DEX1, a Novel Plant Protein, Is Required for Exine Pattern Formation during Pollen Development in Arabidopsis^1
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To identify factors that are required for proper pollen wall formation, we have characterized the T-DNA-tagged, dex1 mutation of Arabidopsis, which results in defective pollen wall pattern formation. This study reports the isolation and molecular characterization of DEX1 and morphological and ultrastructural analyses of dex1 plants. DEX1 encodes a novel plant protein that is predicted to be membrane associated and contains several potential calcium-binding domains. Pollen wall development in dex1 plants parallels that of wild-type plants until the early tetrad stage. In dex1 plants, primexine deposition is delayed and significantly reduced. The normal rippling of the plasma membrane and production of spacers observed in wild-type plants is also absent in the mutant. Sporopollenin is produced and randomly deposited on the plasma membrane in dex1 plants. However, it does not appear to be anchored to the microspore and forms large aggregates on the developing microspore and the locale walls. Based on the structure of DEX1 and the phenotype of dex1 plants, several potential roles for the protein are proposed.

The pollen grain wall is architecturally and compositionally complex, and relatively little is known about the mechanisms that govern these two characteristics. The pollen wall consists of two layers: the outer exine layer, and the inner intine layer (Fig. 1). The intine is a relatively simple layer comprised of cellulose, pectin, and various proteins (Brett and Waldron, 1990). Although the exine pattern varies between species, in general it is divided into two main layers: an outer sculpted layer, the sexine, and an inner layer, the nexine (for review, see Stanley and Linskens, 1974). The exine is mainly composed of sporopollenin, which is responsible for many properties of the pollen wall, including physical strength and resistance to nonoxidative chemical, physical, and biological treatments, including fungal and bacterial attack (Heslop-Harrison, 1976; Meuter-Gerhards et al., 1999). Sporopollenin appears to be composed mainly of simple aliphatic polymers containing aromatic or conjugated side chains (Ahlers et al., 1999). However, its exact composition is unknown and may vary between species (Meuter-Gerhards et al., 1999). The patterning of sporopollenin is responsible for the elaborately sculpted, complex structures of pollen walls (Erdtman, 1952). The reticulate pollen wall pattern, which
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location of the colpi, regions of pollen tube outgrowth (Dickinson and Sheldon, 1986; Perez-Munoz et al., 1995). Other studies have suggested that the final reticulate pattern of the pollen wall results from an invagination of the plasma membrane to resemble the final pattern (Takahashi, 1989; Takahashi and Skvarla, 1991). Therefore, although circumstantial evidence exists for the involvement of numerous factors in pattern formation, direct evidence supporting the role of any particular factor has been difficult to obtain.

An ultrastructural comparison of pollen wall development between wild-type Arabidopsis and dex1 plants, a T-DNA-tagged, pollen wall mutant, suggested that the plasma membrane does play an integral role in pollen wall pattern formation (Paxson-Sowders et al., 1997). The dex1 mutation was found to block the normal patterning of the plasma membrane and disrupt sporopollenin deposition leading to pollen grain collapse. Wall development in the mutant resembled wild type until early tetrad stage, when in wild-type plants the plasma membrane adopted a regular undulating pattern. The plasma membrane of mutant plants lacked this regular patterning. Sporopollenin was synthesized and deposited in the mutant, but did not appear to be anchored to the surface of the microspore. This analysis suggested that DEX1 might serve as the nucleation point for sporopollenin deposition.

To better understand the role of DEX1 in pollen wall formation, we have conducted a more detailed analysis of dex1 plants and isolated and characterized the DEX1 gene. DEX1 appears to be a novel plant protein that exhibits limited sequence similarity to hemolysin and animal α integrins and is predicted to bind calcium. Analysis of pollen wall development in dex1 plants suggests that the mutation disrupts normal primexine development, which ultimately affects the conformation of the membrane and sporopollenin deposition. The phenotype of mutant plants and the structure of DEX1 raise several possibilities for its role in the cell.

RESULTS

Isolation and Characterization of the dex1 Locus

Genetic and Southern-blot analyses were conducted to determine whether the T-DNA insert is linked with the dex1 mutation. The results from a genetic analysis of four generations ($F_4 - F_7$) of dex1 plants are shown in Table I. Segregation data (fertile:sterile) from unselected plants (seeds sown directly on to soil) indicated that dex1 is inherited in a simple recessive manner, whereas segregation of kanamycin resistance indicated the presence of a single expressed T-DNA insert. Kanamycin-resistant seedlings segregate 2:1 (fertile:sterile) consistent with linkage of the dex1 mutation and T-DNA insert.

