Effects of intranasal oxytocin on amygdala reactivity to emotional faces in recently trauma-exposed individuals
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Abstract

There is a need for effective, early post-trauma preventive interventions for post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD). Attenuating amygdala hyperreactivity early post-trauma, a likely PTSD vulnerability factor, may decrease PTSD risk. Since oxytocin modulates amygdala reactivity to emotional stimuli, oxytocin administration early post-trauma may be a promising candidate for PTSD prevention. In a randomized double-blind placebo-controlled fMRI study, we investigated effects of a single intranasal oxytocin administration (40 IU) on amygdala reactivity to happy, neutral and fearful faces in 41 recently trauma-exposed men and women showing moderate to high distress after initial post-trauma screening. We explored treatment interactions with sex. Participants were scanned within 11 days post-trauma. Compared with placebo, oxytocin significantly increased right amygdala reactivity to fearful faces. There was a significant treatment by sex interaction on amygdala reactivity to neutral faces, with women showing increased left amygdala reactivity after oxytocin. These findings indicate that a single oxytocin administration may enhance fearful faces processing in recently trauma-exposed individuals and neutral faces processing in recently trauma-exposed women. These observations may be explained by oxytocin-induced increased salience processing. Clinical implications of these findings for PTSD prevention should be further investigated. Trial register: Netherlands Trial Registry; Boosting Oxytocin after trauma: Neurobiology and the Development of Stress-related psychopathology (BONDS); NTR3190; http://www.trialregister.nl/trialreg/admin/rctview.asp?TC = 3190;
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Introduction

Approximately 80 percent of the general population will experience a traumatic event in their life, of which, ~10% subsequently develops post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) (de Vries and Olff, 2009). Since PTSD is a disabling, costly disorder (Kessler, 2000) and not all patients benefit from currently available treatments (Pull and Pull, 2014), there is a clear need for effective preventive interventions. As a traumatic event is by definition a reference point for potential onset of PTSD symptoms, the first hours or days post-trauma may provide a unique opportunity to prevent PTSD development. Previously studied pharmacological agents (e.g. benzodiazepines and beta-adrenergic receptor blocking agents) have not yet proven to be effective for PTSD prevention (Amos et al., 2014), although there are indications that early post-trauma glucocorticoid administration may prevent PTSD symptom development (Zohar et al., 2011b; Delahanty et al., 2013). A potentially powerful prevention strategy is specifically targeting modifiable biological risk
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The amygdala with its functionally distinct subregions is pivotal in fear detection, learning, expression and extinction (LeDoux, 2000). Altered amygdala function appears to be etiologically involved in PTSD development: in military paramedics it was shown that pre-deployment, higher amygdala reactivity in response to both deployment-related and non-deployment related pictures (Admon et al., 2009), and to risky decision making in a gambling game (Admon et al., 2012) predicted PTSD symptom development post-deployment. Similarly, in adolescents amygdala reactivity to negative emotional stimuli prior to exposure to the Boston Marathon terrorist attacks predicted PTSD symptoms 4–6 weeks after the attack (McLaughlin et al., 2014). Findings in car accident survivors scanned within 2 weeks post-trauma suggest that higher amygdala reactivity in response to traumatic script imagery predicts PTSD symptoms 6 months later (deRoon-Cassini et al., 2014). These findings indicate that attenuating amygdala reactivity early post-trauma might decrease PTSD risk.

OT may be a promising pharmacological agent for PTSD prevention (Ostrowski and Delahanty, 2014), since animal studies demonstrated OT’s fear-mitigating properties in the amygdala (Huber et al., 2005), and in previous functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) studies in humans it was repeatedly observed that a single OT administration may attenuate amygdala reactivity to (negative) emotional facial expressions. In healthy men, OT attenuated right amygdala responses to fearful, neutral and happy facial expressions (Domes et al., 2007), and left amygdala reactivity to fearful (Gamer et al., 2010) and angry (Kirsch et al., 2005) faces, although no effects of OT have also been reported (Montag et al., 2013; Sauer et al., 2013). Additionally, in healthy men participating in a fear-conditioning paradigm it was observed that OT administration decreased right amygdala reactivity to fearful faces previously associated with an electric shock (Petrovic et al., 2008). In addition, OT administration was associated with lower amygdala reactivity during fear extinction in healthy men (Eckstein et al., 2014).

