Cumulative risk on the oxytocin receptor gene (OXTR) underpins empathic communication difficulties at the first stages of romantic love
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Empathic communication between couples plays an important role in relationship quality and individual well-being and research has pointed to the role of oxytocin in providing the neurobiological substrate for pair-bonding and empathy. Here, we examined links between genetic variability on the oxytocin receptor gene (OXTR) and empathic behaviour at the initiation of romantic love. Allelic variations on five OXTR single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) previously associated with susceptibility to disorders of social functioning were genotyped in 120 new lovers: OXTR rs13316193, rs2254298, rs1042778, rs2268494 and rs2268490. Cumulative genetic risk was computed by summing risk alleles on each SNP. Couples were observed in support-giving interaction and behaviour was coded for empathic communication, including affective congruence, maintaining focus on partner, acknowledging partner's distress, reciprocal exchange and non-verbal empathy. Hierarchical linear modelling indicated that individuals with high OXTR risk exhibited difficulties in empathic communication. OXTR risk predicted empathic difficulties above and beyond the couple level, relationship duration, and anxiety and depressive symptoms. Findings underscore the involvement of oxytocin in empathic behaviour during the early stages of social affiliation, and suggest the utility of cumulative risk and plasticity indices on the OXTR as potential biomarkers for research on disorders of social dysfunction and the neurobiology of empathy.

Keywords: oxytocin; OXTR; empathy; romantic relationships; bonding; genetic risk

INTRODUCTION

Extant evidence suggests that intimate relationships exert both beneficial and harmful effects on the individual’s physical and psychological health (Cohen and Wills, 1985; Enns et al., 2002; Anderson and Saunders, 2003). Communication patterns within romantic relationships are central predictors of adults’ well-being and life satisfaction (Burman and Margolin, 1992; Gottman and Levenson, 1992), and research has demonstrated associations between positive communication between couples and marital satisfaction. On the other hand, communication difficulties often precede the onset of marital distress (Markman, 1981) and serve as better predictors of daily marital dissatisfaction as compared to problems in other domains (Jacobson and Moore, 1981). Observational studies have further confirmed that interaction patterns between couples are reliable predictors of change in marital stability (Gottman and Levenson, 1992). In particular, studies employing direct observations of dyadic behaviour indicated that empathic communication patterns serve as important building blocks of the couple’s relationship, whereas empathic difficulties may play a causal role in relationship decline and the development of psychopathology (Gottman and Levenson, 1992; Lyons-Ruth, 2008). As relational patterns tend to persist over time and relational difficulties often escalate into behavioural cycles that may lead to separation or divorce, assessing the couple’s behaviour at the first months of pair-bonding and identifying biomarkers that may underpin empathic communication difficulties during this period is of empirical and clinical relevance.

The major neuroendocrine system mediating bond formation is the oxytocin (OT) system (Carter, 1998). Research in both human and non-human mammals has implicated OT, a neuropeptide synthesized primarily in the paraventricular and supraoptic nuclei of the hypothalamus, in social cognition, social behaviour and affiliation (Hollander et al., 2007; Acevedo et al., 2011; Nagasawa et al., 2012). Furthermore, disruptions to OT are found in disorders involving severe dysfunction to social communication, including autism, depression and schizophrenia (Gregory et al., 2009; Souza et al., 2010; Apter-Levi et al., 2013; Mah et al., 2013). Animal studies indicate that OT receptor (OXTR) distributions across multiple areas of the brain mediate reproductive and sexual behaviour, parental care, social memory and attachment (Insel and Hulihan, 1995; Champagne et al., 2001; Burri et al., 2008; Feifel et al., 2012). In addition, OT has shown to support processes of pair-bonding in humans and mammals (Bales et al., 2007; Feldman et al., 2012). OT is essential for the formation and regulation of pair-bonding behaviour in monogamous prairie voles (Microtus ochrogaster), especially in females (Insel and Hulihan, 1995; Young and Wang, 2004); central OT administration facilitates partner preference in female prairie voles (Williams et al., 1994); and an OT antagonist prevents pair-bond formation (Insel and Hulihan, 1995). In humans, plasma OT levels show a substantial increase during the first year of romantic relationships in both men and women as compared to romantically unattached individuals (Schneiderman et al., 2012), and peripheral OT is linked with affiliation, emotional support and positive communication between couples (Grewen, 2005; Gonzaga et al., 2006; Holt-Lunstad et al., 2008). Imaging studies of romantically attached individuals showed neural activations in regions associated with pair-bonding in monogamous prairie voles (Acevedo et al., 2011), and intranasal OT administration increased positive communication between couples (Ditzen et al., 2009). Furthermore, intranasal OT administration was found to enhance emotional empathy and positive communication and to modulate amygdala activity (Ditzen et al., 2008; Singer et al., 2008; Bartz et al., 2010; Hurlemann et al., 2010). Finally, Walum et al. (2012) found associations between allelic variations on the OXTR gene and self-reported bonding behaviour.

