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Most sexually active youth in the United States do not believe that they are at risk for contracting HIV and have never been tested. Creating safe environments that promote confidentiality and respect, obtaining an accurate sexual and reproductive health assessment, and providing nonstigmatizing risk counseling are key components of any youth encounters. Pediatricians can play a key role in preventing and controlling HIV infection by promoting risk-reduction counseling and offering routine HIV testing and prophylaxis to adolescent and young adult (youth) patients. In light of persistently high numbers of people living with HIV in the United States and documented missed opportunities for HIV testing, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention and the US Preventive Services Task Force recommend universal and routine HIV screening among US populations, including youth. Recent advances in HIV diagnostics, treatment, and prevention help support this recommendation. This clinical report reviews epidemiological data and recommends that routine HIV screening be offered to all youth 15 years or older, at least once, in health care settings. After initial screening, youth at increased risk, including those who are sexually active, should be rescreened at least annually, and potentially as frequently as every 3 to 6 months if at high risk (male youth reporting male sexual contact, active injection drug users, transgender youth; youth having sexual partners who are HIV-infected, of both genders, or injection drug users; youth exchanging sex for drugs or money; or youth who have had a diagnosis of or have requested testing for other sexually transmitted infections). Youth at substantial risk for HIV acquisition should be routinely offered HIV preexposure prophylaxis, and HIV postexposure prophylaxis is also indicated after high-risk exposures. This clinical report also addresses consent, confidentiality, and coverage issues that pediatricians face in promoting routine HIV testing and HIV prophylaxis for their patients.
INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND

The HIV epidemic persists in the United States despite great progress in treatment and continued efforts to identify people living with HIV and AIDS. At year-end 2018, an estimated 1 173 900 people 13 years or older were living with HIV infection in the United States, of whom 47 800 (4%) were adolescents and young adults (hereafter referred to as youth) 13 to 24 years of age.1 These estimates include individuals with both diagnosed and undiagnosed HIV infection. Between 2014 and 2018, new diagnoses of HIV infection decreased among 13- to 24-year-olds; in 2018, youth accounted for 21% (7 817 of 37 515) of all new diagnoses of HIV infection in the United States.2 Of the 1.2 million people living with HIV and AIDS in the United States, approximately 14% are people whose infection had not been diagnosed,1 and it is this group that is estimated to account for 38% of HIV transmission; those aware of their HIV infection but not receiving HIV care account for an additional 43% of transmission.3 In 2018, HIV infection was undiagnosed in an estimated 45% of 13- to 24-year-olds living with HIV.1 The percentage of people with undiagnosed HIV infection decreased as age increased, and 13- to 24-year-olds were disproportionately overrepresented compared with all other age groups unaware of their infection.1 Youth with HIV infection are also the least likely of any age group to be linked to HIV care in a timely manner. Youth also have lower rates of viral suppression, making them less likely to stay healthy and increasing their risk of HIV transmission to others.4,5 The American Academy of Pediatrics (AAP) encouraged HIV testing of all sexually active youth in its 2001 and 2011 policy statements.6,7 This clinical report reflects changes in epidemiology, advances in diagnostic testing, and updated recommendations for HIV testing, postexposure prophylaxis (PEP), and new guidance for preexposure prophylaxis (PrEP) in youth at risk for acquiring HIV infection.

The risk of HIV infection varies with many population and individual factors, including, but not limited to, community prevalence rates, sexual networks, sexual behaviors, and concurrent substance use. In US youth, the rate of new HIV diagnoses per 100 000 people increases with age from 0.2 in the 13- to 14-year age group, to 8.1 in the 15- to 19-year age group, and 27.9 in the 20- to 24-year age group (the highest rate is 32.6 in the 24- to 29-year age group).2 In 2018, 88% of 13- to 24-year-olds in whom HIV infection was diagnosed were male, and only 12% were female.2 As with adults, most new youth HIV cases occur through sexual transmission. Among young men, more than 90% of HIV transmissions occur via male-to-male sex, whereas the heterosexual route is the primary means of transmission among female youth.2 In the United States, HIV infection disproportionately affects minority populations, occurring 11 times more often in Black/African American youth than 3.4 times more often in Hispanic/Latinx youth compared with White youth. HIV infection is also more common among youth living in the southern United States.2 The 2019 Youth Risk Behavior Survey found that 27% of high school students reported having engaged in sexual activity (37% by the 12th grade), and 21% had consumed alcohol or used drugs before their last sexual intercourse.8 Among sexually active high school students, 54% reported condom use during their last sexual encounter, a decline from 62% in 2007. More risk behaviors were reported by males who had sexual contact with males (MSM) only or with both male and female individuals. Among sexually active high school students, MSM were more likely than other boys to have had sexual intercourse with 4 or more people in their lifetime (28% compared with 9%, respectively) and significantly more likely to have ever injected any illegal drug (14% compared with 2%, respectively).9 Currently sexually active MSM were less likely to have used a condom during their last sexual intercourse than other males reporting only opposite-sex sexual contact (53% compared with 60%, respectively).8

New information has emerged about HIV infection in transgender populations.5 From 2009 to 2014, HIV infection was diagnosed in 2351 transgender people in the United States; 84% (1974) were transgender women, 15% (361) were transgender men, and less than 1% (16) had another gender identity.10 A 2019 systematic review and meta-analysis of US transgender populations aged 16 to 66 years (which included 14 studies with participants <18 years) found that an estimated 14% of transgender women have HIV infection. By race and ethnicity, an estimated 44% of Black/African American transgender women, 26% of Hispanic/Latinx transgender women, and 7% of White transgender women have HIV infection.11 Among the 3 million HIV testing events reported to the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) in 2015, the percentage of transgender people who received a new HIV diagnosis was more than 3 times the national average.9 However, nearly two-thirds of transgender women and men surveyed by the Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System in 2014 and 2015 from 28 jurisdictions reported never having been tested for HIV.9

