Anticoagulation in COVID-19

As hospitals worldwide continue to admit an influx of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) patients, the puzzling pathogenesis behind the witnessed mortality rates is progressively being pieced together. Aside from the established respiratory involvement, the cardiac system has recently been implicated, albeit with controversial mechanisms. New data from cohort studies and autopsies suggest a potential role for coagulopathy in COVID-19. Although the exact mechanism may likewise remain controversial, several clinical implications are now imperative for discussion.

In a retrospective multicentre cohort study from China, charts of 191 adult patients with

Figure 1 Tailored algorithm/protocol for the management of coagulopathy in COVID-19 patients. *High bleeding risk patients are excluded. Also exclude patients with platelet count <50 000; INR >2. **FEU, fibrinogen equivalent unit. ***Adjust enoxaparin dose for renal failure.
laboratory-confirmed COVID-19 were analysed. Of the 137 surviving patients, only one reported cardiac injury, in contrast to 32 of 54 (59%) of non-surviving patients. Aside from old age and sequential organ failure assessment scores, multivariable regression showed increasing odds of in-hospital death associated with D-dimer levels >1 μg/mL (P = 0.0033) on admission. Additionally, coagulopathy was common in 27 (50%) of 54 non-surviving patients, compared with 10 of 137 (7%) surviving patients (P < 0.0001). Since sepsis is known to be complicated by coagulopathy and is a potential controversial mechanism behind the high rates reported in COVID-19 patients, it should be mentioned that 38 (70%) of non-surviving patients had sepsis, compared with none of the surviving patients. Therefore, as per this cohort, sepsis in COVID-19 patients was not a necessary preceding condition for the development of coagulopathy.

Additionally, although COVID-19 patients seem to have coagulative abnormalities, they are not typical of disseminated intravascular coagulopathy (DIC) of the kind seen in sepsis or anticoagulant state of viral infections.4 Additionally, considering the long durations of bed rest for COVID-19 patients, venous thromboembolisms cannot be excluded as a possible source of coagulation.

In view of the current limited evidence, and the urgency of the topic, the decision to anticoagulate or not is contentious. In a retrospective study of 449 patients with severe COVID-19 in China, 99 of which received heparin [mainly low-molecular weight heparin (LMWH)] for at least 7 days, it was found that prothrombin time was positively correlated with 28-day mortality, and platelet count negatively correlated. Generally, no significant difference in 28-day mortality was found between heparin users and non-users (30.3% vs. 29.7%, P = 0.910). However, 28-day mortality of heparin users was lower than that of non-users in patients with D-dimer levels more than six-fold the upper limit of normal (32.8% vs. 52.4%, P = 0.017), and those with sepsis-induced coagulopathy scores >4 (40.0% vs. 64.2%, P = 0.029).5 It is important to note that the authors did not report specific doses here but rather stated that most patients were on prophylactic doses of heparin. Thus, it is important to investigate whether such data are applicable in centres where all admitted intensive care unit (ICU) patients generally receive deep venous thrombosis prophylaxis. The American Society of Haematology has also noted this fact in response to frequently asked questions.6 Despite this, the findings still highlight the potential role of anticoagulation in specific COVID-19 patients for improved mortality, and the need for a tailored approach.

Heparin has been implicated in binding to COVID-19 spike proteins as well as down-regulating interleukin-6 (IL-6),7 which has been shown to be elevated in COVID-19 patients.1 and thus unfractionated heparin or LMWH remains as the best choice of anticoagulant for admitted patients. It is possible that these patients may even require continued anticoagulation for a certain period of time following hospital discharge. We hereby recommend a patient-tailored algorithm that utilizes a specific stratification based on clinical and laboratory presentation to design a patient-specific anticoagulation regimen for admitted COVID-19 patients (Figure 1). We thus suggest implementing diagnostic tests for all admitted patients rather than for those with thrombotic complications only. It is important to note that the cut-off values for these diagnostic tests and the response to them continue to be a moving target as more data emerge on this unique pandemic.
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