Although social behaviour is a complex multi-dimensional phenotype, twin and family studies suggest moderate heritability of social traits, including empathy, aggression and prosocial behaviour (Ebstein
et al., 2010). As OT is highly conserved across mammalian evolution (Insel and Young, 2000), it is possible that OXTR gene polymorphisms may underpin individual differences in social behaviour and empathy. In humans, the OXTR gene is localized as a single copy on chromosome 17, contains three introns and four exons (Inoue et al., 1994), and codes for the OT receptor (OXTR) which belongs to the class I G protein-coupled receptor family (Gimpl and Fahrenholz, 2001). Several single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) on the OXTR gene have been associated with prosocial behaviour and bonding as well as with greater susceptibility to psychopathology (Lerer et al., 2007; Israel et al., 2009; Wu et al., 2012; Feldman et al., 2012, 2013). In particular, the SNP rs13316193 C allele has been associated with empathy (Wu et al., 2012), whereas the T allele has been linked to decreased expression of OT receptors in the brain, depressive mood and greater risk for Autism Spectrum Disorder (ASD) (Lerer et al., 2007; Kawamura et al., 2010; Tansey et al., 2010). The G allele of the OXTR rs2254298 has been related to greater risk for autism, depression and separation anxiety (Jacob et al., 2007; Lerer et al., 2007; Costa et al., 2009) and with amygdala volume decrease in both Caucasian and Asian populations (Inoue et al., 2010; Furman et al., 2011). The T allele of the OXTR rs2268490 and the G allele of the OXTR rs1042778 were each implicated in prosocial decision making (Israel et al., 2009), and carriers of the rs1042778 G allele exhibited more parenting behaviour and had higher plasma OT levels than T carriers (Feldman et al., 2012). The A allele of the SNP rs2268494 was associated with risk for ASD (Lerer et al., 2007). Finally, the SNP rs53576 has been associated with autism, empathy and prosocial behaviour (Wu et al., 2005; Rodrigues et al., 2009; Kogan et al., 2011). Overall, these data provide evidence for the involvement of OXTR variants in bond formation and empathic behaviour in humans.

In this study, we chose a cumulative risk approach to study risk on the OXTR by combining multiple SNPs into a single index. Our assumption was that as these OXTR variants are individually associated with prosocial traits, affiliative behaviours and psychopathology of social dysfunction, a cumulative risk score may provide an integrative measure that can be more predictive of social outcomes than each SNP alone. Using a cumulative risk score on the OXTR, we recently found that mothers' and fathers' cumulative OXTR risk predicted children's OT production and social reciprocity with their best friends (Lerer et al., 2013), pointing to the utility of testing cumulative risk on the OXTR. This approach is consistent with current models on the combined contribution of risk and plasticity alleles in shaping psychopathology and social adaptation (Belsky et al., 2009).

The overall goal of this study was to test the relations between OXTR polymorphisms and empathic communication between couples during a support-giving interaction that required empathy to the partner's distress (Collins and Feeney, 2000). As support in social relationships is an important contributor to well-being and relationship satisfaction (Bowby, 1969; Feeney and Lemay, 2012) and empathic support is among the central emotional capital of intimate bonds (Feeney and Lemay, 2012), empathic support-giving behaviour was expected to index an important social attribute of the individual and to serve as a central marker of the relationship. Periods of bond formation involve adaptation of the OT system and are associated with increase in peripheral OT production (Feldman, 2012), and we thus expected OXTR risk to predict empathic difficulties at the first 3 months of a romantic relationship. Five OXTR variants that have been linked with social behaviour and pathology of social functioning were tested: rs13316193, rs2254298, rs1042778, rs2268494 and rs2268490. It was expected that greater number of risk alleles on these SNPs would predict empathic difficulties at the first stages of romantic love in a dose–response manner.