Results from Southern-blot analyses corroborated linkage between the mutation and T-DNA insert. Sterile, unselected dex1 plants contain two HindIII fragments (5.6 and 3.3 kb) that hybridized to left border (LB) probes and one fragment (4.6 kb) that hybridized with right border (RB) probes (data not shown). These results suggested that the dex1 insertion site contains two T-DNAs inverted about the RB (Fig. 2A). Identical results were obtained from over 60 sterile plants spanning three generations, confirming cosegregation between the T-DNA and dex1 mutation and demonstrating that no silent inserts are present in dex1 plants.

Plant DNA flanking the T-DNA insertion site was isolated from a dex1 λ library. Two classes of clones containing LB plant junctions were identified and characterized. One class contained a 2.7-kb HindIII/EcoRI fragment adjacent to the LB, whereas the other contained a 3.0-kb SacI fragment containing plant DNA and a partial T-DNA LB. Southern-blot analysis indicated that the fragments represent both sides of a single T-DNA insertion site (data not shown).

When northern blots of bud poly(A+) RNA from wild-type and dex1 plants were probed with the 2.7-kb HindIII/EcoRI and 3.0-kb SacI fragments, a 3,100-nucleotide (nt) transcript was detected in wild-type RNA that was absent in RNA from dex1 plants (Fig. 3A). Equal loading of RNA was confirmed by reprobing the blot with ACT8 (An et al., 1996; data not shown).

![Figure 1. General pollen wall structure. Schematic representation of the main features of a mature pollen grain wall. The innermost layer adjacent to the plasma membrane is the intine. The exine is comprised of the sexine and nexine, a continuous layer covering the entire pollen grain. The bacula and tectum make up the sculpted sexine in this representation.](https://academic.oup.com/plphys/article/127/4/1739/6103655)

**Table I. Kanamycin and male sterility segregation ratios of dex1 plants**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Generation</th>
<th>Fertile:Sterile$^a$</th>
<th>Kan$^b$:Kan$^c$</th>
<th>Fertile Kan$^b$:Sterile Kan$^c$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$F_4$</td>
<td>163:55 (3:1)</td>
<td>342:116 (2.9:1)</td>
<td>103:41 (2.5:1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$F_6$</td>
<td>115:32 (3:6:1)</td>
<td>244:92 (2.7:1)</td>
<td>121:54 (2.2:1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$F_8$</td>
<td>255:69 (3:6:1)</td>
<td>704:203 (3.5:1)</td>
<td>149:53 (2.8:1$^b$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$F_7$</td>
<td>76:23 (3:3:1)</td>
<td>900:283 (3.2:1)</td>
<td>95:38 (2.5:1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ Unselected seed, not scored for kanamycin resistance. $^b$ Chi-squared test 0.05 > $P$ > 0.01; all others $P$ > 0.05.
not shown). These results indicated that the T-DNA inserted into a gene and disrupted its expression. Therefore, a detailed analysis of the T-DNA insertion site was conducted.

Molecular Analysis of DEX1

Genomic and cDNA sequences were isolated and characterized. A 1,938-bp cDNA clone that maps to both sides of the T-DNA insertion site was obtained from the PRL-2 cDNA library. Sequence analysis of the clone indicated that it contained a long, open reading frame (ORF) that was not homologous to any known gene. The discrepancy between the size of the clone, 1,938 bp, and the 3,100-nt transcript detected in northern blots suggested that the clone encoded a partial cDNA. Therefore, genomic clones were isolated and characterized. Three positive clones, which ranged from 1,938 bp to 3,100 nt, were identified. These results confirmed exons that correspond to the cDNA and identified several predicted exons. Reverse transcriptase (RT)-PCR and inverse PCR (IPCR) experiments were used to isolate partial cDNA sequences.

Figure 3. DEX1 expression analysis. A, Northern blot of poly(A<sup>+</sup>)-containing and characterized. A 1,938-bp cDNA clone that maps to both sides of the T-DNA insertion site was obtained from the PRL-2 cDNA library. Sequence analysis of the clone indicated that it contained a long, open reading frame (ORF) that was not homologous to any known gene. The discrepancy between the size of the clone, 1,938 bp, and the 3,100-nt transcript detected in northern blots suggested that the clone encoded a partial cDNA. Therefore, genomic clones were isolated and characterized. Three positive clones, which spanned approximately 28 kb, were identified; two of the clones were identical and overlapped the third by 4.7 kb around the T-DNA insertion site.

