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The wall-loosening actions of β-expansins are known primarily from studies of EXPB1 extracted from maize (Zea mays) pollen. EXPB1 selectively loosens cell walls (CWs) of grasses, but its specific binding target is unknown. We characterized EXPB1 binding to sequentially extracted maize CWs, finding that the protein primarily binds glucuronoarabinoxylan (GAX), the major matrix polysaccharide in grass CWs. This binding is strongly reduced by salts, indicating that it is predominantly electrostatic in nature. For direct molecular evidence of EXPB1 binding, we conducted solid-state nuclear magnetic resonance experiments using paramagnetic relaxation enhancement (PRE), which is sensitive to distances between unpaired electrons and nuclei. By mixing 13C-enriched maize CWs with EXPB1 functionalized with a Mn²⁺ tag, we measured Mn²⁺-induced PRE. Strong ¹H and ¹³C PREs were observed for the carboxyls of GAX, followed by more moderate PREs for carboxyl groups in homogalacturonan and rhamnogalacturonan-I, indicating that EXPB1 preferentially binds GAX. In contrast, no PRE was observed for cellulose, indicating very weak interaction of EXPB1 with cellulose. Dynamics experiments show that EXPB1 changes GAX mobility in a complex manner: the rigid fraction of GAX became more rigid upon EXPB1 binding while the dynamic fraction became more mobile. Combining these data with previous results, we propose that EXPB1 loosens grass CWs by disrupting noncovalent junctions between highly substituted GAX and GAX of low substitution, which binds cellulose. This study provides molecular evidence of β-expansin’s target in grass CWs and demonstrates a new strategy for investigating ligand binding for proteins that are difficult to express heterologously.

Expansins comprise the only group of plant proteins demonstrated to induce stress relaxation and long-term, irreversible extension (creep) of plant cell walls (CWs), which are essential for cell enlargement during plant growth (Cosgrove, 2000, 2016). Two major classes of plant expansins, α-expansins (EXP A) and β-expansins (EXP B), are recognized and both are present as multigene families in all land plants (Li et al., 2002; Sampedro...
that appears to be unique among expansins, which is to facilitate penetration of the pollen tube through the stigma and style, thereby quickening delivery of sperm to the ovule (Valdivia et al., 2007, 2009). The crystal structure of EXPB1 was solved with native protein purified from maize pollen and shows two tightly packed domains forming an elongated ellipsoidal protein with a long, open, presumptive binding surface that spans the two domains (Yennawar et al., 2006). EXPB1 induces stress relaxation and creep of CWs from grasses but has negligible loosening effect on dicot CWs (Cosgrove et al., 1997; Li et al., 2003; Sampedro et al., 2015). In contrast, α-expansin induces stress relaxation and creep of CWs from both dicots and grasses, in some cases with a greater effect on dicot CWs (McQueen-Mason et al., 1992; Cho and Kende, 1997; Sampedro et al., 2015). These observations led to the proposal (Li et al., 2003) that EXPB1 specifically targets glucuronarabinoxylan (GAX), which is abundant in grass CWs but is largely absent in primary CWs of dicots (Carpita, 1996; Vogel, 2008).

Consistent with this idea, Tabuchi et al. (2011) found that treatment of maize CWs with EXPB1 induces release (solubilization) of a form of GAX with a high degree of arabinose substitution (hsGAX) as well as smaller amounts of homogalacturonan (HG). Extensive testing of EXPB1 did not detect any enzymatic activities, just as tests for enzymatic activities with α-expansins and bacterial expansins proved to be negative (McQueen-Mason and Cosgrove, 1995; Kerff et al., 2008; Georgelis et al., 2011, 2015). Moreover, EXPB1 caused marked mechanical weakening of grass CWs, as measured in tensile force/extension assays. These actions were likewise specific to grass CWs, as polysaccharide release and wall weakening were not seen when EXPB1 was applied to CWs from a variety of nongrass species, nor have they been found with α-expansins (McQueen-Mason and Cosgrove, 1995; Yuan et al., 2001; Tabuchi et al., 2011) or bacterial expansins (Georgelis et al., 2014). Thus, while EXPB1 action has commonalities with expansins (Georgelis et al., 2014). Thus, while EXPB1 was applied to CWs from a variety of dicots and grasses, in some cases with a greater effect on dicot CWs (McQueen-Mason et al., 1992; Cho and Kende, 1997; Sampedro et al., 2015). These observations led to the proposal (Li et al., 2003) that EXPB1 specifically targets glucuronarabinoxylan (GAX), which is abundant in grass CWs but is largely absent in primary CWs of dicots (Carpita, 1996; Vogel, 2008). EXPB1 binding to maize CWs with EXPB1 induces release (solubilization) of a form of GAX with a high degree of arabinose substitution (hsGAX) as well as smaller amounts of homogalacturonan (HG). Extensive testing of EXPB1 did not detect any enzymatic activities, just as tests for enzymatic activities with α-expansins and bacterial expansins proved to be negative (McQueen-Mason and Cosgrove, 1995; Kerff et al., 2008; Georgelis et al., 2011, 2015). Moreover, EXPB1 caused marked mechanical weakening of grass CWs, as measured in tensile force/extension assays. These actions were likewise specific to grass CWs, as polysaccharide release and wall weakening were not seen when EXPB1 was applied to CWs from a variety of nongrass species, nor have they been found with α-expansins (McQueen-Mason and Cosgrove, 1995; Yuan et al., 2001; Tabuchi et al., 2011) or bacterial expansins (Georgelis et al., 2014). Thus, while EXPB1 action has commonalities with α-expansin action, namely induction of CW creep and stress relaxation, it also has unique features. The molecular details of these unique actions are not well understood, but they apparently entail structural features unique to grass CWs.

