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Patients admitted to the intensive care unit (ICU) can develop a condition referred to as “ICU-acquired weakness.” This condition is characterized by profound weakness that is greater than might be expected to result from prolonged bed rest. Intensive care unit–acquired weakness often is accompanied by dysfunction of multiple organ systems. Individuals with ICU-acquired weakness typically have significant activity limitations, often requiring physical assistance for even the most basic activities associated with bed mobility. Many of these individuals have activity limitations months to years after hospitalization. The purpose of this article is to review evidence that guides physical rehabilitation of people with ICU-acquired weakness. Included are diagnostic criteria, medical management, and prognostic indicators, as well as criteria for beginning physical rehabilitation, with an emphasis on patient safety. Data are presented indicating that rehabilitation can be implemented with very few adverse effects. Evidence is provided for appropriate measurement approaches and for physical intervention strategies. Finally, some of the key issues are summarized that should be investigated to determine the best intervention guidelines for individuals with ICU-acquired weakness.
Intensive care unit–acquired weakness, as the name implies, develops in individuals who are admitted to the intensive care unit (ICU) for a variety of reasons, commonly including sepsis and acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS). Intensive care unit–acquired weakness may develop if an individual is on mechanical ventilation for as little as 4 to 7 days.1,2 Recent efforts have been directed at developing clear diagnostic criteria and characterizing the elements of physical rehabilitation while individuals are in the ICU.3 As such, it is timely to review the condition and physical rehabilitation strategies and to provide guidance regarding physical therapist examination, evaluation, intervention, and outcomes for individuals with ICU-acquired weakness.

The term “ICU-acquired weakness” is the most recent in a series of terms that have been used to describe this condition.5 Specific manifestations of this condition also are discussed under other terms, including “critical illness myopathy” (CIM), “critical illness polyneuropathy” (CIP), or a combination referred to as “critical illness polyneuropathy or traumatic neuropathies related to compression or direct injury due to invasive procedures.”2,5

In patients with CIP, needle electromyographic (EMG) examination of affected muscles shows different changes depending on the timing of testing.2 Initially, recruitment of motor units is reduced, but this finding may be subtle in patients with mild weakness and often is difficult to assess early in disease due to poor cooperation in patients who have encephalopathy or are sedated. As CIP progresses, motor axon loss results in spontaneous activity. This loss is followed weeks to months later by small-amplitude reinnervation potentials, which develop into enlarged motor unit potentials as reinnervation continues over months.2,3

For patients with CIM, in contrast to those with CIP, nerve conduction studies demonstrate preserved sensory nerve action potentials.2 Compound muscle action potential amplitudes may be reduced, and the duration of the potentials may be prolonged, reflecting slowed muscle fiber conduction velocity.9 In some patients, muscle may be electrically inexcitable, and no muscle fiber action potentials can be recorded even with direct electrical stimulation of muscle.10 If the patient is able to activate the affected muscles, needle EMG examination shows nonspecific myopathic changes of small-amplitude, short-duration, polyphasic motor unit potentials that recur in an early pattern.10 These morphological and recruitment changes are a reflection of the reduced force generated by unhealthy muscle fibers.

Changes in electrodiagnostic parameters during hospitalization may provide an early indication of evolving CIPNM.7 When electrodiagnostic testing is not available, ICU-acquired weakness has been inferred based on an average Medical Research Council (MRC) sum score of less than 48 (Tab. 1).3,11,12 This score is similar to an average manual muscle test (MMT) score of 4.0/5.0 based on the criteria of Kendall et al.14 The MRC has been validated and is reliable in individuals with peripheral and central nervous system dysfunction, as well as patients with critical illness.15 Weber-Carstens et al16 used CMAP following direct muscle stimulation to predict ICU-acquired paresis with a positive predictive value of 0.91, sensitivity of 83.3%, and specificity of 88.8%. These authors also used the CMAP of the peroneal nerve and found a positive predictive value of 0.69; pathological spontaneous activity of the tibialis ante-
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Table 1. Medical Research Council Scoring System

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strength of Muscle Groups for the Following Motions:</th>
<th>Strength Grades</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Shoulder abduction</td>
<td>5—normal muscle strength/power</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elbow flexion</td>
<td>4—active movement against gravity with resistance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wrist extension</td>
<td>3—active movement against gravity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hip flexion</td>
<td>2—active movement with gravity eliminated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knee extension</td>
<td>1—flicker/trace muscle contraction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ankle dorsiflexion</td>
<td>0—no active muscle contraction</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Performing a neuromuscular examination while the sedative agents have been stopped may improve the clinician’s ability to diagnose ICU-acquired weakness at an earlier time point.