Complementation experiments were conducted to ensure that DEX1 was correctly identified as the gene responsible for the male sterility phenotype. Agrobacterium tumefaciens cells containing a 10.2-kb XbaI-XhoI DEX1 genomic fragment (Fig. 2A) in pPZP121 was used to transform Arabidopsis pollen protoplasts. Thirty gentamycin-resistant plants representing at least five transformation events were identified. All the plants contained the gentamycin gene as expected and were fertile. Progeny from two of the original 30 lines (lines 6 and 17) were completely kanamycin resistant, indicating that they are homozygous for the dex1 mutation (Table II). Both lines segregated for the sterility phenotype, consistent with complementation of the dex1 mutation by the 10.2-kb XbaI-XhoI fragment. PCR analysis of progeny from lines 6 and 17 showed that all sterile plants lacked the complementation construct, whereas all gentamycin-resistant plants were fertile as expected. Data from the analysis of seven additional lines, which segregated for kanamycin resistance and were heterozygous for the dex1 mutation, were also consistent with complementation by the clone (Table II). Progeny from five of the seven lines were completely fertile, indicating that the mutation had been complemented; two lines produced some sterile plants, indicating that they are most likely segregating for the complementation construct. These results clearly demonstrate that fertility was restored to dex1 plants by the 10.2-kb fragment containing the DEX1 gene.

DNA sequence analysis of approximately 7.4 kb of wild-type genomic DNA surrounding the T-DNA insert was conducted. These results confirmed exons that correspond to the cDNA and identified several predicted exons. Reverse transcriptase (RT)-PCR and inverse PCR (IPCR) experiments were used to isolate a 3,112-bp, full-length DEX1 cDNA (Fig. 4), which is comparable to the predicted 3,100-nt transcript size.

Table II. Results from dex1 complementation experiments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Family</th>
<th>Kan&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;:Kan&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Fertile:Sterile&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>314:124</td>
<td>28:0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>239:60</td>
<td>24:0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>166:35</td>
<td>30:0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>220:58</td>
<td>50:8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>297:79</td>
<td>31:0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>49:18</td>
<td>27:1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>201:59</td>
<td>31:0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>185:0</td>
<td>38:10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>222:0</td>
<td>25:17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> Seeds were selected for kanamycin resistance prior to transfer to soil.
Alignment of cDNA and genomic sequences indicated that the DEX1 transcript is encoded by 13 exons and spans 5.05 kb (Fig. 2B). The T-DNA inserted in the 5'-end of exon 9. The DEX1 transcript is predicted to have a 172-bp 3'-untranslated region, excluding the poly(A) tail. A consensus AAUAAA-like polyadenylation signal is present at position 3,092, 15 bases upstream of the poly(A) tail. The cDNA does not, however, contain a UG-rich consensus element, which is required for the efficient utilization of the poly(A) signal in several genes (Wu et al., 1995).

The 5'-end of the transcript was mapped 255 bp 5'-to the start of translation. The 5'-untranslated region is considerably longer than that found in most plant genes, which typically contain about 100 nucleotides (Futterer and Hohn, 1996). The predicted DEX1 translation start site is not the 5'-most proximal AUG in the transcript. Another potential translation start site is present 38 bp upstream of that predicted for DEX1. The upstream ORF (uORF) terminates before the putative DEX1 initiation site. Furthermore, DEX1 lacks the AUG context consensus sequence [caA(A/C) aUGGcG], which is thought to be important for AUG codon recognition (Joshi et al., 1997). The effect of the uORF on DEX1 translation is unknown; however, the presence of a uORF and a poor AUG context suggests that DEX1 could be the subject of translational regulation (Futterer and Hohn, 1996; Joshi et al., 1997).

