Equisetum species show uniform epicuticular wax structures but diverse composition patterns
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Abstract

Background and aims Only few data on the epicuticular waxes (EWs) of horsetails are available. This contribution therefore focuses on the wax micromorphology and chemical composition of Equisetum species of the subgenera Equisetum and Hippochaete.

Methodology Distribution patterns and structural details of EW on the shoots were studied by scanning electron microscopy. After extraction with chloroform, the chemical composition of wax isolates was analysed by gas chromatography.

Principal results Epicuticular wax crystals were non-oriented platelets or membraneous platelets. They were usually located on subsidiary cells of stomata and adjacent cells. Other parts of the shoots were covered mainly with a smooth wax film or small granules only. The chemical constituents found were alkanes, esters, aldehydes, primary alcohols and free fatty acids in a range of C20–C36 (in esters C36–C56). All species of the subgenus Hippochaete showed a similar pattern of fractions with high percentages of alkanes and aldehydes, whereas the subgenus Equisetum species had distinctly different wax compositions. Extracts from the internodes—surfaces without well-developed EW crystals and only few stomata—showed the lowest contents of aldehydes.

Conclusions The covering with EW crystals will provide unhindered gas exchange and, combined with intracuticular wax, may prevent excess water loss during winter in the evergreen shoots of the subgenus Hippochaete. The results indicate that the Equisetum wax micromorphology and biosynthesis are comparable to EW of other pteridophyte classes and mosses.

Introduction

The horsetails (Equisetopsida) are a group of lower vascular plants with fossil ancestors dating back to the late Devonian. They are characterized by certain special phytochemical features. Equisetum sylvaticum, for example, has the highest accumulation of silicic acid (up to 25 % dry weight) among all vascular plants (Timell 1964). Since lignin is almost absent, silica acts as reinforcement. The genus Equisetum consists of 15 species grouped in the subgenera Equisetum and Hippochaete. Whereas the distinctness of these two subgroups is well supported, the infrasubgeneric relationships remain uncertain (Hauke 1963, 1978; Des Marais et al. 2003; Guillon 2004, 2007). From a chemotaxonomic view, for example in flavonoid composition, the subgenus Equisetum shows qualitative and quantitative variations.
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between the species: three groups were identified, each accumulating specific glycosides (Veit et al. 1995). These groups proved to be congruent with a classification based on micromorphological characters (Page 1972). In numerous publications, epicuticular waxes (EWs) have also been studied from the taxonomic perspective. For example in the Gramineae, the Cactaceae (Maffei 1996; Maffei et al. 1997), the Plagiochilaceae (Heinrichs et al. 2000; Heinrichs and Rycroft 2001) and in Ficus species (Sonibare et al. 2005), wax composition patterns were used as taxonomic characters to clarify intrageneric relationships.

Ecologically, all species of horsetails are characterized as mesophytes or hygrophytes. Several taxa of subgenus Hippochaete are evergreen and therefore need protection against water stress related to temperature, light and pollution: the only reported protective barrier refers to Barthlott et al. (1998).

Chemical analyses

Total EWs were obtained by immersing main axes (including internodes and leaf sheaths), isolated leaf sheaths (from the main axes of the fertile sprouts) or isolated branches (second and third order from sterile sprouts including internodes and leaf sheaths) in hot chloroform (ca. 60 °C) for 20 s. After wax extraction, approximate surface areas were determined by measurements of stem lengths and diameters. The extracts were fractionated into compound classes by preparative thin-layer chromatography on silica gel G (Riedel-deHaen) with the solvents (i) n-hexane and (ii) chloroform/n-hexane (75:25, v/v). The spray reagent for detection (UV 360 nm) was 0.005 % primuline in acetone/water (80:20, v/v). Individual fractions were analysed by gas chromatography (GC) (Haas et al. 2003) with a Shimadzu GC-17A gas chromatograph equipped with a CP-Sil 8 CB capillary column (25 m × 0.32 mm, Varian-Chrompack), an on-column injector and a flame ionization detector. Operating conditions of the chromatograph were detector temperature 360 °C and linear velocity of helium carrier gas 30 cm s⁻¹. The column temperature was initially set to 160 °C for 2 min and then increased by 8 °C min⁻¹ to 340 °C (or 360 °C for esters). The fractions of primary alcohols and free fatty acids were analysed as trimethylsilyl ethers/trimethylsilyl esters after derivatization with N,O-bis-(trimethylsilyl)-acetamide/pyridine (1:1, v/v). Appropriate internal standards were employed for each fraction.

