Abstract

**IMPORTANCE** Anomalous brain development and mental health problems are prevalent in fetal alcohol spectrum disorders (FASD), but there is a paucity of longitudinal brain imaging research into adulthood. This study presents long-term follow-up of brain volumetrics in a cohort of participants with FASD.

**OBJECTIVE** To test whether brain tissue declines faster with aging in individuals with FASD compared with control participants.

**DESIGN, SETTING, AND PARTICIPANTS** This cohort study used magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) data collected from individuals with FASD and control individuals (age 13-37 years at first magnetic resonance imaging [MRI1] acquired 1997-2000) compared with data collected 20 years later (MRI2; 2018-2021). Participants were recruited for MRI1 through the University of Washington Fetal Alcohol Syndrome (FAS) Follow-Up Study. For MRI2, former participants were recruited by the University of Washington Fetal Alcohol and Drug Unit. Data were analyzed from October 2022 to August 2023.

**MAIN OUTCOMES AND MEASURES** Intracranial volume (ICV) and regional cortical and cerebellar gray matter, white matter, and cerebrospinal fluid volumes were quantified automatically and analyzed, with group and sex as between-participant factors and age as a within-participant variable.

**RESULTS** Of 174 individuals with MRI1 data, 48 refused participation, 36 were unavailable, and 24 could not be located. The remaining 66 individuals (37.9%) were rescanned for MRI2, including 26 controls, 18 individuals with nondysmorphic heavily exposed fetal alcohol effects (FAE; diagnosed prior to MRI1), and 22 individuals with FAS. Mean (SD) age was 22.9 (5.6) years at MRI1 and 44.7 (6.5) years at MRI2, and 35 participants (53%) were male. The FAE and FAS groups exhibited enduring stepped volume deficits at MRI1 and MRI2; volumes among control participants were greater than among participants with FAE, which were greater than volumes among participants with FAS (eg, mean [SD] ICV: control, 1462.3 [119.3] cc at MRI1 and 1465.4 [129.4] cc at MRI2; FAE, 1375.6 [134.1] cc at MRI1 and 1371.7 [120.3] cc at MRI2; FAS, 1297.3 [163.0] cc at MRI1 and 1292.7 [172.1] cc at MRI2), without diagnosis-by-age interactions. Despite these persistent volume deficits, the FAE participants and FAS participants showed patterns of neurodevelopment within reference ranges: increase in white matter and decrease in gray matter of the cortex and decrease in white matter and increase in gray matter of the cerebellum.

**CONCLUSIONS AND RELEVANCE** The findings of this cohort study support a nonaccelerating enduring, brain structural dysmorphic spectrum following prenatal alcohol exposure and a diagnostic distinction based on the degree of dysmorphia. FASD was not a progressive brain structural disorder by middle age, but whether accelerated decline occurs in later years remains to be determined.

Key Points

**Question** Do cortical volumes decline faster in individuals with a fetal alcohol spectrum disorders (FASD) than unaffected controls over 20 years from adolescence to middle age?

**Findings** This cohort study among 66 individuals, including 26 healthy controls, 18 individuals with fetal alcohol effects, and 22 individuals with fetal alcohol syndrome found that cortical volumes of the FASD groups declined at the same rate as observed in controls despite persistent volume deficits graded by diagnostic severity.

**Meaning** These findings suggest that a sustained spectrum of brain volume deficits endured from adolescence into early middle age based on the original severity of dysmorphia and a diagnostic distinction between fetal alcohol syndrome and alcohol-related neurodevelopment disorder.
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Introduction

Fetal alcohol spectrum disorders (FASD) are a collection of physical, neural, cognitive, and behavioral anomalies that can result from prenatal alcohol exposure (PAE). Seminal magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) studies of individuals with FASD have found intracranial volume (ICV) deficits compared with unexposed controls and regional deficits in the size of the basal ganglia, thalamus, hippocampus, corpus callosum, and cerebellum, with the latter 2 regions especially sensitive to PAE. Functional ramifications of regional neurodysmorphia in FASD span sensory, motor, and cognitive skills. Recent automated volumetry of legacy MRI data on FASD and control groups of individuals aged 13 to 30 years identified volume deficits in the corpus callosum, caudate, putamen, pallidum, and cerebellum in the FASD group compared with controls, even after controlling for ICV differences. Measurement of anatomically distinct lobules of the cerebellum revealed deficits in several lobules with the degree of deficit being related to the degree of dysmorphia.

