Position Statement

Early detection for autism spectrum disorder in young children

Lonnie Zwaigenbaum, Jessica A. Brian, Angie Ip

Canadian Paediatric Society, Autism Spectrum Disorder Guidelines Task Force, Ottawa, Ontario

Correspondence: Canadian Paediatric Society, 100–2305 St Laurent Blvd, Ottawa, Ontario K1G 4J8.
E-mail info@cps.ca, website www.cps.ca

All Canadian Paediatric Society position statements and practice points are reviewed regularly and revised as needed. Consult the Position Statements section of the CPS website www.cps.ca/en/documents for the most current version. Retired statements are removed from the website.

Abstract

Autism spectrum disorder (ASD) is a life-long neurodevelopmental disorder, characterized by impairments in social communication, repetitive, restricted patterns of behaviour, and unusual sensory sensitivities or interests. ASD significantly impacts the lives of children and their families. Currently, the estimated prevalence of ASD is 1 in 66 Canadians aged 5 to 17 years. General paediatricians, family physicians, and other health care professionals are, therefore, seeing more children with ASD in their practices. The timely diagnosis of ASD, and referral for intensive behavioural and educational interventions at the earliest age possible, may lead to better long-term outcomes by capitalizing on the brain’s neuroplasticity at younger ages. This statement provides clear, comprehensive, evidence-informed recommendations and tools to help community paediatricians and other primary care providers monitor for the earliest signs of ASD—an important step toward an accurate diagnosis and comprehensive needs assessment for intervention planning.
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WHAT IS ASD AND HOW IS IT DEFINED IN THE DSM-5?

Autism spectrum disorder (ASD) is a neurodevelopmental disorder with onset in early childhood that is associated with a wide range of symptoms and ability levels. As defined by the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Fifth Edition (DSM-5) (1), ASD is an encompassing diagnostic category that includes two symptom domains: 1) social communication impairments, and 2) restricted, repetitive patterns of behaviours and interests. Other DSM-5 ASD diagnostic criteria are summarized in Table 1.

THE PREVALENCE, ETIOLOGY, AND RISK FACTORS FOR ASD

Prevalence

The prevalence of ASD has increased, from an estimated 1 in 1,000 children in Nova Scotia, an example cited 30 years ago (2), to a current estimate of 1 in 66 Canadians aged 5 to 17 years (1 in 42 males, and 1 in 165 females) (3). The degree to which rising ASD prevalence is due to a true increase in cases is not yet known. Improved detection and diagnosis, and the broadening of diagnostic criteria with successive versions of the DSM, are likely contributors to changes in prevalence estimates (4). Evidence suggests that ASD can be reliably diagnosed by 2 years of age in some children (5), though subtler cases may not present fully until later. Despite increasing awareness of early signs, the mean age of diagnosis remains 4 to 5 years of age (6). While males are diagnosed with ASD four times more frequently than females (4,5), the sex gap may be narrowing. Recognition is growing that some girls present with more subtle signs than boys (7–9).

Etiology and risk factors

The etiology of ASD is not completely understood, though recent findings suggest an interplay among genetic, epigenetic, and
environmental factors (10). Strong risk factors for ASD include male sex and positive family history. Recurrence risk estimates for younger siblings of children with ASD range from 7% to 19% (11,12) versus 1.5% in the general population (4). Recurrence varies by degree of familial relatedness. One recent Swedish study indicated a tenfold increase in relative risk when a full sibling has been diagnosed with ASD, as compared with a twofold increase when a cousin is affected (13). Other risk factors are summarized in Table 2 (10–19). The mechanisms associated with environmental exposure and ASD may include inflammation, oxidative stress, endocrine disruption and may be influenced by gene-related effects (15,16).

**Table 1. DSM-5 diagnostic criteria for ASD**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Domains</th>
<th>Criteria (with examples)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Impairment in social interaction and communication (all three subcriteria required)</td>
<td>Social and emotional reciprocity:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Abnormal and restricted, repetitive behaviours, interests, and activities (two of four subcriteria required)</td>
<td>Impairment of nonverbal behaviours:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Failure to develop and maintain relationships:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Stereotyped speech and behaviours:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Insistence on sameness/resistance to change:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Restricted, fixated interests:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hyper- or hypo-sensitivity to sensory input:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. Signs or symptoms must be present during early development but they may not be fully evident until later, when social demands exceed limited capacities, or they may be masked by learned strategies.  
4. Symptoms interfere with everyday functioning.  
5. Symptoms are not better explained by intellectual disability or global developmental delay.  
6. ASD may occur with or without medical, genetic, neurodevelopmental, mental or behavioural disorders, or an intellectual or language impairment.  
7. Level of severity for each of the two domains may be used to refine diagnosis: Level 1: Requiring support; Level 2: Requiring substantial support; Level 3: Requiring very substantial support. These levels may be difficult to determine at the initial time of diagnosis with very young children.

