Hidden Burden of *Bartonella quintana* on the African Continent: Should the Bacterial Infection Be Considered a Neglected Tropical Disease?
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*Bartonella quintana* is a louse-borne gram-negative bacillus that remains a poorly characterized cause of bacteremia, fever, and infective endocarditis. Due to the link with pediculosis, *B. quintana* transmission is tied to poverty, conflict, overcrowding, and inadequate water access to maintain personal hygiene. Although these risk factors may be present globally, we argue that a substantial burden of undocumented *B. quintana* infection occurs in Africa due to the high prevalence of these risk factors. Here, we describe the neglected burden of *B. quintana* infection, endocarditis, and vector positivity in Africa and evaluate whether *B. quintana* meets criteria to be considered a neglected tropical disease according to the World Health Organization.

**Graphical Abstract**

The hidden burden of *Bartonella quintana* on the African continent: should the bacterial infection be considered a neglected tropical disease?
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*Bartonella quintana* is a louse-borne gram-negative bacillus that remains a poorly characterized cause of bacteremia, fever, and infective endocarditis (IE) [1]. *B. quintana* is primarily transmitted via the inoculation of infected body louse feces into abraded human skin and mucous membranes [1]. Once in the human host, the bacterium infects erythrocytes, causing chronic bacteremia [1]. Due to the link with pediculosis, *B. quintana* transmission is tied to poverty, conflict, overcrowding,
and inadequate water access to maintain personal hygiene [1, 2]. Although these risk factors may be present globally, we argue that a substantial burden of undocumented \(B\) \textit{quintana} infection occurs in Africa due to the high prevalence of these risk factors.

The first description of \(B\) \textit{quintana} infection was reported among World War I soldiers, causing a syndrome known as trench fever [3]. In the 1990s, \(B\) \textit{quintana} was determined to cause bacteremia and IE among houseless individuals living in cities of high-income countries, leading to the designation “urban trench fever” [1]. While many studies of \(B\) \textit{quintana} focus on urban trench fever in Europe and the United States, some of the first documented epidemics of \(B\) \textit{quintana} occurred in Africa, with Ethiopian and Tunisian outbreaks occurring in 1946 and 1961, respectively [4–6]. Contemporary descriptions of \(B\) \textit{quintana} acquired in Africa are predominantly cases of IE among African inhabitants immigrating to high-income countries outside Africa and diagnosed there.

IE is \(B\) \textit{quintana}’s most severe clinical syndrome. \(B\) \textit{quintana} IE predominantly affects the aortic valve, causing valvular destruction with large vegetations prone to embolization [1, 7]. Before valvular damage occurs, most cases of \(B\) \textit{quintana} IE are associated with months of nonspecific symptoms [1]. Consequently, cases of \(B\) \textit{quintana} IE are diagnosed late, after heart failure and embolization occur, if diagnosed at all. Mortality rates due to \(B\) \textit{quintana} IE exceed 10%, even with recommended treatment involving antimicrobials and valvular replacement surgery [1]. In 2023, \(B\) \textit{quintana} test positivity was added as a major update to the modified Duke criteria for IE [8].

This update reflects the complexity of diagnosing \(B\) \textit{quintana} infections, including those caused by \(B\) \textit{quintana}. Species within the \(Bartonella\) genus, including \(B\) \textit{quintana}, are difficult to culture from blood and are typically not identified via routine 5-day incubation [1]. The bacillus is thus designated a culture-negative bacterial pathogen and a major cause of culture-negative IE (CNIE) [1]. As with the 8 other \(Bartonella\) species known to cause IE, \(B\) \textit{quintana} infection is primarily diagnosed via serologic and molecular techniques [9]. Serology, such as indirect immunofluorescent antibody testing (IFA), identifies current or previous \(B\) \textit{quintana} infection but may be limited by cross-reactivity with other pathogens and by its inability to identify \(Bartonella\) to the species level, unless cross-adsorption procedures are used, which are restricted to reference laboratories [1, 10]. Serologic positivity of different tests with different pathogen antigens may not exclude possible co-exposure to multiple pathogens [11]. Speciating \(B\) \textit{quintana} necessitates molecular techniques such as polymerase chain reaction (PCR) with species-specific primers [1, 12]. For cases of IE, sensitivity is highest when testing is performed on explanted cardiac tissue rather than blood samples [1, 9]. The reliance on molecular techniques performed on invasive tissue samples undermines the feasibility of diagnosing \(B\) \textit{quintana} in African settings that have limited access to cardiovascular surgery and where 1.3% of the biologic laboratories on the continent perform bacteriologic culture and antimicrobial susceptibility testing [13, 14]. Nevertheless, the number of cases of \(B\) \textit{quintana} IE acquired throughout the African continent indicate hidden transmission (Figure 1, Supplementary Appendices 1 and 2). Here, we describe the neglected burden of \(B\) \textit{quintana} infection in Africa and evaluate whether \(B\) \textit{quintana} meets criteria to be considered a neglected tropical disease (NTD).