We set out in this study to assess EXPB1 binding with specific components of the grass CW. The primary CWs of grasses consist of cellulose (approximately 20–60%), GAX (approximately 20–35%), mixed-linkage glucan (MLG; approximately 5–20%, transient), xylolig glucan (XyG, approximately 2–10%), and pectic polysaccharides (approximately 5–10%). The percentages shown here are based on analyses of maize and barley coleoptiles and vary by developmental stage (Carpita, 1996; Carpita et al., 2001; Gibeaut et al., 2005). The most notable differences between dicot and grass CW compositions are the low amount of pectins and XyG and the high content of GAX in grass CWs. GAX varies considerably in arabinose substitution, and this variation correlates with binding to cellulose (Carpita, 1983): hsGAX (Ara: Xyl > 0.6) is weakly held in the CW and may be extracted with calcium chelators and even water, whereas GAX with low substitution (lsGAX, Ara: Xyl of < 0.5) is tightly bound in the CW and requires strong extractants such as 1 to 4 M NaOH for solubilization. GAX may also be oxidatively cross-linked via ferulate esterified to arabinose (Carpita et al., 2001; Buanafina, 2009), but EXPB1 does not break such cross links (Tabuchi et al., 2011).

To assess EXPB1 binding to grass CW polymers, in this study we quantified EXPB1 binding to whole CWs and to sequentially extracted CWs in which more tightly bound matrix polysaccharides were progressively removed. This approach was used previously to assess the binding target of α-expansin in dicot walls (McQueen-Mason and Cosgrove, 1995). We also employed a SSNMR technique, paramagnetic relaxation enhancement (PRE; Solomon, 1955; Bertini et al., 2001), to determine which wall polysaccharides are the closest to EXPB1. Unpaired electrons in paramagnetic ions or organic radicals enhance nuclear-spin T2 and T1 relaxation in a distance-dependent fashion. The PRE effect is sensitive to electron-nuclear distances up to approximately 2 nm (Nadaud et al., 2009; Jaroniec, 2015), which is much longer than the distances measurable from nuclear-spin dipolar couplings. PRE solid-state NMR has been used to refine protein structure (Sengupta et al., 2012, 2015), measure the depth of insertion of membrane proteins in lipid bilayers (Buffey et al., 2003; Su et al., 2012; Marbella et al., 2013; Maltsev et al., 2014), and study metal ion complexation in bacterial CWs (Kern et al., 2010). Here we demonstrate a novel application of PRE NMR, where EXPB1 extracted from natural sources is functionalized with a paramagnetic EDTA-Mn$^{2+}$ tag to allow the determination of the polysaccharide binding target, without the need for recombinant $^{13}$C, $^{15}$N-labeled protein.

Both binding assays and PRE SSNMR data indicate that EXPB1 binds primarily to GAX, while binding to cellulose is negligible. More specifically, the PRE SSNMR spectra show that the GlcA residues in GAX experience the strongest PRE by Mn$^{2+}$-tagged EXPB1, followed by carboxyl groups in pectins. These results represent the first molecular evidence to our knowledge of the β-expansin binding target in grass CWs and offer insights into the loosening mechanism of EXB1.

RESULTS

EXPB1 Binding to Maize CWs

CW binding to EXPB1 was first characterized by depletion isotherms carried out in 20 and 50 mM NaOAc, adjusted to pH 5.5, using CWs isolated from maize silks. We used these CWs because EXPB1 normally interacts with silks in nature (Valdivia et al., 2009). These buffers were chosen because they are commonly used to assay CW creep activity (Li et al., 2003). The isotherms (Fig. 1A) show saturable binding,
with approximately 60% greater binding in 20 mM NaOAc. Parallel measurements of CW extension (creep) show higher activity in 20 mM NaOAc than in 50 mM NaOAc (Supplemental Fig. S1). EXPB1 shows strong CW creep activity under conditions corresponding to approximately 1.5 μmol EXPB1 bound per gram CW, that is, at the initial (steep) part of these binding isotherms. The EXPB1-binding capacity of maize CWs thus greatly exceeds the amount of EXPB1 needed for robust CW creep activity.

EXPB1 binding in 50 mM NaOAc fits well to a single-site Langmuir model, whereas a two-site Langmuir model is a better fit for binding in 20 mM NaOAc solution (Fig. 1A). This difference in binding kinetics is also seen in Scatchard plots (Fig. 1, B and C), where a high-affinity binding site ($K_d=0.03 \mu M$) is observed in 20 mM NaOAc but not in 50 mM NaOAc. This result indicates that binding properties are sensitive to buffer strength or ionic conditions. Because of the complex nature of the CW, these fitting parameters are best viewed at this point as empirical summaries of binding characteristics without a more specific molecular interpretation.