Similarity of total waxes, including all fractions and chain lengths with their relative contribution to the total wax amount [see Additional Information], was calculated with the software SPSS 10.1, applying hierarchi- cal cluster analysis (chain lengths were treated as continuous variables; distance measure: squared Euclidean distance; cluster method: Ward’s) and the multidimensional scaling function (distance measure: squared Euclidean distance; scaling model: Euclidean distance).

Results

Epicuticular wax distribution and micromorphology

Scanning electron microscopy revealed projections of EW heterogeneously distributed on the Equisetum stem
Table 1 Plant material and wax yields (whole-sprout extracts include wax from main axis internodes and main axis leaf sheaths).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species abbreviation</th>
<th>Origin</th>
<th>No. of sprouts</th>
<th>Extracted stem length (cm)</th>
<th>Stem diameter (cm)</th>
<th>Total wax yield ($\mu$g)</th>
<th>Wax covering ($\mu$g cm$^{-2}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subgenus Equisetum</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. arvense, fertile (whole sprouts)</td>
<td>E. arv</td>
<td>S-Plieningen</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>5050</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. arvense, fertile (internodes)</td>
<td>E. arv</td>
<td>S-Plieningen</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>3578</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. arvense, fertile (leaf sheaths)</td>
<td>E. arv</td>
<td>S-Plieningen</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1660</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. arvense, sterile (whole sprouts)</td>
<td>E. arv</td>
<td>S-Plieningen</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>3641</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. arvense, sterile (branches)</td>
<td>E. arv</td>
<td>S-Plieningen</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. telmateia, fertile (whole sprouts)</td>
<td>E. tel</td>
<td>Eislingen</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>4897</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. telmateia, fertile (internodes)</td>
<td>E. tel</td>
<td>Eislingen</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>3448</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. telmateia, fertile (leaf sheaths)</td>
<td>E. tel</td>
<td>Eislingen</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>4004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. telmateia, sterile (whole sprouts)</td>
<td>E. tel</td>
<td>Eislingen</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>2932</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. telmateia, sterile (branches)</td>
<td>E. tel</td>
<td>Eislingen</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. sylvaticum, fertile (whole sprouts)</td>
<td>E. syl</td>
<td>S-Büsnaup</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>8142</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. sylvaticum, fertile (internodes)</td>
<td>E. syl</td>
<td>S-Büsnaup</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>2439</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. sylvaticum, fertile (leaf sheaths)</td>
<td>E. syl</td>
<td>S-Büsnaup</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>2318</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. sylvaticum, sterile (whole sprouts)</td>
<td>E. syl</td>
<td>S-Büsnaup</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>5886</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. sylvaticum, sterile (branches)</td>
<td>E. syl</td>
<td>S-Büsnaup</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. fluviatile (whole sprouts)</td>
<td>E. fluv</td>
<td>S-Büsnaup</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>4583</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. fluviatile (branches)</td>
<td>E. fluv</td>
<td>S-Büsnaup</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subgenus Hippochaete (whole sprouts)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. hyemale ssp. hyemale first year</td>
<td>E. hy h 1$^{st}$</td>
<td>S-Kaltental</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>19 548</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. hyemale ssp. hyemale second year</td>
<td>E. hy h 2$^{nd}$</td>
<td>S-Kaltental</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>43 855</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Continued
surfaces. The stomatal areas, especially the subsidiary cells, were always covered with well-developed EW crystals, whereas the carinae (ribs) showed a wax film. The presence of wax films or crystal degradation stages in some samples was also visible on the surfaces surrounding the stomata. Different types of EW crystals were present: non-oriented platelets with a size of 0.4–0.6 \(\mu\)m were the most frequent (Figs 1–3). Very thin crystals with filamentous extensions, known as membraneous platelets, were found on leaf sheaths of fertile \textit{E. sylvaticum}. The comparatively large crystals on internodes of this species (fertile) are probably special forms of the membraneous platelet type (Fig. 3E and F). As a further EW crystal type, granules were present on internodes of fertile \textit{E. arvense} (Fig. 3E and F). As a further EW crystal type, granules were present on sterile as well as fertile \textit{E. arvense} (Figs 1C and 3C).

Species of subgenus \textit{Equisetum} and of subgenus \textit{Hippochaete} both showed non-oriented platelets as the dominating EW crystal type (Figs 1 and 2). Organ-specific gradual variations, however, became visible as differences in crystal thickness and/or density. The EW platelets on branches of sterile sprouts were usually finer and more densely arranged than those on the internodes of the main shoots (Fig 1A, B and G, H). There was no clear difference between the wax structure of \textit{E. hyemale} ssp. \textit{hyemale} first or second years’ sprouts.