\textbf{\(B\) \textit{quintana} in Sub-Saharan Africa}

The first documented outbreak of \(B\) \textit{quintana} in sub-Saharan Africa occurred in Ethiopia in 1946, and the Horn of Africa continues to be endemic for \(B\) \textit{quintana} [4]. In 2017, among 5 Ethiopian children undergoing cardiovascular surgery for IE in Israel, \(B\) \textit{quintana} was the main agent in 4 of 5 patients [15]. In 2023, an additional Ethiopian case of \(B\) \textit{quintana} endocarditis was added to this series [16]. While the sample size remains small, \(B\) \textit{quintana} was the predominant cause of IE in this cohort despite testing for alternate etiologies [15]. In 2021, \(B\) \textit{quintana} endocarditis was diagnosed in an Eritrean man living in the Netherlands after presenting with fatigue, renal failure, and weight loss [17]. One year later, an additional case of \(B\) \textit{quintana} endocarditis was reported in a 31-year-old Eritrean woman soon after immigrating to Canada [7].

\(B\) \textit{quintana} is common in louse vectors in the Horn of Africa. \(B\) \textit{quintana} is the most common pathogen in Ethiopian lice, as
identified in >9% of 65 head lice pools [18]. While body louse transmission of \textit{B. quintana} is well established, entomologic and epidemiologic studies suggest that head lice may occasionally transmit \textit{B. quintana}, especially in resource-poor contexts, as highlighted by a recent review article and a \textit{B. quintana} outbreak associated with head lice in Senegal [19, 20]. In a study of 271 head and 424 body lice collected from 134 Ethiopian individuals, \textit{B. quintana} was identified in 6.7% and 12.7% of those with lice, respectively [21]. \textit{B. quintana} positivity in Ethiopian lice and the pathogen’s presence among cases of IE acquired in Ethiopia and Eritrea suggest a burden of undiagnosed \textit{B. quintana} infection in the Horn of Africa.

Regions of sub-Saharan Africa outside the Horn of Africa have long been known to harbor \textit{B. quintana}. Cases of \textit{B. quintana} were recognized during a 1995 outbreak of epidemic typhus in Burundi [22]. IE in the region was previously characterized by high rates of CNIE, but recent results from the Tygerberg Endocarditis Cohort suggest that \textit{B. quintana} may be the predominant cause CNIE in South Africa. The Tygerberg Endocarditis Cohort is a study of patients with endocarditis treated at Tygerberg Academic Hospital, Cape Town, South Africa [23]. This study included 140 patients, of which 65 were recruited prospectively. In the prospective arm, patients with suspected IE were subjected to a set protocol for organism detection, including serology for \textit{Bartonella} species and routine tissue PCR at the time of surgery [23]. This study identified \textit{B. quintana} as the most common cause of CNIE and the second-most common cause of IE after \textit{Staphylococcus aureus} [23]. This finding supports the notion that \textit{B. quintana} may be a major contributor to the high rates of CNIE in Southern Africa.

In 2022, an outbreak of febrile illness due to \textit{B. quintana} occurred in the Senegalese village of Ndiop [20]. Of the 228 patients whose blood sample was tested for \textit{B. quintana}, 4.4% (n = 10) were positive by real-time PCR [20]. Previously, 3 cases of \textit{B. quintana} IE acquired in Senegal were diagnosed in Europe, including a pediatric case of a 13-year-old girl and a fatal case of a 50-year-old man [24, 25]. In 2019, \textit{B. quintana} IE was reported in a 37-year-old school teacher after immigrating from the Democratic Republic of Congo to the United States [26]. Here too, the authors maintained that the infection was acquired in the Democratic Republic of Congo. In 2023, there was a case of \textit{B. quintana} IE in an 11-year-old patient from Rwanda who underwent valve replacement surgery in Israel [16]. These descriptions exemplify cases of \textit{B. quintana} endocarditis acquired in Africa but diagnosed and treated outside the African continent.