**Methods**

**Participants**

Participants included 120 young adults (60 couples) who began a romantic relationship in the past 3 months. Men were on average 25.03 years (s.d. = 8.78) and women's age averaged 22.84 (s.d. = 4.50). All participants were Caucasians, healthy, and completed at least 12 years of education.

Exclusion criteria included couples who were in a romantic relationship <2 weeks or more than 4 months, used medication for a physical or psychiatric condition, or reported not being generally healthy. Participants were recruited through ads in several universities located in central Israel, and as participants were all college students their age range was 18–35.

**Procedure**

Experiments were conducted in a comfortable laboratory during the mid-afternoon hours (4:00–7:00 PM). After receiving a brief explanation on the experimental procedure and signing an informed consent, participants completed self-report measures that assessed a range of demographic and health variables (e.g. weight, height, smoking, medication). Next, mouthwashes of DNA were collected. Following these activities, participants engaged in a social support interaction paradigm. Partners were instructed to describe to each other a situation that caused them personal distress but was not related to the romantic relationships (e.g. work problems, family problems) and take turns within the paradigm for approximately 7 min each. Order of speaker–listener was decided by the couple. Discussions were videotaped and analysed offline using the Coding Interactive Behavior Manual (CIB) (Feldman, 1998). Each couple received 70 USD for participation. The research was approved by the University Institutional Review Board and all participants signed an informed consent.

**Genotyping**

Genotyping of the OXTR SNPs rs1042778, rs2268494, rs13316193, rs2254298 and rs2268490 was done as previously described (Lerer et al., 2007; Feldman et al., 2012). DNA was extracted from 20 ml of mouthwash samples using the Master Pure kit (Epicentre, Madison WI). Genotyping of the OXTR SNPs was performed using the SNaPshot Method (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA) as previously described (Lerer et al., 2007; Feldman et al., 2012). All PCR reactions and High Resolution Melt (HRM) analysis were performed on a Rotor-Gene 3000 (Corbett Life Science, Australia), using the following primers that produced a 162 bp amplicon: F: 5’GCTCCACAGACCACTTTAGCA’; R: 5’TGGAAGAGAGGAAGAACCA’.

PCR reaction conditions were as follows: activating enzyme step at 95.0 °C for 15 min, 45 cycles of denaturation at 95.0 °C for 5 s, reannealing at 60.0 °C for 15 s and extension at 72.0 °C for 10 s. The reaction proceeded to a hold at 40.0 °C for 2 min, a second hold at 82.0 °C for 2 min and then the melt procedure ramped from 82 to 90 °C raising by 0.1 °C every 3 s where fluorescence was acquired. HRM distinguished between the three genotypes (AA, AG, GG) and the method was verified by comparison of a portion of HRM results to those obtained by genotyping the same samples using the SNaPshot procedure (Applied Biosystems) described above. All genotype frequencies of the OXTR SNPs were in Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium.

Cumulative susceptibility to social and communicative difficulties was calculated by summing the number of SNPs on which the individual was homozygote for the risk allele. These included the OXTR rs1042778 TT allele, the OXTR rs2268494 AA allele, the OXTR rs13316193 TT allele, the OXTR rs2254298 GG allele and the OXTR rs2268490 TT allele. Thus, for each individual, the OXTR risk
score could range from 0 (no risk alleles) to 5 (risk factors on all five investigated OXTR SNPs). Descriptive statistics for the OXTR distribution indicate that the distribution was approximately normal as both skewness of −0.09 (s.e. = 0.22) and kurtosis of −0.24 (s.e. = 0.44) did not exceed twice their standard errors. No outliers were detected.

**Behavioural coding**

Support-giving interactions were coded with the CIB (Feldman, 1998), adult version, by trained coders who were blind to genetic or any other information about the participants. The CIB is a well-validated rating system of dyadic interactions with versions for coding social interactions in infancy, childhood, adolescence and adulthood. The CIB has shown adequate psychometric properties across multiple attachments in health and psychopathology, including romantic attachment (Feldman, 2012; Feldman et al., 2012; Schneiderman et al., 2012).