The predicted DEX1 protein is 896 amino acids long with a mass of 99.8 kD and a pI of 4.61. The protein is mainly hydrophilic, containing large numbers of polar (28%) and charged (33%) amino acids, except for the amino and carboxy termini, which are relatively hydrophobic. Analysis of DEX1 with Prediction of Protein Sorting Signals and Localization Sites in Amino Acid Sequences (Horton and Nakai, 1996) predicts that the first 22 amino acids denote a cleavable signal sequence, whereas amino acids 860 through 880 could represent a transmembrane domain. DEX1 is predicted to be a type 1α membrane protein, which is favored for plasma membrane proteins.
DEX1 is not homologous to any previously characterized protein. It does, however, show limited sequence similarity to a small number of proteins, including a hemolysin-related protein from *Vibrio cholerae* (10.5% over the length of the protein). An approximately 200-amino acid segment of DEX1 also shows limited similarity (24% identity over residues 439–643) to the calcium-binding domain of animal α-integrins (Palmer et al., 1993). In this region are at least two sets of putative calcium-binding ligands, which are also present in a predicted Arabidopsis *calmodulin* protein (AC009853). Therefore, DEX1 may bind calcium.

A northern-blot analysis was conducted to determine whether DEX1 expression is restricted to buds. When the *DEX1* cDNA was used to probe a northern blot containing wild-type bud, leaf, root, and seedling total RNA, a signal corresponding to a 3,100-nt transcript was detected in all tissue (Fig. 3B). DEX1 transcripts may be present at slightly higher levels in buds, but the transcript is clearly present in all four samples. Therefore, *DEX1* appears to be expressed at low, relatively equal amounts throughout the plant.

**Morphological Studies**

A prior analysis of *dex1* plants suggested that the mutant phenotype was restricted to developing microspores (Paxson-Sowders et al., 1997). However, the observation that DEX1 is expressed throughout the plant raised the possibility that other abnormalities may be present in *dex1* plants. Therefore, various aspects of plant development were analyzed in *dex1* plants, including plant height, number of axial branches, leaf size and number, root shape, and growth rate. No statistically significant differences were identified between wild-type and *dex1* plants in any of the analyses conducted (data not shown). Therefore, although DEX1 transcripts are present throughout wild-type plants, no other gross morphological defects were identified in *dex1* plants. We cannot, however, eliminate the possibility that subtle alterations have gone undetected.

Morphological characteristics of anther cells, from meiosis to approximately the ring vacuolate stage, were also examined in *dex1* plants. No differences were detected in microsporocytes during meiosis or in any of the four anther cell layers (epidermis, endothecium, middle layer, and tapetum) during microsporogenesis and microgametogenesis (data not shown). Aniline blue staining of semithin sections also revealed apparently normal callose production. The only noticeable defect was the previously described alteration in sporopollenin distribution during the late tetrad and early released microspore stages.

In a previous transmission electron microscopy study of pollen wall formation in *dex1* plants using samples prepared by chemical fixation, we found that the mutation blocks the normal invagination of the plasma membrane following primexine production and the proper deposition of sporopollenin (Paxson-Sowders et al., 1997). It has been shown that rapid freezing followed by freeze substitution leads to better preservation of the tissue and the elimination of artifacts sometimes seen in chemically fixed samples, in particular in membranes and the cytoskeletal elements (Kiss et al., 1990; Kiss and Staehelin, 1995). Therefore, we reanalyzed pollen wall formation in *dex1* plants using samples prepared by high-pressure freezing followed by freeze substitution. A total of 53 blocks containing wild-type anthers (10 at tetrad stage) and 73 blocks containing *dex1* anthers (24 at tetrad stage) were analyzed.

Pollen wall development in *dex1* plants resembled wild-type development up to primexine formation. In wild-type plants, the primexine is first evident as discrete electron-dense deposits in the callose wall directly outside the microspore membrane at early tetrad stage (Fig. 5A). Later in development, portions of the microspore membrane display regular undulations, although other portions of the plasma membrane are straight. Variations are observed in both thickness and electron density of the primexine at this stage, with the thickest and most electron-dense areas located within the membrane undulations (Fig. 5B). Similar electron-dense regions have been observed in *Brassica campestris* and referred to as spacers (Fitzgerald and Knox, 1995). Later in development, the microspore membrane becomes straight and the primexine matrix has thickened (Fig. 5C). Electron-dense deposits of material (potentially sporopollenin) are present within the primexine matrix adjacent to the callose wall. They are not initially in contact with the microspore membrane. By late tetrad stage, electron-dense material within the primexine matrix is clearly recognizable as the developing exine. Fine fibrillar material is present in the primexine matrix. In contrast to observations in chemically fixed material (Paxson-Sowders et al., 1997), the probaculae do not make direct contact with the microspore membrane until later in wall development (Fig. 5D).