The sub-Saharan African presence of infection due to \textit{Bartonella} species and \textit{B. quintana} was demonstrated in a 2021 multicenter study of febrile illness in African children: \textit{Bartonella} species were among the first- and second-most common origins of bacterial DNA found in the blood of patients in Madagascar and Burkina Faso who were febrile, as identified in 2.5% and 2% of all acute fever cases, respectively [27]. Of the 9 \textit{Bartonella} cases from Madagascar, 4 were speciated to \textit{B. quintana} [27]. No other \textit{Bartonella} species were identified [27]. While this study did not identify all \textit{Bartonella}-associated febrile illness to the species level, the only \textit{Bartonella} cases that underwent sequencing were identified as \textit{B. quintana}, supporting the notion that \textit{Bartonella} species—and \textit{B. quintana} specifically—likely remain common and underrecognized causes of fever in sub-Saharan Africa.

### \textit{B. quintana} in North Africa

Many of the first cases of \textit{B. quintana} IE were acquired in North Africa, and recent cohorts from the region identify \textit{B. quintana} as a common cause of CNIE. The first African case of \textit{B. quintana} IE was reported in 1996 in a 34-year-old Algerian farmer, only 3 years after the first publication on \textit{B. quintana} IE in 1993 [5, 28]. As the Algerian farmer denied houselessness, alcohol use, and ectoparasitosis, this description provided the first suggestion that risk factors for \textit{B. quintana} “may be different in western Europe or North America and in Africa” [28]. Subsequently, 14 other Algerian cases of \textit{B. quintana} IE were described, and 12 cases were identified from Tunisia, leading the authors to conclude that the disease “seems to be very common in Tunisia” [29, 30]. While specific risk factors for \textit{B. quintana} infection in North Africa have not been explicitly studied, the majority of \textit{B. quintana} IE from Algeria and Tunisia was among individuals with “poor living conditions” who lived in crowded households “of at least 10 persons” [29, 30]. This suggests that overcrowding and poverty are risk factors for \textit{B. quintana} infection, independent of houselessness and alcohol use disorder.

### Estimating the Hidden Burden of \textit{B. quintana} in Africa

The available evidence on human \textit{B. quintana} infection acquired in Africa is disproportionately based on cases of IE treated in high-income countries outside Africa and regions within Africa with access to cardiovascular services. This reflects bias due to the unequal availability of cardiovascular surgery and molecular diagnostics [14, 31]. Estimating the burden of \textit{B. quintana} in Africa is limited by the compound difficulties of identifying \textit{B. quintana} infection microbiologically and diagnosing endocarditis clinically. Estimating \textit{B. quintana} prevalence is problematic as those who are infected may have subclinical disease and may not seek care. These difficulties are exacerbated by the fact that the disease is not notifiable.

Studies of houseless populations in high-income countries suggest that >15% of individuals had prior \textit{B. quintana} infection and 5% to 14% had \textit{B. quintana} bacteremia [1, 32]. Applying these figures to African jurisdictions where \textit{B. quintana} IE has been reported would suggest a burden of undiagnosed infection, even if the risk would be several fold lower in this context.
Considering that 20% of patients with B. quintana bacteremia may develop IE, a substantial number of B. quintana IE may be overlooked [1, 33]. This issue is complicated by the chronicity of B. quintana bacteremia, which may persist well over a year despite minimal symptoms [6, 34].