The adult–adult version of the CIB included 33 scales: 28 are identical scales that are coded independently for each partner (e.g. attention to partner) and five scales are coded for the couple as a whole and address dyadic measures (e.g. dyadic reciprocity). Each scale is rated on a Likert scale of 1 = low to 5 = high. Consistent with our previous research the communicative empathy construct was averaged from several scales coded for each partner and included the following codes: affective congruence, emotional flow and harmony, degree of emotional coherence and complexity, nonverbal signs of empathy particularly the provision of affectionate touch, attention and acknowledgement of partner’s distress and communications, and maintaining focus on other’s distress (Cronbach’s alpha = 0.71).

**Self-report instruments**

Depression was measured by the Beck Depression Inventory (BDI), a well-validated instrument for the assessment of depressive symptoms (Beck, 1978), whereas Anxiety was assessed by the Trait Anxiety Inventory (STAI-T) (Spielberger et al., 1983). The standardized BDI and STAI-T scores were summed to create a composite of the individual’s emotional distress.

**Statistical analysis**

Associations among the research variables and between romantic partners were assessed with the Pearson correlation coefficient. As participants were nested within dyads, multi-level modelling (MLM) was used to examine the effects of cumulative OXTR risk on empathic communication while controlling for dyadic dependency. All P-values were two-tailed. Data were analysed using SPSS software for Windows, version 19 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA).

**RESULTS**

As a first step, we computed correlations between all study variables for women and men. Table 1 presents the inter-correlations, means and standard deviations of study variables for women and men.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measures</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>M</th>
<th>s.d.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. OXTR genetic risk</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>−0.27*</td>
<td>−0.20*</td>
<td>2.02</td>
<td>0.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Emotional distress</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>−0.05</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Empathic communication</td>
<td>−0.30*</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.88**</td>
<td>4.11</td>
<td>0.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>1.98</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>4.01</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s.d.</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Men above the diagonal, women below the diagonal and dyads on the diagonal. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01.

correlation was found between the partners’ scores on empathic communication, indicating dyadic dependency. An MLM analysis (Campbell and Kashy, 2002; Kenny et al., 2006) was used to model the dyadic dependency (i.e. non-independence) and to test whether the individual’s OXTR risk uniquely predicts his or her own empathic communication above and beyond the dyadic level. Prior to computing the model we checked for order effect (who spoke first) and as no main or interactive effects for order were found and thus order was not included in the final model. We used the MIXED procedure in SPSS (Heck et al., 2010) with restricted likelihood estimation to estimate the coefficients (Kenny et al., 2006). Gender, relationship duration and emotional distress were included as important correlates in order to control for their potential variation. All the predictors were centred around the grand mean. Interactions between OXTR risk and gender were also included to examine whether the association between genetic risk and empathic communication vary across genders.

We started by running a null model for empathic communication to assess whether our data met the condition justifying dyadic analysis, that is, the data show systematic between-dyad variance in the dependent variable. Results suggest that this condition has been satisfied (Wald Z = 5.05, df = 59, P < .001). Estimating the null model also produces information necessary for computing intraclass correlation coefficient (ICC) that indicates the proportion of between-dyad variance in empathic communication (cf. Kenny et al., 2006). The results of this analysis indicate that 88% of the variance in empathic communication lies between dyads. We also assessed the ICC for the rest of dyadic predictors and found that 17% of the variance in OXTR risk lies between dyads and 1% of the variance in emotional distress lies between dyads.

Next, we ran a model which included all the predictors. Comparing the estimates of the residual variance produced in the null model and the current model allowed for the computation of the variance explained (𝑅²). Results indicated the current model accounted for 12% of the variance. Table 2 provides coefficients for the study variables predicting empathic communication. As seen, genetic risk on the OXTR uniquely predicted the individual’s own empathic communication, indicating that the higher the cumulative risk on the OXTR the less the individual engaged in empathic support-giving behaviour. Genetic risk was negatively associated with emotional distress for men, but not for women.

