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Objective: To determine the response of dysplasia, carcinoma in situ (CIS), and T1 carcinoma of the oral cavity and larynx to photodynamic therapy with porfimer sodium.

Design: Prospective trial.

Setting: A National Cancer Institute–designated cancer institute.

Patients: Patients with primary or recurrent moderate to severe oral or laryngeal dysplasia, CIS, or T1N0 carcinoma.

Intervention: Porfimer sodium, 2 mg/kg of body weight, was injected intravenously 48 hours before treatment. Light at 630 nm for photosensitizer activation was delivered from an argon laser or diode laser using lens or cylindrical diffuser fibers. The light dose was 50 J/cm² for dysplasia and CIS and 75 J/cm² for carcinoma.

Main Outcome Measures: Response was evaluated at 1 week and at 1 month and then at 3-month intervals thereafter. Response options were complete (CR), partial (PR), and no (NR) response. Posttreatment biopsies were performed in all patients with persistent and recurrent visible lesions.

Results: Thirty patients were enrolled, and 26 were evaluable. Mean follow-up was 15 months (range, 7-52 months). Twenty-four patients had a CR, 1 had a PR, and 1 had NR. Three patients with oral dysplasia with an initial CR experienced recurrence in the treatment field. All the patients with NR, a PR, or recurrence after an initial CR underwent salvage treatment. Temporary morbidities included edema, pain, hoarseness, and skin phototoxicity.

Conclusion: Photodynamic therapy with porfimer sodium is an effective treatment alternative, with no permanent sequelae, for oral and laryngeal dysplasia and early carcinoma.

Trial Registration: clinicaltrials.gov Identifier: NCT00530088
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perifical, minimally invasive esophageal adenocarcinomas and high-grade dysplasia associated with Barrett esophagus.\(^8\) In particular, the use of PDT for early carcinomas of the head and neck has been promising.\(^9\)\(^,\)\(^12\) We report on the use of PDT with porfimer sodium in the treatment of laryngeal and oral cavity dysplasia and carcinoma in situ (CIS) and T1 squamous cell carcinoma.

### METHODS

This is a nonrandomized prospective clinical trial to determine the response to and toxic effects of porfimer sodium PDT in head and neck premalignant and malignant disease. This study was conducted for 53 months (March 1, 2004, to July 31, 2008) in patients attending the Head and Neck Service at Roswell Park Cancer Institute, Buffalo, New York, whose institutional review board approved all of the procedures; informed consent was obtained from all of the patients.

The inclusion criteria for the trial were as follows: (1) patients with moderate to severe dysplasia or squamous CIS of the oral cavity or larynx; (2) patients with stage I (T1N0) squamous cell carcinoma of the oral cavity or larynx; (3) diagnosis confirmed by biopsy; (4) previous therapy of any type; (5) male or female patients must be at least 18 years old, and female patients must not be pregnant and must be practicing a medically acceptable form of birth control, be sterile, or be postmenopausal; (6) patients must have an Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group scale score of 0 to 2; (7) patients must sign an informed consent form according to US Food and Drug Administration guidelines acceptable to the Roswell Park Cancer Institute institutional review board; and (8) patients must have recurrent lesions and T1 lesions. Regarding T1 carcinomas, only lesions less than 3 mm in depth were included based on pretreatment biopsy and clinical examination findings.

We established certain exclusion criteria. They were as follows: (1) patients with stage II or more advanced squamous cell carcinoma; (2) patients with porphyria or hypersensitivity to porphyrin or porphyrinlike compounds; (3) a white blood cell count less than 4000/µL or a platelet count less than 100 x 10^4/µL; (4) patients with impaired hepatic function (total serum bilirubin level >2.0 mg/dL [to convert to micromoles per liter, multiply by 17.104]), minimally impaired renal function (serum creatinine level >2.0 mg/dL [to convert to micromoles per liter, multiply by 88.4]), or alkaline phosphatase (hepatic) or aspartate aminotransferase levels greater than 3 times the upper normal limits; and (5) patients undergoing concurrent chemotherapy or radiotherapy for whom it has been less than 4 weeks since the last dose of chemotherapy or radiotherapy.