Data drawn from reference (1).  
ASD Autism spectrum disorder.

**‘RED FLAGS’ AND CLINICAL PRESENTATIONS OF ASD**

Overt behavioural signs of ASD are not generally present in the first 6 months of life. Prospective studies of high-risk infants suggest an emerging ASD prodrome in the latter half of the first year of life, which may include delayed motor control (e.g., persistent head lag), feeding and sleeping difficulties, and/or excessive reactivity or passivity (20,21).

Symptoms in the core domains of ASD usually emerge between 12 and 24 months. Initial presentations vary, and there is no one behavioural sign that rules an ASD diagnosis in or out.
Parents’ initial concerns may include language delay, lack of response when the child’s name is said, and limited eye contact. Other early warning signs at different stages of development are summarized in Table 3 (20–24). Children with ASD may appear relatively typical with respect to early social engagement and communication, then become withdrawn or lose communication or language skills by 18 months (22). For some children with more advanced language and cognitive skills, ASD signs are relatively subtle in the early years but become more apparent as they reach school age and begin to struggle with increasing social demands (20–23).

**DEVELOPMENTAL SURVEILLANCE AND SCREENING**

**Developmental surveillance**

Developmental surveillance is a flexible process whereby knowledgeable clinicians gather relevant information over time from multiple sources (including parents and by direct observation) toward the goal of identifying and addressing developmental concerns, including those related to ASD (25). The Canadian Paediatric Society (CPS) (26) and other professional organizations (27–38) recommend developmental surveillance at every scheduled health visit and any time a parent or caregiver raises concerns about a child’s language or other skills development. Developmental surveillance involves integrating information obtained from inquiry around parental concerns, clinical observations and, possibly, also incorporating standardized measures (e.g., parent questionnaires) to inform clinical impressions and decision making.

**Developmental screening**

Developmental screening involves a brief assessment using a standardized measure to identify children at increased risk for delay or disorder. Screens vary by format (e.g., parent report versus direct assessment of the child) and scope (‘broadband’ screens cover multiple developmental domains versus those specific to a particular domain or disorder). Developmental screening also varies by target population. Universal screening targets all children regardless of level of concern, while targeted screening selects a subpopulation based on preidentified risk factors.

Table 4 summarizes the age range, time required to complete, classification properties (i.e., sensitivity and specificity), and test performance of selected broadband developmental screens (39–44). Table 5 provides similar data for measures that identify children at risk for ASD. Screening implies a scoring criterion, whereby children who score above a pre-established ‘cut-point’ are classified as being at increased risk (‘screen-positive’). Clinicians may use clinical judgement when considering referral for further assessment, even when a child has a ‘screen-negative’ score. Considerations include clinical observations, parental concerns, and other suggestive factors, such as a positive family history.
Table 4. General developmental assessment tools

Parental questionnaires (For purchase except when specified)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Screening tool</th>
<th>Age range</th>
<th>Completion time</th>
<th>Test performance</th>
<th>Test sample</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ages and Stages Questionnaires (ASQ-3) (40)</td>
<td>1 month to 5.5 years</td>
<td>10–15 minutes</td>
<td>Se: 70–90% (40)</td>
<td>Children from diverse ethnic, socio-economic backgrounds</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Sp: 76–91%</td>
<td></td>
<td>language functions, social-emotional development, adaptive skills</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>300 items: 8 areas of functioning, including cognition and language</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>22 items: assesses emotional and behavioural development (No cost)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child Development Inventory (CDI) (41)</td>
<td>15 month to 6 years</td>
<td>30–40 minutes</td>
<td>Se: 80–100% (41)</td>
<td>Primarily White, working class community</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Sp: 94–96%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brief Early Childhood Screening Assessment (ECSA) (42)</td>
<td>18–60 months</td>
<td>1–5 minutes</td>
<td>Se: 89% (42)</td>
<td>Children from primary care</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Sp: 85%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nipissing District Developmental Screen (43) (new name ‘Looksee Checklist’)</td>
<td>1 month to 6 years</td>
<td>5 minutes</td>
<td>Se: 29–68% (43)</td>
<td>High-risk clinic referral group</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Sp: 58–88%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parents’ Evaluation of Developmental Status (PEDS) (44)</td>
<td>Birth to 8 years</td>
<td>2–10 minutes</td>
<td>Se: 91–97% (44)</td>
<td>Children from diverse ethnic, socio-economic backgrounds</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Sp: 73–86%</td>
<td></td>
<td>Expressive, receptive language, and articulation; gross motor, self-help,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>social-emotional, behavioural, and global-cognitive</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Se Sensitivity; Sp Specificity