Ouimet et al28 reported ICU delirium was linked to longer length of stay in both the ICU and hospital, as well as increased mortality for both. Hopkins and Jackson29 reviewed studies that evaluated neurocognitive outcomes following critical illness and found that associated cognitive changes negatively affected daily physical function, quality of life, and return to work. Schweickert et al30 reported that sedation vacation combined with early physical rehabilitation led to a shorter duration of ICU-associated delirium.

Evidence of delirium can be determined by the Confusion Assessment Method for the Intensive Care Unit (CAM-ICU).31 The CAM-ICU uses nonverbal tasks, including picture recognition, vigilance levels, and response to simple questions and commands, and has excellent interrater reliability (κ=.96).31 The Richmond Agitation Sedation Scale also can be used to describe a patient’s ability to interact.32 This 10-point continuous scale scores patients from −5 (unarousable) to +4 (combative). Interrater reliability is excellent (intraclass correlation coefficient=.92-.98), and the scale has been validated with the Ramsay Sedation Scale (r=−.78) and the Sedation Agitation Scale (r=.78).32

Prognosis

With regard to recovery of body systems, impairments of the respiratory, renal, and cardiovascular systems typically resolve.33,34 In contrast, recovery of neuromuscular impairments takes longer and may be incomplete. Even 6 months to 1 year after hospitalization, survivors frequently report weakness, fatigue,
and cognitive changes. Fletcher et al followed a group of individuals with critical illness, all of whom were diagnosed with sepsis, had experienced failure of at least 2 organs, and were in the ICU for longer than 28 days. At follow-up (median 42.5 months), 6 of the 22 patients (27%) had sensory deficits, 4 (18%) had motor weakness, and 3 (14%) demonstrated both sensory and motor deficits.

Recovery of functional ability also takes time and may be incomplete. Indeed, substantial activity limitations are common and can persist for years after discharge from the ICU, as indicated by greatly reduced 6-minute walk distance. In 2 studies of survivors of ARDS, the 6-minute walk distance was around 66% of the expected value at 1 year even after pulmonary function tests had returned to normal levels; similarly, Cheung et al reported that 6-minute walk distance remained at 68% of the expected values even at 2 years.

Overall participation and health-related quality of life likewise may be compromised, and limitations may persist long after ICU discharge. Herridge et al reported that at 1 year the 36-Item Short-Form Health Survey (SF-36) domain of physical role was 25% of the age- and sex-predicted normal values, physical function was 60%, and vitality was 55%. Cheung et al reported that for survivors of ARDS, at 1 year the SF-36 physical role was 30% of age- and sex-predicted values and physical function was 67%. At 2 years, these values were 60% and 80% of predicted values. As long as 6 years after discharge from the ICU, Kaarola et al reported poorer quality of life on the RAND 36-Item Health Survey (a measure similar to the SF-36) for subscores of physical function, pain, and general health categories. Finally, Latronico and colleagues performed a meta-analysis of 36 smaller studies of adult patients with a clinical and electrophysiological diagnosis of CIPNM. Although each of these studies had a mean sample size of only 8 patients, long-term outcomes were available on a total of 265 patients, with a mean duration of follow-up of 3 to 6 months. Only 68% of the patients eventually reported complete functional recovery. Twenty-eight percent of the

Figure 1.
Potential body/structure effects of critical illness. HR = heart rate, DVT = deep vein thrombosis.
patients had persistent, severe disability that impedes independent ambulation. Milder abnormalities such as muscle atrophy and foot drop were common even in those patients with apparent complete functional recovery.

Considerable information still is needed to further understand the prognosis of patients who have ICU-acquired weakness. For example, it is possible that electrophysiological testing in the ICU will help to differentiate patients who have true ICU-acquired weakness from those who have simple deconditioning. By differentiating these 2 groups of patients, it will be possible to better understand prognosis and appropriate physical intervention for people with true ICU-acquired weakness. Furthermore, much remains to be learned regarding the impact on prognosis for functional change, both during the acute phase of the illness and in long-term follow-up. Two studies that are underway (National Institutes of Health [R01 NR-11051; ClinicalTrials.gov registration: NCT01058421] and the Australian and New Zealand Clinical Trials Network [ACTRN 12605000776606]) have the potential to provide some of these answers.