However, a growing body of literature indicates that OT effects on amygdala reactivity may differ depending on individual factors (e.g. sex, psychopathology) and context (e.g. stimulus valence) (Bartz et al., 2011). For example, Gamer et al. (2010) found that OT not only decreased left amygdala reactivity to fearful faces but also increased left amygdala reactivity to happy faces in healthy men. Gender may also be an important moderator of OT effects, as in healthy women OT increased right amygdala reactivity to angry (Bertsch et al., 2013), and left amygdala reactivity to fearful facial expression (Domes et al., 2010), in contrast to findings of reduced left (Domes et al., 2007) and right (Kirsch et al., 2005; Gamer et al., 2010) amygdala reactivity to such stimuli in men. As higher amygdala reactivity is generally associated with higher anxiety, this OT-induced increase in amygdala reactivity in healthy women suggests that OT may not only uniformly act as an anxiolytic agent but could also have anxiogenic properties. This is in line with several recent rodent and human studies showing that—under certain circumstances—OT increased anxiety (Grillon et al., 2013; Guzmán et al., 2013; MacDonald et al., 2013).

Regarding psychopathology, findings in healthy men have been replicated in psychiatric patient populations characterized by prominent anxiety. In men with generalized social anxiety disorder (GSAD), OT normalized bilateral amygdala hyperresponsiveness to fearful faces (Labuschagne et al., 2010), and a similar dampening OT effect on right amygdala responses to angry faces was found in women with borderline personality disorder (BPD) (Bertsch et al., 2013). Furthermore, in male GSAD patients, symptom severity was associated with a greater increase of amygdala—medial prefrontal cortex resting-state functional connectivity after OT (Dodhia et al., 2014). In contrast, in males with Asperger’s syndrome—who, under placebo (PL), had lower amygdala reactivity to neutral faces compared with controls—OT administration did not decrease but increased left (Domes et al., 2014) and right (Domes et al., 2013) amygdala responsiveness to neutral facial stimuli. These findings suggest that OT effects on amygdala function may differ between individuals depending on presence, type and severity of psychopathology.

No studies have yet been performed that assessed OT administration effects on amygdala reactivity in recently trauma-exposed individuals. OT’s potential anxiolytic effects, subjectively and at the level of amygdala function to emotional stimuli (Wigton et al., 2015), together with evidence that amygdala reactivity may be etiologically involved in PTSD development (Admon et al., 2013), suggest that OT may be an effective early post-trauma preventive intervention for PTSD (Frijling et al., 2014). Therefore, we investigated acute effects of a single intranasal OT vs PL administration on amygdala reactivity to emotional faces in recently trauma-exposed healthy individuals reporting moderate to high levels of distress, expecting that OT would attenuate amygdala reactivity to fearful faces. Furthermore, as we included both men and women, we explored sex-differential effects. Additionally, we assessed whether baseline PTSD symptom severity was (differentially) associated with amygdala reactivity to emotional faces in PL- and OT-treated participants, to explore whether in recently trauma-exposed individuals amygdala reactivity is related to acute PTSD symptom severity and whether symptom severity may influence intranasal OT effects on amygdala reactivity.

**Methods**

**Participants**

Forty-one participants gave verbal and written informed consent, were included in the study, and were analyzed (for recruitment details, see CONSORT Flow Diagram in Supplementary material). The study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of the Academic Medical Center (AMC) and conducted following Good Clinical Practice guidelines. Participants were recruited from three Emergency Departments in Amsterdam, following experiencing a traumatic event—according to the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Psychiatric Disorders (4th edition) PTSD A1 criterion (American Psychiatric Association 2000) (see Table 1 for types of trauma experienced). Dutch or English speaking adults (18–65 years) scoring above the cutoff on screening questionnaires indicating acute distress and hence increased PTSD risk (see later) were eligible to participate. Previous studies on PTSD prevention demonstrated that it is ineffective to apply preventive interventions to all trauma-exposed individuals, including resilient individuals (Zohar et al., 2011a). Additionally, as preventive interventions potentially interfere with natural recovery processes (Rose et al., 2002), it is preferable to administer interventions only to a subset of trauma-exposed individuals, i.e. to those at high risk for PTSD who are least likely to recover naturally. Exclusion criteria were MRI contraindications, severe/chronic systemic disease, current PTSD and current psychotic/major...
depressive/bipolar/substance-related/personality disorder, mental retardation, neurological/endocrinological disorder, ongoing traumatization, medication-use potentially interfering with OT, allergy for OT, impaired consciousness, amnesia or confusion, pregnancy and breastfeeding.