As observed in wild-type plants, primexine is first evident as discrete electron-dense deposits directly outside the microspore membrane within the callose wall of *dex1* plants (Fig. 5E). The first detectable difference in *dex1* plants is that the plasma membrane does not form the undulations seen in wild-type plants (Fig. 5F). Because this stage of wall development is relatively short-lived, it is possible that the *dex1* microspore membranes may form some undulations; however, given the relatively large number of samples examined in this study, if it does occur, it is a rare event. Abnormalities begin to appear in the primexine at approximately the same time as alterations are detected in the plasma membrane (Fig. 5F). The primexine never forms a uniform layer of elec-
Figure 5. Transmission electron micrographs of the edges of tetrad stage, high-pressure frozen, and freeze-substituted microspores of Arabidopsis. All micrographs are shown at equal magnifications. Size bar = 0.25 μm. A through D, Developmental series of WT microspores. A, Within the callose wall (C), primexine is first evident as discrete electron-dense deposits (arrowheads) directly outside the microspore (M) membrane. B, Later in development, portions of the microspore plasma membrane display regular undulations (arrowheads), although other portions of the plasma membrane are straight (arrows). The primexine varies in both thickness and electron density at this stage, with the thickest and most electron-dense areas located within the membrane undulations. C, Later in development the primexine matrix has increased in thickness (Legend continues on facing page.)
tron lucent material, rather lens-shaped, electron-dense areas are observed with more electron-lucent regions between them (Fig. 5G). At this level of analysis, it is difficult to conclude with certainty if the more electron-lucent regions in the primexine of wild-type and dex1 plants represent the same material. However, based on the shape and relative electron densities, we believe that they are, in fact, different. Later in development, regions of electron-dense deposits were observed in contact with the callose wall and in some instances the microspore membrane (Fig. 5H). Linear, electron-lucent regions are observed within some of the electron-dense deposits.

Therefore, results from samples prepared by rapid freezing followed by freeze substitution identified the following alterations in dex1 pollen wall formation: (a) Rippling of the microspore membrane during early primexine deposition does not appear to occur. (b) Primexine deposition is delayed and altered; spacers do not form. (c) Sporopollenin deposition occurs randomly along the microspore wall. (d) Fibrillar material is not present in the primexine. The membrane patterning and sporopollenin deposition at the peaks of the membrane previously observed after primexine deposition in chemically fixed, wild-type plants (Paxson-Sowders et al., 1997) was not observed in these better preserved preparations.

DISCUSSION

Pollen grain wall formation represents an interesting but poorly understood aspect of pollen development. Although the physical properties and functions of the pollen wall have been described in detail (Erdtman, 1952; Skvarla and Larson, 1966; Cutter, 1971; Heslop-Harrison, 1971b, 1976; Scott, 1994; Knox and Suphioglu, 1996; Toriyama et al., 1998; El-Ghazaly et al., 1999), little is known about how the pollen wall is actually formed. To better understand this important process, we present the results of detailed molecular and morphological studies of a T-DNA-tagged, male-sterile line of Arabidopsis that is defective in pollen wall development. Molecular analysis of dex1 plants shows that the gene is tagged with a T-DNA and that no silent inserts are present in the line. A full-length DEX1 cDNA has been isolated through library screening, RT-PCR, and IPCR. The 3,112-nt DEX1 transcript is absent in mutant RNA, and DEX1 genomic sequences are able to complement the dex1 mutation, confirming that DEX1 encodes the gene responsible for the male sterility phenotype.

DEX1 encodes an 896-amino acid protein that is predicted to localize to the plasma membrane, with residues 1 through 860 being located outside of the cell, residues 880 through 895 on the cytoplasmic side of the membrane, and amino acids 861 through 879 representing a potential membrane spanning domain. Twelve potential N-glycosylation sites are present in DEX1. Therefore, the protein has the potential to be heavily modified and interact with the cell wall. Further experiments are required to evaluate these predictions.

DEX1 appears to be a unique plant protein; homologs are not present in bacteria, fungi, or animals. DEX1 shows the greatest sequence similarity to a hemolysin-like protein from V. cholerae, whereas an approximately 200-amino acid segment of DEX1 (amino acids 439-643) also shows limited similarity to the calcium-binding domain of a-integrins. In this region are at least two sets of putative calcium-binding ligands that are also present in a predicted Arabidopsis calmodulin protein (AC009853). Therefore, it appears that DEX1 may be a calcium-binding protein.

