 1.16 [95% CI = 0.69–2.11], $P=.51$). There was no difference in OS between patients who had low-ADC lesions before bevacizumab (n = 26, 50%) or who developed low-ADC lesions after beginning bevacizumab ($P=.60$).

The top 3 clinical and second post-bevacizumab scan factors from the univariate analysis (age, low-ADC volume, and Δ low-ADC volume) were selected for the final multivariate analysis, which showed that only low-ADC volume remained a significant predictor of OS (HR = 1.01 [95% CI = 1.002–1.024], $P=.019$).

When calculating OS$_{TRC}$ from the second post-bevacizumab scan in order to minimize immortal time bias, the median OS$_{TRC}$ was 6.1 months (95% CI = 4.4–11.5). The absolute volume of the low-ADC lesion remained a predictor of OS$_{TRC}$ at univariate (HR = 1.015 [95% CI = 1.010–1.030], $P=.003$) and multivariate (HR = 1.014 [95% CI = 1.003–1.020], $P=.009$) analysis. The percent change in low-ADC volume from the first to the second post-bevacizumab scan showed a small trend toward a higher risk of death for patients with growing volumes (HR = 1.001 [95% CI = 0.999–1.003], $P=.08$), while the other metrics were not significant ($P>.51$).

### Enhancing and Nonenhancing Survival Analysis

At the pre-bevacizumab scan, the volume of the nonenhancing lesion was a significant predictor of OS (HR = 1.004 [95% CI = 1.000–1.008], $P=.025$), while the other parameters including the volume of the enhancing lesion were not ($P>.22$).

At the first post-bevacizumab scan, the volume of the enhancing tumor was a significant predictor of OS (HR = 1.015 [95% CI = 1.001–1.029], $P=.040$), while the other parameters including percent change were not ($P> .11$). At the second post-bevacizumab scan, in addition to the volume of the low-ADC lesion described above ($P<.009$), the volume of the enhancing tumor was again a significant predictor of OS (HR = 1.017 [95% CI = 1.005–1.028], $P=.004$), while the other parameters were not ($P>.11$).

### Discussion

In patients with glioblastoma treated with bevacizumab for progression, we found that larger low-ADC volume lesions at the second post-bevacizumab scan correlated with worse OS. For each 1 cm$^3$ increase in low-ADC volume from the observed range (median = 12.94 cm$^3$, simplified to 13 cm$^3$ in this discussion), there was a 1.4% increase in the risk of death. For example, a theoretical spherical tumor at the second post-bevacizumab

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1. Patient demographics and treatments</th>
<th>N (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Patients with confirmed glioblastoma</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median age, range (y)</td>
<td>62, 28–80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median KPS, range</td>
<td>90, 50–100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KPS &lt; 80</td>
<td>12 (23)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KPS ≥ 80</td>
<td>34 (65)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KPS missing</td>
<td>6 (12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men</td>
<td>31 (60)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women</td>
<td>21 (40)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Progression</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First progression</td>
<td>42 (81)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second or later progression</td>
<td>10 (19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median weeks on bevacizum (range)</td>
<td>24 (7–105)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjuvant therapy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concurrent with bevacizum$^a$</td>
<td>36 (69)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After stopping bevacizum$^b$</td>
<td>12 (23)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for stopping bevacizum</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Progression of disease or death</td>
<td>47 (90)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adverse event related to bevacizum$^c$</td>
<td>5 (10)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$Treatments concurrent with bevacizum: temozolomide (n = 15), carboplatin (n = 12), carmustine (n = 12), lomustine (n = 4), lapatinib (n = 3), etoposide (n = 3), irinotecan (n = 1), and radiation therapy (n = 2). Several patients received multiple sequential regimens concurrent with bevacizumab (n = 16).

$^b$Treatments following bevacizumab: carboplatin (n = 3), carmustine (n = 2), etoposide (n = 2), temozolomide (n = 2), gamma-knife radiosurgery (n = 1); and clinical trials: sorafenib and tipirifarnib (n = 2), cediranib and cilengitide (n = 1), cabozantinib (n = 1), perifosine and temsirolimus (n = 1), and dendritic cell vaccination (n = 1). Several patients received multiple regimens after discontinuation of bevacizumab (n = 4).

$^c$Adverse events included: hypertension (n = 2), intracranial hemorrhage (n = 1), pulmonary embolism (n = 1), and myocardial infarction (n = 1).
scan with diameter = 4 cm (radius = 2 cm) that has a volume of 33.5 cm³ (volume = \(\frac{4}{3}\pi\text{radius}^3\)) would have a 33% increase in risk of death (HR = [1.014]²⁰.⁵). It is notable, however, that a 25% increase in the product of bidimensional diameters qualifying as progressive disease according to the RANO criteria is equivalent to a 40% increase in volume and would rapidly increase the risk of death based on the absolute volume.