Although FASD is considered a lifelong disorder, little is known about this condition in adults because most FASD studies report solely on children and adolescents. The few MRI investigations in young adults are cross-sectional and note smaller volumes in brain areas consistent with those reported in youth. Whether the structural anomalies detected in FASD are progressive with aging has received little attention, with most research on FASD ending by age 30 years. The few extant longitudinal imaging studies have spanned only 2 to 5 years and focused on youth. For example, a study of individuals with FASD and controls aged 5.7 to 15.9 years at initial MRI found that white matter volumes increased linearly over 1.8 years in both groups, with no evidence for differences in growth trajectories of total brain volume or gray and white matter. A later comparison of individuals with FASD and controls followed up for 2 to 4 years found the FASD group had less cortical thinning than controls, suggesting delayed development. A 2-year follow-up study of youth with PAE and controls aged 6 to 17 years at the first MRI found a change in cortical thickness but not cortical volume in the PAE group.

Given the paucity of longitudinal studies of FASD beyond emerging adulthood and the possibility of accelerated brain aging, as seen in other developmental disabilities, we conducted a quantitative analysis of MRI data from participants with FASD and unexposed control participants in the original University of Washington cohort who were rescanned a mean of 20 years after their initial MRI. Accordingly, the current study tested the following 3 hypotheses: (1) follow-up MRI data would evidence stepped deficits in cerebral and cerebellar volumes as observed with the initial MRI, where regional volumes by group would be largest in the control group, smaller in the fetal alcohol effects (FAE) group, and smallest in the fetal alcohol syndrome (FAS) group; (2) all groups would show the patterns of age-related brain volume changes within reference ranges, where gray matter shrinks while white matter expands over the 20-year interval spanning years of active neurodevelopment; and (3) volume deficits of the FAE and FAS groups would show greater age-related declines relative to the control group regardless of sex.

Methods

This study was approved by the institutional review board of the University of Washington. Informed consent was obtained according to the Declaration of Helsinki from adult participants or their legal guardians, in which case assent was obtained from the participant. This study is reported following the Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) reporting guideline for cohort studies. An abstract for this study has been published elsewhere.

Participants

Of 59 control participants, 58 participants with FAE, and 57 participants with FAS in our initial report, 66 (37.9%) had useable follow-up MRIs: 26 control participants, 18 participants with FAE, and 22 participants with FAS. MRIs were acquired a mean (SD) of 21.6 (1.8) years after the first scan.
for the control group, 21.7 (1.8) years for the FAE group, and 20.6 (2.2) years for the FAS group. Patient ascertainment was from the Seattle FAS Follow-up Database, accrued over nearly 3 decades from referrals from or to dysmorphologists. Race was determined by self-identification or by identification by the physician as African American, American Indian or Alaskan Native, or White. Race was included only as a descriptor of the participants.

As described previously, 19 participants were identified, recruited, clinically and neuropsychologically examined, and diagnosed for their first MRI (MRI1) through the FAS Follow-Up Study at the University of Washington. Of participants with FASD, systematic diagnosis used 1994 criteria to determine FAS and FAE. FAS required evidence of central nervous system dysfunction, growth deficit, and the presence of sentinel facial features, whereas FAE was classified when the full set of physical characteristics was not present in an individual with heavy PAE. Two sets of MRI data are reported in this study: the initial data set (MRI1), which was collected between 1997 and 2000, and the second data set (MRI2), which was collected between 2018 and 2021.

Former study participants were located using provided contact details and online resources to obtain current information and assess willingness to participate. Potential participants were contacted to explain the study and assess willingness to participate. Numerous other reports based on the full or partial cohort have been published.

Exclusion criteria at the initial MRI were HIV infection, neurotoxic medications, legal blindness, dental braces, psychological testing within the past year, or not having English as their primary language. Control participants were excluded for alcohol or drug problems, neurological problems, birth defects involving the brain, self-reported auditory or visual hallucinations, having a bachelor’s degree or higher education, or having a biological mother with a reported history of substance abuse problems, particularly binge drinking around the time of their pregnancy with the individual.

**MRI Acquisition and Analysis**

MRI analysis was performed by computer, without supervision, and blind to diagnosis. After processing, images were reviewed blind for quantification quality. Native T1-weighted MRI legacy data at the first scan were acquired on a 1.5T Signa system (GE). Follow-up MRIs were acquired on an Ingenia Medical System 3T scanner (Philips).