In summary, long after resolution of the critical illness, individuals with ICU-acquired weakness clearly still may have substantial weakness and sensory deficits. These deficits are paralleled by limitations in activities, participation, and overall contextual factors. The extent of deficits is particularly troubling given the young age of many of these patients (mean age = 45 years[35–36]). Of further concern is the lack of an accepted systematic approach to assist these individuals in regaining functional ability or adjusting to their altered circumstances in the absence of full recovery. This concern was discussed in a recent Society of Critical Care Medicine conference report,[39] which described the need for greater awareness of ICU-acquired weakness for rehabilitation strategies across the continuum of care and for expansion of research and resources to address the long-term consequences experienced by patients and families.

Physical Management
A number of recent investigations provide guidance regarding rehabilitation strategies for people with ICU-acquired weakness. Although many questions yet remain and robust, randomized controlled physical intervention studies are needed, these investigations help to guide decisions regarding the following: (1) criteria for beginning physical rehabilitation, (2) measurement approaches, and (3) physical intervention strategies. Key findings are summarized below.

Criteria for Beginning Physical Rehabilitation
Sufficient evidence now is available to suggest that physical rehabilitation for individuals with ICU-acquired weakness can begin as soon as they have sufficient medical stability to accommodate the increased vascular and oxygen demands that accompany the physical examination and intervention.[30, 40–44] Figure 2, based on available reports, provides an algorithm for decisions throughout the encounter, beginning with the decision about when to begin rehabilitation and progressing through the intervention. The criteria are consistent with those in a recent recommendation by Hanekom et al,[45] based on a recent synthesis of evidence and expert opinion.

A number of studies have been conducted to examine the benefits and adverse effects of early physical rehabilitation for people in the ICU. As shown in Table 2, investigators used a wide range of criteria for including participants in their studies (eg, any patient in the ICU longer than 5 days,[44] patients on mechanical ventilation for at least 72 hours,[30, 43] patients on mechanical ventilation longer than 4 days[12]). The incidence of adverse effects in these studies was very low, ranging from less than 1% of sessions[41] to 16% of sessions,[45] depending on whether only more serious adverse events or all events that led to terminating the intervention early were taken into consideration. Criteria for stopping rehabilitation procedures are similar across studies. Figure 2 summarizes the criteria, based on a number of these studies, as well as guidelines from the American Physical Therapy Association.[46]

Based on the extent of multisystem involvement from the systemic inflammatory response, the medical acuity of patients in the ICU can fluctuate daily, hourly, and even by the minute. The condition can wax and wane, depending on the extent of the inflammatory responses. Hence, the first consideration in determining when to start physical rehabilitation is to ensure that the patient can tolerate rehabilitation from a physiological perspective. The moment-to-moment interpretation of a patient’s physiological response to activity is termed “response-dependent management.”[47] This approach recognizes that delivery of oxygen must equate to the consumption of oxygen by the body.[47] Cellular research has demonstrated that even passive range of motion and upright positioning can increase the body’s consumption of oxygen.[48] Therefore, ongoing assessment of physiological responses (Fig. 2), is critical to determine that the amount of oxygen delivered is sufficient to meet the physical demands of bed level of activity and to establish whether the patient can tolerate the increased...
Parameters Indicating a Lack of Readiness for Physical Therapy Interventions

**Pulmonary Measures**\(^{30,40-45}\)

- \(\text{SaO}_2\) < 88% or patient experiences a 10% oxygen desaturation below resting \(\text{SaO}_2\)
- Respiratory rate: > 35 breaths/min
- PEEP: > 10 cm H\(_2\)O
- \(\text{FiO}_2\) ≥ 0.6

**Cardiovascular Measures**\(^{30,40-45}\)

- Mean arterial pressure: < 65 or > 120 mm Hg or ≥ 10 mm Hg lower than normal systolic or diastolic blood pressure for patients receiving renal dialysis
- Resting heart rate: < 50 or > 140 bpm
- Systolic blood pressure: < 90 or > 200 mm Hg
- New arrhythmia developed (including frequent ventricular ectopic beats or new onset atrial fibrillation)
- New onset angina-type chest pain