These results suggest that low-ADC lesions may be a marker for tumor and shorter survival, analogous to the manner in which enhancing lesions have been associated with increased risk of death.³³

Low-ADC values have been correlated with increased tumor cellularity, glioma grade, cellular proliferation, and ischemia.²¹,³⁴–³⁶ In untreated tumors, cellularity is likely the primary contributor to low-ADC values and may predict tumor progression and worse prognosis.²⁶,³⁶–³⁹ With treatment, multiple other factors contribute to low-ADC values including cell death, necrosis, edema, gliosis, hemorrhage, and/or mineralization, all of which may affect the Brownian movement of water. In bevacizumab-treated tumors, imaging and pathologic studies suggest a competing mechanism of tumor hypoxia due to insufficient vascular proliferation.³⁶,⁴⁰ Hypoxia is a powerful stimulant of tumor growth and invasiveness.³¹,³² Growth of the nonenhancing tumor with bevacizumab therapy may be due to stimulation of invasive tumor cells by bevacizumab and/or to failure of bevacizumab to target tumor cells that are not dependent on angiogenesis.¹¹,³³,³⁴

Researchers have reported that pretreatment ADC assessments are helpful in identifying patients likely to respond to bevacizumab.²⁶,³⁷ Our results indicate that ADC assessments during treatment are also helpful, with larger low-ADC volumes at the second post-bevacizumab scan predicting shorter survival. A recent paper by Mong et al.²⁷ described stable low-ADC volumes as a favorable prognostic marker. In their study of patients with high-grade gliomas receiving bevacizumab who had low-ADC lesions present for at least 2 months, they found prolonged time to progression (median 248 d vs. 159 d) and prolonged OS (mean 1676 d vs. 633 d) as compared with matched controls without low-ADC lesions. Aside from 2 patients who showed doubling of median volume over 6 months, most patients (90%) demonstrated stable volumes over 6 months. Three-fourths of their low-ADC lesions developed

### Table 2. Summary of results, with hazard ratios and P values calculated for overall survival from start of bevacizumab therapy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Median (range)</th>
<th>HR⁵ (95% CI)</th>
<th>P Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>0.983 (0.959–1.008)</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KPS (&lt;80 vs ≥80)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1.159 (0.571–2.354)</td>
<td>0.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First vs later progression</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1.077 (0.528–2.197)</td>
<td>0.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low-ADC lesion present before beginning bevacizumab</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1.160 (0.663–2.030)</td>
<td>0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-bevacizumab scan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonenhancing volume, cm³</td>
<td>120.71 (14.36–412.04)</td>
<td>1.004 (1.000–1.008)</td>
<td>.025⁶</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enhancing volume, cm³</td>
<td>29.61 (1.76–87.59)</td>
<td>1.007 (0.996–1.020)</td>
<td>.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low-ADC volume, cm³</td>
<td>12.82 (0.70–167.39)</td>
<td>0.998 (0.987–1.009)</td>
<td>.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normalized 5th percentile low-ADC</td>
<td>1.018 (0.510–1.633)</td>
<td>1.112 (0.242–5.119)</td>
<td>.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First post-bevacizumab scan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonenhancing volume, cm³</td>
<td>64.18 (5.90–269.88)</td>
<td>1.001 (0.996–1.007)</td>
<td>.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enhancing volume, cm³</td>
<td>13.58 (0.07–85.88)</td>
<td>1.015 (1.001–1.029)</td>
<td>.040⁶</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low-ADC volume, cm³</td>
<td>15.20 (0.41–146.07)</td>
<td>1.010 (0.999–1.020)</td>
<td>.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normalized 5th percentile low-ADC</td>
<td>0.884 (0.452–1.246)</td>
<td>1.066 (0.171–6.635)</td>
<td>.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd post-bevacizumab scan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonenhancing volume, cm³</td>
<td>53.14 (2.72–490.49)</td>
<td>1.004 (0.999–1.008)</td>
<td>.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enhancing volume, cm³</td>
<td>10.47 (0.01–120.89)</td>
<td>1.017 (1.005–1.028)</td>
<td>.004⁶</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low-ADC volume, cm³</td>
<td>12.94 (0.66–263.87)</td>
<td>1.014 (1.003–1.025)</td>
<td>.009⁶</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normalized 5th percentile low-ADC</td>
<td>0.904 (0.020–1.925)</td>
<td>0.57 (0.11–3.06)</td>
<td>.52</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Abbreviations: ADC, apparent diffusion coefficient; CI, confidence interval; HR, hazard ratio.²¹,³⁴