Because the original and follow-up scans differed in resolution and tissue conspicuity, the scans of a participant were analyzed together via Scalable Informatics for Biomedical Imaging Studies (SIBIS), a pipeline for the longitudinally consistent processing of brain MRIs (pairs of longitudinal scans shown in Figure 1A and B). For each participant, SIBIS first inhomogeneity corrected the higher-resolution follow-up scans and afterward produced a brain mask. The pipeline nonrigidly aligned the SRI24 atlas to the skull-stripped scan via Advanced Normalization Tools and used the aligned atlas to guide the tissue segmentation via Atropos and the parcellation of the cerebellum via a spatially unbiased infratentorial template. Next, the baseline scan was image-inhomogeneity corrected and rigidly registered to the follow-up scan. The resulting transformation was used to align the brain mask of the follow-up scan and the SRI24 atlas to the baseline. The pipeline repeated tissue and cerebellum segmentation. For baseline and follow-up, the pipeline determined the ICV and gray and white matter cortical and cerebellar regional volumes.

**Statistical Analysis**

Statistics were performed using R statistical software version 3.5.1 (R Project for Statistical Computing) on bilateral volumes, which were the sums of left and right regional volumes. The primary statistic for comparisons between returners and nonreturners was a general linear model (lm). The primary statistic for longitudinal analysis was a linear mixed effects model (lmer), which separately estimated the volume of each region as a function of diagnostic group (control, FAE, FAS) + ICV + sex + age. The mean value of the controls was added to the individual’s residual for plotting on a meaningful scale. Interactions were examined with 2-way (group-by-sex and group-by-age) and 3-way (group-by-sex-by-age) interactions. Interactions by age tested potential group
differences in rates of change from the original to the follow-up scan. The model outputs produced $t$ and $P$ significance values for each diagnostic group compared with the controls. To compare FAE vs FAS groups, the model was rerun with FAS as the index level. Familywise Bonferroni corrections were applied to adjust $P$ values to account for multiple comparisons. $P$ values were 2-sided, and statistical significance was set at $P = .05$. Data were analyzed from October 2022 to August 2023.

**Results**

Of 174 individuals with MRI1 data, 48 refused participation, 36 were unavailable, and 24 could not be located. The remaining 66 individuals (37.9%) were rescanned for MRI2, including 26 controls, 18 individuals with nongymporphic heavily exposed fetal alcohol effects (diagnosed before MRI1), and 22 individuals with FAS. Mean (SD) age was 22.9 (5.6) years at MRI1 and 44.7 (6.5) years at MRI2, and 35 participants (53%) were male (Table 1).

**Cross-Sectional Comparison of Returners and Nonreturners**

The returning participants were largely representative of the nonreturning groups, as revealed by Im analyses of data from the initial MRI session to test for group differences and group-by-variable interactions in participant regional brain volumes (eFigures 1-3 and eTables 1-3 in Supplement 1) and descriptors (eFigure 4 in Supplement 1), ie, age, race, educational level, handedness, body mass index, and intelligence quotients (IQ; Prorated Full Scale, Prorated Verbal, and Performance from the Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale–Revised).41 Specifically, the returners did not differ significantly from the nonreturners in any regional brain volume, body mass index, or handedness. Educational level was higher in the returners than nonreturners of the FAE group, and overall, returners had higher prorated verbal IQ, performance IQ, and prorated full scale IQ scores than nonreturners, but no diagnostic group-by-returner status interactions were detected (eTable 3 in Supplement 1). The only demographic variable showing a group difference was race, such that only 1 of 5 African American individuals with FAE and 1 of 9 African American control participants returned, whereas both African American participants in the FAS group returned.

![Figure 1. Examples of Magnetic Resonance Images (MRI) 1 and 2 in Participants With Fetal Alcohol Syndrome (FAS) and Healthy Controls](image_url)
Longitudinal Comparison

Longitudinal comparison of volumes uncorrected for ICV enabled within-participant analysis of changes related to age or sex. Volumes expressed as percentage of ICV provided a metric for between-group comparison for seeking potential group differences in trajectories of change with aging and with sex as possible moderators. Despite observing the usual phenomenon that women had smaller ICV than men, none of the tests seeking diagnosis-by-sex effects were significant, whether based on raw or ICV-adjusted volumes. Consequently, sex was eliminated from the inner analyses.