**Laboratory Values**\(^{45,46}\)

- Hematocrit < 25% No exercise
- Hemoglobin < 8 g/dL No exercise
- Platelets < 20,000/mm\(^3\) No exercise
- Anticoagulation INR ≥ 2.5–3.0 Discuss with physician

**Metabolic Measures**\(^{23-25}\)

- Glucose levels < 70 or > 200 mg/dL

---

**Figure 2.**

Decision algorithm. \(\text{SaO}_2\) = oxyhemoglobin saturation, PEEP = positive end-expiratory pressure, \(\text{FiO}_2\) = fraction of inspired oxygen, INR = international normalized ratio.
Table 2.
Overall Examination Schema for Intensive Care Unit–Acquired Weakness and Related Conditions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Body Function/Structure</th>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Participation and Health-Related Quality of Life</th>
<th>Health Care Utilization</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Examination</td>
<td>FIM-based scoring for bed mobility, transfers, and gait</td>
<td>SF-36, RAND, CAM-ICU</td>
<td>ICU length of stay</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Vital signs at rest, during activity, after activity, and during recovery</td>
<td></td>
<td>Assessment of Quality of Life and Utility Instrument</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pulmonary function</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Grip dynamometry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Range of motion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Manual muscle testing/MRC sum score</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Deep tendon reflex</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CAM-ICU</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RASS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*FIM = Functional Independence Measure, SF-36 = 36-Item Short-Form Health Survey questionnaire, ICU = intensive care unit, FSS-ICU = Functional Status Score for the ICU, RAND = RAND 36-Item Health Survey, MRC = Medical Research Council, PFIT = Physical Function in the ICU Test, CAM-ICU = Confusion Assessment Method for the Intensive Care Unit, RASS = Richmond Agitation-Sedation Scale.*

demands of movement into sitting and other upright positions.

While patients are critically ill and on mechanical ventilation, a number of respiratory values are continuously monitored, several of which are particularly important to the physical therapist, who proceeds with the physical examination and intervention only if the patient is sufficiently stable (Fig. 2). The fraction of inspired oxygen (FIO2) level is generally determined by how much oxygen is necessary to maintain a patient’s oxyhemoglobin saturation (SpO2) at greater than 90%. Values for FIO2 range from 0% to 100%, with higher numbers indicative of greater oxygen needs by the patient. Because high levels of FIO2 are associated with risk for oxygen toxicity, it is important to balance patient safety with maintaining an SpO2 of greater than 90%.

Positive end-expiratory pressure (PEEP) refers to pressure required to prevent alveolar collapse at the end of expiration and usually will range from 0 to 24 cm H2O. Although there are no absolute contraindications regarding applied PEEP, there are risk factors such as increased intracranial pressure and intrapulmonary shunting. Additional ventilator settings may reflect degree of respiratory system compromise. Minute ventilation is a product of tidal volume and respiratory rate. When either high respiratory rate or large tidal volume is required to maintain oxygen demands, there is a risk of air trapping, which can lead to increased alveolar pressure at end of expiration. Higher tidal volumes are associated with barotraumas and increased risk of ventilator-associated pneumonia.

The clinician also should be aware of mean arterial pressure, which is the amount of pressure required to maintain tissue perfusion. Due to cardiovascular system impairments, patients who are critically ill may require noradrenaline or vasopressor/inotrope medication. Currently, there is no established protocol for mobility during the use of these medications; however, physical therapists should be aware of the use of these medications to maintain adequate blood pressure and cardiac output. Physical rehabilitation if patients received >0.5 µg/min of noradrenaline, and Morris et al withheld intervention if a new vasopressor agent was begun. Korupolu et al recommended careful evaluation regarding risk and benefit of mobility when a new vasopressor agent is begun or an increase in dose occurs within 2 hours of planned activity.

Many patients with ICU-acquired weakness have been sedated to maintain hemodynamic and pulmonary stability, often resulting in compromised cognition (ie, ICU delirium). Physical examination and intervention can be initiated as long as patients can follow one-step commands; however, they must be able to follow simple commands as outlined in Figure 2 in order to consider starting physical therapy interventions. If an individual is unable to maintain hemodynamic stability, active mobility may not be appropriate, although basic range of motion and bed mobility still are important.