Youth often do not believe that they are at risk for HIV infection and do not get tested. Although 37% of high school students who participated in the Youth Risk Behavior Survey reported being sexually active by
the 12th grade, only 13% reported having ever been tested for HIV.6 A survey of young adults aged 18 to 30 years found that more than half (54%) reported they had never been tested for HIV; not believing themselves to be at risk was the most common reported reason (67%), and their doctor having not suggested it was the next most common reported reason (41%) for not having been tested.12 Among those who reported being tested, 69% thought it “seemed like a good idea,” and 46% cited having their health care provider suggest testing was a contributing factor for many.12 Youth also cite concerns about confidentiality, access to testing, and blood draws as barriers to testing and increased availability of oral and rapid testing methods and free testing services as facilitators of their acceptance of HIV testing.13 Unfortunately, HIV testing continues to lag behind other sexually transmitted infection (STI) testing in pediatric primary care of sexually active youth. A minority of pediatricians report on-site HIV testing, and even fewer report access to oral or rapid antibody tests; a majority of pediatricians report lack of time, cultural differences, adolescents’ inaccurate responses to inquiries about sexual behaviors, personal discomfort discussing sexual issues, adolescents’ fear of parent or caregiver notification, lack of adequate payment, lack of interest in adolescent health issues, and insufficient training in how to talk to adolescents about STIs and HIV as the most frequently identified barriers to HIV and STI prevention counseling.14,15 In summary, although multiple barriers exist to testing youth for HIV, evidence shows that routinizing testing recommendations by health care providers and making testing easier by increasing access to HIV testing overall, as well as to newer less invasive test (nonblood draw) methodologies, can help to overcome these barriers. However, any barrier to testing can seem insurmountable if motivations to test (among youth) or recommendations to test (among health care providers) are not present.

In light of the increasing numbers of people with HIV infection and AIDS and missed opportunities for HIV testing, the CDC and the US Preventive Services Task Force (USPSTF) recommend universal (independent of risk factors and/or symptoms) and routine HIV screening rather than targeted (risk factor and/or symptom-based) testing as a national approach in the United States.16,17 Identification of HIV infection as early as possible after acquisition is crucial to rapidly initiating antiretroviral treatment, achieving sustained viral suppression, and preventing or reversing immune depletion in people in whom HIV infection is diagnosed. Early identification and treatment of HIV infection with sustained viral suppression also serve to prevent HIV transmission to partners and within the community (treatment as prevention), because Undetectable viral load effectively means Untransmittable (U=U).18 As of 2017, the US Public Health Service (USPHS) also recommends that HIV PrEP be offered to individuals at substantial risk of HIV infection19; a similar statement was issued by the USPSTF in 2019.20 Four key areas have been prioritized in the US National HIV/AIDS Strategy: (1) widespread testing and linkage to care, enabling people living with HIV to access treatment early; (2) broad support for people living with HIV to remain engaged in comprehensive care, including support for treatment adherence; (3) universal viral suppression among people living with HIV; and (4) full access to comprehensive PrEP services for those for whom it is appropriate and desired, with support for medication adherence for those using PrEP. Complex issues of confidentiality, disclosure of HIV status, and consent in adolescent care and variability in how statutes and regulations address these make implementation of these recommendations challenging. Nevertheless, pediatricians can play a key role in preventing and controlling HIV infection by promoting risk reduction and offering HIV testing and prophylaxis to their youth patients.

Risk Assessment and Counseling

Pediatricians are encouraged to address adolescent sexual and reproductive health on a routine basis by obtaining a sexual history, discussing healthy sexuality, performing an appropriate examination, providing patient-centered and age-appropriate anticipatory guidance, and performing appropriate screening and administering vaccinations. The HEADSS (Home, Education and Employment, Activities, Drugs, Sexuality, Suicide/Depression interview acts as a framework for these discussions. The AAP and the Society for Adolescent Health and Medicine affirm adolescents’ right to confidential health care.21 The AAP publications “Sexual and Reproductive Health Care Services in the Pediatric Setting”22 and “Targeted Reforms in Health Care Financing to Improve the Care of Adolescents and Young Adults”23 provide a framework to assist pediatricians in incorporating various aspects of sexual and reproductive health care into their practices and to provide guidance on overcoming barriers to providing this care routinely while maximizing opportunities for confidential health services delivery in their office.

Because youth are a population at increased risk of HIV infection, they should be routinely assessed for high-risk behaviors and screened for
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Adolescents may be more willing to disclose high-risk
behaviors and discuss sensitive topics if pediatricians establish confidential,
private discussions, use screening questionnaires, and increase time spent on these discussions.25,26 Ideally, a confidentiality policy allowing for trusting relationships with adolescent patients should be reviewed with adolescents and their parents or caregivers in early adolescence (ie, before 12 years of age) and can be modeled on a sample provided by Bright Futures.27

Sexual behavior in the context of real-world data. The evaluation and management of sexual behavior in the context of real-world data is crucial to address the needs of adolescents. The administration of vaccines in adolescence and youth populations provides an additional opportunity for health promotion and preventive counseling including STI and HIV prevention among other topics such as healthy diet and exercise.31

School physicals and annual athletic preparticipation physical examinations provide other opportunities to discuss HIV and STIs, conduct risk assessment, and provide health guidance and offer testing; these examinations are often the only contact youth have with any health care provider and should occur in the context of the medical home during the health supervision appointment by the athlete’s primary care provider.25,32

Testing for HIV

Since the previous versions of AAP policy statements were published, improved immunoassays that combine detection of HIV-specific immunoglobulin M and immunoglobulin G antibodies (AB) with detection of p24 antigen (AG), also called fourth generation combination or "combo" assays, and differentiation assays that distinguish HIV-1 from HIV-2* AB, received US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) approval for

*Despite increasing use of the HIV-1/HIV-2 differentiation assay, HIV-2 diagnosis in the United States is rare and represents a small fraction (<0.1%) of all confirmed HIV cases. However, identifying individuals with HIV-2 infection remains important because of differing epidemiology, clinical course, and management recommendations for individuals with HIV-2 compared with HIV-1. Individuals identified with HIV-2 should be referred to providers with expertise in HIV medicine for further evaluation and management.

