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ABSTRACT

The Big Data to Knowledge (BD2K) Center for Causal Discovery is developing and disseminating an integrated set of open source tools that support causal modeling and discovery of biomedical knowledge from large and complex biomedical datasets. The Center integrates teams of biomedical and data scientists focused on the refinement of existing and the development of new constraint-based and Bayesian algorithms based on causal Bayesian networks, the optimization of software for efficient operation in a supercomputing environment, and the testing of algorithms and software developed using real data from 3 representative driving biomedical projects: cancer driver mutations, lung disease, and the functional connectome of the human brain. Associated training activities provide both biomedical and data scientists with the knowledge and skills needed to apply and extend these tools. Collaborative activities with the BD2K Consortium further advance causal discovery tools and integrate tools and resources developed by other centers.
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INTRODUCTION

Much of science consists of discovering and modeling causal relationships in nature. With rapid advancements in technology and networking, biomedical scientists increasingly generate multiple complex data types for a large number of samples, each of which has an enormous number of measurements recorded. Although statistical and machine learning methods can predict the value of a variable X from observed predictors, the best predictors of X are often poor models of the causes of X (hence the slogan “correlation is not causation”), which motivated the development of algorithms specifically devoted to the discovery of valid causal models.

Indeed, tremendous progress has been made in developing computational methods for representing and discovering causal knowledge from data.¹–⁶ These causal discovery methods have found applications in a wide range of fields, including econometrics, education, epidemiology, climate research, medicine, and biology.²,³ Current capabilities include 1) the representation of existing causal knowledge as a graphical network model with precisely defined semantics, 2) the discovery of causal networks of relationships from a combination of prior knowledge and experimental and observational data, and 3) the use of causal networks to suggest how changing one variable (e.g., a drug binding to a signaling protein and blocking a pathway) is likely to influence the state of another variable (e.g., cell apoptosis). While much progress has been made in the development of these computational methods and their application in biomedical science⁸–³⁵ and other fields, they are not sufficiently efficient to analyze big datasets nor easy for biomedical scientists to access or apply to their data.

To fill this gap, the Center for Causal Discovery (CCD) is building on the extensive code base of causal modeling and discovery (CMD) algorithms that we have developed and implemented over the past 25 years¹,²,⁴,³⁶–³⁸ and integrating new or improved algorithms as they are reported in the literature. Software products from the Center will allow biomedical and data scientists to select and apply one or more data-appropriate causal discovery algorithms to their biomedical datasets and compare the causal relationships that each algorithm predicts.

ORGANIZATION

The CCD integrates the efforts of 5 main teams of experts: Algorithm Development, Software and Systems Architecture, Driving Biomedical Projects Training and Dissemination, and Consortium Activities. Figure 1 summarizes the overall impact of the CCD in relation to the types of problems we are solving through each component of the center. As noted above, our ultimate goal is to provide CMD tools with which end users can efficiently search for and characterize causal relationships responsible for an observed phenotype or phenomenon using large and often merged omics, imaging, and clinical datasets—and to tailor training to each end-user constituency.

The CCD is a joint effort of approximately 40 investigators at the University of Pittsburgh (Pitt), Carnegie Mellon University, the Pittsburgh Supercomputing Center, and Yale University. We also have consulting investigators at the California Institute of Technology, New York University, Rutgers University, Stanford University, the University of Crete, and the University of North Carolina. Drs Gregory Cooper, Ivet Bahar, and Jeremy Berg serve as the Center Principal Investigators who direct Center activities with guidance from the Executive Committee and Internal and External Advisory Boards.

ALGORITHM DEVELOPMENT

Algorithm development and optimization is at the core of CCD efforts, and we are focusing on the discovery of structural causal relationships that can be represented by causal Bayesian networks (Table 1). We are using 2 main classes of algorithms that model hidden variables and sample selection and have the ability to discover them based on observational data, data from experimental interventions, or both:
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constraint-based algorithms, which use tests of conditional independence, and Bayesian algorithms, which allow the specification of structure and parameter prior probabilities.

Some additional key characteristics of causal discovery problems are shown in Table 2. No current causal discovery algorithms can optimally address all these issues, though algorithms exist for addressing important subsets of the issues, and additional algorithms are being developed in the CCD and elsewhere to more fully address them. We are in the process of implementing and making available the best CMD algorithms as well as developing new algorithms to address pressing needs of causal discovery in biomedicine. Our Algorithm Development team is working closely with the Software and Systems Architecture groups to generate algorithms that are highly efficient and parallelized so that they can analyze very large datasets in a practical amount of computing time.