Longitudinal Comparison of Volumes Uncorrected for ICV

The longitudinal analysis of ICV revealed a stepwise group volume difference (control > FAE > FAS) that was consistent over time, ie, without a significant group-by-age interaction (eg, mean [SD] ICV: control, 1462.3 [119.3] cc at MRI1 and 1465.4 [129.4] cc at MRI2; FAE, 1375.6 [134.1] cc at MRI1 and 1371.7 [120.3] cc at MRI2; FAS, 1297.3 [163.0] cc at MRI1 and 1292.7 [172.1] cc at MRI2) (**Figure 2** and **Table 2**). The overall pattern of gray matter volume change across all groups indicated significant declines in each region measured. Native (ie, raw and uncorrected for ICV) volumes of the frontal and occipital cortices showed the same significant, stepwise pattern as the ICV (eg, mean [SD] frontal lobe volume: control, 153.76 [17.26] cc at MRI1 and 154.75 cc at MRI2; FAE, 140.07 [18.50] cc at MRI1 and 134.49 [15.49] cc at MRI2; FAS, 137.37 [20.62] cc at MRI1 and 130.18 [18.79] cc at MRI2). Volumes of the temporal, parietal, insular, and cingulate cortices also exhibited stepped differences but were significant only for the FAS group (eg, mean [SD] corpus callosum volume: control 15.41 cc vs FAS 16.44 cc).

**Table 1. Participant Demographic Characteristic for the 3 Groups**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Mean (SD)</th>
<th>Simple estimates by lm</th>
<th>3-Group comparison</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Control (n = 26)</td>
<td>FAE (n = 18)</td>
<td>FAS (n = 22)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age at MRI1, y</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>21.3 (6.8)</td>
<td>22.8 (6.2)</td>
<td>21.3 (6.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>19.1 (5.6)</td>
<td>19.4 (5.8)</td>
<td>19.1 (5.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>20.2 (6.1)</td>
<td>21.3 (6.1)</td>
<td>20.1 (4.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age at MRI2, y</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>43.4 (8.0)</td>
<td>45.4 (6.8)</td>
<td>41.2 (6.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>40.4 (6.2)</td>
<td>40.1 (5.8)</td>
<td>40.7 (5.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>41.9 (7.2)</td>
<td>43.1 (6.7)</td>
<td>41.0 (5.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race, No. (%):</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>African American</td>
<td>1 (4)</td>
<td>1 (6)</td>
<td>2 (9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Indian or</td>
<td>4 (1)</td>
<td>5 (28)</td>
<td>4 (18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alaskan Native</td>
<td>21 (81)</td>
<td>12 (67)</td>
<td>16 (73)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Handiness, No.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>14²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI at MRI2</td>
<td>27.4 (5.2)</td>
<td>31.2 (7.7)</td>
<td>27.3 (6.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education at MRI1, y</td>
<td>12.0 (2.7)</td>
<td>11.1 (1.9)</td>
<td>10.3 (2.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale at MRI1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verbal IQ prorated</td>
<td>112.0 (10.6)</td>
<td>89.1 (11.5)</td>
<td>82.2 (11.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Performance IQ</td>
<td>113.1 (14.5)</td>
<td>93.8 (15.8)</td>
<td>88.8 (14.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Full Scale IQ prorated</td>
<td>113.8 (12.9)</td>
<td>90.4 (12.0)</td>
<td>83.7 (12.9)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index (calculated as weight in kilograms divided by height in meters squared); FAE, fetal alcohol effects; FAS, fetal alcohol syndrome; IQ, intelligence quotient; lm, linear model; MRI, magnetic resonance imaging; NA, not applicable.

* Control vs FAE: χ² = 2.115; P = .15; control vs FAS: χ² = 7152; P = .008; FAE vs FAS: χ² = 1.412; P = .24.

² Missing data for 1 participant.
Whereas gray matter volumes declined with aging, white matter volumes enlarged significantly in all groups. Despite growth, the centrum semiovale, corpus callosum, and pons each displayed graded volume deficits associated with the FASD groups (Figure 3 and Table 2; eFigure 6 in Supplement 1). Only the pons showed a group-by-age interaction, where the rate of increase in pontine volume was higher in the controls than in the FAE group and the FAS group, and there was a stepwise association, with the volume of the FAS group smaller than that of the FAE group.