†The FDA-approved single-use rapid HIV-1/HIV-2 antigen/antibody immunoassay can be used as the initial assay in the laboratory HIV testing algorithm for serum or plasma. If any instrumented antigen/antibody test is available, it is preferred because of its superior sensitivity for detecting HIV during acute infection.
positive for HIV-1 AB, HIV-2 AB, or HIV untypable (undifferentiated) AB. Specimens that are reactive on the initial AG/AB combination immunoassay and nonreactive or indeterminate on the HIV-1/HIV-2 AB differentiation immunoassay are routinely tested with an FDA-approved HIV-1 NAT and interpreted as follows:

- A reactive HIV-1 NAT result and nonreactive or indeterminate HIV-1/HIV-2 AB differentiation immunoassay result indicates laboratory evidence of acute HIV-1 infection.
- A negative HIV-1 NAT result and nonreactive or HIV-1 indeterminate AB differentiation immunoassay result indicates an HIV-1 false-positive result on the initial immunoassay.
- A negative HIV-1 NAT result and repeatedly HIV-2 indeterminate or HIV indeterminate AB differentiation immunoassay result should be referred for testing with a different validated supplemental HIV-2 test (antibody test or NAT) or repeat the algorithm in 2 to 4 weeks, starting with an antigen/antibody immunoassay.

An estimated 50% of patients acutely infected with HIV present with symptoms to health care providers; however, few providers make the diagnosis at this time.36-38 Symptoms of acute retroviral syndrome are outlined in Table 1. Although some patients with acute HIV-1 infection experience symptoms, a recent prospective study shows that most patients have nonspecific and relatively mild signs and symptoms.39 Pediatricians may not recognize acute HIV-1 infection, because its manifestations are often similar to those of many other viral infections, such as infectious mononucleosis and influenza. Acute infection can also be asymptomatic.

Patients may not always disclose high-risk behaviors or perceive that such behaviors put them at risk for HIV-1 acquisition. Thus, even in the absence of reported high-risk behaviors, a low threshold is still used for considering and performing testing for a diagnosis of acute HIV-1 infection, especially in high-prevalence areas (areas where ≥1% of people have HIV infection). Current statistics on the prevalence of HIV in different geographical areas in the United States can be found online through AIDSVu (https://aidsvu.org/) and the CDC’s AtlasPlus (https://www.cdc.gov/nchhstp/atlas/) or via local health departments, where available.

The use of noninvasive rapid oral fluid testing devices that measures HIV AB only and not AG in mucosal transudate is well accepted by youth and is used in many outreach settings.13 In addition, multiple rapid HIV AB tests used in clinical and nonclinical settings on fingerstick or venous serum, plasma, or whole-blood samples provide results in minutes.42-44 Among rapid tests, self-testing HIV AB tests have been approved in the United States since 2012 and are available over the counter, although data on their use in youth remain limited.45-47
TABLE 1 Identifying and Diagnosing Acute and Recent HIV-1 Infection

Suspicion of acute HIV-1 infection

Acute HIV-1 infection should be considered in individuals with signs or symptoms described below and recent (within 2 to 6 wk) high risk of exposure to HIV.8

Signs, symptoms, or laboratory findings of acute HIV-1 infection may include but are not limited to one or more of the following: fever, lymphadenopathy, skin rash, myalgia, arthralgia, headache, diaphoresis, oral ulcers, leucopenia, thrombocytopenia, transaminase elevation.

High-risk exposures include sexual contact with a person who has HIV-1 infection or a person at risk for HIV-1 infection, sharing of injection drug use paraphernalia, or any exposure in which an individual’s mucous membranes or breaks in the skin come in contact with bodily fluid potentially infected with HIV.

Differential diagnosis: The differential diagnosis of HIV-1 infection may include but is not limited to viral illnesses such as EBV and non-EBV (eg, cytomegalovirus) infectious mononucleosis syndromes, influenza, viral hepatitis, streptococcal infection, or syphilis.

Evaluation and diagnosis of acute HIV-1 infection

Acute HIV-1 infection is defined as detectable HIV-1 RNA or p24 antigen (the antigen used in currently available HIV Ag/Ab combination assays) in the setting of a negative or indeterminate HIV-1 antibody test result.

A reactive HIV antibody test result or Ag/Ab combination test result must be followed by supplemental confirmatory testing.

A negative or indeterminate HIV-1 antibody test result in a person with a reactive Ag/Ab test result or in whom acute HIV-1 infection is suspected requires plasma HIV-1 RNA testing to diagnose acute HIV-1 infection.

A positive result on a quantitative or qualitative plasma HIV-1 RNA test in the setting of a negative or indeterminate antibody test result indicates that acute HIV-1 infection is highly likely, in which case, the diagnosis of HIV-1 infection should be later confirmed by subsequent documentation of HIV antibody seroconversion.

Information from Panel on Antiretroviral Guidelines for Adults and Adolescents.56 Ab, antibody; Ag, antigen; EBV, Epstein-Barr virus.

a In some settings, behaviors that increase the risk of HIV-1 infection may not be recognized or perceived as risky by the health care provider or the patient, or both. Thus, even in the absence of reported high-risk behaviors, symptoms and signs consistent with acute retroviral syndrome should motivate practitioners to consider a diagnosis of acute HIV-1 infection.