Next, we examined whether genetic risk on the OXTR predicts variability in empathic communication while controlling for gender, relationship duration and emotional distress. The structure of the data was nested because data were collected from both romantic partners during the conversation. Indeed, as seen in Table 1, significant positive
Finally, to supplement our cumulative risk approach and the MLM analysis, Table 3 presents means, s.d., and F-values of the differences between high- and low OXTR risk for each SNP separately. As seen, although the results for each OXTR SNP show higher empathic communication among individuals with the low risk allele, only the OXTR rs1042778 independently differentiated those with high and low risk alleles. These data support our cumulative risk approach and demonstrate the utility of combining several theoretically meaningful SNPs into a single composite.

**DISCUSSION**

Empathic communication between couples provides the foundation for growth-promoting intimate relationships, whereas empathic difficulties often result in relationship deterioration and emotional distress (Anderson and Saunders, 2003). Yet, whereas much current research points to the interactions between genetic markers and human social behaviour, most studies on the genetic basis of empathy utilized self-reports or experimental paradigms and no study, to our knowledge, employed direct observations of empathic behaviour within real relationships (Feldman, 2012). Results of this study are also the first to link genetic variability with observed behaviour in the context of romantic bonding. Furthermore, our findings are the first to describe associations between cumulative genetic risk on the OXTR and empathy. The findings indicate that risk alleles on the OXTR underpin difficulties in empathic communication at the early stages of romantic love, a period associated with alterations in brain activity and neurohormonal ties in empathic communication (Anderson and Saunders, 2003). Yet, whereas much current research underpins difficul-
ties in more favourable environments (Belsky, 2006; Kim et al., 2009; Kawamura et al., 2007; Costa et al., 2009; Israel et al., 2009; Kawamura et al., 2010; Wu et al., 2012). It has been proposed that the social deficits in these disorders, which are particularly noted in aspects of interpersonal communication, may be mediated by individual differences in amygdala development that are related to the OXTR genotype (Inoue et al., 2010; Meyer-Lindenberg et al., 2011). Indeed, some of the OXTR variants studied here have been associated with amygdala volume, morphology and activity (Inoue et al., 2010; Tost et al., 2010; Furman et al., 2011). Similarly, animal research indicates that OT shows significant binding in the amygdala (Bale et al., 2001; Huber et al., 2005), and OT in the medial amygdala is essential for social recognition in mice (Ferguson et al., 2001). Taken together, these findings underscore the links between the OT system and individual differences in interpersonal relationships as well as difficulties in social communication, possibly via the close connection between brain OT and the extended amygdala network. The present findings add the dimension of cumulative genetic risk on the OXTR in shaping the individual’s concrete empathic behaviour during the initial stages of pair-bonding in humans.

Current conceptual models highlight the utility of assessing cumulative genetic risk as an underlying explanatory framework for the study of psychiatric disorders (Belsky, 2009). It is important to note that there is always a challenge in how best to use all the genetic information in a set of SNPs available from the dbSNP database. Approaches include genotype and haplotype-based methods as well as principle components analysis. Genotype strategies, for example, may use a single-SNP analysis and each marker is tested individually for its contribution to the phenotype (Chapman and Wijsman, 1998; Schork et al., 2000; Kaplan and Morris, 2001). On the other hand, Gauderman et al. (2007) has suggested a principle components analysis. There is also a joint-SNP approach using multiple SNPs simultaneously in the statistical model (Chapman et al., 2003; Clayton et al., 2004). In our study, which contains a relatively small sample for a genetic study, we chose to reduce the dimensionality associated with a large number of possible haplotypes and to eliminate the uncertainty connected with the need to estimate haplotypes or haplotype blocks. For these reasons, we implemented a joint-SNP analysis.

Finally, to supplement our cumulative risk approach and the MLM analysis, Table 3 presents means, s.d., and F-values of the differences between high- and low OXTR risk for each SNP separately. As seen, although the results for each OXTR SNP show higher empathic communication among individuals with the low risk allele, only the OXTR rs1042778 independently differentiated those with high and low risk alleles. These data support our cumulative risk approach and demonstrate the utility of combining several theoretically meaningful SNPs into a single composite.

