

Erratum

For the paper “Breaking Disciplinary Boundaries in Studies of Audience Experience of Engagement with Art and Immersive Virtual Stories” by Agnieszka Wlazel (https://doi.org/10.1162/pres_a_00406), two changes were made at the proofreading stage that unintentionally altered the author’s intended meaning. Those sentences have been adjusted as follows:

On page 148, column 1, this sentence:

Verifiability depends on the context of the study, and logical proof of positivism is more appropriate than interpretivism’s focus on the unique and subjective.

Should be:

The context of the study defines if verifiable and logical proof of positivism is more appropriate than interpretivism’s focus on the unique and subjective.

And on page 151, this sentence:

The pilot study included the collection of quantitative data (heart rates and some of the questionnaire items: responses to a short post-experience questionnaire and script of the experience).

Should be:

The pilot study included the collection of quantitative data (heart rates and some of the questionnaire items) and two types of qualitative material (responses to a short post-experience questionnaire and script of the experience).