Design and intranasal treatment

The fMRI experiment was set up as a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled between-subject study. Participants were randomly assigned to a single intranasal OT [40 IU OT (Defiante Farmaceutica, S.A., Funchal, Portugal); 5 puffs 4 IU OT per nostril] or PL (0.8% NaCl solution) administration, applied 45 min prior to fMRI scanning, which occurred not later than 11 days post-trauma [mean (s.d.): 8.3 (2.2) days]. We selected a 40 IU dose as this fMRI study was part of a larger randomized controlled trial (RCT) investigating the efficacy of multiple OT administrations for PTSD prevention (Frijling et al., 2014). In this RCT, an 8 day OT treatment regimen was administered (40 IU dose twice daily; 15 doses in total, including the one prior to fMRI scanning). As previous multiple administration studies (which were at the start of the RCT (Feifel et al., 2010); and Ohlsson et al., 2005) used 40 IU to investigate OT administration effects on clinical outcomes in schizophrenia patients and patients with irritable bowel syndrome, we opted for the same dose, instead of the more commonly used 24 IU in fMRI studies investigating effects of a single OT administration on neural functioning. For all 22 participants also participating in an ongoing RCT on the efficacy of multiple OT intranasal doses in PTSD prevention (Frijling et al., 2014) scanning took place following the first intranasal dose.

Procedure

Potentially trauma-exposed emergency department patients were identified and contacted for screening within 1 week post-trauma [mean (s.d.): 3.1 (2.0) days]. Potential eligible participants were assessed for moderate to severe distress indicating increased PTSD risk using the trauma screening questionnaire [TSQ, cutoff score ≥ 5 (Brewin et al., 2002; Mouthaan et al., 2014, Walters et al., 2007)] and peritraumatic distress inventory [PDI, cutoff score ≥ 17, (Nishi et al., 2010), see Frijling et al. (2014) for detailed information]. Patients scoring above cutoff on the TSQ and/or PDI were invited to participate. At baseline [mean (s.d.): 5.9 (2.0) days post-trauma] current and lifetime psychopathology was assessed with the MINI International Neuropsychiatric Interview (Sheehan et al., 1998). Additionally, severity of acute PTSD symptoms was measured with the Clinician-Administered PTSD Scale (CAPS) (Blake et al., 1995) assessing the frequency and intensity of DSM-IV PTSD symptoms in the time period following trauma. The MRI session occurred not later than 11 days post-trauma [mean (s.d.): 8.3 (2.2) days]. Participants abstained from food, beverages (except water), smoking and exercise 3.5 h prior to scanning.