**Measurement Approaches**
The elements of the examination are determined by the patient’s physical functional activity. A core group
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of tests and measures have been reported in many investigations, including tests of body structure and function, activities, and participation. Many of these measures have been used with people diagnosed with ICU-acquired weakness, and others have been reported in related populations but should be considered for those with ICU-acquired weakness. These tests and measures have been reported by investigators, both of early rehabilitation and for survivors of up to 5 years, and were recently discussed in a review of instruments by Elliott and colleagues. They are summarized in Table 2 according to the International Classification of Functioning, Disability and Health (ICF) categories of body structure and function, activities, and participation, as well as health care utilization.

Body structure and function. With regard to body structure and function, important measures include those related to strength, sensory status, DTRs, and respiratory status. Manual muscle testing and grip strength document the extent of muscle weakness. Hand-grip also has been associated with increased risk of mortality, loss of independence, and longer hospital and ICU stays. Furthermore, grip strength is used in the diagnosis of patients with ICU-acquired weakness. Data from Ali et al suggest that grip strength of less than 7 kg for women and 11 kg for men is indicative of ICU-acquired weakness.

Intensive care unit–acquired weakness may affect respiratory muscles as well as peripheral muscles. In addition, mechanical ventilation for as little as 18 hours is associated with alterations in force production and muscle atrophy of the diaphragm, potentially further compromising the respiratory system. Assessment of DTRs is indicated because CIP and CIM both are associated with altered reflexes. Thus, assessment of DTRs can assist clinicians in interpreting whether a patient’s weakness likely is associated with a primary dysfunction of the peripheral motor system or results from deconditioning due to bed rest or central nervous system damage. This distinction may be of importance in clinically differentiating patients who have critical care illnesses from those who are simply deconditioned, which, in turn, can assist the clinician in developing a realistic estimate of progress for motor and functional return and fall risk.

Assessment of the somatosensory system is not reported in most investigations, but is appropriate, given the impact of ICU-acquired weakness on that system. These findings assist the clinician in interpreting underlying causes of the patient’s functional difficulties and may help with the interpretation of the patient’s difficulties with specific activity limitations and in particular with difficulties of postural control.

Activity. Several tests and measures provide insight into the patient’s activity limitations and ability and are particularly useful for patients in the ICU. They include physical mobility components from the Functional Independence Measure (FIM), the Physical Function in the ICU Test (PFIT), and specific measures of gait and balance.

Physical mobility components of the FIM have been used to monitor basic functional activities of people in the ICU. Tasks of the FIM are scored from 1 (total assist) to 7 (completely safe and independent). However, several items of the total FIM (eg, gait, stair negotiation) often cannot be assessed in an ICU setting; hence, a total score typically cannot be given. Although reliability of individual item scores has not been established, some authors have used items from the FIM to quantify functional mobility tasks relevant for patients in the ICU.

The Functional Status Score for ICU (FSS-ICU) was developed specifically for use in this population, based on the FIM scoring system, but applied to tasks that are appropriate for patients in the ICU who are most limited. The tasks included in the FSS-ICU are rolling, supine-to-sit, sitting on edge of bed, sit-to-stand, and ambulation. Reliability and validity of this new measure have not yet been reported. The Acute Care Index of Function (ACIF) was developed to assess basic mobility and determine discharge locations for inpatients of neuromedical and surgical units. There are 4 subscales (mental status,
bed mobility, transfers, and mobility), with intraclass correlation coefficients of .98 to 1.00 for the total score and the subscales. This scale may have utility for patients who have ICU-acquired weakness.

The PFIT was specifically developed for use with patients who are critically ill and consists of 4 items: amount of assistance for the sit-to-stand maneuver, strength for shoulder flexion and knee extension, marching in place, and an upper-extremity endurance task of arm elevation to 90 degrees of shoulder flexion.\(^6\) The amount of assistance required to stand is rated from 0 (no physical assistance required) to 3 (assistance of 3 people required). Strength for shoulder flexion and knee extension is rated on the Oxford Muscle Test Scale (1–5). For marching in place, the examiners record the number of steps taken and the time required to complete these steps. For the upper-extremity endurance component, the number of times both upper extremities are lifted above 90 degrees of shoulder flexion is recorded as well as the time to complete this task. This test\(^6\) can be used to guide exercise prescription and as an outcome measure.