Organ-specific differences in wax yields were evident in the fertile sprouts of the heterophyadic species: the leaf sheath wax and internodal wax yields were almost in the same range for \textit{E. telmateia} and \textit{E. sylvaticum}; the internodes of fertile \textit{E. arvense} yielded twice the wax amount of the leaf sheaths. The second years’ sprouts of \textit{E. hyemale} showed a 1.5-fold higher wax load than the first years’ sprouts of this species (Table 1).

Thin-layer chromatography of the \textit{Equisetum} EW revealed alkanes, esters, aldehydes, primary alcohols and free fatty acids as the important compound classes. \(\alpha,\omega\)-Dicarboxylic acids were not found in any of the \textit{Equisetum} EW extracts.

The relative proportions of compound classes in the whole-sprout extracts differed significantly between (i) the two subgenera and (ii) the sterile and fertile sprouts from the heterophyadic species of subgenus \textit{Equisetum} (Fig. 4A). All species of subgenus \textit{Hippochaete} showed a nearly identical composition with characteristic high amounts of alkanes and aldehydes (~30–45 %) and very low proportions of alkyl esters (maximum 2 %).

The typical EW composition pattern of sterile sprouts of the subgenus \textit{Equisetum} species comprised a high content of free fatty acids (34–60 %) and moderate amounts of alkyl esters (maximum 18 %), whereas the fertile sprouts showed up to 50 % alkyl esters (\textit{E. telmateia}), medium contents of free fatty acids (maximum 22 %) and very low alkane percentages (maximum 2 %). The EW of the semi-heterophyadic species \textit{E. sylvaticum}, however, did not fit in a fertile/sterile composition scheme, but was characterized by a very high aldehyde content (48 and 53 %), at least 24 % primary alcohols and 2 % alkanes (Fig. 4A).

We also detected organ specificity in the EW composition of the subgenus \textit{Equisetum} (Fig. 4B): EW extracts from the branches of sterile sprouts were composed of high amounts of aldehydes (>40 %), <6 % of esters and alkanes, and ~20 % primary alcohols and free fatty acids.

### Epicuticular waxes: amounts and composition

Dissolution and removal/extraction of the EW was checked by SEM. Complete removal was only achieved by hot-chloroform treatment. The approximate stem wax covering, based on yields of the whole-sprout extracts (Table 1), ranged between 1 \(\mu\)g cm\(^{-2}\) (sterile \textit{E. telmateia}) and 25 \(\mu\)g cm\(^{-2}\) (\textit{E. hyemale} ssp. \textit{hyemale} second year). With the exception of \textit{E. sylvaticum}, wax yields of the subgenus \textit{Hippochaete} species were higher than those of subgenus \textit{Equisetum}.

### Table 1 Continued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Species abbreviation</th>
<th>Origin</th>
<th>No. of sprouts</th>
<th>Extracted stem length (cm)</th>
<th>Stem diameter (cm)</th>
<th>Total wax yield* ((\mu)g)</th>
<th>Wax covering ((\mu)g cm(^{-2}))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>\textit{E. hyemale} ssp. \textit{Affine}</td>
<td>E. hy aff</td>
<td>Cultivated</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>6693</td>
<td>10.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\textit{E. scirpoides}</td>
<td>E. scirp</td>
<td>Cultivated</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>14,412</td>
<td>20.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\textit{E. variegatum}</td>
<td>E. var</td>
<td>Cultivated</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>12,996</td>
<td>10.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Total amount of alkanes, aldehydes, primary alcohols, free fatty acids and alkyl esters. –, values not determined.
acids. Epicuticular wax from the leaf sheaths of fertile sprouts (heterophyadic species) showed less alkanes (maximum 4.5 %) and similar proportions of free fatty acids, primary alcohols, aldehydes and esters. The internodal EW of fertile sprouts contained an extremely low amount of aldehydes. The corresponding values of E. sylvaticum, however, differed markedly from E. arvense and E. telmateia: they showed the same composition pattern as in the EW from branches of the other analysed subgenus Equisetum species (Fig. 4B).

