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Objective: To determine the sensitivity and specificity of airway fluoroscopy in the diagnosis of pediatric laryngotracheal abnormalities.

Design: Retrospective chart review.

Setting: Tertiary care children’s hospital.

Patients: Thirty-nine children, with a mean age of 18 months at the time of evaluation, were evaluated for stridor.

Main Outcome Measures: Diagnoses made by airway fluoroscopy and endoscopy. The medical records of patients who had undergone both airway fluoroscopy and airway endoscopy for the evaluation of stridor over a 5-year period were reviewed. The sensitivity, specificity, and positive and negative predictive values of airway fluoroscopy in the diagnosis of laryngomalacia, tracheomalacia, airway stenosis at any level, and airway mass lesions were determined using endoscopic evaluation as the “gold standard.”

Results: Twenty-three of 39 patients (59%) received a different diagnosis by airway endoscopy than by airway fluoroscopy. The sensitivity of airway fluoroscopy in the diagnosis of laryngomalacia, tracheomalacia, airway stenosis, and an airway mass was 27%, 20%, 69%, and 43%, respectively. The specificity for the same diagnoses was 100%, 94%, 100%, and 100%, respectively.

Conclusions: Airway fluoroscopy appears to be reliable in the diagnosis of laryngomalacia, tracheomalacia, airway stenosis, and airway masses because of its high specificity. However, its sensitivity in detecting these common causes of stridor is poor. Negative fluoroscopic study results require further diagnostic evaluation if the clinical indication exists; therefore, the value of fluoroscopy as a screening tool remains uncertain.


Approximately 10% of patients who present to a pediatric emergency department have a breathing complaint. One of the most common symptoms in these children is a high-pitched breathing sound called stridor. Stridor can be an alarming symptom to patients and parents, and its evaluation can pose a significant diagnostic dilemma to physicians. The differential diagnosis may include a wide array of supraglottic, glottic, and subglottic abnormalities. Clinical distinction among such diagnoses poses a challenge to physicians.

The “gold standard” for diagnosing the cause of stridor is flexible laryngoscopy in conjunction with direct laryngoscopy and tracheobronchoscopy, providing direct visualization of the entire supraglottic and subglottic airway as well as of both fixed and dynamic airway lesions. Each component of this evaluation works synergistically to increase the diagnostic power of the whole, but not without individual drawbacks. Direct laryngoscopy and tracheobronchoscopy allow visualization of the subglottic airway but involve considerable operating room expenses as well as the risk of anesthesia. Flexible fiberoptic laryngoscopy permits more thorough assessment of laryngeal dynamics, facilitating detection of laryngomalacia and vocal cord paralysis. It provides a cheaper alternative to direct laryngoscopy and can be performed at the bedside without the risks of general anesthesia; however, it visualizes only the supraglottic airway and is therefore limited in its diagnostic capacity. Appropriate choice of diagnostic modalities requires careful consideration of the risks and benefits of each procedure in conjunction with the clinical scenario.

Airway fluoroscopy is a minimally invasive radiographic means of evaluating the upper airway structures; however, its sensitivity and specificity in identifying the cause of stridor remain uncertain. Many authors have suggested that the most helpful study to determine the utility of airway fluoroscopy would be one in which all patients with stridor are prospectively evaluated with both airway fluoroscopy and direct laryngoscopy and bronchoscopy. However, performing endoscopy on all children would produce unjustifiable operating room expenses as well as the risk of anesthesia. Flexible fiberoptic laryngoscopy permits more thorough assessment of laryngeal dynamics, facilitating detection of laryngomalacia and vocal cord paralysis. It provides a cheaper alternative to direct laryngoscopy and can be performed at the bedside without the risks of general anesthesia; however, it visualizes only the supraglottic airway and is therefore limited in its diagnostic capacity. Appropriate choice of diagnostic modalities requires careful consideration of the risks and benefits of each procedure in conjunction with the clinical scenario.
fied expense and unnecessary exposure to the risks of anesthesia. We hope to simulate such a study by a retrospective review of cases in which the patients were evaluated first by airway fluoroscopy and later by endoscopy (direct laryngoscopy and bronchoscopy, fiberoptic laryngoscopy, or all 3 methods).