Given the potential sensory and neuromuscular changes with ICU-acquired weakness, it is appropriate to measure balance. A number of tests have been used in related populations (eg, Berg Balance Scale,\(^5\) Timed “Up & Go” Test\(^6\)), although outcome data have not yet been reported in patients with ICU-acquired weakness. In addition, given the long-term deficits associated with this disorder, the Five-Times-Sit-to-Stand Test,\(^7\) Two-Minute Walk Test\(^8\) (for people with substantial activity limitations), and Six-Minute Walk Test\(^9\) (for more active individuals) should be considered for this population.

An important consideration when choosing measures for people with ICU-acquired weakness is the variability in symptoms, both across individuals and within an individual across the episode of care. People with ICU-acquired weakness can have a continuum of deficits, sometimes changing rapidly over the course of hospitalization. Some patients are able to accomplish basic bed mobility, either independently or with assistance, but are not able to stand or walk for basic functional activities such as toileting. Other individuals have only minimal activity restrictions, such that they are able to walk independently or with standby assistance and are nearly ready for discharge to an assisted or independent living environment. Some measures, such as the components of the ACIF,\(^4\) FIM,\(^3\) and FSS-ICU,\(^2\) may be most appropriate for individuals with severe activity limitations who are not able to stand and walk, whereas other measures (eg, Six-Minute Walk Test,\(^8\) Berg Balance Scale\(^6\)) are most appropriate for patients who function at a higher level. Because patient status can change rapidly over the course of recovery from critical illness, the clinician may incorporate measurement of progressively more difficult tasks over the course of rehabilitation. Likewise, the investigator may include a series of measures, some of which are not appropriate for patients at initial enrollment into a study but are appropriate as the patient’s condition improves.

### Physical Intervention Strategies

A number of investigators have reported on intervention strategies for people with ICU-acquired weakness and related conditions. A primary focus of acute care physical therapy is to assist patients in regaining the ability to perform essential daily activities. Although function is the goal, patients who develop ICU-acquired weakness also require sufficient ability at the level of body systems in order to accomplish specific functional activities. As such, intervention strategies are indicated for the remediation of impairments of the cardiopulmonary, musculoskeletal, and neuromuscular systems. Specific strategies that have been reported for this population are summarized in Table 3. The choice of intervention is tailored, depending on whether the patient is fully awake, physiologically stable but functionally limited, or simply deconditioned.\(^4\) In addition to the general intervention strategies, several specific inter-
ventions have been investigated to prevent muscle atrophy in patients who are sedated and unable to actively participate in rehabilitation. For example, Zanotti et al71 investigated the effects of electrical stimulation plus active exercises for a group of patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease who were mechanically ventilated and initially unresponsive. The patients who received electrical stimulation plus exercise demonstrated greater strength gains and lower respiratory rates and were able to transfer to a chair earlier than the patients who received exercise only. Two studies have examined the ability of electrical stimulation to prevent muscle atrophy72,73 and the development of CINM.72,73 Gerovsili et al72 found that individuals treated with daily electrical stimulation had less cross-sectional muscle loss of the quadriceps muscle than a control group. Routsi et al73 found that individuals who received daily electrical stimulation were less likely to develop CIPNM, and weaning them from mechanical ventilation required significantly less time.

Recently, cycle ergometry has also been utilized for unresponsive patients. Burtin et al74 reported that patients who received cycle ergometry plus standard physical therapy interventions during the ICU stay demonstrated greater gains in quadriceps muscle strength and greater 6-minute walk distances compared with patients who received standard physical therapy interventions only.

Several authors75,76 have described the use of respiratory techniques (eg, deep breathing, pursed-lip breathing, pacing of breathing, inspiratory muscle training, assisted cough, and airway clearance techniques) in related populations. These approaches have not been reported in people with ICU-acquired weakness, but may be useful in this population to address the respiratory effects of prolonged mechanical ventilation.59 One of the most important decisions when working with patients in the ICU relates to how much to challenge them physically. Several investigators have reported the safety and feasibility of early physical intervention for patients who are critically ill.30,40–44

Another consideration relates to the order of the intervention strategies. Many of the published approaches30,40–44 described interventions that began with the easiest exercises and progressed to the more demanding exercises. Schwickert et al30 and Morris et al40 described an exercise progression that began in the supine position and progressed to upright mobility, including sitting and standing activities, and ultimately to gait. These authors used standard therapeutic exercise principles, progressing from passive to active to active-resisted exercises as the patient’s strength, endurance, and balance allowed. However, this progression may underestimate the patient’s actual capacity. Fatiguing the patient during the least demanding tasks may make it impossible to practice the more demanding and functionally relevant tasks. For this reason, Denehy and colleagues44,63 developed a protocol in which patients performed the most demanding tasks (eg, marching in place) first. As