Fig. 1 Epicuticular wax structures on surfaces (valleculae) of Equisetum subgenus Equisetum species. Scanning electron microscope images of main axis internodes (left) and internodes of the branches (right) of sterile sprouts. (A, B, D–H) Subsidiary cells (sc) with silica papillae (sp), and adjacent epidermis (ae), covered with non-oriented platelets. (C) Smooth wax film with granules on the epidermal surface (sp, silica papilla). (A+B) E. arvense, (C+D) E. telmateia, (E+F) E. sylvaticum, (G+H) E. fluviatile.
Chain-length distributions of individual fractions were present in clearly alternating profiles with dominating odd-numbered homologues in alkanes and even-numbered ones in the other compound classes. In some samples, however, odd/even ratios were less distinct. Chain lengths ranged from $C_{20}$ to $C_{36}$ (in alkyl esters from $C_{36}$ to $C_{56}$). In some fractions (e.g. alkanes in *E. telmateia*), bimodal distribution profiles were found (Table 2).

Comparison of the EWs by cluster analysis and multidimensional scaling (MDS), including chain lengths of all compound classes with their actual relevance (% of the total wax), revealed the following relationships (whole-sprout EWs, Fig. 5A). *Equisetum sylvaticum* showed the most divergent wax composition; the EWs of fertile and sterile sprouts of this species were highly similar. Taxa of subgenus *Hippochaete* were also clearly separated from the remaining subgenus *Equisetum* species as a comparatively homogeneous complex in the MDS/cluster analysis. Nonetheless, two groups were distinguishable: *E. variegatum*/*E. scirpoides* and *E. hyemale* ssp. *hyemale*/*E. hyemale* ssp. *affine*. Differences in the EW of first and second years’ sprouts were minimal. The EW of sterile and fertile sprouts of the subgenus *Equisetum* species formed two distinct clusters.

Organ-specific differences in wax composition are also evident in the MDS/cluster analysis (Fig. 5B). The EW of branches and internodes as well as leaf sheaths of *E. sylvaticum* poorly matched those of the other species. The EWs from branches of *E. arvense*, *E. telmateia* and *E. fluviatile* formed a cluster of high similarity. The internodal EWs of *E. arvense* and *E. telmateia* were also positioned in a distinct group. Epicuticular waxes of the leaf sheaths in the cluster analysis were assigned to the ‘branches’ group (*E. arvense*) and the ‘internodes’ group (*E. telmateia*), respectively. In the MDS diagram, however, both are positioned near each other, indicating a higher degree of similarity.

**Discussion**

**Epicuticular wax structure**

The micromorphology of EWs is very variable, and different types of wax crystals were present on the surfaces of *Equisetum*. The literature on *Equisetum* wax structure is scarce, the only reports being from *E. arvense* surfaces: acicular crystals, 0.2–1.0 $\mu$m long and 0.05–0.1 $\mu$m wide (Baker and Holloway 1971). Barthlott and Wollenweber (1981) described wax crystals in the same species as scale-like, measuring 0.4 $\mu$m. This latter size is in agreement with the present observations, but in some cases the crystals (membraneous platelet type) reached sizes up to 1 $\mu$m. The dominating crystal type is non-oriented platelets. This type is very common in plants and therefore insignificant in a taxonomic sense (Barthlott et al. 1998). Apparently, there is no phylogenetic trend towards a special crystal type.
and the occurrence of EW crystals is possible in land plants in general. Even liverworts and mosses (both gametophytes and sporophytes) show a comparatively broad spectrum of crystal types: granules, plates, platelets, membraneous platelets, rodlets, tubules and threads (Schoenherr and Ziegler 1975; Neinhuis and Jetter 1995; Haas 1999; Heinrichs et al. 2000; Heinrichs and Rycroft 2001). Among the pteridophytes, wax crusts and long, thin, interwoven rodlets were found on the surfaces of *Psilotum nudum* (Psilotopsida; Geiger 2003). The surfaces of several examined ferns (Pteridopsida) also showed a wide variety of wax crystals, e.g. very thin threads, plates, platelets and diverse kinds of rodlets (Barthlott and Wollenweber 1981). In conclusion, the EW crystals in *Equisetum* fit in the spectrum found in pteridophytes and land plants in general.

The micromorphology of wax crystals is related to their chemical composition (Barthlott et al. 1998). Crystals (e.g. tubules) produced *in vitro* by recrystallization experiments of isolated compounds showed shapes almost identical to the corresponding crystals on the plant surface (Jeffree et al. 1975). The platelet type is frequently related to the dominance of the primary alcohols in the EW. This was true in species of *Eucalyptus*, many Poaceae and several other taxa of the Angiospermae such as Fabaceae. In contrast, platelets may even contain high amounts of triterpenes or not be relatable to any of the wax compound classes (Jeffree 1986; Barthlott et al. 1998).