While large studies of heart failure and IE in Africa are scant, the existing data suggest a hidden burden of CNIE, possibly consistent with B. quintana [14, 31]. In a cross-sectional study of 106 Ethiopian children admitted with acute heart failure, IE was the most common cardiac etiology [35]. In a systematic review of IE in Africa, half of the cases were culture negative [14, 23]. While direct evidence of B. quintana IE in large prospective African studies is limited to the Tygerberg Endocarditis Cohort and the studies described here, it is possible that many of the cases of CNIE are due to undiagnosed B. quintana. This systematic review also characterizes IE in Africa, though specific risk factors for B. quintana infection in the African context have not been thoroughly investigated [2]. Within Africa, B. quintana positivity in lice is correlated to country gross domestic product [2]. Countries with lower gross domestic product have a higher prevalence of lice with B. quintana, though specific risk factors for B. quintana infection in the African context have not been thoroughly investigated [2]. B. quintana IE causes morbidity in the form of heart failure, renal dysfunction, and embolization [1]. As access to cardiovascular surgery is limited in many African contexts, mortality due to B. quintana IE may be simultaneously amplified and underreported. Stigma occurs via the association with ectoparasitosis. Individuals with pediculosis may be shunned due to fears of transmission, pruritis, and the development of skin abrasions. As illustrated by the cases presented here, B. quintana acquired in Africa disproportionately affects a younger population, for an additional economic burden on families, although cohort studies that incorporate an economic analysis are needed to better elucidate the cost of B. quintana infection in Africa.

### Table 1. WHO Criteria for Classifying a Condition as a Neglected Tropical Disease and Applicability to B. quintana

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>WHO Criteria for Neglected Tropical Disease Classification</th>
<th>Applicability to B. quintana</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Disproportionately affect populations living in poverty and cause important morbidity and mortality—including stigma and discrimination.</td>
<td>• B. quintana infection is associated with conditions of severe deprivation (e.g., houselessness, living in a refugee camp, lacking running water to maintain hygiene).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• B. quintana endocarditis causes morbidity (heart failure, renal dysfunction, cerebral embolization causing neurologic impairment) and mortality (&gt;10% despite treatment).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Pediculosis associated with stigma.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Primarily affects populations living in tropical and subtropical areas.</td>
<td>• Europe-Africa gradient with greater burden in Africa.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Reliance on molecular testing and cardiovascular surgery creates bias against reporting in low- and middle-income countries.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Is immediately amenable to broad control, elimination, or eradication by applying ≥1 of the 5 public health strategies adopted by the Department for Control of Neglected Tropical Diseases.</td>
<td>• B. quintana infection may be treated with preventable chemotherapy (e.g., doxycycline).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Intensified case management may prevent ongoing household transmission (e.g., via shared bedding).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Vector control: treatment of pediculosis (e.g., washing clothing and bedding, pediculicidal therapy with permethrin or ivermectin).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Veterinary public health: not applicable. Primarily human pathogen. Infrequent evidence of cat and monkey infection.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Safe water, sanitation, and hygiene: access to water to maintain hygiene is essential to interrupt transmission.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Is relatively neglected by research—specifically, resource allocation is not commensurate with the magnitude of the problem.</td>
<td>• Epidemiology: not notifiable disease. Hidden burden. No prevalence data. No screening guidelines.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Diagnostics: no new development of diagnostics. No rapid diagnostic tests. Current diagnostics (e.g., immunofluorescent antibody testing, quantitative polymerase chain reaction) not available in many low-resource settings.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Treatment: Antimicrobial therapy based on limited evidence (single open trial of gentamicin and doxycycline vs placebo). Toxicity associated with gentamicin. Elevated mortality despite treatment. Anecdotal reports of success with other regimens.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Abbreviation:** WHO, World Health Organization.

---

**Does B. quintana Meet Criteria to Be Considered a Neglected Tropical Disease?**

NTDs are a diverse grouping of diseases united by their association with poverty and their disproportionate burden in tropical and subtropical areas [36]. The World Health Organization (WHO) has 4 criteria for designating a condition as an NTD (Table 1) [36]. Existing evidence suggests that B. quintana may meet these criteria, though additional research is needed.