### Table 3.
Physical Therapy Intervention Techniquesa

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rationale</th>
<th>Example of Techniques and Approaches</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Respiratory strategies</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respiratory weakness and difficulty being weaned from mechanical ventilation are common symptoms with this population. Difficulty with clearance of secretions may necessitate use of assisted cough techniques.</td>
<td>Costophrenic assisted cough, pursed-lip breathing, diaphragmatic breathing, scoop technique.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ROM</strong>10,40–44,77</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Due to the peripheral muscle weakness, patients will benefit from progression to active movement to regain strength.</td>
<td>Passive ROM, active ROM, active-assistive ROM, resisted ROM, PNF diagonals.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Patient education</strong>77</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New and overwhelming change in functional capabilities and strength may necessitate education regarding alternative ways to perform tasks and activities.</td>
<td>Pacing of activities, safety awareness to prevent falls, compensatory strategies to increase efficiency of movements.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Functional mobility training</strong>10,40–44,77</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Due to severe symmetrical weakness, patients may be unable to perform functional tasks. Some patients are unable to perform the most basic tasks, and others are unable to perform more complex tasks.</td>
<td>Bed mobility, balance, transfer training, gait, stair negotiation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Exercise prescription and training</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patients in the ICU are not able to undergo a traditional maximal or submaximal exercise test. Because of this limitation, intensity of exercise and activity depends on patient self-report of fatigue and can be based upon response-dependent management.47</td>
<td>Borg RPE Scale44,63, PFIT44,63, Two-Minute Walk Test77, Six-Minute Walk Test44</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a ROM = range of motion, PNF = proprioceptive neuromuscular facilitation, ICU = intensive care unit, RPE = Rate of Perceived Exertion, PFIT = Physical Function in the ICU.
time allowed, they proceeded to exercises that required less effort (eg, supine activities). There are merits to both approaches and insufficient data to determine which is more efficacious.

With regard to dose (intensity, duration, frequency), there is little available evidence to guide the physical therapist. Dean77 and Perme and Chandrahekar76 recommended shorter-duration and higher-frequency sessions. Perme and Chandrahekar76 described a treatment algorithm for patients in the ICU, not specifically with ICU-acquired weakness. For patients with the greatest acuity, they recommended 15 to 30 minutes, 1 to 2 times daily. Once patients move to a subacute level of medical care (eg, step-down units or medical floor), they can tolerate treatment sessions of longer duration. Perme and Chandrahekar76 advocated 30 to 60 minutes of physical therapy, 5 to 7 days per week.

Implications for Future Investigations

Recent studies have demonstrated the safety and feasibility of early intervention for patients while in the ICU and acute hospital.30,40–44 These studies also provide guidance for decisions regarding rehabilitation strategies, including both examination and intervention. A number of issues should be investigated to provide further guidance regarding the best physical rehabilitation strategies.

Given the acuity of these patients, physical therapists need to integrate information obtained from a systems-based examination with the medical acuity data. In addition to quantitative information, the physical therapist relies on moment-to-moment clinical reasoning skills to determine appropriateness for examination and intervention and to evaluate when and to what extent the patient can tolerate and benefit from increased activity load. Additional quantitative criteria are needed that can help guide these decisions.

With regard to the structure of the intervention, evidence is needed to determine whether intervention in the ICU should begin with the easiest or the most difficult tasks. With regard to discharge, several studies have shown that early physical rehabilitation leads to fewer days in the ICU40 and fewer overall hospital days30,40 and to fewer readmissions following hospital discharge.76 It is possible that people are discharged with more rehabilitation needs than was previously the case. This issue should be examined in future investigations. Additionally, evidence is needed to establish whether physical therapy interventions provided in the home setting have a positive impact on long-term functional outcomes.

Conclusions

Physical therapists play an important role in the restoration of function of people who have ICU-acquired weakness. This article reviews current evidence for physical therapy interventions with this population and discusses guidelines for safe implementation of physical therapy interventions and outcomes used to establish benefits. Evidence clearly indicates that physical rehabilitation can be implemented safely in people who have ICU-acquired weakness when appropriate guidelines are followed. A number of issues are identified that should be investigated in order for care to be most efficiently and appropriately implemented.
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