Additional experiments showed that binding was largely insensitive to pH in the range of 4.0 to 5.5 (Supplemental Fig. S2) but was inhibited >90% by addition of various salts in a concentration-dependent manner (Fig. 2), indicating that EXPB1 binding to the CW is strongly electrostatic. At low added ionic strength (12 mM), $Al^{3+}$ was appreciably more effective at inhibiting binding than $Na^+$, indicating a partial specificity of $Al^{3+}$ interference with binding beyond simple ionic strength, but this difference was reduced at higher ionic strengths as binding was strongly inhibited (Fig. 2, inset). There was little difference in the effectiveness of $Ca^{2+}$ and $Mg^{2+}$ in inhibiting EXPB1 binding, indicating that the well-known specific interaction of $Ca^{2+}$ with pectins does not affect EXPB1 binding. Together, these results suggest that EXPB1 binds preferentially to GAX, which is the predominant, weakly acidic polysaccharide in the maize CW (Carpita, 1996; Carpita et al., 2001).
To test this inference further, we assessed EXPB1 binding to CWs that were extracted sequentially to first remove weakly bound pectins and hsGAX, then more tightly bound lsGAX, MLG, and XyG. A final trifluoroacetic acid (TFA) hydrolysis step removed non-crystalline polysaccharides, leaving crystalline cellulose as the residue. EXPB1 binding to the extracted residues decreased almost linearly in proportion to the loss of material from the CW, shown by the lower gray line in Figure 3A. When expressed per gram of CW residue (Fig. 3A, top line), the loss of EXPB1 binding is found to be the steepest in the later extraction steps after 4 M NaOH, which swells cellulose and extracts tightly bound hemicelluloses, and particularly after TFA hydrolysis of noncrystalline polysaccharides. Thus, we infer that EXPB1 targets both weakly bound and strongly bound matrix polysaccharides, but with a preference toward the latter. Expansin binding to the TFA-hydrolyzed residue is comparatively weak and similar to binding to the commercial cellulose Avicel (Fig. 3; Supplemental Fig. S3). As was the case with unextracted CW, EXPB1 binding to the extracted CW residues was strongly reduced by added salt (Fig. 3B), except for cellulose. Sugar analysis of the CW residues and extracts (Supplemental Table S1) confirms that the first step in the extraction series removes pectins (approximately 60%; predominantly HG and a small amount of rhamnogalacturonan I) and hsGAX (approximately 30%). Sugar composition of the second extract (0.1 M NaOH) is consistent with hsGAX, while the compositions of the 1 and 4 M NaOH extracts are consistent with a mixture of GAX, XyG, and MLG. The composition and extraction patterns for this CW resemble that of previous work on maize coleoptiles (Carpita, 1983; Carpita et al., 2001). These results indicate that EXPB1 binds to both highly substituted and lowly substituted GAX in the grass CW, although additional binding to XyG and MLG cannot be excluded. Despite the strong electrostatic component of EXPB1 binding to the whole CW, substantial binding to pectins is not indicated by these results, because while 60% of the pectin is removed in the first extraction step, the residue retained most of the EXPB1-binding ability.

To test the idea that CW binding is essential for EXPB1 activity, we assessed the effect of salt on EXPB1-induced CW creep and release of feruoylated GAX from maize CWs (Tabuchi et al., 2011). As shown in Figure 4, A and B, 10 mM CaCl2 strongly inhibited EXPB1-induced creep activity and likewise inhibited release of sugars and 320-nm absorbance, corresponding to feruoylated GAX. It is possible that the calcium inhibition of CW creep was caused by mechanical stiffening of CW by cross-linking of nonesterified HG by calcium. However, 10 mM CaCl2 did not reduce elastic or plastic compliances of the walls, as measured in stress/strain assays (Fig. 4C), excluding a purely mechanical basis for the calcium inhibition of EXPB1 activity. Therefore, we conclude that the salt-induced inhibition of EXPB1 activities results primarily from reduced EXPB1 interaction with the CW. This is also consistent with the reduced CW creep in 50 mM versus 20 mM buffer (Supplemental Fig. S1).

**Paramagnetic Tagging of EXPB1**

To provide structural evidence of the expansin binding target, we conducted SSNMR PRE experiments. For this purpose, we prepared EDTA-Mn⁺²-tagged EXPB1 and mixed it with hydrated ¹³C-labeled maize CWs at a mass ratio of 0.1, corresponding to the mid part of the binding curve in 20 mM NaOAc (approximately 3.6 µmol EXPB1 per gram CW; Fig. 1A). This
concentration corresponds to the mid-high range of the activity-concentration curve for EXPB1 and is below its saturation point for wall extension activity (Li et al., 2003). The EDTA-Mn²⁺ tag was covalently attached to accessible free cysteines on the protein surface (Fig. 5). There are nine cysteines in EXPB1, six of which are involved in three conserved disulfide bonds (Yennawar et al., 2006), leaving three cysteines, C58, C128, and C156, accessible to solvent and potentially capable of binding EDTA-Mn²⁺. C128 and C156 lie at the two ends of the protein, flanking the putative polysaccharide binding surface, while C58 is located at the bottom of a shallow cleft, close to the presumptive active site of EXPB1 domain 1. C58 is stericly less accessible than C128 and C156, so it may be less reactive with the EDTA tag. Electrospray ionization mass spectrometry (ESI-MS) analysis of the labeled protein showed that the dominant species incorporated two linkers/two Mn²⁺ ions (Supplemental Fig. S4A), while smaller signals were found for EXPB1 with two linkers/one Mn²⁺ and three linkers/three Mn²⁺. Tagging did not alter EXPB1 binding to the CW (Supplemental Fig. S4B), but it partially inhibited CW creep activity (Supplemental Fig. S4D). The reduced activity may indicate partial steric hindrance of EXPB1 action by the EDTA-Mn¹²⁺ tags. Because tagging did not appreciably affect bulk binding characteristics, we expect the CW-EXPB1 interactions, as detected by SSNMR, to be similar to those of untagged EXPB1.