Routine care, a negative rapid AB test result does not need confirmation, unless acute HIV infection is suspected. In this case, an HIV AG/AB test or HIV NAT should be performed. The advantage of the rapid AB test is that the results can be given to the patient immediately with the caution that positive results need to be confirmed. The disadvantage of the rapid AB test is that it can be negative during the first weeks up to 6 months after transmission and, therefore, might miss acute HIV infection, as described below. Pediatricians who see youth with initial positive rapid test results should discuss the results with the patient and obtain a confirmatory laboratory-based AG/AB combination immunoassay following the algorithm in Fig 1, with serum or plasma specimens submitted for testing after a reactive (preliminary positive) result from any rapid HIV test.

It is important to disclose a positive HIV test result to youth before involving the parent or caregiver. In fact, disclosure of the HIV status to the parent or caregiver for patients younger than 18 years may require adolescent consent and follows consent and confidentiality regulations outlined in “Consent and Confidentiality.” Preferred methods of communicating about HIV test results to youth need to be discussed before or at the time of testing. Although in-person disclosure is preferred, disclosure can occur by phone or through patient portal when necessary.

Patient communication tools and suggested language are available from the CDC (see “Understanding a Positive Result” [www.cdc.gov/hiv/basics/hiv-testing/ positive-hiv-results.html]).48 Suggestions for discussion include what follow-up testing may be required, focusing on HIV as a manageable disease, discussing disclosure and stigma, addressing prevention and partner notification, and arranging linkage to care and initiation of antiretroviral treatment. Local health departments, pediatric infectious disease clinics, HIV care and treatment clinics, community partners, and case managers can assist with linking youth with appropriate care and counseling after diagnosis. The federal government maintains a Web site to help people find HIV treatment services across the nation (https://hivinfo.nih.gov/understanding-hiv/fact-sheets/how-find-hiv-treatment-services).49

HIV-infected youth should be cared for by pediatric or adult providers with expertise in HIV medicine.50,51 Negative HIV test results can be communicated in person, by phone or telemedicine, or via electronic health record patient portals. Reviewing negative HIV test results with youth represents an opportunity for pediatricians to provide risk and sexual and reproductive health counseling. With the advent of HIV PrEP as discussed further below, a negative HIV test result in youth at risk for HIV acquisition is also a critical moment for reinforcing the need for repeat testing over time and consideration of the preventive intervention. PrEP has also been described as a “gateway” to primary care, such that individuals receiving PrEP in a community clinic setting may be more likely to receive general primary care.52 In high-risk
situations, such as sex with an HIV-infected partner, sexual assault, or other community nonoccupational exposures, HIV PEP should be considered as discussed below, and HIV testing should be repeated per CDC guidance.53

The information in this section was adapted from CDC, USPHS.19 Implementation of HIV Screening

The USPSTF recommends that clinicians routinely screen for HIV infection in adolescents and adults aged 15 to 65 years and screen younger adolescents and older adults at increased risk.17 Opt-out HIV testing, which refers to testing performed unless the patient declines, should be voluntary and done only with the patient’s knowledge and understanding but can be routinely performed without a separate written informed consent or pretest counseling.16 Currently in the United States, the CDC reports that most states meet these recommendations and allow for opt-out HIV testing without written consent.57 Based on explicit language in local statutes and regulations, 39 states at the time of this publication stipulate that HIV testing may be provided to a minor without parental or guardian consent, with caveats58 (eg, lower age limits vary; see details provided under “Consent and Confidentiality”).

Pediatricians should be aware of their local HIV prevalence data, because some US communities have high rates of HIV, which places youth at disproportionate risk. Current statistics on the prevalence of HIV in different geographical areas in the United States can be found online through AIDSVu (https://aidsvu.org/40 and the CDC’s AtlasPlus (https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/atlas/) or via local health departments, where available.41 Pediatricians who care for youth in areas that have low prevalence rates should continue providing targeted testing to youth at risk, including all sexually active adolescents. Community-based targeted approaches to HIV testing may be more effective than universal screening for reaching lesbian, gay, bisexual, and transgender youth of color, identifying previously undiagnosed HIV-infected youth, and linking HIV-negative youth to relevant prevention services.59 Youth at high risk include MSM, active injection drug users, and transgender youth. Risk factors for HIV infection include not using barrier protection during vaginal or anal intercourse; having sexual partners who are HIV-infected, of both genders, or injection drug users; or exchanging sex for drugs or money. Other people at high risk include those who have a diagnosis of or request testing for other STIs. Youth may also request HIV testing in the absence of reported risk factors. Testing should be driven by youth request, not necessarily parent, guardian, or caregiver request. The USPSTF recognizes that categories of risk are not mutually exclusive, the degree of sexual risk is on a continuum, and individuals may not be aware of their sexual partners’ risk factors for HIV infection. Moreover, it is important to bear in mind that youth may be reluctant to disclose having HIV risk factors, even when asked.17 If youth are reluctant to discuss sexual activity and/or risk factors, it is still possible to discuss the risk reduction strategies including PrEP as part of well-being.

In terms of screening frequency, one reasonable approach would be initial screening of all youth, followed by periodic repeat screening (annually) for sexually active youth or more frequent (every 3 months) screening for those youth on PrEP or youth with high-risk behaviors and/or living or receiving medical care in high HIV prevalence settings, such as STI clinics, correctional facilities, homeless shelters, tuberculosis clinics, clinics and community venues serving MSM and transgender populations, and adolescent health settings with a high prevalence of STIs.17 Routine rescreening may not be necessary for individuals who have not been at increased risk since they were found to be HIV-negative.17 Female youth screened during a previous pregnancy should be rescreened during each subsequent pregnancy.17 Because 27% of pregnancies in the United States occur in women younger than 25 years,60 routine HIV screening and rescreening in the third trimester in jurisdictions with high rates of HIV infection in pregnant women can lead to early identification of HIV infection and have a substantial impact on preventing perinatal transmission of HIV.