Table 1. Participant characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Oxytocin</th>
<th>Placebo</th>
<th>Statistics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Males (%)</td>
<td>9 (39%)</td>
<td>8 (44%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>39.7 ± 11.5</td>
<td>31.3 ± 11.5</td>
<td>$\chi^2 = 0.1, df=1, P = 0.73^{b}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of trauma</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traffic accident (%)</td>
<td>12 (52%)</td>
<td>13 (72%)</td>
<td>$T_{(29)} = -0.4, P = 0.66^{a}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accident at work/home (%)</td>
<td>5 (22%)</td>
<td>3 (17%)</td>
<td>$\chi^2 = 2.2, df=3, P = 0.52$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interpersonal trauma (%)</td>
<td>5 (22%)</td>
<td>2 (11%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other (%)</td>
<td>1 (4%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Days between trauma and scan session</td>
<td>8.7 ± 1.3</td>
<td>7.8 ± 2.9</td>
<td>$T_{(29)} = 1.4, P = 0.18^{a}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAPS total</td>
<td>46.8 ± 20.0</td>
<td>39.0 ± 17.8</td>
<td>$T_{(29)} = 1.3, P = 0.20^{a}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAPS reexperiencing</td>
<td>19.9 ± 9.5</td>
<td>13.1 ± 8.2</td>
<td>$T_{(29)} = -2.4, P = 0.02^{a}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAPS avoidance</td>
<td>10.2 ± 7.7</td>
<td>10.7 ± 5.6</td>
<td>$T_{(29)} = -0.3, P = 0.80^{a}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAPS hyperarousal</td>
<td>16.7 ± 7.6</td>
<td>15.2 ± 8.2</td>
<td>$T_{(29)} = 0.6, P = 0.54^{a}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline anxiety VAS</td>
<td>med: 4.5 (range 0–53)</td>
<td>med: 4.0 (range 0–58)</td>
<td>$U_{(29)} = 195, P = 0.93^{c}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Means (± s.d.) or medians (and range) are listed for the oxytocin (OT) and placebo (PL) groups at baseline. CAPS, Clinical Administered PTSD Scale; med median; OT, oxytocin; PL, placebo; VAS, visual analog scale; $^a$tested with two-sample t-test; $^b$tested with chi-square test; $^c$tested with Mann-Whitney U-test.

Task

As a hyperresponsive amygdala to (negative) emotional stimuli is thought to play a central role in PTSD and PTSD development, and was previously shown to predict PTSD development (Admon et al., 2013), we used a blocked emotional-face matching task, an easy and relatively low burden task that robustly activates the amygdala (Fusar-Poli et al., 2009). In addition, a face-matching task allows investigating differential amygdala responses to positive, neutral and negative emotions, which enables assessing whether OT generally affects amygdala function, or whether OT’s effects are valence-specific in recently trauma-exposed individuals. Each of the 12 emotional faces blocks (20 s, 4 blocks per emotion) consisted of four trials (5 s) with trios of faces all depicting the same emotional facial expression: neutral, happy or fearful. Participants were instructed to match the upper face to 1 of 2 lower faces based on sex. Frontal camera pictures of 8 men and 8 women were selected from the Radboud Faces Database (Langner et al., 2010). The number of male and female matches was equal between blocks. As a control condition we included 5 blocks (20s) with 4 trios of horizontally and vertically oriented shapes of scrambled faces (to be matched on shape-orientation). See Supplementary Figure S1 for a schematic overview of block presentation order.

MRI data acquisition

On a 3T Philips Ingenia MR system (Philips Medical Systems, Best, The Netherlands) with a 16-channel head coil located at
the AMC, we collected high resolution T2*-weighted echoplanar images with Blood-oxygen-level dependent (BOLD) contrast (repetition time (TR) = 2300 ms, echo time (TE) = 27 ms, matrix size: 96 × 96, voxel size: 2.29 × 2.29 mm, slice thickness = 3.0 mm, no gap, field of view = 220 × 220 × 120, flip angle = 80°, 40 transverse slices). Anatomical images were acquired using a T1-weighted 3D magnetization-prepared rapid acquisition with gradient echo (MPRAGE) sequence (TR = 6.7 ms, TE = 3.1 ms), matrix size: 256 × 256, voxel size: 1.11 × 1.11 mm, flip angle = 9°, 170 sagittal slices).

Data analysis

Functional MRI data were processed and analyzed using Statistical Parametric Mapping 8 (SPM8) (UCL, London, UK) and Matlab 2013b (The Mathworks Inc., Natick, MA). Preprocessing consisted of spatial realignment, slice time correction, segmentation, normalization to the Montreal neurological institute (MNI) space (resampled to 1 × 1 × 1 mm voxels), and smoothing using a 5 mm Full width at half maximum (FWHM) Gaussian kernel. By applying a data preprocessing approach similar to previous studies (Bertsch et al., 2013; Gamer et al., 2010), the normalization and smoothing procedures were aimed at localizing effects in amygdala subregions.