### Chemical composition of EWs

The chemical analysis of EWs from *Equisetum* species revealed no general dominance of primary alcohols. In
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**Fig. 3** Epicuticular wax structures on valleculae of main axis internodes (left) and main axis leaf sheaths (right) of fertile sprouts. (A+C) Smooth wax film with granules on the epidermal surface. (B+D) Non-oriented platelets (sp, silica papilla), (E) large membraneous platelets, (F) membraneous platelets. (A+B) *E. arvense*, (C+D) *E. telmateia*, (E+F) *E. sylvaticum*. 
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**Fig. 3** Epicuticular wax structures on valleculae of main axis internodes (left) and main axis leaf sheaths (right) of fertile sprouts. (A+C) Smooth wax film with granules on the epidermal surface. (B+D) Non-oriented platelets (sp, silica papilla), (E) large membraneous platelets, (F) membraneous platelets. (A+B) *E. arvense*, (C+D) *E. telmateia*, (E+F) *E. sylvaticum*. 
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many of the extracts, this fraction did not exceed 25% of the total wax and was not the dominating compound (Fig. 4). One explanation for a possible under-representation of the primary alcohols could be the applied extraction method: it dissolved not only the EW crystals but also the basal wax film and even some
### Table 2  Epicuticular wax composition in *Equisetum* species: relative amounts of dominant chain lengths (% of compound class). White lines: whole-sprout waxes (ws, main axis internodes and main axis leaf sheaths); f, fertile sprouts; s, sterile sprouts. Grey lines: epicuticular waxes from isolated plant organs; int, main axis internodes; Ish, main axis leaf sheaths; bch, branches. For species abbreviations, see Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>C- Alkanes</th>
<th>Aldehydes</th>
<th>Primary alcohols</th>
<th>Free fatty acids</th>
<th>Alkyl esters</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>21 23 25 27 29 31 33 34 26 28 30 32 34 20 22 24 26 28 30 32 34 36 38 40 42 44 46 48 50 52 54 56</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>E. arv</em> f</td>
<td>10 33 17 11 5</td>
<td>6 20 67 +</td>
<td>6 24 14 22 25 8 +</td>
<td>18 14 19 16 25 6 +</td>
<td>13 18 13 15 11 10 9 + +</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>E. arv</em> s</td>
<td>+ + 9 25 31 14 + + +</td>
<td>23 50 21 +</td>
<td>8 23 39 18 7 +</td>
<td>+ 4 15 22 43 7 +</td>
<td>+ + 7 25 13 17 12 10 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>E. arv</em> int</td>
<td>8 12 45 15 7</td>
<td>25 37 29 +</td>
<td>+ 23 16 25 28 +</td>
<td>22 19 25 16 16 +</td>
<td>6 17 17 16 15 12 8 5 + +</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>E. arv</em> Ish</td>
<td>8 34 15 9 +</td>
<td>+ + 15 79 +</td>
<td>+ 5 27 62 +</td>
<td>12 7 6 13 41 16 +</td>
<td>+ + 17 14 28 20 9 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>E. arv</em> bch</td>
<td>5 20 16 23 19 +</td>
<td>+ + 50 47 +</td>
<td>+ + 42 53 +</td>
<td>+ + 5 5 58 21 +</td>
<td>+ 26 17 18 16 8 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>E. tel</em> f</td>
<td>9 6 14 9 25 21 +</td>
<td>+ 21 71 +</td>
<td>6 + 13 5 18 49 8</td>
<td>17 26 27 6 7 4</td>
<td>+ + 7 15 19 16 15 9 7 +</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>E. tel</em> s</td>
<td>+ + 28 11 20 30</td>
<td>7 8 27 49 +</td>
<td>8 8 16 11 18 31 +</td>
<td>7 16 14 10 21 19 +</td>
<td>+ + 12 15 15 12 10 8 +</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>E. tel</em> int</td>
<td>+ + 30 8 8 5 +</td>
<td>16 19 16 46</td>
<td>11 15 47 9 5 9</td>
<td>6 19 30 35 + + +</td>
<td>+ + 12 15 15 19 15 9 5 +</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>E. tel</em> Ish</td>
<td>+ + + 41 6 21 18 +</td>
<td>+ + 21 70 +</td>
<td>+ + 8 5 20 54 +</td>
<td>12 20 18 17 11 7 +</td>
<td>+ + 16 23 19 12 6 + +</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>E. tel</em> bch</td>
<td>+ + + 9 6 40 29 +</td>
<td>+ 6 39 49 +</td>
<td>+ 7 31 40 9 +</td>
<td>5 8 7 17 13 35 22 + + + + 9 17 16 12 9 +</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>E. syl</em> f</td>
<td>15 43 42 +</td>
<td>+ 94 +</td>
<td>+ 5 5 86 +</td>
<td>+ 5 21 12 55 +</td>
<td>+ + 5 11 31 13 9 10 13 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>E. syl</em> s</td>
<td>9 30 47 +</td>
<td>+ 92 +</td>
<td>+ 5 86 +</td>
<td>+ + 10 14 65 +</td>
<td>+ + 12 35 12 6 12 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>E. syl</em> bch</td>
<td>8 13 18 43 + +</td>
<td>+ 95 +</td>
<td>+ 7 6 80 +</td>
<td>+ + 21 9 62 +</td>
<td>+ + 9 15 42 13 7 5 6 +</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>E. syl</em> Ish</td>
<td>+ + 61 31 +</td>
<td>+ + 92 5</td>
<td>+ + 89 5 +</td>
<td>+ + 11 25 19 36 +</td>
<td>+ + 10 27 14 11 13 11 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>E. syl</em> bch</td>
<td>+ + 26 21 34 5 +</td>
<td>+ + 87 8 +</td>
<td>+ + 84 6 +</td>
<td>+ + 8 10 71 +</td>
<td>+ + 10 27 14 6 12 7 7 11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>E. fluv</em> ws</td>
<td>+ + 18 18 46 5 +</td>
<td>+ 17 65 13 +</td>
<td>+ 5 20 53 12 +</td>
<td>+ + 8 13 38 27 +</td>
<td>+ + 8 26 18 15 13 8 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>E. fluv</em> bch</td>
<td>+ + 11 9 12 46 9 +</td>
<td>+ 12 66 19 + + + 11 62 19 + + + 5 29 45 6 + + + + 15 17 15 15 9 5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>E. hy h1</em> st</td>
<td>+ + + 9 62 23 +</td>
<td>+ + 12 42 41 +</td>
<td>+ 6 15 34 28 + + + 5 5 19 38 27 +</td>
<td>+ + 5 21 14 11 12 13 14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>E. hy h2</em> nd</td>
<td>+ + + 7 51 29 +</td>
<td>+ 15 37 38 +</td>
<td>+ + + 11 28 24 + + + + + + 13 36 35 +</td>
<td>13 6 8 30 17 10 9 7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>E. hy aff</em> ws</td>
<td>+ + + + 13 69 7 +</td>
<td>+ + 16 66 14 +</td>
<td>+ + 16 55 11 + + + + + 22 55 9</td>
<td>5 9 26 17 9 7 8 8 11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>E. scirp</em> ws</td>
<td>+ + + + 23 59 5</td>
<td>+ 9 34 43 +</td>
<td>+ + 12 30 26 6 + + 6 6 16 29 28 +</td>
<td>+ + 14 19 18 15 11 6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>E. var</em> ws</td>
<td>+ + + + 18 51 14</td>
<td>+ + 23 51 13 + + + + 10 25 28 8 + + + + 9 20 41 14 + + + 5 17 21 11 10 15 11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