In contrast with cortical volumes, the overall cerebellar pattern was for gray matter to enlarge and white matter to decrease. Without adjustment for ICV, the 4 cerebellar regions examined (total tissue + cerebral spinal fluid, total gray matter, total white matter, and vermis gray matter) were marked by significant stepwise volume differences associated with diagnostic severity, without significant diagnosis by age interactions (Figure 3 and Table 2; eFigure 7 in Supplement 1). Only the FAE group showed faster decline of the total cerebellar volume than controls (mean [SD] cerebellum gray matter: control, 77.37 [9.61] cc at MRI1 and 79.24 [7.91] cc at MRI2; FAE, 70.65 [8.01] cc at MRI1 and 72.36 [7.09] cc at MRI2; FAS, 63.45 [9.33] cc at MRI1 and 65.69 [8.74] cc at MRI2).

**Longitudinal Comparison of Volumes Corrected for ICV**

ICV adjustment did not alter the pattern observed with the native cortical data, where gray matter decreased while white matter increased with age; however, ICV adjustment eliminated the group differences observed with raw volumes in all 6 cortical gray matter regions (mean [SD] frontal gray matter volume percentage: control, 10.51% [0.49%] at MRI1 and 10.06% [0.48%] at MRI2; FAE, 10.17% [0.68%] at MRI1 and 9.80% [0.71%] at MRI2; FAS, 10.57% [0.64%] at MRI1 and 10.07% [0.55%] at MRI2). At the initial MRI, the ICV of the FAE group was 94.0% and the FAS group was 89.1% of controls; at follow-up, the FAE group was 93.6% and the FAS group was 88.5% of the control group. Although all ICV-adjusted cortical volumes declined with age, the decline was not greater in the alcohol-exposed groups compared with the control group (Figure 3; eFigure 5 in Supplement 1).

In contrast with gray matter, ICV-adjusted volumes of the centrum semiovale and corpus callosum were significantly smaller in both the FAE and FAS groups than in the control group (eg, mean [SD] centrum semiovale volume percentage: control, 8.74% [0.43%] at MRI1 and 9.18% [0.38%] at MRI2; FAE, 8.42% [0.54%] at MRI1 and 8.84% [0.60%] at MRI2; FAS, 8.27% [0.64%] at MRI1 and 8.74% [0.74%] at MRI2).

**Participants underwent magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) at age 13 to 37 (MRI1) and again approximately 20 years later (MRI2). The brackets at the top with t values and P values indicate the statistical results of group differences. The change in ICV of the fetal alcohol effects (FAE) and fetal alcohol syndrome (FAS) groups was not significant. Points represent individual data points; lines, change from MRI1 to MRI2; black lines, medians; boxes, IQRs; whiskers, ranges.**
### Table 2. Simple and Interaction Effects of Diagnosis and Age Indicating Acceleration of Age Outcomes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>MRI volumes uncorrected for ICV</th>
<th>MRI volumes as percentages corrected for ICV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>FAE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>t</td>
<td>P value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raw MRI volumes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cortical gray matter</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICV</td>
<td>−1.98</td>
<td>.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frontal</td>
<td>−9.36</td>
<td>&lt;.001&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temporal</td>
<td>−17.62</td>
<td>&lt;.001&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parietal</td>
<td>−18.23</td>
<td>&lt;.001&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occipital</td>
<td>−9.94</td>
<td>&lt;.001&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insula</td>
<td>−3.85</td>
<td>&lt;.001&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cingulate</td>
<td>−10.58</td>
<td>&lt;.001&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cortical white matter</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corpus callosum</td>
<td>11.67</td>
<td>&lt;.001&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Centrum semiovale</td>
<td>7.81</td>
<td>&lt;.001&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pons</td>
<td>13.14</td>
<td>&lt;.001&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cerebellum</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total volume</td>
<td>−3.57</td>
<td>&lt;.001&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total gray matter</td>
<td>4.14</td>
<td>&lt;.001&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total white matter</td>
<td>−7.25</td>
<td>&lt;.001&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vermis gray matter</td>
<td>3.96</td>
<td>&lt;.001&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRI volumes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cortical gray matter</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frontal</td>
<td>−9.09</td>
<td>&lt;.001&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temporal</td>
<td>−21.57</td>
<td>&lt;.001&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parietal</td>
<td>−22.92</td>
<td>&lt;.001&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occipital</td>
<td>−13.00</td>
<td>&lt;.001&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insula</td>
<td>−4.39</td>
<td>&lt;.001&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cingulate</td>
<td>−13.90</td>
<td>&lt;.001&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cortical white matter</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corpus callosum</td>
<td>12.07</td>
<td>&lt;.001&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Centrum semiovale</td>
<td>9.74</td>
<td>&lt;.001&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pons</td>
<td>13.39</td>
<td>&lt;.001&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cerebellum</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total volume</td>
<td>−3.51</td>
<td>&lt;.001&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total gray matter</td>
<td>3.79</td>
<td>&lt;.001&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total white matter</td>
<td>−8.56</td>
<td>.001&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vermis gray matter</td>
<td>3.90</td>
<td>&lt;.001&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: FAE, fetal alcohol exposure; FAS, fetal alcohol syndrome; ICV, intracranial volume; MRI, magnetic resonance imaging.