Participant-specific general linear models were created by modeling all emotional faces blocks as box-car functions convolved with the hemodynamic response function. A high-pass filter (128 s) was applied to remove low-frequency signals and six realignment parameters were included in the model as nuisance regressors. Four individual contrast images were generated: all faces > shapes, neutral > shapes, happy > shapes, fearful > shapes.

Whole-brain task effects were assessed using one-sample t-tests over all task conditions and participants, with a statistical threshold of 0.05 family-wise-error (FWE) corrected for multiple comparisons (for results see Supplementary Table S1). In addition, to test if the task successfully resulted in differential amygdala responses to neutral, happy and fearful faces, the main effect of type of emotion was tested in the amygdala (for methods and results see Supplementary Figure S2). For group inferences, second-level random effects analyses were performed to test OT > PL and PL > OT contrasts for each condition separately using two-sample t-tests. Since we hypothesized that OT affects amygdala reactivity, we conducted region of interest (ROI) analyses for the bilateral amygdala using predefined anatomical amygdala masks from the Automated Anatomical Labeling (AAL) atlas in the SPM Wake Forest University Pickatlas toolbox (Tzourio-Mazoyer et al., 2002). Subsequently, we explored treatment by sex interactions for each condition using two-way Analyses of variance (ANOVAs) with treatment and sex as factors. If the F-test reached significance, post hoc two-sample t-tests were conducted to assess the direction of the interaction. Furthermore, we explored whether baseline PTSD symptom severity was (differentially) associated with amygdala reactivity depending on intransal treatment. To this end, we extracted mean contrast estimates (beta-weights) from a 5 mm sphere around the peak voxel of significant OT effects using MarsBar (Brett et al., 2002). In Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS), we conducted bivariate (Pearson) correlation analyses between CAPS total scores and the amygdala contrast estimates, in the PL- and OT-treated participants separately. We applied a Fischer r to z transformation to test whether the obtained correlation coefficients significantly differed between treatment groups.

For statistically significant treatment effects, we adjusted for participant characteristics that differed significantly at baseline between treatment groups (Table 1). Significant activations [P-value < 0.05, for amygdala ROI analyses small volume corrected (SVC) for multiple comparisons within the ROI] are reported in MNI space. For peak voxel sublocalization within the amygdala, we used the probabilistic atlas of the SPM Anatomy toolbox atlas (Eickhoff et al., 2005).

Table 2. Results of amygdala ROI analyses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contrast</th>
<th>Right amygdala</th>
<th>Left amygdala</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Peak coordinate (xyz)</td>
<td>z-score</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Happy</td>
<td>PL &gt; OT</td>
<td>36 0 - 27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OT &gt; PL</td>
<td>28 3 - 29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Treatment × sex</td>
<td>25 2 - 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neutral</td>
<td>PL &gt; OT</td>
<td>17 3 - 16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OT &gt; PL</td>
<td>28 2 - 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Treatment × sex</td>
<td>30 4 - 18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Women PL &gt; OT</td>
<td>25 3 - 28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Men PL &gt; OT</td>
<td>30 4 - 18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Women OT &gt; PL</td>
<td>31 3 - 18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Men OT &gt; PL</td>
<td>30 4 - 19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fearful</td>
<td>PL &gt; OT</td>
<td>24 9 - 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OT &gt; PL</td>
<td>29 3 - 21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Treatment × sex</td>
<td>27 9 - 12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All analyses were conducted in SPM8, testing for the effects of OT vs PL treatment in response to happy, neutral and fearful faces (t-tests). Results of the exploratory sex by treatment interactions (F-tests) are additionally reported, as well as results of post hoc t-tests after significant interaction effects. Peak voxel MNI coordinates, z-scores, and P-values for significantly activated clusters are listed. Statistically significant results (P<0.05) are printed in bold. MNI, Montreal Neurological Institute; PL, placebo; OT, oxytocin; SVC, small volume corrected.
Amygdala ROI analyses

We investigated effects of intranasal OT relative to PL on amygdala reactivity to emotional faces. For happy and neutral faces, there were no significant group differences in amygdala reactivity (see Table 2 for all peak voxels and statistics). For fearful faces, the OT > PL contrast revealed a significant peak in the right (basolateral) amygdala (Figure 1, peak voxel $xyz$: 29 3 21, $z = 3.70$, $P_{SVC} = 0.02$), also when adjusting for the baseline difference between the OT and PL groups in severity of reexperiencing symptoms (i.e. CAPS B subscale scores ($z = 3.61$, $P_{SVC} = 0.03$)). For the PL > OT contrast for fearful faces no voxels survived the statistical threshold.