Our analysis of pollen wall development has identified several alterations in dex1 plants: (a) Rippling of the microspore membrane during early primexine deposition does not occur; (b) Primexine deposition is delayed, reduced in thickness, and apparently altered in conformation; (c) Spacers do not form in the primexine, which results in sporopollenin deposition randomly along the microspore wall; (d) Sporopollenin never becomes attached to the microspore, and the pollen wall does not form. These results suggest
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Figure 5. (Legend continued from facing page.)

and is evenly distributed along the surface of the straight microspore membrane. Electron-dense deposits of material have formed within the primexine matrix (arrowheads). These deposits appear to be in contact with the wall on the callose-facing surface of the primexine matrix, but are not in contact with the membrane on the microspore-facing surface of the primexine matrix. D. At a later stage, electron-dense material within the primexine matrix is clearly recognizable as the developing exine. Fine fibrillar material (arrows) is evident in the primexine matrix between the probaculae (P), which are not in direct contact with the microspore membrane. The pattern of the future tectum has been established (asterisks) within the callose-facing surface of the primexine. E through H, Developmental series of dex1 microspores. E, As in WT, primexine is first evident as discrete electron-dense deposits (arrowheads) directly outside the microspore (M) membrane within the callose wall (C). F, The primexine has increased slightly in thickness outside a straight microspore membrane. Areas of two different electron densities can be distinguished within the primexine. Regularly spaced along the microspore membrane, the more electron-dense areas (arrowheads) are lens shaped and thicker than the more electron-lucent regions between them. G, Later in development the primexine matrix has increased in thickness on some areas of the microspore, but in other areas is extremely thin (arrow). Electron-dense deposits of varying sizes (arrowheads) have formed within the primexine matrix. H, At a later stage the electron-dense deposits (arrowheads) and primexine that enclose them have become thicker. Linear electron-lucent regions (arrows) are present within some of the deposits.
that the dex1 mutation disrupts normal primexine development, which ultimately affects the conformation of the membrane and sporopollenin deposition.

The alterations observed in dex1 plants, as well as the predicted structure of DEX1, raise several possibilities for the role of the protein in pollen wall formation. (a) DEX1 could be a linker protein. It may associate with the microspore membrane and participate in attaching either the primexine or sporopollenin to the plasma membrane. Absence of the protein from the microspore surface could result in structural alterations in the primexine. The numerous potential N-glycosylation sites are consistent with attachment of DEX1 to the callose wall, the intine, or both. (b) DEX1 may be a component of the primexine matrix and play a role in the initial polymerization of the primexine. Changes in Ca\textsuperscript{2+} ion concentrations appear to be important for pollen wall synthesis; \(\beta\)-glucan synthase is activated by micromolar concentrations of \(\text{Ca}^{2+}\) during callose wall formation (Kudlicka and Brown, 1997). (c) DEX1 could be part of the rough ER and be involved in processing and/or transport of primexine precursors to the membrane. The delayed appearance and general alterations in the primexine are consistent with a general absence of primexine precursors. The primexine matrix is initially composed of polysaccharides, proteins, and cellulose, followed by the incorporation of more resistant materials (Heslop-Harrison, 1963, 1971a; Rowley and Southworth, 1967; Dickinson and Heslop-Harrison, 1977). Therefore, DEX1 may participate in the formation or transport of any number of different components. Based on our current understanding, we cannot distinguish between the various alternatives, but currently favor the possibility that DEX1 is a component of the primexine matrix or the ER and is involved in the assembly of primexine precursors.

A second unresolved question involves our finding that DEX1 transcripts are present throughout wild-type plants, whereas disruption of pollen wall formation is the only morphological alteration identified in dex1 plants. At this time, it is not clear if the DEX1 protein is present in vegetative tissues. Features associated with the 5′ end of DEX1 transcripts raise the possibility that it may undergo translational regulation and that the DEX1 protein may not be produced in vegetative cells. The DEX1 transcript is predicted to have a long 5′-untranslated region (255 bp), a uORF, and a poor AUG context around the DEX1 start site. Many genes identified as having a poor AUG context in higher plants correspond to tightly regulated proteins, including: transcription factors, signal transducers, regulatory proteins, metabolic enzymes, cell wall, and stress proteins (Joshi et al., 1997). Although the presence of uORFs is rare, there are several examples of plant transcripts that contain uORFs including OPAQUE2, a maize (Zea mays) transcription factor, the maize Lc transcriptional activator, and MEK1, a homolog of mitogen-activated protein kinase (Morris et al., 1997). In addition, several plant plasma membrane \(\text{H}^+\text{-ATPase}\) genes possess long 5′-untranslated regions and a uORF and appear to undergo translational regulation (Łukaszewicz et al., 1998).