**Table 2** MLM unstandardized and standardized coefficients predicting empathic communication from gender, relationship duration, emotional distress, cumulative OXTR risk and cumulative OXTR genetic risk by gender

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>b</th>
<th>s.e.</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>β</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>-0.05*</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>-0.10 to -0.01</td>
<td>-0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relationship duration</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>-0.09 to 0.22</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emotional distress</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>-0.01 to 0.01</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cumulative OXTR risk</td>
<td>-0.09*</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>-0.18 to -0.01</td>
<td>-0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cumulative OXTR risk × gender</td>
<td>-0.05</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>-0.13 to 0.02</td>
<td>-0.06</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 3** Risk on each SNP of the OXTR and empathic communication between romantic partners

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SNPs</th>
<th>Low risk mean (s.d.)</th>
<th>High risk mean (s.d.)</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>n1042778</td>
<td>4.10 (0.55)</td>
<td>4.03 (0.72)</td>
<td>2.07</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n2268494</td>
<td>4.21 (0.54)</td>
<td>4.03 (0.58)</td>
<td>1.67</td>
<td>N.S.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n13316193</td>
<td>4.09 (0.50)</td>
<td>3.94 (0.65)</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>N.S.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n2254298</td>
<td>4.15 (0.59)</td>
<td>4.01 (0.58)</td>
<td>1.65</td>
<td>N.S.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n2268400</td>
<td>4.06 (0.58)</td>
<td>4.00 (0.55)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>N.S.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
et al., 2010; Tansey et al., 2010). These findings underscore the need for much further research on the potential utility of assessing plasticity variants on the OXTR in combination with measures that provide a detailed assessment of early environmental experiences. It is important to carefully chart cultural, environmental and allelic biomarkers in order to compute comprehensive indices that can be used as markers of risk or resilience in the context of psychiatric vulnerability.

The finding that men showed greater empathy than women during a support-giving encounter was somewhat surprising. While we do not have a clear explanation for this finding, it is possible that during the first months of romantic courtship men are especially attentive to their partner’s signals whereas women may be more anxious and preoccupied, which may deploy their support-giving abilities. Support for this hypothesis comes from our finding that during the first months of romantic love, women’s cortisol levels show a substantial rise whereas men’s cortisol levels remain unchanged. In addition, we found that during the first months of romantic love men show greater ERPs in response to attachment-related cues (Weisman et al., 2012). Still, much further research is required to test gender-specific patterns of communication and their biological underpinnings during the period of falling in love.

Evolutionary models suggest that periods of bond formation—in particular parental and romantic bonding—are associated with functional adaptation of brain and behaviour, share underlying bio-behavioural mechanisms, and are associated with the OT system and with a specific repertoire of bonding-related behaviour (Feldman et al., 2012). However, whereas much research explored the neurobiological mechanisms underlying parental bonding, very little research examined genetic, brain, or hormonal correlates of romantic bond-formation in humans and little, if any, research included direct observations of dyadic behaviour during the stage of falling in love. Applying an ethological approach to the study of affiliative bonds, long advocated by Lorenz (1950) and Tinbergen (1963), we suggest that observation of specific affiliative behaviour during periods when bonds are consolidated may provide an important lens to study human attachment. As suggested by the ethologists, observed patterns of behaviour may be more closely related to underlying neurobiological processes and may provide a bottom-up, behaviour-based evaluation of distress or psychopathology at an earlier stage of the relationship compared to more formal assessments.

Limitations of the study include the omission of the OXTR rs53576, which has been associated with prosocial behaviour, maternal sensitivity and attachment (Bakermans-Kranenburg and Van IJzendoorn, 2008; Costa et al., 2009; Tost et al., 2010), from the panel of OXTR SNPs tested in this study. The rs53576 SNP was not assayed as we were unable to find appropriate primers to fit the existing SNAPSHOT panel. Similarly, although the study included healthy, functioning adults we do not have detailed information on their rearing conditions apart from general report of growing within typical rearing environments. Future studies should examine the associations between social behaviour, OXTR genotypes, brain structures associated with social behaviour and early environmental conditions in an attempt to create a cumulative risk index from these multiple biological and behavioural markers that can serve as an early diagnostic tool for identifying vulnerability to psychopathology at its earliest stages. Understanding the differential influence of OXTR genotypes on social communication behaviour via the modulation of brain development and activity may enable gene-based therapy in conditions associated with severe social dysfunctions, such as autism, post-traumatic stress disorder, or depression. Such research may provide new avenues for understanding the roles of specific allelic variations on the OXTR and their cumulative activity on brain, social behavioural patterns and the development of empathy under conditions of health and psychopathology.
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