We also explored whether baseline PTSD symptom severity was differentially associated with amygdala reactivity to fearful faces depending on intranasal treatment. In both groups, correlations between CAPS total score and amygdala reactivity to fearful faces were not significant (PL: $r = -0.33$, $P = 0.18$; OT: $r = -0.21$, $P = 0.34$). Fisher r-to-z transformation showed that the correlation coefficients did not significantly differ between groups ($z = 0.38$, $P = 0.70$), indicating that baseline acute PTSD symptom severity was not differentially associated with amygdala reactivity to fearful faces in PL- and OT-treated participants.

Amygdala ROI analysis for exploratory treatment by sex interactions in the amygdala

Finally, we explored sex-differential OT effects on amygdala reactivity by testing treatment by sex interactions for each emotion condition. There were no significant main effects of sex, likewise, for happy and fearful faces, treatment by sex interaction effects were not significant. For neutral faces, we found significant treatment by sex interactions in the left and right (basolateral) amygdala (left peak voxel $xyz$: 25 8 16, $F(1,37) = 25.35$, $z = 4.21$, $P_{SVC} < 0.01$; right peak voxel $xyz$: 30 4 18, $F(1,37) = 21.76$, $z = 3.95$, $P_{SVC} < 0.01$). Post hoc t-tests revealed significantly greater left amygdala reactivity to neutral faces after OT administration in women (peak voxel $xyz$: 26 8 17, $z = 3.56$, $P_{SVC} = 0.03$) (see Table 2 for peak voxels and statistics of all sex by treatment interactions and post hoc t-tests). The interaction and posthoc test remained significant when adjusting for the baseline difference between the OT and PL groups in severity of reexperiencing symptoms.

Discussion

We investigated the acute effects of a single OT administration on amygdala reactivity to emotional faces in recently trauma-exposed individuals with moderate to high levels of distress acutely post-trauma. Our results demonstrate that intranasal OT enhanced amygdala reactivity in this population, depending on stimulus valence and sex. OT administration increased right amygdala reactivity to fearful faces. Furthermore, OT increased left amygdala reactivity to neutral faces in women. No effects of OT on amygdala reactivity to happy faces were found. Acute PTSD symptom severity was not (differentially) associated with amygdala reactivity to fearful faces in PL- and OT-treated participants, suggesting that early post-trauma PTSD symptoms...
were not related to amygdala reactivity to fearful faces, and that OT’s effect on amygdala reactivity to fearful faces did not depend on the participant’s acute PTSD symptom severity.

Our observation that OT increased right amygdala reactivity to fearful faces is in line with previous studies in healthy women (Domes et al., 2010). However, the results are in contrast with findings in healthy men (Kirsch et al., 2005; Domes et al., 2007; Gamer et al., 2010), male GSAD patients (Labuschagne et al., 2010), and with women and BPD (Bertsch et al., 2013) and contrary to our expectations for recently trauma-exposed individuals. Our findings further demonstrate that (potentially therapeutic) effects of OT in one population (e.g. GSAD) cannot be directly generalized to other populations (e.g. recently trauma-exposed individuals).

The observed valence-dependent OT effect suggests that OT specifically enhanced fear processing but not face processing in general. The amygdala has a well-established role in fear processing (LeDoux, 2000). In healthy individuals, higher amygdala reactivity has been associated with better fear recognition and higher anxiety (Etkin et al., 2004; Derry et al., 2009), and in PTSD patients, amygdala reactivity was associated with symptom severity (Shin et al., 2006). Additionally, as higher amygdala reactivity both pre- and early post-trauma has additionally been associated with greater subsequent PTSD symptom severity (Admon et al., 2009; Admon et al., 2012; de Roon-Cassini et al., 2014; McLaughlin et al., 2014), our observation of increased amygdala reactivity to fearful faces after OT does not directly support using OT administration for PTSD prevention, and might translate to behavioral anxiogenic effects. These findings, along with several other recent studies, indicate that within our task, fearful faces generally captured more attention than happy faces, and that OT may have increased this effect as a consequence of increased salience processing.