Pretreatment patient evaluation included a history, general and head and neck physical examinations, routine laboratory evaluation, and tumor mapping with photographic documentation. Patients with laryngeal lesions underwent videolaryngoscopy documentation. The PDT treatment consisted of porfimer sodium, 2 mg/kg of body weight, injected intravenously 48 hours before light activation. Patients with laryngeal lesions underwent suspension microlyangoscopy and light activation of the tumor field in the operating room. Oral lesions were treated in either the operating room or the clinic according to the treating surgeon’s discretion. Most anterior oral cavity lesions were treated in the clinic without any need for topical or local anesthesia. Photosensitizer activation was achieved using a light with a 630-nm wavelength derived from an argon-pumped dye laser or a diode laser and delivered to the involved site using lens or cylindrical diffuser fiberoptic fibers. Light doses consisted of 50 J/cm^2 for dysplasia and CIS and 75 J/cm^2 for carcinoma. The mean (SE) surface area treated was 7.03 (0.8) cm^2 (median, 6.61 cm^2; range, 0.79-19.63 cm^2).

Seven patients had more than 1 lesion treated per session. The mean number of lesions per patient treated per session was 1.77 (median, 1.0; range, 1-3). The lesions were not stripped or biopsied again before light therapy. No patient received a second light treatment within 72 hours of the first. All the patients were prescribed oral corticosteroids, topical lidocaine hydrochloride (Xylocaine) in oral cavity lesions, and oral narcotics for pain control after completion of the light activation treatment.

Disease response was evaluated by means of physical examination at 1 week and at 1 month and then at 3-month intervals thereafter. Using the reports from esophageal and lung PDT,\(^13\)\(^,\)\(^14\) treatment response was recorded as a complete response (CR), a partial response (PR), or no response (NR). A PR was defined as a reduction by 50% or more in the maximum size of the initial lesion. No response was defined as a response less than a PR. Progressive disease was defined as increasing size of the treated lesion. The decision to perform a posttreatment biopsy was made by the treating surgeon. Posttreatment biopsies were performed in all patients with persistent and recurrent visible lesions at least 3 months after PDT. All of the patients were cautioned regarding phototoxicity and were advised to avoid direct sunlight and to wear protective clothing when outdoors for 6 to 8 weeks after injection of the photosensitizer. At each follow-up visit, any adverse reactions, including pain, edema, dysphagia, skin phototoxic reaction, and other unexpected symptoms, were recorded.

### RESULTS

Thirty patients were enrolled in the study, and 26 were evaluable at the time of this analysis. One patient withdrew from the study and 3 patients were lost to follow-up. The cohort included 19 men and 7 women. The demographic and histopathologic characteristics by site of the lesions are listed in Table 1. Twelve patients (46%) had either persistent or recurrent disease after previous surgery or radiotherapy. The remaining 14 patients had primary disease. Five of 6 patients with dysplasia had previous surgical excision that failed. There were 2 patient cohorts based on histopathologic features, one composed of moderate to severe dysplasias and CIS and the other exclusively of carcinomas. Both study groups included lesions of the larynx and oral cavity. Mean follow-up was 15 months (range, 7-52 months). The details of treatment response according to site and histopathologic features of the lesion are given in Table 2.

Overall, 24 patients had a CR, 1 had a PR, and 1 did not
respond to therapy. Three patients with oral dysplasia had recurrence in the treatment field within 90 days of achieving an initial CR. The patient with a primary T1 laryngeal cancer with NR to PDT also did not respond to radiotherapy and required total laryngectomy. After PDT, 1 patient developed a second (metachronous) invasive primary cancer outside the treatment field.

There was no difference in response rates between primary and recurrent disease at both treatment sites. Patients with NR, a PR, or recurrence after a CR were treated with surgery, laser excision, or radiotherapy according to the type of lesion, resulting in 100% salvage.

Morbidity data are summarized in Table 3. Transient local edema, pain, and phototoxic reaction were expected PDT sequelae (Figure 1). However, 2 patients had severe edema of the floor of the mouth that resolved within 2 weeks. One patient had a severe phototoxic reaction of the hands due to noncompliance (not wearing gloves while driving a long distance). All the patients who underwent laryngeal PDT experienced transient mild hoarseness. None of these patients experienced dysphagia or odynophagia after treatment. In contrast, almost all of the patients with oral cavity PDT had transient odynophagia and continued a full liquid diet for approximately 7 to 10 days after treatment. No patient in either cohort required airway intervention as a result of treatment. All complications resolved without any permanent sequelae (Figure 2).

This study was performed to acquire experience in the use of PDT with porfimer sodium for the treatment of dysplasia, CIS, and early carcinoma of the larynx and oral cavity, an approach described as highly promising by Biel.9,10,12 The present prospective trial included 2 cohorts, the first consisting of moderate to severe dysplasias and CIS and the second of T1 carcinomas. Both cohorts included patients with oral and laryngeal primary and recurrent disease.