Although several studies have shown the cost-effectiveness of routine HIV screening, data addressing this issue in youth are insufficient.61–63 One national modeling study assessed the optimal age at which a one-time HIV screen should begin for youth without identified HIV risk factors in the United States and concluded that delaying a one-time routine HIV screen to 25 years of age would optimize clinical outcomes and be cost-effective.64 However, cost-effectiveness analyses were most sensitive to variations in proportion of youth linked to care (which have improved since the model’s assumptions were developed) and costs of HIV screening and care (which may change). The cost-effectiveness analyses also did not account for impact from several generations of transmission averted with earlier diagnosis and could not fully address impact of variation in HIV burden by geography and race.64,65

---
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41 Pediatricians who care for youth in areas that have low prevalence rates should continue providing targeted testing to youth at risk, including all sexually active adolescents. Community-based targeted approaches to HIV testing may be more effective than universal screening for reaching lesbian, gay, bisexual, and transgender youth of color, identifying previously undiagnosed HIV-infected youth, and linking HIV-negative youth to relevant prevention services. Youth at high risk include MSM, active injection drug users, and transgender youth. Risk factors for HIV infection include not using barrier protection during vaginal or anal intercourse; having sexual partners who are HIV-infected, of both genders, or injection drug users; or exchanging sex for drugs or money. Other people at high risk include those who have a diagnosis of or request testing for other STIs. Youth may also request HIV testing in the absence of reported risk factors. Testing should be driven by youth request, not necessarily parent, guardian, or caregiver request. The USPSTF recognizes that categories of risk are not mutually exclusive, the degree of sexual risk is on a continuum, and individuals may not be aware of their sexual partners’ risk factors for HIV infection. Moreover, it is important to bear in mind that youth may be reluctant to disclose having HIV risk factors, even when asked. If youth are reluctant to discuss sexual activity and/or risk factors, it is still possible to discuss the risk reduction strategies including PrEP as part of well-being.

In terms of screening frequency, one reasonable approach would be initial screening of all youth, followed by periodic repeat screening (annually) for sexually active youth or more frequent (every 3 months) screening for those youth on PrEP or youth with high-risk behaviors and/or living or receiving medical care in high HIV prevalence settings, such as STI clinics, correctional facilities, homeless shelters, tuberculosis clinics, clinics and community venues serving MSM and transgender populations, and adolescent health settings with a high prevalence of STIs. Routine rescreening may not be necessary for individuals who have not been at increased risk since they were found to be HIV-negative. Female youth screened during a previous pregnancy should be rescreened during each subsequent pregnancy. Because 27% of pregnancies in the United States occur in women younger than 25 years, routine HIV screening and rescreening in the third trimester in jurisdictions with high rates of HIV infection in pregnant women can lead to early identification of HIV infection and have a substantial impact on preventing perinatal transmission of HIV.

Although several studies have shown the cost-effectiveness of routine HIV screening, data addressing this issue in youth are insufficient. One national modeling study assessed the optimal age at which a one-time HIV screen should begin for youth without identified HIV risk factors in the United States and concluded that delaying a one-time routine HIV screen to 25 years of age would optimize clinical outcomes and be cost-effective. However, cost-effectiveness analyses were most sensitive to variations in proportion of youth linked to care (which have improved since the model’s assumptions were developed) and costs of HIV screening and care (which may change). The cost-effectiveness analyses also did not account for impact from several generations of transmission averted with earlier diagnosis and could not fully address impact of variation in HIV burden by geography and race.
Nearly half of recently identified infected people had their first HIV test within 1 year of receiving the diagnosis of AIDS. These so-called late testers were generally Black or Latinx youth who partnered with the opposite sex, had limited or incomplete education, and were more likely to be identified through a health care setting than through targeted testing. Early testing plays an important role in the health of the individual adolescent and the community. There is evidence that at least 20% of newly diagnosed youth seroconverted within the previous 6 months. This early phase of infection is associated with high viremia, during which the risk of infectivity and transmission is greatest. People who are aware of their HIV status are more likely to practice safer sex or remain abstinent. Patients who learn of their diagnosis and are treated earlier virtually never develop AIDS or have a slower progression to AIDS if they stay adherent to treatment. They are also more likely to preserve or restore immunologic function and are less likely to develop HIV drug resistance and transmit HIV to others.

Antiretroviral therapy is recommended for all individuals with HIV, including youth, to reduce morbidity and mortality and decrease transmission. The health care setting has many advantages as a site of HIV testing for youth. Adolescents are more likely to agree to be tested if it is recommended by a physician and in settings where everyone else is screened. In addition, youth who learn of their diagnosis at a health care facility are more likely to be linked to HIV care in a timely fashion and maintain HIV-related health care after initial linkage.

The use of acute care settings to improve testing rates is attractive to youth, because they are more likely to use urgent care settings for their health care needs. In the years before diagnosis, many HIV-infected adults seek care for HIV-related symptoms in acute care settings. Implementation of rapid HIV testing in the emergency department setting has improved testing rates and greatly benefits youth who prefer rapid testing. Studies in pediatric emergency departments used multistystem approaches to implement routine screening with rapid HIV testing. A minority of youth (13% to 27%) opted out; younger age and the presence of a guardian were significantly associated with opting out of HIV screening. Effective implementation of HIV screening in emergency care settings, including pediatric emergency departments, requires commitment by staff, ongoing HIV education and trainings, and an effective means of reminding staff to routinely perform the test (eg, electronic prompts with eligibility criteria). Most importantly, youth-tailored pathways need to provide linkage to preventive and therapeutic care and treatment services after HIV testing in acute care settings such as pediatric emergency departments.