+, minor amounts (<5 %); empty fields, chain length not detected; bold values, main homologue(s).
intracuticular wax (Baker 1982). Only the EW on the surfaces of the branches of E. sylvaticum consisted of more than 49% primary alcohols; this could be related to the platelet type. The highest amounts (>51% primary alcohols) were found on internodes of fertile E. arvense, where a wax film but no crystals were observed.

The compound classes of Equisetum EW are alkanes, esters, aldehydes, primary alcohols and free fatty acids. Thus, the Equisetum EW chemically corresponds to the EW reported for seed plants and ferns (Bianchi 1995), the surface wax of mosses (Haas 1999) and the EW of P. nudum (Geiger 2003). This also holds true for the

**Fig. 5 Multidimensional scaling (Euclidean distance model) of the different EWs.** (A) Epicuticular wax from whole sprouts (main axis internodes and main axis leaf sheaths). (B) Epicuticular wax from specific Equisetum organs (fertile main axis internodes, fertile main axis leaf sheaths, sterile branches). All detected substances are included with their relative amounts (chain lengths in % of total wax). Lines represent groups obtained by hierarchical cluster analysis (Ward’s method) [see Additional Information].

The compound classes of Equisetum EW are alkanes, esters, aldehydes, primary alcohols and free fatty acids. Thus, the Equisetum EW chemically corresponds to the EW reported for seed plants and ferns (Bianchi 1995), the surface wax of mosses (Haas 1999) and the EW of P. nudum (Geiger 2003). This also holds true for the
chain-length distributions, indicating that the elongation systems and other pathways of biosynthesis (Post-Beitenmiller 1996) active in Equisetum should be comparable with those of other plants.