Current accepted treatments for dysplasia and stage I laryngeal carcinoma include endolaryngeal laser or cold instrument excision, open partial laryngectomy, and radiotherapy.4,13 To our knowledge, no prospective randomized trials compare the outcomes of these treatment modalities. A retrospective review by Mendenhall et al16 concluded that local control, laryngeal preserv-
tion, and survival rates in patients were similar after transoral laser resection, open partial laryngectomy, and radiotherapy for early-stage laryngeal cancer. In a recent meta-analysis on the management of laryngeal dysplasias, Sadri et al reported a superior local control rate with radiotherapy compared with other standard methods of dysplasia management. Generally, voice quality depends on the extent of surgical laryngeal resection. Results for patients undergoing laser resection for limited glottic lesions have been comparable with those of patients receiving radiotherapy, whereas open partial laryngectomy consistently yielded poorer voice quality. Treatment disadvantages include limitations of speech and swallowing function depending on the extent of tissue resection. Radiotherapy in the form of external beam therapy or brachytherapy is also a current treatment option. External beam radiotherapy causes long-term problems, such as xerostomia, dental decay, dysphagia, and, occasionally, osteoradionecrosis. Although radiotherapy cure rates for early-stage disease in the oral cavity are excellent, the long-term sequelae have a substantially negative influence on quality of life.

The present study is the first, to our knowledge, to include the PDT treatment of oral cavity dysplasias. In these patients, we observed 3 recurrences in the treatment field early after an initial clinical CR. This result is counterintuitive given the limited tissue depth of these lesions, and it merits further study. Possible causes of these recurrences include poor photosensitizer uptake by dysplastic tissues and mechanical blockage or reflectance of light delivery by the hyperkeratosis overlying the lesion.

In the present study, 10 of 11 oral cavity primary and recurrent carcinomas maintained a CR, and 1 had a PR. Notably, this series included recurrent lesions. Six of 9 oral cancers and 6 of 11 oral dysplasias and CIS were previously treated. These recurrent lesions had been treated multiple times with surgery and radiotherapy. In fact, all patients with radiotherapy failure and osteoradionecrosis in the treatment field exhibited a CR with PDT. Standard therapy for recurrent oral cancer in this patient population would have included extensive ablative surgery.

Overall, PDT has several advantages over traditional head and neck cancer treatments, such as surgical resection and radiotherapy. Patients have fewer, if any, long-term adverse effects, such as impaired swallowing and speech. Treatment with PDT is noninvasive, can be provided in an outpatient setting, and, with appropriate shielding of normal tissues, can be precisely targeted. Limited light penetration protects tissue immediately below and adjacent to tumors from phototoxic effects. Also, PDT spares the tissue architecture, providing a matrix for regeneration of norm-
nal tissue by leaving subepithelial collagen and elastin intact, and spares noncellular supporting elements. A further important positive aspect of PDT is that it can be repeated. This attribute is significant because patients with head and neck cancer have an increased lifelong rate of development of second primary cancers in the upper aerodigestive tract. The reported annual rate of second primary cancers varies between 3% and 10%. Use of PDT does not preclude other treatment modalities, such as radiotherapy, surgery, and chemotherapy, whether they are administered before, combined with, or given after PDT. Also note that primary and recurrent disease in the larynx and oral cavity resulted in similar and favorable response rates in these small patient cohorts.

The major general adverse effect of porfimer sodium PDT is prolonged photosensitivity, which makes strict avoidance of direct sunlight imperative. Incidences of mild to moderate skin phototoxic reactions in this study were frequent despite intensive patient education, with severe phototoxic reaction due to noncompliance. Another PDT sequela was moderate to severe pain if the treatment field was in the oral cavity. Transient hoarseness was experienced by all patients who received laryngeal PDT. However, there were no long-term complications, such as xerostomia, chronic dental decay, dysphagia, and worsening of preexisting osteoradionecrosis. Voice quality improved in all patients with laryngeal lesions compared with their pretreatment status.

In conclusion, PDT with porfimer sodium is an effective alternative to the conventional modalities of treatment in dysplasias, CIS, and early carcinomas of the larynx and the oral cavity. Oral cavity dysplasias seemed to respond less well than did T1 carcinomas. Morbidity included transient treatment field edema, pain, and hoarseness. Phototoxic reactions were problematic in noncompliant patients.
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