**PrEP and PEP**

The FDA first approved the use of fixed-dose tenofovir disoproxil fumarate (TDF)/emtricitabine (FTC) for adult HIV PrEP in 2012, as part of a comprehensive prevention strategy that includes other prevention measures, including adherence to daily administration and safer sex practices including barrier protection, to reduce the risk of STIs. On the basis of several studies demonstrating the safety and efficacy of PrEP, in 2014, the USPHS first recommended that PrEP be offered to adults at substantial risk of HIV infection. Updated 2017 USPHS guidelines defined adults (18 years and older) at “substantial risk” of acquiring HIV among sexually active people and persons who inject drugs.

Referencing the paucity of research inclusive of adolescents younger than 18 years, the 2017 USPHS guidelines recommended that providers should carefully consider potential risks and benefits of providing PrEP to those younger than 18 years who are at high risk for acquiring HIV infection. Since then, 2 Adolescent Medicine Trials Network (ATN) open-label studies have been published and demonstrate the acceptability and safety of PrEP among young MSM ages 15 through 17 years (ATN 113) and 18 through 24 years (ATN 110). The findings from ATN 113 have informed the expanded approval of TDF/FTC as PrEP for adolescents who weigh at least 77 lb (35 kg). In 2019, the USPSTF recommended that clinicians offer PrEP with effective antiretroviral therapy to all people who are at high risk of HIV acquisition. In 2019, FDA also approved a second fixed-dose combination tablet tenofovir alafenamide (TAF)/FTC as PrEP for adolescents and adults weighing at least 77 lb (35 kg), excluding those at risk for acquiring HIV through receptive vaginal sex because of the lack of clinical data regarding the efficacy of TAF/FTC in cisgender women. Clinical trials to evaluate TAF/FTC safety, efficacy, and adherence in adolescents were not specifically performed; efficacy and safety data to support the FDA approval of TAF/FTC for PrEP were instead extrapolated from the DISCOVER trial in adult MSM and transgender women and from trials of TAF/FTC in adolescents with HIV. In 2020, the CDC reviewed clinical considerations specific to PrEP in adolescents and concluded that “PrEP is an effective HIV prevention tool for protecting...
adolescents at risk for HIV acquisition,” as part of a comprehensive approach to HIV prevention tailored to the adolescent’s specific needs.80

Two once-daily medication regimens have been approved by the FDA for PrEP: TDF coformulated with FTC (comes only as a fixed-dose combination of TDF 300 mg/FTC 200 mg), and TAF coformulated with FTC (comes only as a fixed-dose combination of TAF 25 mg/FTC 200 mg). Both PrEP tablets are prescribed once daily and are generally well tolerated, although TAF/FTC is significantly smaller in size. Both single-tablet PrEP regimens require establishing HIV and hepatitis B status before start and baseline evaluation and monitoring of renal function. Neither medication has been FDA approved for PrEP use on an event-driven basis (around the time of sex, “on-demand”). Furthermore, a longer period of consistent oral dosing of PrEP is required to build up protective levels of antiretroviral medication in vaginal tissue versus rectal tissue, indicating that higher levels of adherence to daily PrEP are necessary to gain protection from vaginal exposures to HIV.81 Table 2 summarizes indicators of substantial risk and current guidance for HIV PrEP use, including clinical eligibility, prescribing, and follow-up every 3 months. These indicators require pediatricians to be familiar with the local HIV prevalence and obtain detailed sexual histories from adolescents, including number of sexual partners, information on past STIs, use of barrier protection, whether sex is transactional for drugs or money, and whether they are aware of their sexual partner(s) HIV status. PrEP should also be considered when youth request PrEP yet do not disclose specific risk factors, because youth may be reluctant to disclose having HIV risk factors, even when asked.17

Although PrEP is effective for preventing HIV acquisition, efficacy correlates with adherence, and optimizing adherence remains a challenge for youth.80,82 The ATN studies described poor adherence in these groups compared with adult participants in PrEP trials, indicating a need for additional strategies to support adherence, such as the use of mobile technology, peer navigation, and other youth-focused interventions.75,76 Furthermore, knowledge about and uptake of PrEP remain critically low among youth in the United States, representing an additional barrier to scaling up PrEP usage. Youth at high risk for HIV, including youth in high prevalence of HIV and sexual violence settings, lesbian, gay, bisexual, and transgender youth of color, and homeless youth, have the lowest rates of PrEP awareness and uptake.83–86

Understanding health care provider knowledge, attitudes, and willingness to prescribe PrEP will also be crucial in the successful implementation of PrEP for youth.80 Few studies have examined providers’ perspectives on PrEP specifically for youth. In some studies, health care providers have expressed concerns about potential challenges with adherence, high costs, and risk compensation for both adults and adolescents.87,88 In other studies, providers have expressed concerns about the development of viral resistance as a result of incomplete adherence, but selection for HIV drug resistance with PrEP use is likely to be infrequent and most likely to occur in rare circumstances in which PrEP is used inadvertently during undiagnosed HIV infection.89 The benefit of decreased HIV incidence with wider PrEP use is likely to outweigh the risk of harms from possible increases in the prevalence of HIV drug resistance.89 A “purview paradox” has been described in the United States among primary care providers and HIV care providers such that HIV care providers may have few opportunities to prescribe PrEP because they often see few HIV-uninfected patients. Yet primary care providers, who may have more opportunity to provide PrEP to at-risk patients who are HIV-uninfected, describe concerns about PrEP feasibility in their practice given their limited experience with antiretroviral medications.90 Complexities in confidentiality and consent in adolescent care and variability in how statutes and regulations address these issues may make PrEP implementation in minors even more challenging and are addressed in “Consent, Confidentiality, and Coverage.” Primary care providers, who are well-positioned to counsel and offer PrEP to youth, need more education and support to normalize PrEP as part of the sexual and reproductive health education and prevention toolkit for youth. For example, instead of determining PrEP eligibility on the basis of HIV risk factors, PrEP discussions could be incorporated within the context of overall healthy lifestyle promotion.91 Finally, with recent recommendations and practical steps broadening pool of health care providers (including pharmacists) who prescribe PrEP, pediatricians must be prepared to provide counseling, treatment, clinical, and laboratory monitoring, and repeat HIV testing to youth who initiate PrEP elsewhere. Providers can also get real-time expert consultation from the national hotline AIDS Education and Training Centers National Clinicians Consultation Center Pre-Exposure Prophylaxis Service (PrEPline): 855-HIV-PrEP (855-448-7737). Numerous online training resources from organizations such as the CDC, AIDS
Education and Training Centers, and the National LGBTQIA+ Health Education Center also exist.