Secondary alcohols and ketones, along with triterpenes and sterols, were detected in the EW of Osmunda regalis (Jetter and Riederer 1999). These compounds were not found in Equisetum. Chiu et al. (1988) reported sterols in the wax of E. arvense, but they applied an extraction method in which the sterols could be derived from the inner tissues of the stem and may not be part of the cuticular wax.

In this study, the homologues of all compound classes were present with chain lengths above C20. The dominating chain lengths of free fatty acids, aldehydes and primary alcohols were C28 and C30. The main n-alkanes were C25 and C29, the dominating alkyl ester homologues C44 and C46. Compared with the results obtained from EW of Pteridium subspecies (Baker and Gaskin 1987), the chain-length distributions of ester moieties in our material are more broad-ranged [see Additional Information: ester alcohols C20–C32; ester fatty acids C18–C32].

In spore extracts of 10 different Equisetum species, a series of long-chain α,ω-dicarboxylic acids (C10–C34) was detected (Rˇezanka 1998). In the present study, no dicarboxylic acids were found, indicating that synthesis of these compounds may be restricted to the spores.

Organ specificities and functional aspects of the Equisetum EW

The EW composition (Fig. 4A and B) showed organ-specific differences: compared with EW from whole sprouts (sterile, main shoots), the EW from the branches contained lower amounts of free fatty acids and alkyl esters but higher percentages of aldehydes (except E. sylvaticum).

Epicuticular waxes from fertile and sterile sprouts were analysed separately in the heterophyadic species: E. arvense, E. telmateia, E. sylvaticum. Fertile sprouts of E. arvense and E. telmateia both showed considerably higher proportions of alkyl esters and alcohols than the sterile ones, but much lower amounts of free fatty acids. In contrast, the differences in the EW of fertile vs. sterile sprouts of E. sylvaticum were minimal. This could reflect the semi-heterophyadic state of E. sylvaticum: after spore distribution, most of the fertile sprouts become green and develop into ‘normal’ sterile sprouts (Dostál 1984).

The detailed analysis of EW from organs of the fertile shoots revealed a markedly low percentage of aldehydes in the internodes of E. arvense and E. telmateia. This corresponds with the lack of clear EW structures on these parts of the sprouts (Fig. 3A and C), whereas well-developed EW crystals (platelets) on the leaf sheaths (Fig. 3B and D) are paralleled by a more balanced EW composition.

Aldehydes are an important constituent in most EW samples from the Equisetum plants. A considerable part of the aldehydes is present in polymeric form. Increasing evidence shows a stabilizing effect of polymeric aldehydes on EW crystals. Unlike monomeric constituents, polymeric aldehydes are insoluble in chloroform at room temperature but readily dissolve in hot solvents (Lamberton and Redcliffe 1960; Lamberton 1965; Haas et al. 2001; Riedel et al. 2003; Gorb et al. 2005). Epicuticular wax structures containing polymeric aldehydes are therefore degraded only partly by the action of the solvent at room temperature. Other substance classes of EW such as primary alcohols, alkanes and alkyl esters are preferably dissolved. In a subsequent extraction using hot chloroform, the aldehydes are the main fraction, with a significant portion of primary alcohols admixed. Such different results of subsequent extraction steps indicate the presence of aldehydes.

Polymeric aldehydes reinforce EW crystals against mechanical stress and weathering (Haas et al. 2001; Gorb et al. 2005). Structural integrity of wax crystals, however, is a prerequisite for water repellency. Non-wettable surfaces would prevent water from covering plant parts and especially the stomata with a continuous film. This feature of the EW therefore provides unhindered gas exchange through the stomata (Smith and McClean 1989; Brewer and Smith 1995).

Aldehydes were the dominating compound class in eight of 22 Equisetum EW extracts, and in six additional extracts they were an important fraction (Fig. 4A and B). The EW extracts with the lowest aldehyde content were those from surfaces without clearly structured EW: fertile sprouts of E. telmateia and E. arvense, as well as sterile sprouts of E. telmateia, show only few to no stomata and a smooth wax film on the internodes. In contrast, the stomatal areas (in Equisetum: subsidiary cell areas) are always covered with well-developed EW crystals, whereas wax on the adjacent regions often showed stages of degradation. Thus, a main function of the surface coverage consisting of intact EW crystals in Equisetum species should be water repellency; this ensures the normal function of stomata. One would expect that the greater the number of stomatal per surface area, the higher the amount of aldehydes in the total wax. In agreement with this, the branches of E. arvense, E. telmateia and E. fluviatile show high stomatal densities and high proportions of aldehydes in their EW. Because the branches contain most of the chlorenchyma in the plant, they are probably the sites
where gas exchange preferably occurs. *Equisetum sylvaticum* had considerable proportions of aldehydes in EW extracts of all plant parts (Fig. 4A and B), emphasizing the special status of this species.