**EXPB1 Targets Matrix Polysaccharides**

Figure 6A compares quantitative ¹³C direct polarization (DP) magic angle spinning (MAS) spectra of maize CWs with Mn²⁺-tagged or untagged EXPB1. The spectra were measured with a long recycle delay of 25 s, so that the signals of dynamic and rigid polysaccharides are quantitatively represented. Most ¹³C signals <110 ppm show similar intensities between the two samples, while the carbonyl region shows a clear intensity decrease for the 177-ppm peak in the paramagnetic sample. Spectral deconvolution indicates
at least six peaks in this region (Fig. 6, B and C), four of which have narrow linewidths of 0.6 to 1.0 ppm. Based on previous resonance assignment of Arabidopsis (*Arabidopsis thaliana*) and *Brachypodium* CWs, we assign the 177-ppm peak to the carboxylate group in the GlcA residues of GAX (Wang et al., 2014), the 176-ppm peak to GalA in pectins, the 174-ppm peak to acetyl in RG-1 and GAX, and the 172-ppm peak to methyl esters in HG (Wang et al., 2012; White et al., 2014; Supplemental Fig. S5). Interestingly, this maize CW carbonyl spectral pattern resembles a composite of the spectra of the Arabidopsis and *Brachypodium* CWs: both share the GalA and acetyl peaks, but the Arabidopsis spectrum lacks the 177-ppm GlcA peak while the *Brachypodium* spectrum lacks the 172-ppm methyl ester peak. Thus, the maize CW contains significant amounts of both GAX and pectins. In addition to the well-resolved carbonyl peaks, two broad carbonyl peaks with full width at half maximum of approximately 7 ppm and approximately 2 ppm are necessary to fit the spectra (Supplemental Table S2). We tentatively assign these broad peaks to matrix polysaccharides that undergo segmental motions on the tens to hundreds of kilohertz timescale, which interfere with $^1$H dipolar decoupling. Our previous correlation and relaxation experiments consistently found partial immobilization of some of the matrix polysaccharides, presumably due to binding to cellulose (Wang et al., 2012; Wang et al., 2014).

With the distinction of the multiple carbonyl peaks, we can see that the Mn$^{2+}$-tagged EXPB1 decreased the 177-ppm peak intensity to 57% of the intensity of the diamagnetic sample, with a concomitant increase of the peak line width from 135 Hz (0.6 ppm) to 225 Hz (1.0 ppm; Fig. 6, B and C). Thus, Mn$^{2+}$-tagged expansin preferentially enhances the T2 relaxation rate of GlcA in GAX. In contrast, the resolved

---

**Figure 5.** Mn$^{2+}$ tagging of β-expansin. A, Modification of solvent-accessible Cys residues in proteins using [S-(2-pyridylthio)cysteaminy] EDTA-metal (Elbright et al., 1992; Ermacora et al., 1992). B, The structure of EXPB1, Zea m1 (PDB code 2HCZ), showing all nine Cys residues (magenta), among which are three solvent-accessible cysteines (red arrows) that can be modified with EDTA-Mn$^{2+}$. The other six cysteines form three disulfide bonds.

**Figure 6.** A, Quantitative $^{13}$C DP spectra of maize CWs containing EXPB with (red) and without (black) Mn$^{2+}$ tags. The spectra were measured at 296 K with a 25-s recycle delay. The difference spectrum shows that only carboxylate carbons are dephased by PRE, indicating protein binding. The small peak at 165 ppm is the spinning sideband of the 105-ppm peak. B, Spectral deconvolution of the carbonyl region of the EXPB control spectrum using Dmfit (Massiot et al., 2002). C, Spectral deconvolution of the carbonyl region of the EXPB-Mn$^{2+}$ spectrum. Deconvolution indicates that the carboxylate linewidth increased from 0.6 ppm in the control sample to 1.0 ppm in the Mn$^{2+}$ tagged sample, consistent with the PRE effect.
carbonyl peaks of pectins do not show intensity dephasing, indicating that expansin is further from pectins.