**B. quintana Disproportionately Affects Populations Experiencing Poverty**

B. quintana causes morbidity and mortality among populations experiencing houselessness, displacement, and lack of access to running water to maintain personal hygiene [1, 2]. Within Africa, B. quintana positivity in lice is correlated to country gross domestic product [2]. Countries with lower gross domestic product have a higher prevalence of lice with B. quintana, though specific risk factors for B. quintana infection in the African context have not been thoroughly investigated [2]. B. quintana IE causes morbidity in the form of heart failure, renal dysfunction, and embolization [1]. As access to cardiovascular surgery is limited in many African contexts, mortality due to B. quintana IE may be simultaneously amplified and underreported. Stigma occurs via the association with ectoparasitosis. Individuals with pediculosis may be shunned due to fears of transmission, pruritis, and the development of skin abrasions. As illustrated by the cases presented here, B. quintana acquired in Africa disproportionately affects a younger population, for an additional economic burden on families, although cohort studies that incorporate an economic analysis are needed to better elucidate the cost of B. quintana infection in Africa.
Table 2. Key Bartonella quintana Knowledge Gaps and Associated WHO Criteria for Classifying a Condition as a Neglected Tropical Disease

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>WHO Criteria for Neglected Tropical Disease Classification</th>
<th>Key Knowledge Gaps</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Disproportionately affect populations living in poverty and cause important morbidity and mortality—including stigma and discrimination.</td>
<td>• Risk factors for B. quintana infection in LMICs are poorly defined and largely extrapolated from studies in high-income countries. Case-control studies in LMICs are lacking. • Morbidity and mortality due to B. quintana infection and endocarditis are poorly characterized in LMICs. Long-term cohort studies of B. quintana infection and infective endocarditis are lacking, as well as prevalence studies among individuals with heart failure and embolization such as stroke. • Qualitative research on pediculosis-related stigma and discrimination is absent. The effect of ectoparasitosis on employment, social mobility, and marriageability is not well studied.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Primarily affects populations living in tropical and subtropical areas.</td>
<td>• While a Europe-Africa gradient has been proposed, comparative prevalence studies among countries do not exist. • With few exceptions (eg, Tygerberg Endocarditis Cohort—South Africa), existing data predominantly rely on diagnostics performed outside LMICs, reflecting the need for diagnostic capacity in referral laboratories in LMICs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Is immediately amenable to broad control, elimination, or eradication by applying ≥ 1 of the 5 public health strategies adopted by the Department for Control of Neglected Tropical Diseases.</td>
<td>• Studies evaluating the role of oral chemotheraphy to prevent the progression from infection to endocarditis-related disease are lacking. • Environmental stability of B. quintana on shared bedding/clothing and its role in transmission is poorly defined. • Transmission in LMICs is poorly characterized. Many case reports of patients with B. quintana infective endocarditis acquired in LMICs deny previous pediculosis, suggesting alternate forms of transmission. Case-control, contact tracing, and additional vector studies are required.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Is relatively neglected by research—specifically, resource allocation is not commensurate with the magnitude of the problem.</td>
<td>• Little to no investment in most aspects of Bartonella research—The Steven &amp; Alexandra Cohen Foundation being a recent exception. • Bartonella research needs, stakeholders, and existing projects have not been mapped.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: LMICs, low- and middle-income countries; WHO, World Health Organization.

**B. quintana Primarily Affects Populations Living in Tropical and Subtropical Areas**

While *B. quintana* was historically described as being localized to Northern Europe, the disproportionate burden of *B. quintana* infection in Africa has long been postulated, including a gradient of increasing *B. quintana* infection from Northern Europe to African countries [29]. This likely reflects an economic gradient rather than a climactic one [2]. The preponderance of *B. quintana* cases reported from high-income countries in nontropical areas likely reflects the combined availability of cardiovascular surgery and molecular diagnostics to speciate *B. quintana*, rather than a large burden of disease, as indicated by the cases of *B. quintana* endocarditis from northern high-income countries where the pathogen was determined to be acquired in Africa [26, 37–40]. The largest description of *Bartonella* endocarditis is a French study where *B. quintana* was detected in 48 (53%) cases [39]. A recent systematic review of *B. quintana* endocarditis identified 105 (62.9%) cases to be acquired in a high-income country [40]. More studies are needed to determine the true burden of *B. quintana* infection in low- and middle-income countries, including those on the African continent.