If DEX1 is present in all tissues, it is possible that the dex1 mutation results in alterations at the microscopic level that have so far gone undetected in our analyses. The lack of a readily observable phenotype upon the reduction of certain plant cell wall proteins has been observed previously. For example, transgenic tobacco (Nicotiana tabacum) plants that over- and/or underexpress extensin exhibit essentially normal morphological and developmental profiles (Memelink et al., 1993). Likewise, the effect of the dex1 mutation in whole plants might only be visible under specific growth conditions. Conversely, DEX1 may only be functionally active in developing pollen grains. This type of specific functionality has been seen in some regulatory proteins, which play specific roles in reproductive development but are expressed in vegetative as well as in reproductive tissue (Jofuku et al., 1994; Reiser et al., 1995; Klucher et al., 1996). A more detailed analysis of vegetative tissues is required to examine the distribution of DEX1 and determine whether other abnormalities exist in dex1 plants.

In summary, this report presents the isolation and characterization of DEX1, a gene essential for early pollen wall formation. Plants containing the dex1 mutation exhibit alterations in the microspore membrane and primexine formation, which prevent the normal deposition of sporopollenin. The sequence of the predicted DEX1 protein and the results of our ultrastructural studies suggest that DEX1 may either be a component of the primexine matrix or the ER and involved in the assembly of primexine precursors. However, further experiments are needed to understand the specific role of the protein. Experiments to determine DEX1 localization patterns and the role it plays in vegetative cells are currently under way. These should provide further insight into the role of DEX1 in pollen wall pattern formation.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plant Material

Arabidopsis, ecotype Wassilewskija, was the source of both wild-type and mutant plants. The dex1 mutation was isolated as a part of a large-scale screen of T-DNA seed transformants at the DuPont Company (Wilmington, DE). The male-sterile phenotype was determined by visual inspection of plants grown on a commercial potting mix in growth chambers at 20°C with a 16-/8-h light/dark cycle. Kanamycin resistance was used to monitor the segregation of T-DNA inserts (Feldmann and Marks, 1987). Buds, leaves, and siliques were harvested from mature plants. Roots and seedlings were harvested from seeds sown on
Murashige and Skoog medium plates (Murashige and Skoog, 1962). All samples were harvested, frozen in liquid N₂, and stored at −80°C until needed.

**Microscopy**

Plant material for semithin sections was prepared and embedded in Spurr's resin as previously described (Owen and Makaroff, 1995). Semithin sections (0.5 μm) were cut with a diamond knife on an Ultracut S microtome (Reichert, Leica Microsystems, Inc., Bannockburn, IL) and stained with Azure B (Hoefert, 1968). Aniline blue and Auramine O staining of semithin sections (Peirson et al., 1996) was used to determine the presence of callose and sporopollenin, respectively.

Plant material for ultrathin sections was prepared by high-pressure freezing and freeze substitution (Kiss and Staehelin, 1995). To enrich for meiosis and tetrad stage microspores, buds approximately 0.5 mm in length were removed from inflorescences, teased opened in 15% (w/v) dextran (M, 38,000), and placed in specimen hats precoated with 3% (w/v) lecithin in chloroform and filled with 15% (w/v) dextran. Specimens were frozen with an HPM 010 high-pressure freezing apparatus (Bal-tec AG, Balzers, Liechtenstein). Following freezing, specimens were freeze substituted in 2% (v/v) OsO₄ in anhydrous acetone at −20°C for 4 h, −4°C for 4 h, 4°C for 1 h, and room temperature for 2 h. After three exchanges of anhydrous acetone followed by three washes with anhydrous acetone, buds were infiltrated and embedded in Spurr's resin. Silver sections were cut with an MT 7000 ultramicrotome (RMC Products, Boeckeler Instruments, Inc., Tucson, AZ) and stained for 30 min in 0.5% (v/v) methanolic uranyl acetate followed by lead citrate. Sections from 53 wild-type and 73 dex1 blocks were viewed with an H-600 transmission electron microscope (Hitachi, Tokyo) operating at 75 kV.