Although these quantitative $^{13}$C DP spectra reflect the relative concentrations of dynamic and rigid polysaccharides, direct excitation of the $^{13}$C magnetization has the disadvantage that PRE occurs on $^{13}$C spins, whose low gyromagnetic ratio reduces the upper-limit distance that is measurable. The transverse PRE, $\Gamma \equiv R_{\text{para}}^2 - R_{\text{dia}}^2$, depends on the electron-nuclear distance $r$ and the nuclear-spin gyromagnetic ratio $\gamma_n$ according to the Solomon-Bloembergen equation (Solomon, 1955; Bloembergen, 1957):

$$\Gamma_2 \approx \left( \frac{1}{15} \right) \left( \frac{\mu_0}{4\pi} \right)^2 \gamma_n^2 g_e^2 \mu_B^2 S(S+1) \frac{1}{r^6} \times \left( \frac{3 T_1e}{1 + \omega_n^2 T_1e^2} + \frac{13 T_1e}{1 + \omega_e^2 T_1e^2} \right),$$

where $\mu_0$ is the vacuum permeability, $g_e$ is the electron g-factor, $\mu_B$ is the Bohr magneton, $S$ is the electron-spin.
quantum number, and $\omega_n$ and $\omega_e$ denote the nuclear and electron Larmor frequencies, respectively. This equation indicates that the PRE scales with the square of the nuclear-spin gyromagnetic ratio; thus, for the same distances, the $^1H$ PRE should be 16-fold stronger than $^{13}C$ PRE, or conversely, the same $^1H$ PRE corresponds to 2.5-fold longer distances than $^{13}C$ PRE. To obtain longer distances, we measured the $^{13}C$ cross-polarization (CP) spectra (Fig. 7A), where the magnetization originates from $^1H$ spins. Indeed, Figure 7A shows significant intensity dephasing for many peaks in the paramagnetic sample, consistent with the detection of $^1H$ PRE due to Mn$^{2+}$. Interestingly, the difference spectrum between the two samples shows only sharp GAX and pectin signals while lacking cellulose signals, indicating that EXPB1 preferentially binds matrix polysaccharides. More quantitatively, Figure 7B summarizes the peak height ratios ($S/S_0$) between the EXPB1-Mn$^{2+}$-bound CW and the diamagnetic EXPB1-bound CW. These $S/S_0$ ratios depend on the average electron-nuclei distances, which take into account potential structural heterogeneities that may cause only a subpopulation of a polysaccharide in the CW to be close to expansin. Cellulose retains full signals in the presence of Mn$^{2+}$, indicating that it is uniformly far from EXPB1, while GAX and pectins show considerable dephasing, with $S/S_0$ ratios ranging from 0.31 to 0.89. Consistent with the $^{13}C$ DP spectra, the CP spectra show the lowest $S/S_0$ value at GlcA in GAX, indicating that EXPB1 binds GAX most strongly. However, the 54-ppm methyl ester carbon shows a similarly low $S/S_0$ value of 0.31, indicating that GalA in HG is strongly influenced by Mn$^{2+}$. Since this peak did not show detectable PRE in the $^{13}C$ DP spectra (Fig. 6), we conclude that EXPB1 preferentially binds the more rigid fraction of HG, while the mobile pectins, which dominate the quantitative DP spectra, are far from EXPB1.

The larger intensity decrease of the $^{13}C$ CP spectra compared to the DP spectra may not only result from stronger $^1H$ PRE than $^{13}C$ PRE but may also be influenced by preferential detection of rigid polysaccharides in the CP spectra. In these hydrated CW samples, the mass ratio of EXPB1 to total polysaccharide is about 1:10. Excluding the approximately 25 wt% of cellulose in the CW (Supplemental Table S1), the protein to matrix polysaccharide dry mass ratio is approximately 1:3. The $^{13}C$ CP experiments detect approximately 40% of the matrix polysaccharide signals, thus the CP-detected average protein to matrix polysaccharide mass ratio is approximately 1:3. This relatively high protein concentration makes it possible to detect significant intensity dephasing due to Mn$^{2+}$ PRE.

To further resolve the $^{13}C$ signals that are indicative of site-specific Mn$^{2+}$ PRE, we measured 2D PDSD spectra of the paramagnetic and diamagnetic samples (Fig. 8A). Upon first inspection, the two 2D spectra appear very similar, both dominated by cellulose signals. However, the difference 2D spectrum reveals clear intensity changes only of GAX and pectin peaks (Fig. 8, B and C), while the cellulose peaks show no intensity difference. The 2D spectra also resolved the surface cellulose signals from matrix-polysaccharide signals, for example in the 82- to 86-ppm region, where both arabinose and surface cellulose C4 peaks resonate, and in the 61- to 63-ppm region, where the surface cellulose C6 signal overlaps with the Gal C6, Xn C5, and Ara C5 signals. The improved spectral resolution reveals that the surface cellulose signals such as C4-C1...
at (85, 105) ppm and C6-C4 at (62, 85) ppm are absent in the difference spectrum, further confirming that EXPB1 is far from cellulose, including the microfibril surface.