**B. quintana Is Immediately Amenable to Broad Control**

*B. quintana* infection may be eliminated by applying a combination of 4 of the 5 public health strategies adopted by the WHO for control of NTDs [36]. Oral antimicrobials, such as doxycycline, may be used as chemotherapy to prevent *B. quintana* disease in areas with elevated *B. quintana* infection, as occurs with mass drug administration for other neglected infections, though studies involving *B. quintana* are lacking [41]. Intensified case management and household contract tracing may use existing technology, such as IFA, to screen people for infection prior to endocarditis-related morbidity and mortality. Vector control is feasible by using existing pediculocidal agents, such as permethrin and ivermectin, and interventions to improve access to water to maintain personal hygiene. The latter may be integrated into existing WASH interventions (water, sanitation, and hygiene) that are known to be indispensable components of NTD control.

**Research on B. quintana Is Neglected**

All aspects of *B. quintana* research are neglected. *B. quintana* epidemiology is poorly described, with most studies focusing on houseless populations in high-income countries, as exemplified by studies of *B. quintana* bacteremia among houseless persons in the United States and France [1, 5, 6, 42]. Seroprevalence studies in Africa are lacking. No large studies describe the prevalence of *B. quintana* infection among people living on the African continent and presenting with fever, heart failure, or symptoms of embolization. Diagnosis of *B. quintana* is complex, relying on expensive equipment and specialized personnel. IFA, the main serologic test for *B. quintana*, has low...
throughput and necessitates a fluorescent microscope, which may not be available in laboratories in certain low-resource settings. Interpretation of IFA requires specific training. Many of the diagnostic companies that produce commercial *B quintana* IFA kits do not distribute to certain African countries where *B quintana* is endemic [18]. Isolating *B quintana* from blood culture samples necessitates specific techniques, such as lysis centrifugation, freeze and thaw, subculturing, and prolonged incubation up to 45 days [1,43]. If growth occurs, the pathogen is excluded from many commercial databases for interpreting results based on matrix-assisted laser desorption and ionization time-of-flight mass spectrometry, requiring the inclusion of additional spectra limited to specific international reference laboratories [44,45]. PCR from whole blood has limited sensitivity as compared with its performance on invasive tissue samples, and there is no consensus on the best molecular target to use [46,47]. Even in high-income countries, *B quintana* testing is often centralized in reference laboratories. *B quintana* serology and PCR are not available in most African countries, despite the capacity of many national laboratories to perform these tests. Rapid diagnostic tests, such as immunochromatographic tests, do not exist, and none are in development. Treatment for *B quintana* is largely based on a small open trial of gentamicin and doxycycline vs placebo for treatment of chronic bacteremia [48]. As gentamicin is associated with significant toxicity, drug-level monitoring is recommended but is rarely available in low-resource settings where the infection is endemic. American resources recommend treatment with rifampin and doxycycline based on limited data [49]. There are no published or registered randomized controlled trials comparing the efficacy of different antimicrobial regimens.

### Public Health Recommendations

While *B quintana* may meet all 4 WHO NTD criteria to be considered for elimination, the current state of research on *B quintana* is so severely deficient that further research is needed to confidently define the condition as an NTD (Table 2). We believe that a few modest measures may substantially improve awareness of this neglected disease. We advocate for *B quintana* to be considered a national notifiable disease to ensure that data on existing cases are reported. We suggest making *B quintana* testing available at national reference laboratories capable of performing serology and PCR, as this would use existing infrastructure and trained personnel to avoid significant financial costs. We suggest that initial surveillance testing occur in batch among patients at high risk with an elevated pretest probability of *B quintana* infection, such as those with CNIE. For countries without capacity to perform *B quintana* testing, we propose that samples be sent to regional reference laboratories with dedicated *B quintana* diagnostic capacity, as occurs with other infections [50]. We encourage the development of immunochromatographic tests to facilitate surveillance in low-resource settings. Last, we advocate for randomized controlled trials to identify safer alternatives to gentamicin. Early detection and treatment of subclinical *B quintana* infection may prevent avoidable morbidity, mortality, and cost.

The inverse care law states that the availability of care “tends to vary inversely with the need of the population served” [51]. *B quintana* predominantly affects individuals experiencing substantial need, with a concealed burden on the African continent. Recognizing that *B quintana* meets many NTD criteria is a first necessary step.

### Supplementary Data

**Supplementary materials** are available at Open Forum Infectious Diseases online. Consisting of data provided by the authors to benefit the reader, the posted materials are not copyedited and are the sole responsibility of the authors, so questions or comments should be addressed to the corresponding author.
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