## METHODS

A retrospective review of patient records was completed after study approval was obtained from the institutional review board. All subjects were patients at a tertiary care children's hospital that is affiliated with our academic institution. Three searches, spanning a 5-year time period, were conducted: (1) a search for all patients who underwent airway fluoroscopy; (2) a search for all patients who underwent airway endoscopy (either flexible fiberoptic laryngoscopy or direct laryngoscopy and bronchoscopy), and (3) a search for several diagnostic codes that identify a variety of laryngotracheal abnormalities. Also, the principle investigator’s operative log for the period of interest was reviewed. All search results were cross-referenced, and all collected charts were thoroughly examined. Patients who had undergone both airway fluoroscopy and airway endoscopy during the previous 5-year period were included. Each patient's age at evaluation, date of evaluation, sex, and ultimate diagnosis made by each diagnostic modality were recorded.

Once all data were collected, the diagnoses made by airway endoscopy were viewed as definitive. The data were tabulated using Microsoft Excel (Microsoft Corp, Redmond, Washington), and the sensitivity, specificity, and positive and negative predictive values of airway fluoroscopy in the diagnosis of laryngomalacia, tracheomalacia, airway stenosis (at any level), and airway mass lesions were calculated using traditional statistical methods.

## RESULTS

A total of 39 patients (23 boys and 16 girls) who had undergone both airway fluoroscopy and airway endoscopy were identified. The average age at evaluation was 18 months. In addition to airway fluoroscopy, 29 patients underwent direct laryngoscopy with bronchoscopy, 1 patient underwent direct laryngoscopy alone, 4 patients underwent flexible fiberoptic laryngoscopy with bronchoscopy, and 2 patients underwent flexible fiberoptic laryngoscopy alone. Three patients underwent both flexible fiberoptic laryngoscopy and bronchoscopy and flexible fiberoptic laryngoscopy. In 37 of the 39 patients, airway fluoroscopy was conducted before endoscopic evaluation.

The most common diagnoses made by airway endoscopy were airway stenosis at any level (n=13), laryngomalacia (n=11), airway mass lesions (n=7), and tracheomalacia (n=5). Mass lesions included cysts (n=4), hemangiomas (n=2), and recurrent laryngeal papillomatosis (n=1). Other diagnoses included normal airway (n=3), adenoid hypertrophy (2), airway inflammation (n=2), arytenoid edema (n=1), vocal cord paralysis (n=1), abnormal laryngeal anatomy (n=1), and shortened aryepiglottic folds (n=1). The most common diagnoses made by airway fluoroscopy were normal airway (n=18), subglottic narrowing (n=10), airway mass lesion (n=3), laryngomalacia (n=3), tracheomalacia (n=3), subglottic asymmetry (n=3), and prominent arytenoids (n=1). Mass lesions included a “base of tongue mass” (n=1), “subglottic mass” (n=1), and a “soft tissue density at the aryepiglottic fold” (n=1) (Table 1).

In all, 23 of 39 patients (59%) received a different diagnosis endoscopically from the diagnosis made by airway fluoroscopy. Statistical evaluation was conducted for the utility of airway fluoroscopy in the diagnosis of the 4 most common abnormalities diagnosed by airway endoscopy: airway stenosis, laryngomalacia, airway mass lesions, and tracheomalacia. Of the 13 patients diagnosed as having airway stenosis, 9 were identified by airway fluoroscopy (sensitivity, 69%; specificity, 100%; negative predictive value, 87%; and positive predictive value, 100%). Of the 11 patients diagnosed as having laryngomalacia, 3 were identified by airway fluoroscopy (sensitivity, 27%; specificity, 100%; negative predictive value, 78%; and positive predictive value, 100%). Of the 7 patients diagnosed as having airway mass lesion, 3 were identified by airway fluoroscopy (sensitivity, 43%; specificity, 100%; negative predictive value, 89%; and positive predictive value, 100%). Of the 5 patients diagnosed as having tracheomalacia, 1 was identified by airway fluoroscopy alone (sensitivity, 20%; specificity, 100%; negative predictive value, 100%; and positive predictive value, 100%). Of the 4 patients diagnosed as having a combination of laryngomalacia, tracheomalacia, and airway mass lesion, 3 were identified by airway fluoroscopy (sensitivity, 75%; specificity, 100%; negative predictive value, 100%; and positive predictive value, 100%).