**EXPB1 Changes GAX Mobility**

To investigate the effect of EXPB1 on polysaccharide mobility, we measured $^{13}$C-$^1$H dipolar couplings and C-H order parameters ($S_{CH}$) with and without EXPB1. The DIPSHIFT experiment detects the amplitudes of motion of C-H bonds on the submicrosecond timescale. The protein-containing CWs show very similar order parameters as protein-free CWs in the quantitative $^{13}$C DIPSHIFT experiment (Fig. 9), indicating that the average motional amplitudes of the two samples are the same. However, in the CP-DIPSHIFT experiment, which preferentially detects more rigid molecules, the GAX signals show larger dipolar couplings or order parameters, indicating that the motional amplitudes decrease in the presence of the protein, while cellulose and pectin motions are not affected by protein binding (Fig. 9; Supplemental Fig. S6). Thus, the more rigid portion of GAX is further immobilized by EXPB1, whereas the more mobile portion of GAX increases the motional amplitudes so that the average GAX signals show no net change $\pm$ EXPB1.

**DISCUSSION**

The binding isotherms and SSNMR data presented here indicate that the main target of EXPB1 binding in maize CWs is GAX. This conclusion is supported by binding studies with sequentially extracted CWs, by inhibition of EXPB1 binding by salts, and by preferential PRE to GlcA residues of GAX. This conclusion is also consistent with the selectivity of EXPB1 action: EXPB1 loosens GAX-containing grass primary CWs but has little effect on dicot CWs, which are rich in GalA-containing pectins but lack GAX (Li et al., 2003; Sampedro et al., 2015; Carpita, 1996). Moreover, the PRE NMR data (Fig. 7) show that EXPB1 does not bind cellulose in whole CW mixtures, even though it binds isolated cellulose in vitro (Supplemental Fig. S3).

EXPB1 binding to GlcA is most likely electrostatic. The protein contains 31 cationic residues (22 Lys and 9 Arg) but only 22 anionic residues (13 Asp and 9 Glu).
has a pI of 9.5 (Li et al., 2003), and all cationic residues are located on the protein surface. Thus, in 20 mM NaOAc at pH 5.5, the protein is positively charged, favoring electrostatic interactions with the negatively charged GlcA and GalA residues in matrix polysaccharides.

13C-1H dipolar order parameters indicate that EXPB1 exerts a complex effect on GAX mobility: the rigid portion of GAX became more rigid upon protein binding while the mobile portion became more dynamic. In contrast, cellulose and pectin mobilities were unaffected by the protein: cellulose retained the same near rigid-limit couplings, while pectins exhibited the same low-order parameters. Thus, although PRE of pectins was observed in the 13C CP spectra, EXPB1 did not change the mobilities of pectins, while EXPB1 immobilized some of the GAX. Combined with the fact that the quantitative 13C DP spectra exhibit only 13C PRE to GAX, these data indicate that EXPB1 binds GlcA-rich arabinoxylan more strongly than GalA-rich pectins in grass CWs. Since both GAX and pectins contain negatively charged carboxyl groups, we attribute this difference in EXPB1 affinities to conformational differences between GAX and pectins. EXPB1 has a long, shallow groove formed by highly conserved polar and aromatic residues. This groove is about 47 Å long, spans both EXPB1 domains, and could accommodate a GAX backbone of up to 10 sugar residues (Yennawar et al., 2006). This shallow and relatively flat groove is less likely to fit the helical conformation of HG or the highly branched RG-1. The GAX-EXPB1 binding may be further stabilized by specific interactions between GAX and polar and aromatic residues on the protein surface (Yennawar et al., 2006; Sampedro et al., 2015). Attempts to crystallize a complex of EXPB1 and GAX have so far not been successful (Y. Chen, N. Yennawar, D.J. Cosgrove, unpublished data), so other means to test this hypothesis are needed.

A Model of EXPB1-Mediated CW Loosening

Our results indicate that EXPB1 has negligible interaction with cellulose but binds GAX and changes its mobility, potentially by unlocking GAX-GAX junctions in grass CWs. This new information complements previous data showing that EXPB1 specifically loosens grass CWs and solubilizes hsGAX from maize CWs (Li et al., 2003; Tabuchi et al., 2011). Previous biochemical data indicate that hsGAX displays only weak binding to cellulose (Carpita, 1983), thus it is unlikely to serve as a load-bearing linker directly interconnecting cellulose microfibrils but may act as space-filling interstitial material between cellulose microfibrils (Carpita et al., 2001). Additionally, it could serve a load-bearing role if it bound to matrix polysaccharides that in turn bind tightly to cellulose. Candidate polysaccharides that bind tightly to cellulose include IsGAX, XyG, and MLG (Carpita et al., 2001). We focus on IsGAX because our new data indicate the rigid component of GAX, which is presumably IsGAX, becomes more rigid after EXPB1 treatment. Figure 10 sketches a potential mechanism by which EXPB1 may exert its loosening effects on grass CWs. In this scheme, IsGAX binds to IsGAX, thereby indirectly spanning multiple cellulose microfibrils; we propose that EXPB1 may bind and disrupt the hsGAX-IsGAX junctions. Consistent with this scenario, lsGAX is known to bind to cellulose in vitro (Carpita, 1983; Köhnke et al., 2011; Selig et al., 2015), whereas hsGAX does not. Strong GAX-cellulose cross peaks are seen in 2D SSNMR spectra of Brachypodium, a model grass species, indicating subnanometer contacts between the two polysaccharides (Wang et al., 2014), which is also supported by the presence of a cellulose form that is restructured by interactions with GAX in Brachypodium and XyG in Arabidopsis CWs (Wang and Hong, 2016; Wang et al., 2016). If EXPB1 selectively disrupted noncovalent junctions between hsGAX and lsGAX, as envisioned in Figure 10, it would result in (1) increased mobility and solubilization of hsGAX, (2) decreased mobility of lsGAX, which would now interact more strongly with cellulose, and (3) promotion of CW stress relaxation and extension as a result of removal of the load-bearing hsGAX. These are the known actions of EXPB1 on grass CWs. In this scheme, release of HG would be incidental to solubilization of hsGAX. For EXPB1 acting on grass CWs, these actions would also weaken the middle lamella, which in grasses is composed of GAX and HG (Ishii, 1984), thereby promoting pollen tube penetration between cells of the maize stigma and style (Valdivia et al., 2007, 2009). This model may apply specifically to the clade of β-expansins that include group-1 grass pollen allergens, but additional work is needed to test its applicability to other groups of β-expansins and to other types of GAX-containing CWs (Sampedro et al., 2015).
Helical Conformation of Xylan Backbone of GAX from C4 Chemical Shifts