Use of PEP with antiretroviral drugs should be considered for youth who may have been exposed to HIV after an episode of high-risk sexual activity or unsafe needle use or after sexual violence and sexual abuse. Recurrent PEP use is also an indication that PrEP may be indicated. Guidelines for the use of PEP in nonoccupational exposures are available, and health care providers can get expert consultation from the AIDS Education and Training Centers National Clinicians Consultation Center Post-Exposure Prophylaxis Hotline (PEPline): 888-HIV-4911 (888-448-4911). (Health care personnel occupational HIV exposures fall under a separate rubric and guidance document and are not typically managed in primary care.) Survivors of sexual violence should have baseline HIV testing as well as STI screening and treatment and should be offered mental health and other supportive counseling. Specific sexual violence and sexual abuse reporting requirements for parents, child protective services, or law enforcement vary by state or even local jurisdiction. Pediatricians need to know the specific reporting laws in the states in which they practice.

### Consent, Confidentiality, and Coverage

Research has found that youth might forgo sexual and reproductive health services if parental consent is required. Laws concerning consent and confidentiality for HIV care and treatment vary among states; thus, pediatricians need to familiarize themselves with local laws. The CDC maintains the Web site “State Laws that address High-Impact HIV Prevention Efforts” (https://www.cdc.gov/hiv/policies/law/states/index.html), which can help health care providers seeking clarification of how their state laws and the CDC recommendations apply in clinical practice. The Web site comprehensively presents clinicians with regular updates to each state’s HIV testing laws. The CDC (https://www.cdc.gov/hiv/policies/law/states/minors.html), the Guttmacher Institute (https://www.guttmacher.org/state-policy/explore/minors-access-sti-services), and the Center for Adolescent Health and the Law provide...
resources summarizing minor consent laws for each state.\textsuperscript{50,99,100} All 50 states and the District of Columbia explicitly allow minors to consent to STI services, although few (11) states require that a minor be of a certain age (generally 12 or 14 years) before being allowed to consent. More than half (32) of states explicitly include HIV testing and treatment, either in the package of STI services to which minors may consent or as part of a law applicable only to HIV testing. Several (18) states allow physicians to inform a minor’s parent or guardian that he or she is seeking or receiving STI services; however, with the exception of 1 state that requires parental notification in the case of a positive HIV test result (Iowa), no state requires that physicians notify parents about services, less than half (23) of jurisdictions permit HIV disclosure to parents or guardians, and few (10) jurisdictions explicitly do not permit disclosure to parents or guardians without consent from the minor. No jurisdiction explicitly prohibits minors’ access to PrEP without parent or guardian consent. Several (16) jurisdictions have statutes and regulations that explicitly allow minors of a particular age to independently access PrEP; the age for access varies by jurisdiction. However, minors might still be legally allowed to access PrEP without parental or guardian consent in some jurisdictions without explicit statutes and regulations. Although state laws are always in evolution and the information presented here is current as of the time of publication, pediatricians need to know and abide by the laws in effect in their jurisdiction. To learn more about laws and legislation in your state, please contact the AAP State Advocacy team at E-mail: stgov@aap.org.

Physicians have an ethical and legal obligation to maintain confidentiality regarding their patients’ confidential health information, the assurance of which is crucial in optimizing patient testing for HIV and personal disclosure. Only where there is specific legal requirement to report or notify is breaching of confidentiality justified. Disclosure of HIV infection status is regulated by federal policies and state laws. The federal government’s Health Resources and Services Administration Ryan White HIV/AIDS Program requires that health departments receiving money from the Ryan White program show “good faith” efforts to notify the marriage partners of a patient with HIV infection. Some state laws criminalize behaviors that can potentially expose another person to HIV, increase sentence lengths when a person commits certain crimes while infected with HIV, and require disclosure to sexual and/or needle sharing partners by either the patient or their health care provider (“duty to warn”).\textsuperscript{101,102} Overall, state statutes generally fall into 3 types: (1) some state statutes mandate that the physician provide the contact’s name to the state health agency; the state health agency then notifies the contact; (2) some states give the physician the choice of notifying either the state health agency or the third-party contacts directly; and (3) other states make such disclosures to a state agency optional.\textsuperscript{103} Because this is an area of state-to-state variation, and because law and policy continually evolve and change, pediatricians should seek advice from public health departments and their own attorneys to understand their legal responsibilities.