### Table 1. Diagnoses Made by Each Modality

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diagnosis</th>
<th>Airway Fluoroscopy</th>
<th>Direct Laryngoscopy and Bronchoscopy</th>
<th>Flexible Fiberoptic Laryngoscopy/Bronchoscopy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Airway stenosis</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laryngomalacia</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Airway mass lesion</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tracheomalacia</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal airway</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adenoid hypertrophy</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Airway inflammation</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arytenoid edema</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vocal cord paralysis</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abnormal laryngeal anatomy</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shortened aryepiglottic folds</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subglottic asymmetry</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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agnoses. Airway fluoroscopy was found to be most sensitive for the detection of airway stenosis, a fixed lesion. Our findings are contradictory to those of Rudman et al., which suggest that airway fluoroscopy is more useful in the evaluation of dynamic airway lesions. Even in our study, however, a sensitivity of 69% is modest at best. Low negative predictive values suggest that even negative fluoroscopy study results will require further evaluation to rule out an underlying pathologic cause. Still, while the sensitivity of airway fluoroscopy was observed to be poor, diagnoses made by airway fluoroscopy were highly specific, ranging from 94% to 100% for all diagnoses. With the exception of tracheomalacia, positive predictive values were high. These data suggest that findings of airway stenosis, laryngomalacia, mass lesions, or tracheomalacia on airway fluoroscopy are reliable and may not require endoscopic confirmation.

The ideal study to define the utility of airway fluoroscopy in the evaluation of pediatric stridor would be a prospective design in which all subjects undergo both airway fluoroscopy and endoscopy. Unfortunately, the added risk and expense encountered through such a trial make it unreasonable, if not unethical, to conduct. Through a retrospective examination of patients who underwent both studies as part of their diagnostic workup, we attempted to simulate such a trial. Our study was limited by the fact that the physicians involved, both otolaryngologists and radiologists, were not blinded to the diagnoses that were made by previous radiographic or endoscopic evaluation. The impact of this limitation is minimal, however, as airway fluoroscopy was performed before endoscopy in 37 of 39 cases. As such, fluoroscopic diagnoses are presumed to be without outside influence. Our study was also limited by its relatively small total sample size, which in turn limits statistical power. The sample sizes within each diagnostic subgroup were even smaller (eg, there were only 5 patients in the tracheomalacia group), which may further reduce the strength of the conclusions that are drawn. The limited number of subjects is partly attributable to the elimination of those subjects who underwent only airway fluoroscopy; they were presumably treated based on the results of fluoroscopy alone. In essence, it could be said that this study included only those “difficult” cases in which further endoscopic evaluation was necessary to clarify the cause of the child’s stridor. Sensitivity may therefore be artificially decreased. Nevertheless, because of the high incidence of conflicting diagnoses, it is impossible to avoid the question of how many diagnoses may have changed in those subjects who underwent only airway fluoroscopy had they gone on to endoscopy. Without a true prospective study, the answer to this question will not be known.

Continued research is needed to further clarify the role of noninvasive radiographic imaging in the evaluation of pediatric stridor. Future research may also incorporate newer and more advanced imaging studies. Of particular interest is so-called virtual endoscopy, which can provide a noninvasive simulation of endoscopic evaluation. Research has shown that it has greater sensitivity in the detection of fixed airway lesions than of dynamic disorders; however, further evaluation is needed.11 Other potentially useful modalities include spiral computed tomography and magnetic resonance imaging. With further study, each of these noninvasive modalities may acquire a more defined role in the diagnosis of pediatric stridor; however, currently available data clearly support endoscopy as the most accurate and thorough means of airway evaluation.

In conclusion, the symptom of stridor in a pediatric patient population poses a diagnostic dilemma to physicians who seek to balance effectiveness with a minimally invasive approach. Airway fluoroscopy is a minimally invasive and highly specific tool. Diagnoses made by airway fluoroscopy appear reliable; however, its sensitivity in detecting several common causes of stridor is poor. Negative fluoroscopic study results require further diagnostic evaluation if clinically indicated; therefore, the value of airway fluoroscopy as a screening tool remains uncertain.
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