The $^{13}$C chemical shifts of GAX in maize CWs are very similar to those in Brachypodium (Wang et al., 2014). The XnC4 chemical shift is sensitive to conformational changes of glycosidic bonds (Vietor et al., 2002) and has been used as an indicator of the helical conformation of xylan in a recent SSNMR study (Dupree et al., 2015). MD simulation suggests that xylan in solution adopts a 3-fold helical screw (3-fold, three residues per 360° twist) but is folded as a 2-fold helical screw (2-fold, two residues per 360° twist) once adsorbed onto cellulose surface. The latter should better align with the 21 conformations of glucan chains (Bromley et al., 2013; Busse-Wicher et al., 2014). The typical XnC4 chemical shift is 76 to 78 ppm in solution (Vignon and Gey, 1998; Hollmann et al., 2009; Busse-Wicher et al., 2014) but changes to 79 to 85 ppm in the dry secondary CW of Arabidopsis, indicating a broad distribution of conformations with only a minor population adopting the 3-fold conformation (Dupree et al., 2015). In comparison, in the current maize CW samples, the XnC4 chemical shift is 74 ppm, which is similar to the chemical shifts in solution but different from the chemical shifts of xylan in Arabidopsis secondary CW (Dupree et al., 2015). Thus, the GAX backbone in maize primary CW may adopt a conformation similar to the 3-fold helical conformation in solution, implying that much of the GAX is well solvated and not tightly adsorbed onto cellulose surfaces. Future chemical shift calculations may be useful for correlating the NMR chemical shifts with the detailed conformations of xylan in different CWs (Kubicik et al., 2013, 2014; Watts et al., 2013; Zhao et al., 2014).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

EXPB1 Purification

Maize (Zea mays) pollen was collected in July 2014 at Rock Springs Farm (University Park, PA). EXPB1 was eluted from pollen with 50 mM NaOAc (adjusted to pH 4.5 with glacial acetic acid) containing 100 mM NaCl and fractionated stepwise by cation ion-exchange CM Sepharose (Sigma-Aldrich no. CCF-100) and ENRich (Bio-Rad no. 780-0021) chromatography and further fractionated on a Discover C5 reverse-phase HPLC column (Sigma-Aldrich no. 506422) (Li et al., 2003; Tabuchi et al., 2011).

Silk CW Preparation, Extraction, and Binding

Frozen maize silks (approximately 50 g wet weight) were ground to a fine powder by ball milling, washed sequentially with 1.5% (w/v) SDS and water, stirring, then rinsed in water and lyophilized. For some experiments, we separated the residue after 4M NaOH extraction was hydrolyzed with 2M TFA at 121°C for 2 h. Wall residues at each step were washed 5× with water before dialysis against water for 4 h to remove salts. The extracted polysaccharides were desalted by membrane filters (3-kD molecular weight cutoff) and lyophilized.

Wall/poly saccharide constituents were analyzed by monosaccharide analysis using ion chromatography ( Dionex ICS5000 with Carboxpac column and pulsed amperometric detection), as described previously (Tabuchi et al., 2011). Various amounts of EXPB1 were bound to 1 mg CW residues in 20 mM NaOAc buffer, pH 5.5, to a total volume of 400 μL. Binding equilibrium was established by shaking at 1,100 rpm for 1 h at 26°C. Residual EXPB1 in the supernatant was determined by Bradford protein assay kit (Thermo). Binding isotherms and Langmuir fits were analyzed with Origin v9.1 curve-fitting software (Microlcal).

EXPB1 Function ± Ca$^{2+}$

Phenolics/Poly saccharide Release

A total of 1 mg nonextracted silk CW was incubated with 100 μg/mL EXPB1 in 500 μL 20 mM NaOAc buffer, pH 5.5, with 5 mM DTT to maintain expansin activity and 2 mM NaN3 to retard microbial growth, and shaken at 1,000 rpm for 20 h ± 10 mM CaCl2. Release of feruoylated GAX into the supernatant was assayed by 320-nm absorbance and the released sugar was measured with the phenol-sulfuric acid method (Dubois et al., 1956).