<sup>a</sup> Analyses conducted using linear mixed effects regression models.
<sup>b</sup> Significant difference between FAE vs controls.
<sup>c</sup> Significant difference between FAS vs controls.
<sup>d</sup> Decreased volume with age across the 3 groups.
<sup>e</sup> Increased volume with age across the 3 groups.
MRI1 and 8.71% [0.76%] at MRI2), albeit attenuated compared with the raw volumes. The same pattern emerged for the pons although only the difference between the FAS and control groups was significant (eg, mean [SD] pons volume percentage: control, 0.57% [0.07%] at MRI1 and 0.61%

Figure 3. Examples of Volumetric Analysis by Group for Frontal Cortex, Centrum Semiovale White Matter, and Cerebellum

Participants underwent magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) at age 13 to 37 (MRI1) and again approximately 20 years later (MRI2). The brackets at the top with $t$ values and $P$ values indicate the statistical results of group comparisons. FAE indicates fetal alcohol effects; FAS, fetal alcohol syndrome; ICV, intracranial volume. Points represent individual data points; lines, change from MRI1 to MRI2; black lines, medians; boxes, IQRs; whiskers, ranges.
[0.07%] at MRI1; FAE, 0.55% [0.06%] at MRI1 and 0.58% [0.06%] at MRI2; FAS, 0.52% [0.05%] at MRI1 and 0.55% [0.06%] at MRI2). None of the white matter volumes showed significant interaction with age in either the FAE or FAS groups compared with the controls (Figure 3 and Table 2; eFigure 6 in Supplement 1).

With ICV correction, the overall pattern of increasing cerebellar gray matter and decreasing white matter endured. Only cerebellar total gray matter and the vermis were smaller in the FAS group than the control group (eg, mean [SD] cerebellum gray matter volume percentage: control, 5.30% [0.56%] at MRI1 and 5.42% [0.47%] at MRI2; FAE, 5.15% [0.54%] at MRI1 and 5.28% [0.37%] at MRI2; FAS, 4.91% [0.54%] at MRI1 and 5.11% [0.54%] at MRI2). The diagnosis-by-age interaction was not significant in any cerebellar volume or for either the FAE or FAS group after adjustment for ICV (Figure 3 and Table 2; eFigure 7 in Supplement 1).

**Discussion**

This cohort study, based on longitudinal MRI data acquired in people with and without FASD over an approximately 20-year interval, identified the same stepped volume differences at MRI1 and MRI2: the volumes of the control group were larger than those of the FAE group, which were in turn larger than those of the FAS group in ICV and cerebellum and cortical regions. All groups showed patterns of volume changes as a function of age that were within reference ranges, where cortical, but not cerebellar gray matter, volumes declined over time, while cortical white matter, but not cerebellar, volumes expanded over the 20-year interval. There was not evidence of premature aging, at least as determined by brain volumetrics in the FASD groups compared with controls. The pons volumes uncorrected for ICV showed a group-by-age interaction, with the rate of volume increasing less in the FAE and FAS groups than in controls, although these diagnostic differences did not endure statistically when corrected for ICV. We are unaware of any previous reports examining the pons in cases of FASD, although an analysis of 174 autopsy cases of FASD or PAE reported 12 individuals with hypoxic-related apoptotic neurons in the pons and 1 individual with a “distorted” pons. Animal data also suggest that the pontine nucleus may be sensitive to PAE.