Second, recent data from our group’s study on OT administration effects in PTSD patients indicate that OT administration increased amygdala reactivity to emotional faces in the healthy trauma-exposed control group of this study (Koch et al., 2015). As the recently trauma-exposed participants of this study did not have PTSD at time of their recent trauma, the described results here may reflect OT administration effects in trauma-exposed but relatively healthy, individuals (their acute post-traumatic stress reactions, indicating increased PTSD risk, aside).

Of note, in healthy women, OT administration has previously been demonstrated to enhance left (Domes et al., 2010) and right (Bertsch et al., 2013) amygdala reactivity to fearful (Domes et al., 2010) and angry (Bertsch et al., 2013) facial expressions. Our results demonstrate that OT may not only increase left amygdala reactivity to fearful facial expressions in women but also to neutral faces. Sex differences in OT administration effects on amygdala reactivity may be explained by estrogen enhancing central OT-receptor affinity (Caldwell et al., 1994), combined with indications of inverted U-shaped dose-response effects for OT (Chini et al., 2013). Regarding dose-response effects for OT, Cardoso et al. (2012) found that only 24 IU of intranasal OT, and not 48 IU, attenuated cortisol response to physical exercise in healthy men, indicating that in healthy men, relatively lower OT system stimulation may be associated with attenuated neuroendocrine responses to stress. It may be possible that under high OT-levels, OT-binding to arginine vasopressin V1a-receptors in the amygdala results in opposite effects on fear expression (i.e. anxiogenic) than when binding to OT-receptors (i.e. anxiolytic) (Huber et al., 2005).

In addition to potential dose-response effects, it should be noted that outcomes of OT administration may vary between various treatment durations, as differential effects of single and prolonged OT treatments on anxiety have been demonstrated in rodents (Slattery and Neumann, 2010). Therefore, as previously suggested (Macdonald and Feifel, 2014), OT’s acute effects should not be directly translated to its effects after prolonged administration, analogous to selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor (SSRI) use (e.g. Burghardt and Bauer, 2013).

Given that higher amygdala responsiveness has previously been associated with higher anxiety, our observations may indicate an anxiogenic effect of OT administration, which is in line with observations of several other recent studies. In socially defeated rats, endogenous OT release in the lateral septum augmented the intensity of the memory of social defeat, which resulted in potentiated fear behavior upon reexposure to the aggressor (Guzmán et al., 2013). Potential anxiogenic OT effects have also been observed in humans. A single intranasal OT administration increased startle responses to unexpected shocks (Grillon et al., 2013) and OT administration prior to psychotherapy in male patients with major depressive disorder increased anxiety during the session (MacDonald et al., 2013).

However, it should be noted that high amygdala reactivity to fearful faces, and thus also the OT effect in our sample, may not automatically reflect induced anxiety and increased PTSD risk in recently trauma-exposed individuals. Illustrative of this notion, with our PL-treated participants we did not observe a significant association between acute PTSD symptoms and amygdala reactivity to fearful faces, suggesting that in our sample higher amygdala reactivity did not necessarily reflect higher acute symptom levels, and/or increased PTSD risk. In addition, in military personnel who did not develop clinically significant PTSD symptoms in response to deployment, increased amygdala reactivity to threat-related faces was observed post-deployment relative to pre-deployment (van Wingen et al., 2011). Since none of the participants of this study developed PTSD, this finding may suggest that increased amygdala reactivity to negative facial expressions after severe stress does not necessarily predict adverse outcome.