²³Calculated from pre-bevacizumab.
²⁴Calculated from first post-bevacizumab.
²⁵HR per 1 cm³ increase from the median 13 cm³.
²⁶Statistically significant.
after initiation of bevacizumab. In addition, their sample of patients with glioma was more heterogeneous than ours, with only two-thirds having glioblastoma \((n = 14)\) and only four-fifths being treated for recurrence. Their results suggest that low-ADC lesions preselected for stability tended to remain stable (ie, patients preselected as bevacizumab

![Fig. 2. Representative low-apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC) lesion. Axial fluid-attenuated inversion recovery (FLAIR) (A) contrast T1-weighted, (B) diffusion-weighted imaging, (C) and ADC (D) images at first post-bevacizumab scan and corresponding images at second post-bevacizumab scan (E-H). Representative glioblastoma showing a small enhancing lesion in the left peritrigonal region with low-ADC signal (arrow, D). Two months later, the peritrigonal lesion shows increased low-ADC volume (H). There is also mild ill-defined peripheral enhancement, which is typical with antiangiogenic therapy (low arrow, F), and multifocal enhancing lesions in the anterior corpus callosum and frontal lobes (double arrows, F) that did not show low-ADC signal. The peritrigonal lesion had a 93% increase in low-ADC volume, and the patient expired 2.1 months after the second scan.

![Fig. 3. Autopsy section. Hematoxylin-eosin staining at 20x magnification (A, scale bar is 100 µm) reveals recurrent classic glioblastoma histology with dense tumor cellularity and necrosis with perinecrotic pseudopalisading (left). The 40x magnification (B, scale bar is 50 µm) confirms dense tumor cellularity and necrosis (lower left).](https://academic.oup.com/neuro-oncology/article-abstract/18/5/735/1752254)
responders with stable low-ADC tumors demonstrated improved survival).

Other authors have also examined low-ADC lesions in glioma patients treated with bevacizumab and described atypical gelatinous, coagulative, or calcified necrosis at patholo-


gy.27,30,45–47 In 2 high-grade glioma patients treated with bev-

acizumab, LaViolette et al30 described low-ADC values (0.593 and 0.637 \( \times 10^{-3} \) mm\(^2\)/s) in areas of diffusion-restricted necro-
sis at autopsy that were significantly lower than low-ADC val-
u

ues (0.643 and 0.786 \( \times 10^{-3} \) mm\(^2\)/s) in adjacent areas of

The correlation in larger numbers of patients is necessary to better under-

stand the origin(s) and implications of these low-ADC le-

sions. Further functional characterization of these low-ADC lesions by perfusion or spectroscopic MRI or PET scanning may be help-

ful in determining their etiology and need for treatment.

While the purpose of this study was to examine the implica-
tions of the low-ADC lesions in patients receiving bevacizumab therapy, we also found the nonenhancing volume at the pre-

bevacizumab scan (\( P = .025 \)) and the enhancing volumes at the first and second post-bevacizumab scans (\( P = .040 \) and 

.004, respectively) to predict shorter OS. The changes in nonen-

hancing volumes and enhancing volumes between scans, how-

ever, were not significant (\( P \geq .11 \)). Kickingeder et al51 also re-

ported that enhancing and nonenhancing volumes and their respective changes at first follow-up were predictors of 6-month PFS and 12-month OS (\( P \leq .02 \)) in bevacizumab-treated recurrent glioblastomas. Two-dimensional estimates of enhancing and nonenhancing disease are already incorpo-

rated into the RANO criteria.18 Our low-ADC results are particu-

larly important because DWI provides unique functional data about tumor biology; therefore, the status of these low-ADC le-

sions at the second post-bevacizumab scan may be useful as an independent imaging biomarker for predicting patient sur-

vival. While the low-ADC results were only applicable at the sec-

ond post-bevacizumab scan, after the nonenhancing and enhancing results at the pre-bevacizumab and first post-

bevacizumab scans, they offer the advantage of directly provid-

ing prognostic information from the visually apparent diffusion

abnormalities.