SOFTWARE AND SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT

Our goal is to make CMD algorithms accessible and useful to a wide variety of biomedical researchers who might not otherwise take advantage of them. These algorithms will be freely available as open-source application programming interfaces, which will facilitate their use by other biomedical and data scientists. We aim to provide “one-stop shopping” for scientists who wish to incorporate causal discovery methods into their research. To do so, we are, in parallel with algorithm development, creating a computational platform that supports the continual accumulation, refinement, integration, documentation, and dissemination of causal discovery algorithms.

We are also developing an interactive computer system that facilitates the application of the CMD algorithms to biomedical data (Figure 2). Such a system will have a graphical user interface that can run on a desktop computer. Backend processing of causal analyses can take place on the desktop machine for tasks that are not too computationally demanding, while more demanding tasks are automatically relayed to and performed on a high-performance computer cluster. We are fortunate to engage data scientists at the Pittsburgh Supercomputing Center for this work, and resources available through this and other high-performance computer centers are available to investigators across the country seeking to apply CMD tools to their big data through the Extreme Science and Engineering Discovery Environment (XSEDE), https://www.xsede.org.

DRIVING BIOMEDICAL PROJECTS

To ensure our methods are broadly applicable, we selected 3 very different driving biomedical projects (DBPs) to drive the development of our CMD tools and algorithms. Teams of bench scientists, who generate biomedical big data through their ongoing research, and data
data representing the activity of /C24 influences among small spatial regions of the human brain using fMRI.

The variable \( X \) surrounded by double circles denotes selection in which the values of \( X \) influence whether a sample appears in the dataset.

\( X, Y, \) and \( Z \) denote measured variables. \( H \) denotes a hidden (latent) variable. The variable \( S \) surrounded by double circles denotes a hidden (latent) variable.

Scientists involved in algorithm and software development, meet bi-weekly to ensure close collaboration on the iterative development and improvement of our CMD methods and tools.

The **Cancer Signaling Pathways** DBP seeks to discover the genomic drivers of tumors and the altered cell signaling pathways that result in cancer. \(^{39,40}\) The ability to discover and model these causal relationships accurately is key to more fully realizing precision cancer diagnosis, prognosis, and therapy. We are analyzing published data sources, including The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) data \(^{41}\), which is mirrored locally in real time, and internal research and electronic health record data on a variety of cancer types, with an initial focus on breast cancer. The data include measurements of somatic mutations, copy number alterations, mRNA expression, and protein activation, as well as phenotype and clinical outcome. Computational predictions will be tested and validated in cell line and xenograft models as part of a broader program aimed at discovering new therapeutic targets.

The **Chronic Lung Disease** DBP aims to discover the cellular factors that lead to susceptibility and progression of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease and idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis. \(^{42}\) We are analyzing data from the Lung Genomics Research Consortium and the Lung Tissue Research Consortium to discover and model causal relationships between molecular variables, clinical variables (\(~80\) per patient), and image features to characterize disease mechanisms and predict disease severity. The data include high-resolution images of lung tissue for which single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs), DNA methylation, mRNA expression, and microRNA expression are concurrently measured.

The **Brain Functional Connectivity** DBP seeks to discover the causal influences among small spatial regions of the human brain using fMRI data representing the activity of \(~2\) mm\(^3\) regions (voxels) about every 2 s. These regions define thousands of variables that we analyze to generate a causal network of functional influence. \(^{43,44}\)

Currently, we are performing this analysis on functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) data from individuals with autism spectrum disorder and neurotypical individuals. We seek to characterize causal-network differences between these groups as well as differences among individuals with autism spectrum disorder (ASD). Our goal is to improve sub-classification of ASD subjects using the causal patterns evidenced in response to a variety of stimuli. Individuals within a sub-classification may or may not be homogenous with regard to ultimate causes of their condition, but we hope to reduce variance. We plan a similar investigation in individuals with schizophrenia. These efforts, like those for the molecular mechanisms for cancer and lung disease, typically involve problems in which the number of variables and possible relationships among them is much higher than the sample size. Such analyses are possible because our search algorithms allow us to identify causal structure when the number of variables is orders of magnitude larger than the number of samples. \(^{2,45}\)

While we anticipate that new biomedical discoveries will be made in each of these problem areas using the methods developed by the CCD, the broader impact will be the development of the methods and tools themselves, which will be applicable to a wide spectrum of biomedical research.