Pediatricians who intend to disclose their adolescent patient’s HIV status to parents or guardians should inform the patient of their intent before testing and describe the process of disclosure. Optimally, adolescents would benefit from the support of a parent or guardian when faced with a diagnosis of HIV; however, each case should be approached individually. Disclosure to school or college authorities without an adolescent’s consent is not indicated and can be harmful. Because many electronic health record platforms automatically release laboratory test results to both the patient and parent or legal guardian proxy accounts, pediatricians need to be cognizant of electronic health record settings and the potential of inadvertent disclosure via patient portals.\textsuperscript{104}

Payment and disclosure of STIs and HIV testing, prevention, and treatment to parents via insurance billing are issues that require additional attention. At present, the Affordable Care Act requires coverage of recommended preventive services, including HIV testing and PrEP, without cost-sharing, for the following health plans: nongrandfathered private health insurance plans, Medicare, Medicaid expansion plans, and traditional Medicaid plans (which may cover HIV testing to receive an increase in their federal medical assistance).\textsuperscript{105} Coverage for PrEP is approved by many insurance plans and is also available through state drug assistance programs and pharmaceutical industry-supported programs. The national Ready, Set, PrEP program (https://www.getyourprep.com/) and local health departments can serve as excellent resources for facilitating access to PrEP among youth and locating the clinics and programs that provide PrEP at no cost to clients. Pediatricians, health advocates, insurers, and states can influence policies around confidentiality and insurance coverage that can address the aforementioned issues. If
pediatricians are unable to provide confidential HIV testing for adolescent patients in their office setting, they should identify and refer youth to confidential community-based HIV testing. Increased awareness of community clinical resources will assist providers in referring patients who are not willing to risk potential disclosure of confidential services through electronic health records or billing statements. Title X clinics, funded by the Office of Population Affairs of the US Department of Health and Human Services, provide high-quality, cost-effective family planning and preventive services for women and men with limited resources as well as for youth seeking confidential care (a search tool for clinics is available at: http://www.hhs.gov/opa/title-x-family-planning/initiatives-and-resources/title-x-grantees-list). A CDC Web site (https://gettested.cdc.gov) provides assistance in finding local HIV testing sites.

HIV and AIDS are conditions reportable to public health authorities in the United States, and in many jurisdictions, both laboratory reporting data streams and clinical case reports translate information directly to public health departments, which have a role in contact tracing and partner notification. Public health department partner notification services can play a key role in preventing and controlling the HIV epidemic in the United States. Pediatricians should be familiar with state laws, and they should use reasonable means to persuade and support HIV-infected youth to voluntarily inform their partner(s) when applicable. Health care providers seeing patients who are being tested for HIV or STIs should:

- Talk about partner notification services, and let patients know that if they are positive for a reportable disease like HIV, they may be asked about partner exposure and may be contacted by someone from the health department.
- Inform youth that partner notification services are voluntary and confidential.
- Discuss how partner notification services can help their past and/or current sex or drug-injecting partners through early access to testing, treatment, and other services.

CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Pediatricians are encouraged to create an environment of confidentiality and tolerance and facilitate open discussion of gender, sexual orientation and behavior, and sexual and reproductive health issues.

2. Pediatricians should recognize the clinical presentation of the acute retroviral syndrome, which can present clinically as infectious mononucleosis-like and influenza-like syndromes, and consider adding virological testing to AG/AB testing in the diagnostic workup of sexually active youth when symptoms consistent with acute retroviral syndrome are present.

3. Routine HIV screening is recommended for all youth 15 years or older, at least once, in health care settings.

4. After initial screening, youth at increased risk, including sexually active youth, should be rescreened at least annually, potentially as frequently as every 3 to 6 months if at high risk (male youth reporting male sexual contact, active injection drug users, transgender youth; having sexual partners who are HIV-infected, of both genders, or injection drug users; exchanging sex for drugs or money; or those who have had a diagnosis of or request testing for other STIs).

5. Youth who request HIV screening at any time should be tested, even in the absence of reported risk factors.

6. For youth with a positive HIV test result in any health care or community setting, it is critical to facilitate and confirm prompt linkage to age-appropriate HIV specialty care.

7. A negative HIV test result provides an opportunity to counsel youth on sexual and reproductive health issues and risk behaviors. All youth at risk for HIV acquisition should be offered HIV PrEP as part of a comprehensive prevention strategy that includes other prevention measures, including adherence to daily administration, and safer sex practices, including barrier protection, to reduce the risk of STIs.

8. Opt-out HIV testing is preferred if allowed by state laws; rapid AB and/or AB/AG HIV testing can provide notification of results within a short period of time. Pediatricians should follow the guidelines of their local jurisdictions for routine HIV opt-out testing in youth and are encouraged to advocate for change when such jurisdictions create barriers for implementation of opt-out HIV testing.

9. In addition to the ambulatory outpatient clinics and inpatient wards, emergency departments and urgent care facilities in areas of high HIV prevalence should implement routine HIV screening for youth, which provides an excellent opportunity to reach youth who may not often seek primary care services.
10. Use of HIV PEP with antiretroviral drugs should be considered for youth who may have been exposed to HIV after an episode of high-risk sexual activity or unsafe needle use or after sexual violence. Survivors of sexual violence should have baseline HIV testing as well as STI screening and treatment and should be offered mental health and other supportive counseling.

11. Although parent or guardian involvement in adolescent health care is desired, in the majority of settings in the United States, consent of the adolescent should be sufficient to provide testing and treatment of HIV infection or STIs as part of sexual and reproductive health services. Pediatricians should make use of free and confidential community-based testing programs if there are cost or confidentiality concerns in the office practice setting.

12. Pediatricians should be familiar with state laws regarding partner notification and should use reasonable means to persuade and support HIV-infected youth to encourage and/or refer partner(s) to be tested when applicable. Pediatricians seeing patients who are being tested for HIV infection or STIs should discuss health department anonymous partner notification services with youth.

13. Pediatricians are encouraged to advocate for the dissemination of accurate, evidence-based prevention education including HIV PrEP, PEP, and access to confidential HIV and STI counseling, testing, and treatment and partner notification services for youth.

14. Preventive care screening should include universal coverage and adequate payment for HIV testing, related counseling, PEP, and PrEP. Pediatricians can advocate for confidential billing practices related to HIV and STI testing and prevention in youth.
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