Several potential limitations were encountered. First, be-

cause this was a retrospective observational study, histo-

pathologic evaluation of the low-ADC lesions was possible in

only a minority of patients. In addition, by requiring patients

to have had 2 MRI scans <3 months after beginning bevacizu-

mab, we may have excluded patients who progressed rapidly or otherwise did not survive to a second scan. Second, the ADC le-

sions varied in some patients from homogeneously low in sig-

nal to heterogeneously low and high in signal. In the latter case, we relied on the volumetric histogram analysis to mini-

mize potential operator bias in lesion selection and filter for the

smallest low-ADC values. Third, we did not specifically com-

pare the low-ADC analysis with other proposed imaging bio-

markers such as dynamic contrast susceptibility contrast (DSC) perfusion.51,52 While these perfusion methods are in-

creasing in popularity, their acquisition and analysis are varied, while DWI is part of the standard brain protocol at most imag-

ing centers and therefore remains the most widely performed functional sequence. Since we did not detect a correlation be-

tween the low-ADC values and OS, our results suggest that visual analysis of low-ADC lesions and estimates of lesion

values comparable to those reported by LaViolette et al—but

the low-ADC lesions for 4 of our patients—with mean low-ADC

d they do not help confirm the presence of extra-low-ADC values

in hypercellular recurrent gliomas than in

es invo-

cated in bevacizumab-related necrosis. In the non-bevacizumab liter-

ature, the opposite has been reported, with low-ADC values de-

cribed as lower in hypercellular recurrent gliomas than in treat-

ment-related necrosis.48,49 While we acknowledge that

some low-ADC lesions may represent bevacizumab-related nec-

rosis, we postulate that some large and growing low-ADC vol-

tumes, as observed in our cohort, might instead represent cellular and/or hypoxic glioblastoma. In the few patients (n =

4, 7.7%) in our cohort who had post–bevacizumab pathology

available for analysis, we found clear evidence of recurrent tumor in every case. Admittedly, given the small sample size, it is possible that some or many of our low-ADC lesions may also have represented co-existing or only bevacizumab-related necrosis (as one of the pathology cases showed both recurrent tumor and treatment necrosis). Furthermore, we acknowledge that we have observed individual patients with large low-ADC lesions occasionally survive for long periods of time without fur-

ther progression, as described by other authors.17 It is possible that these entities represent a spectrum, with progressively lower ADC-values occurring with non-bevacizumab related necrosis, then non-bevacizumab-related or bevacizumab-related tumor, and finally bevacizumab-related necrosis. The co-

existence of these entities may explain why we did not detect a survival difference based on change in volume of the low-ADC lesion between scans, as both necrosis and tumor may expand during bevacizumab treatment. Nevertheless, our results show that in a group-wise analysis, large low-ADC volumes are corre-

lated with shorter survival. Additional research with pathologic correlation in larger numbers of patients is necessary to better understand the origin(s) and implications of these low-ADC le-

sions with and without bevacizumab therapy.

We did not detect a correlation between change in the nor-

malized 5th percentile low-ADC values and OS. This result con-

trasts with the findings of Jain et al,50 who detected decreasing low-ADC values in 20 progressive recurrent gliomas (80% of

which were glioblastomas) treated with bevacizumab but not in nonprogressing gliomas. To measure absolute ADC values, they drew VOIs on contrast T1-weighted and FLAIR images to encompass enhancing and nonenhancing tumor. Those VOIs were then co-registered to the ADC maps to obtain absolute measurements. In contrast, our VOIs were identified and drawn directly on the ADC maps and verified against the DWI and contrast T1-weighted images. Our 5th percentile low-ADCs were also normalized to the contralateral brain. These subtle methodological differences may explain why we detected no correlation. By focusing primarily on the low-ADC lesions, however, we were able to demonstrate a correlation between absolute volume and OS. This suggests that diffusion imaging has an important role in the evaluation of glioblastomas on bevacizumab and that ADC results should be considered when making treatment management decisions, despite their omission from standardized response criteria. While the etiolo-
gy of low-ADC lesions often remains unclear, we propose that large low-ADC lesions be viewed as suspicious for cellular and/or hypoxic tumor and as possible predictors of shorter survival, especially when they are growing in size. Lesions that are sta-

eble, even if they are large, could be followed closely, given the possibility of atypical gelatinous necrosis and longer survival.


volumes are sufficient to quickly estimate HRs. Lastly, we used OS as the primary endpoint. While not susceptible to the subjective definitions that may limit common surrogates such as PFS, OS may be affected by the timing of first versus second or later recurrence, and the efficacy of subsequent salvage therapies. Further complicating estimates of survival, some of our neuro-oncologists may have changed treatment based on the growing low-ADC volumes. We observed similar results whether we calculated OS from the start of bevacizumab treatment or OSITBC from the second post-bevacizumab scan intended to reduce potential lead-time survivor bias.

In conclusion, we found that low-ADC lesion volumes in bevacizumab-treated glioblastomas were inversely associated with survival, and there was a trend toward shorter survival for patients with growing low-ADC lesions. Histogram quantification of low-ADC values, however, did not correlate with patient outcome. These results suggest that visually apparent large low-ADC lesions in patients being treated with bevacizumab may be considered important prognostic imaging markers and included in treatment decision algorithms, to prompt close follow-up or potential consideration for new treatment options or modifications.
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