**Hybridizations**

Approximately 10 μg of genomic DNA, isolated from individual plants (Doyle and Doyle, 1990), was subjected to Southern-blot analysis using [α-³²P]dATP-labeled probes. After hybridization and washing, the blots were analyzed using a phosphorimager (Molecular Dynamics, Sunnyvale, CA).

Total RNA was isolated from individual tissues using guanidine hydrochloride (Logemann et al., 1987). Poly(A⁺) RNA was isolated using oligo(dT) cellulose (Jacobson, 1987). Northern blots containing 10 μg of total RNA or 3 μg of poly(A⁺) RNA were prepared and hybridized with [α-³²P]dATP-labeled probes (Makaroff and Palmer, 1987). Hybridized blots were washed and viewed as described above.

**Construction and Screening of Genomic and cDNA λ-Libraries**

Total dex1 chromosomal DNA was partially digested with Sau3A and size fractionated. Fragments greater than 14 kb were ligated into dephosphorylated BamHI arms of AGEM11, packaged, and amplified in *Escherichia coli* strain KW251. Four clones that cross hybridized with T-DNA LB were isolated and characterized by restriction mapping and Southern analysis. One clone contained a 2.7-kb HindIII/EcoRI fragment adjacent to LB. The other clone contained a 3.0-kb SacI fragment composed of genomic plant DNA and LB sequences.

Wild-type DNA corresponding to the T-DNA insertion site in dex1 plants was isolated from an Arabidopsis (Columbia ecotype) genomic library constructed in λ-GEML1 (a gift from Dr. Elliot Meyerowitz, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena) using the 2.7-kb HindIII/EcoRI and 3.0-kb SacI subclones as probes. Three positive clones were isolated and characterized by restriction mapping and Southern-blot analysis. Two clones were identical and overlapped with the third clone by 4.5 kb.

The 2.7-kb HindIII/EcoRI and 3.0 kb SacI subclones were used to screen the PRL-2 cDNA library (a gift from Chris Somerville, Carnegie Institute of Washington, Stanford, CA). A 1,938-bp, partial-length cDNA that mapped to both sides of the T-DNA insertion site was isolated. Genomic and cDNA clones were subcloned and sequenced (Sanger et al., 1977). All regions of a 7.4-kb genomic region were sequenced on both strands at least one time. Analyses of DNA sequences were conducted using DNASTAR software (DNASTAR, Inc., Madison, WI). Potential exons in the genomic DNA were identified by NetPlantGene version 1.0b (http://www.cbs.dtu.dk/services/NetPGene; Hebsgaard et al., 1996). Intron and exon boundaries were identified by comparing genomic (accession no. AF257186) and cDNA (accession no. AF257187) sequences. BLAST searches were used to identify homologous sequences.

**Isolation of the 5' End of the DEX1 cDNA**

The 5' end of the DEX1 cDNA was isolated using RT-PCR and IPCR. Primers (Fig. 2B) corresponding to predicted exon sequences were used for reverse transcription and PCR amplification on total bud RNA purified by LiCl precipitation. Reverse transcription with primer BIBe followed by PCR amplification using BIBen and either the DP-3–4.5 or DP-Xho primers resulted in an additional 484 and 465 bp of DEX1 cDNA, respectively. IPCR (Zeiner and Gehring, 1994) was used to obtain the 5’ end of the cDNA. The gene-specific primer cDP-2610 was used for reverse transcription followed by second-strand synthesis and ligation. The ligation products were subjected to PCR with primers cDP-2350 and cDP-2595. All fragments were blunt-end cloned into pBlueScript, and 18 clones were analyzed by sequence analysis.

**Complementation Construct and Plant Infiltration**

A 10-kbp genomic DNA fragment spanning the T-DNA insertion site (Fig. 2A) was cloned into the binary vector pFPZ121 (Hajdukiewicz et al., 1994) and introduced to *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* EHA105 using electroporation (Mersereau et al., 1990). The resulting strain was used to...
transform a segregating population of dexl plants by vacuum infiltration. Seeds were harvested from infiltrated plants and plated on Murashige and Skoog plates containing 100 of μg mL−1 gentamycin. Thirty resistant seedlings were transferred to soil and allowed to self-fertilize. Seeds were collected from individual plants and sown onto Murashige and Skoog kanamycin plates to check for the presence of the T-DNA insert. Seven lines segregated for kanamycin; two lines were completely resistant. Resistant seedlings were scored for fertility/sterility. PCR was conducted on the homozygous kanamycin-resistant lines to confirm that fertile plants contained the gentamycin resistance gene.
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