In the larger sample of 174 participants, we previously reported ICV differences from the controls of 8% in the FAE group and 12.8% in the FAS group, which is similar to other reports. With the 66 participants remaining in the study at MRI2, similar differences were observed.

The mean age at MRI2 was 41 to 43 years, which may be too early in adulthood to discern nonlinear volumetric changes related to age. In longitudinal studies of aging, notably accelerating volume declines typically emerge at approximately age 50 years. There is a critical need to extend the longitudinal assessment of this cohort into older ages when clearer signs of accelerated aging might manifest morphologically to track whether the FASD population is at heightened risk for premature or exacerbated dementia or other disorders of aging. A volunteer nonprobability-type sampling survey of 541 adults who self-identified as having an FASD indicated 4 individuals with dementia among individuals aged 59 years or younger. This prevalence is significantly higher than would be expected in unaffected groups.

As expected, this study demonstrated stepwise associations in several measures, with the FAS group showing the greatest difference compared with the controls and with the FAE group being generally intermediate. Several MRI studies have previously reported such stepwise associations in children and adolescents in various brain regions, including ICV. These stepped deficits support a spectrum of outcomes following PAE, with the largest changes, at least as related to brain alterations, in individuals with the most dysmorphic features. This pattern of outcomes also supports the diagnostic distinctions made between FAS and other nondysmorphic disorders on the spectrum. However, the consequences of FASD go beyond the dysmorphic face and graded brain changes; often, the most affected individuals in terms of secondary disabilities, such as social or emotional, legal, substance use, academic, and employment issues, are nondysmorphic.
FASD is a life-long condition, although diagnostic issues hamper its recognition in adulthood. Facial features change with age, and PAE information may be unavailable. Early life experiences can be difficult to identify reliably and to untangle statistically. Nonetheless, individuals with FASD continue to experience significant challenges into adulthood. In 2008, Spohr and Steinhausen\(^5\) reported that only 30% of a group of individuals diagnosed in early childhood with FASD and provided with protective factors lived independently, and 86% had no long-term employment history. A 2022 study by Coles et al\(^5\) reported higher levels of mental health disorders, particularly depression, in midlife adults with FASD, which they concluded may be mediated by a vulnerability to early life stressors resulting from PAE. The results of our study showing persistent volumetric changes into middle age may be relevant in terms of assisting with identifying FASD in adulthood, where the number of undiagnosed cases may be in the millions.\(^5\),\(^6\) Although not always feasible, neuroimaging as ancillary information to FASD diagnosis might prove useful in significant forensic cases.\(^7\) It is notable that the macrostructural differences marking FASD endured over 40 years, considering that the sample scanned in mid-life may be in better condition than those lost to follow-up. While there were few demographic differences between the rescanned group and the attritted group, the sense was that those who participated in this follow-up study represented individuals with higher functioning and the most support. This was sustained by the observation that MRI2 participants had higher IQ scores at MRI1 than nonreturners.

**Limitations**

This study has some limitations. We used legacy MRI1 data acquired 2 decades ago and MRI2 data acquired 20 years later using higher-resolution parameters. Current analytic approaches enabled data harmonization to pursue questions about within-participant change over time yet precluded lobular time-linked comparison of the cerebellum. Despite the defunct descriptive and physiognomic criteria used for the initial diagnosis of FAE, volumetric analysis was successful in identifying enduring diagnostically linked, stepwise volume deficits in cortical and cerebellar tissue. Furthermore, a loss to follow-up resulted in relatively small samples, although the findings were adequately robust to detect stepped associations and expected age differences. There is a clear need for further follow-up to extend to age ranges to when brain structural volume declines typically become apparent and may reveal accelerated declines in the FASD groups.\(^3\),\(^2\),\(^5\) Additional measurements would also enable modeling aging trajectories, which may differ across the 3 study groups.

**Conclusions**

To our knowledge, this cohort study presents longitudinal brain findings over 20 years on the oldest studied group of individuals diagnosed with FASD. The results indicate enduring stepwise changes with little evidence of accelerated brain aging or reversal of regional volume deficits. These data support an enduring spectrum within this age range based on the original severity of dysmorphia and a diagnostic distinction between FAS and FAE. Despite prenatal alcohol-induced brain structural deficits with clinically observable behavioral consequences, our findings do not suggest that FASD is a progressive disorder by middle age but one for which continued habilitative efforts are warranted.
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