Mechanical Compliances

Four-day-old etiolated wheat coleoptiles were abraded, heat-inactivated, and incubated in 20 mM NaOAc buffer, pH 5.5, ± 10 mM CaCl2 for 30 min and extended twice at 3 mm/min to a target force of 10g. The total, elastic, and plastic compliances were calculated from the slopes of the force/extension curves as detailed by Cosgrove (2011).

Wall Extension (Creep)

Coleoptile walls were prepared as above, clamped into a constant force extensometer at 20g force, and incubated in 20 mM NaOAc buffer, pH 5.5, containing 2 mM DTT for 30 min to establish a stable baseline. The buffer was replaced with same buffer ±10 mM CaCl2. The specimens were incubated for another 30 min before the addition of EXPB1.

Mn$^{2+}$ Labeling of EXPB1

Linker (thiol-specific disulfide reagent, N-[S-(2-pyridylthio)ethyl]cysteaminyll) EDTA; Toronto Research Chemicals) was dissolved in 50 mM NaOAc buffer (pH 5.5, filtered through a 0.45-μm syringe filter) to a concentration of 5 mg/mL and 100 μL linker solution (1 μmol) was mixed with 1 μL of 1 μM MnCl2 (99.999%; Sigma-Aldrich) in deionized water and incubated at 4°C for 2 h to load Mn$^{2+}$ onto the EDTA. EXPB1 was purified by reverse-phase HPLC, and 2.8 mg (0.1 μmol) was mixed with linker-Mn$^{2+}$ solution. The reaction volume was brought to 200 μL by adding 50 mM NaOAc buffer, pH 5.5, and allowed to react overnight at 4°C. Excess linker-Mn$^{2+}$ was removed by filtration through a 3-kD cutoff membrane. Incorporation of linker-Mn$^{2+}$ was assessed by ESI-MS (Proteomics and Mass Spectrometry Core Facility, PSU), and creep activity of labeled EXPB1 was assayed by extensometer assay.

$^{13}$C Uniform Labeling of Maize CWs

Maize seeds were surface sterilized twice (5 min/sterilization) in 50% bleach with 0.05% (v/v) Tween 20 and soaked overnight in sterile water. Endosperms were removed manually and the embryos were cultured in Murashige and Skoog medium (4.3 g MS salts [Sigma-Aldrich], 0.55 g MES H2O per liter, adjusted to pH 5.7) containing 5 g/L 13C-Glc for 4 d in the dark at 27°C with 130 rpm shaking. Coleoptiles were collected, frozen in liquid nitrogen, and ground to a fine powder with a mortar and a pestle. CWs were prepared as described above for maize silks. Amylase-digested walls were washed with water, then centrifuged at 1,000g for 5 min and 5,000g for 60 min with a 40-μm nylon membrane filter to reduce water content to approximately 75%. A wet mass of 50 mg, which is estimated to contain approximately 12 mg dry mass, was used to bind protein.

EXPB1 and CW Binding

A total of 1.2 mg of paramagnetic Mn$^{2+}$-tagged EXPB1 and diamagnetic EXPB1 was incubated for approximately 10 h with 50 mg hydrated CW in
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300 µL, 20 mM NaOAc buffer, pH 5.5, and 2 mM NaN₃ at 4°C. The water content of the wall-protein mixture was reduced to approximately 75% by centrifugation. The hydrated CW samples were stored frozen prior to SSNMR analysis.

**Solid-State NMR Spectroscopy**

All SSNMR experiments were measured on a Bruker 900-MHz (21.1 Tesla) spectrometer using a 3.2-mm MAS probe at 296K. Typical radio-frequency strengths were 62.5 to 80 kHz for ¹H and 62.5 kHz for ¹³C. Chemical shifts were measured with 64 scans per t₁ slice, an acquisition time of under 13.5-kHz MAS (Meier, 1994; Bardet et al., 1997). Then 1 ms ¹H-¹³C PDE spectra were measured without any scaling factor. All 2D spectra were obtained by subtracting the spectrum of the paramagnetic sample. The carbonyl region of the quantitative ¹³C PDE spectra was deconvoluted using Dimfit (Massiot et al., 2002). The full widths at half maximum, the peak heights, and the integrals of the deconvoluted peaks are reported in Supplemental Table S2.

**Supplemental Data**

The following supplemental materials are available. Supplemental Figure S1. CW extension (creep) activity of heat-inactivated wheat coleoptiles in 50 mM v/v 20 mM sodium acetate buffer.

Supplemental Figure S2. Dependence of EXPB1 binding to maize silk CW as a function of pH.

Supplemental Figure S3. EXPB1 binding to pure cellulose (Avicel).

Supplemental Figure S4. Characterization of Mn²⁺-tagged EXPB1.

Supplemental Figure S5. Carbonyl region of the ¹³C spectra of Arabidopsis, Brachypodium, and maize CWs.

Supplemental Figure S6. ¹³C-¹H CP-DHPSHIFT dipolar curves of maize CWs.

Supplemental Table S1. Monosaccharide compositions of CW and extracted polysaccharides after sequential chemical extractions.

Supplemental Table S2. Fitting parameters of the carbonyl signals in ¹³C quantitative DP spectra.
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