Although the results of this study on effects of a single intranasal OT administration in recently trauma-exposed individuals do not support our hypothesis that OT administration may
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prevent PTSD by attenuating amygdala reactivity, more research on (repeated) OT administration in this population is needed before definite conclusions about OT’s (lack of) therapeutic potential for PTSD prevention can be drawn. First, how OT-induced increased amygdala reactivity to threat-related stimuli relate to long-term PTSD symptom development needs to be evaluated, since long-term clinical effects of increased processing of fear-related stimuli remain unclear. Of note, in BPD patients, in contrast to findings of beneficial OT effects on stress and fear processing (Simeon et al., 2011; Bertsch et al., 2013), adverse effects from OT administration on social behavior were also observed (Bartz et al., 2010). This illustrates that measures related to both stress/fear processing and behavior should be included in future studies, not only to deepen our understanding of how OT can affect (trauma-exposed) patients neurobiologically, but also clinically. In addition, recruitment of the amygdala is not only necessary for fear conditioning but also for fear extinction (LaBar et al., 1998). Consequently, OT effects on fear memory should be assessed, as OT is thought to develop due to overconsolidation of fear memories, and impaired fear extinction (Mahan and Ressler, 2012). Therefore, timing of OT administration in relation to the fear conditioning phase, and associated effects on amygdala reactivity, should be evaluated. In rodents, contrasting effects on fear expression were demonstrated for OT administration, depending on whether OT was administered prior to fear conditioning or extinction (Toth et al., 2012). Moreover, evidence from previous studies in rodents and healthy men suggest that OT administration closely timed with fear extinction improves fear extinction outcomes, and may reinforce adaptive memory reconsolidation (Cohen et al., 2010; Acheson et al., 2013; Zoicas et al., 2014), although this was not always found (Toth et al., 2012). In addition, prolonged administration and dose–response effects of intranasal OT on amygdala reactivity should be investigated. Further, in this study we explored a limited number of potential moderators of OT effects (i.e. CAPS scores and sex). Other individual characteristics such as a history of childhood trauma (Grimm et al., 2014), and variations in genes involved in OT secretion (Sauer et al., 2013) also may influence OT effects on amygdala reactivity. These are also PTSD risk factors (Ozer et al., 2003; Feldman et al., 2014). A better understanding on how OT administration interacts with these characteristics is needed. Similarly, since effects of OT-signaling and OT administration on anxiety appear to depend on (social) context (e.g. Guzmán et al., 2014), future studies should provide more insight into potential beneficial or adverse outcomes of OT administration can be reinforced or prevented, respectively.

This study is the first to assess acute effects of OT administration in a recently trauma-exposed population, and thereby provide empirical evidence relevant when considering the use of OT for PTSD treatment. Furthermore, no previous fMRI studies on OT administration included a mixed gender sample. Our study also has several limitations. First, we did not include a recently trauma-exposed control group with low distress. Therefore, we could not assess (OT effects on) amygdala reactivity specifically associated with high, relative to low distress early post-trauma. Such assessment would have allowed us to more accurately interpret the observed OT effect on increasing amygdala reactivity in relation to early post-trauma PTSD risk, as higher acute distress early post-trauma is associated with higher PTSD risk. Second, although our data support, at least in part, the hypothesis that OT effects are sex-dependent, the study was not adequately powered to detect more subtle sex-related effects. Third, since participants were scanned within 11 days post-trauma, we could not plan scanning during specific phases of women menstrual cycles. Moreover, 61% of female participants used hormonal contraceptives. Therefore, we could not account for the influence of gonadal hormone levels on OT effects on amygdala reactivity. However, earlier studies in healthy women have shown similar effects of OT on amygdala reactivity in the luteal (Domes et al., 2010) and follicular phase (Bertsch et al., 2013), indicating that in healthy women menstrual phase may not strongly influence the direction of OT administration effects on amygdala reactivity to negative stimuli. Finally, it should be noted that we specifically aimed to include participants based on moderate to high levels of acute distress according to the PDI and/or TSQ, which resulted in a relative underrepresentation of individuals with low CAPS scores compared with the general population of traumatic injury patients (Mouthaan et al., 2014). This approach could have led to less robust associations between acute PTSD symptom severity and amygdala reactivity to fearful faces in our sample than would be observed in a non–pre-selected recently trauma-exposed population.

In all, our findings suggest that a single OT administration enhances processing of fearful faces in recently trauma-exposed individuals and also of neutral faces in recently trauma-exposed women, which may be explained by OT-induced increased salience processing. Clinical implications of these findings for PTSD prevention should be further investigated.
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