**TRAINING AND DISTRIBUTION**

The Training component of the CCD is dedicated to training researchers in both biomedical science and data science. For biomedical scientists, we teach the conceptual underpinnings of CMD methods, the application of those methods to biomedical problems (including an understanding of what kinds of problems the methods should or should not be applied to), and the use of software developed by the Center. We are teaching data scientists how to understand and incorporate CMD methods into computational workflows and how to develop new algorithms, software, and systems for CMD.

We will provide training resources in the form of downloadable materials that can be used for asynchronous learning or as part of established courses; online courses (both credit and noncredit),
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**Table 1: Several key causal relationships in a causal Bayesian network.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Graphical representation</th>
<th>Causal relationship</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( X \rightarrow Y )</td>
<td>Direct cause</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( X \rightarrow Y \rightarrow Z )</td>
<td>An endogenous latent variable (( H ))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \begin{array}{c} H \ \downarrow \end{array} \rightarrow \begin{array}{c} Y \ \downarrow \end{array} \rightarrow Z )</td>
<td>A latent confounding variable (( H ))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \begin{array}{c} S \ \downarrow \end{array} \rightarrow \begin{array}{c} X \ \downarrow \end{array} \rightarrow \begin{array}{c} Y \ \downarrow \end{array} \rightarrow Z )</td>
<td>Selection</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Table 2: Some key characteristics of causal discovery problems.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Characteristics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Prior knowledge</td>
<td>none; deterministic; probabilistic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable types</td>
<td>discrete; continuous; both</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temporal dynamics</td>
<td>none; stationary time series; non-stationary time series; discrete time; continuous time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distributions</td>
<td>parametric; non-parametric</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>linear; non-linear; additive noise; non-additive noise</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feedback cycles</td>
<td>absent; present</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latent confounders</td>
<td>absent; present</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Selection bias</td>
<td>absent; present</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Datasets</td>
<td>single; multiple datasets on same variables; multiple datasets on overlapping variables</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---
workshop videos, and discussion groups; and in-person workshops, short courses, graduate courses, internships, and hackathons. Our CMD training will rely heavily on the TETRAD program (http://www.phil.cmu.edu/tetrad/) developed by CCD investigators from Carnegie Mellon University. These activities are intended for undergraduate and graduate students, postdoctoral fellows, young investigators, and established investigators from academia and industry, both within and beyond the Big Data to Knowledge (BD2K) Centers of Excellence.

We will also maintain at our website (http://ccd.pitt.edu) online interfaces and tutorials for CCD software as well as libraries of algorithms, software tools, and datasets to allow one-stop shopping for any scientist interested in causal discovery.

CONSORTIUM COLLABORATION

Our Consortium component has two main goals: to encourage and facilitate the use of CCD tools by other scientists, both inside and outside the BD2K Consortium, and to design and implement intra-Consortium projects with other BD2K Centers.

Our Technical Catalyst will make brief site visits on a rotating basis to other BD2K Centers to learn how our Center can better serve their needs and how their products can be integrated into our workflow; we will prepare Technical Reports summarizing each site visit and opportunities for synergy. Our Scientific Catalyst program engages leading biomedical and data scientists who have agreed to promote the use of CCD tools in their respective scientific communities and to solicit feedback on how the CCD can better meet their needs.

In addition, we are currently pursuing two intra-Consortium projects. The first is in partnership with the Patient-centered Information Commons: Standardized Unification of Research Elements (PIC-SURE) at Harvard to access and analyze datasets containing genetic, environmental, imaging, behavioral, and clinical data on a large number of individual patients. Together, we will apply CMD methods to explore new hypotheses about the relationships between risk factors, diseases, and outcomes. In a second project, we are working with the Stanford Center for Expanded Data Annotation and Retrieval (CEDAR) to use their metadata methods to support our CMD analyses and to use our knowledge about CMD in developing metadata descriptions in (CEDAR).

SUMMARY

The CCD seeks to discover, optimize, apply, and disseminate methods and tools for CMD with large and complex data to generate new biomedical knowledge and to encourage and train both data and biomedical scientists in their use. We will serve as a central resource for anyone seeking causal discovery algorithms, software tools, training, and collaboration.
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