High aldehyde contents were also found in EW from whole sprouts of the unbranched species in subgenus *Hippochaete* (*E. hyemale*, *E. variegatum* and *E. scirpoides*, Fig. 4A). From an ecological point of view, all species of horsetails are characterized as mesophytes or hygrophytes. In the species of subgenus *Hippochaete*, however, the stomata are positioned below the level of the epidermis, forming a stomatal crypt. This was interpreted as a xerophytic adaptation (e.g. Riebner 1925) because several taxa of this subgenus are evergreen and therefore need protection against water stress related to winter drought (e.g. *E. hyemale*) or to very high insolation in mountain regions (e.g. *E. variegatum* and *E. scirpoides*). A further factor in drought resistance could be the reduced cuticular transpiration due to increased EW covering. Approximate wax yields are clearly higher (10–25 μg cm⁻², Table 1) in species of subgenus *Hippochaete* compared with those in the deciduous and hardly drought-resistant species of the subgenus *Equisetum*.

**Taxonomic implications**

According to Hauke (1963, 1978), the genus *Equisetum* consists of 15 species grouped in the subgenera *Equisetum* and *Hippochaete*. Molecular phylogenetic studies support the integrity and distinctness of these two subgroups (Des Marais et al. 2003; Guillon 2004), although the infrasubgeneric relationships remain uncertain (see also Guillon 2007). A sister relationship of *E. arvense* and *E. fluviatile* is assumed in several studies (Page 1972; Duckett 1979; Veit et al. 1995; Des Marais et al. 2003; Guillon 2004). The EW composition, analysed in the present study, does not reflect this. Cluster analysis presents *E. arvense*, *E. telmateia* and *E. fluviatile* in one group [see Additional Information], and the MDS graph shows more or less equal distances between these three species (Fig. 5A). Based on the very low alkyl ester and high alkane contents, species of subgenus *Hippochaete* are positioned clearly apart from those of subgenus *Equisetum*, in agreement with the accepted classification. The cluster analysis revealed a higher similarity of *E. variegatum* and *E. scirpoides* EWs on the one hand and the two *E. hyemale* subspecies on the other (Fig. 5A) [see Additional Information]. The relative amounts of the compound classes are very similar (Fig. 4A) and, therefore, group differences are due to differences in chain-length distributions: for example, C₃₁ is the dominating chain length in *E. variegatum* and *E. scirpoides* EW, but C₂₉ is predominant in *E. hyemale* ssp. *hyemale* and *E. hyemale* ssp. *affine* EW (Table 2). The relationship found here is incongruent with the molecular phylogenies (Des Marais et al. 2003; Guillon 2004, 2007), but in agreement with the classification of Hauke (1963) where these taxa are placed in different subsections (`Perennantia/Homocormia`). The EW composition of *E. sylvaticum*, undoubtedly a species of the subgenus *Equisetum*, should be more similar to those of *E. arvense*/*E. telmateia* and *E. fluviatile* than to the subgenus *Hippochaete*. This, however, is not the case here. On the contrary, its wax composition is the most different to all other samples (Figs 4A and 5A), indicating that phylogenetic relationships are not generally reflected by the EW composition. This problem is well known. The biosynthesis of secondary metabolites has turned out to be much more variable than previously assumed. For example, environmental factors may influence both the kind and the amounts of metabolites produced. The genetic code for enzymes that catalyse steps of the biosynthetic pathway could be present without being expressed (e.g. Waterman 1998).

**Conclusions and forward look**

The EW biosynthesis in the subgenus *Hippochaete* seems to be comparatively homogeneous, with only little variability, whereas the subgenus *Equisetum* exhibits a much higher wax diversity and functional plasticity. Further studies designed to clarify these aspects in more detail should include more species, different developmental stages and different plant organs.

**Additional information**

The following additional information is available in the online version of this article –

Table: Lists the complete dataset of cuticular wax analysis with all detected chain lengths (even and odd).

Diagram: Shows the detailed results of hierarchical cluster analysis (Ward’s method) of the different EWs in the form of dendrograms (see groups in lines in Fig. 5). (a) Epicuticular wax from whole sprouts (main axis internodes and main axis leaf sheaths). (b) Epicuticular wax from specific *Equisetum* organs (fertile main axis internodes, fertile main axis leaf sheaths, sterile branches). Scale: rescaled distance.
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