CURRENT STATE OF EVIDENCE FOR ASD SCREENING

There have been several comprehensive reviews evaluating measures used as ASD screens, specifically for accuracy in particular test populations and contexts, and the evidence for (and against) their impact on age of diagnosis, access to intervention services, and long-term outcomes (21,23,45–47). ASD screening evidence has also been reviewed within previous ASD assessment guidelines (28,31,35) and by the US Preventative Services Task Force (USPSTF) (48).

Several conclusions can be drawn. First, ASD screening tools have been evaluated in community contexts (e.g., paediatric primary care practices) that accurately differentiated between toddlers with and without ASD (Table 4). Second, compared with an open-ended question regarding parental concerns, some screening tools (e.g., M-CHAT and the Infant Toddler Checklist [ITC]) detected ASD earlier and more consistently (49,50). Third, there is little evidence from clinical trials regarding how ASD screening influences diagnostic timelines and long-term outcomes. One published randomized clinical trial demonstrated younger diagnostic age by implementing the ‘Early Screen for Autism in Toddlers’ (51), although differences may have reflected collateral effects (e.g., engagement of community physicians) rather than the screen itself (52). The lack of clinical trial evidence was cited by the USPSTF when they found insufficient evidence to ‘assess the balance of benefits and harms of screening for ASD in young children for whom no concerns of ASD have been raised by their parents or a clinician’ (48).

One recent study using simulation models found that universal screening for ASD would not result in earlier diagnosis and treatment under the Canadian health care system (53). At time of writing, the outcomes of targeted ASD screening had not been assessed using clinical trial methodology. However, some evidence suggests that ASD screening may reduce social inequalities in accessing specialized services (54,55). Several authors (56–58) have challenged whether the balance of evidence justifies excluding screening from current practice. The potential benefits of using standardized ASD symptom inventories compared with open-ended inquiry into parental concerns remains an open question.

RECOMMENDATIONS

The following recommendations have been developed based on optimizing early ASD diagnosis and access to interventions,
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Screening tool</th>
<th>Age range</th>
<th>Completion time</th>
<th>Test performance</th>
<th>Test sample</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Questionnaires (parents, teachers)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modified Checklist for Autism in Toddlers, Revised with Follow-up (M-CHAT-R/F) (30,62)</td>
<td>16–30 months</td>
<td>5–10 minutes</td>
<td>Se: 85% (62) Sp: 91–99% PPV: 48% for ASD (20) PPV: 95% for any DD (23)</td>
<td>Low-risk toddlers screened at 18- and 24-month well-child visits</td>
<td>Two-stage: 20-item report with a follow-up interview (5–10 minutes). Assesses protodeclarative pointing, response to name, interest in peers, showing objects of interest to parents, imitation (No cost)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infant Toddler Checklist (ITC) (47,50,62)</td>
<td>8–24 months</td>
<td>5–10 minutes</td>
<td>Se: 93% (23,50) Sp: 83% PPV: 71–79% NPV: 88–99%</td>
<td>9- to 24-month-olds from general population</td>
<td>24 items: Evaluates gestures, eye contact, facial expressions, vocalizations (No cost)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social Responsiveness Scale – 2nd edn. Preschool (SRS-2- Preschool) (30,63)</td>
<td>2.5–4.5 years</td>
<td>15–20 minutes</td>
<td>Se: 75–78% Sp: 67–96%</td>
<td>442 children with and without ASD</td>
<td>65 items: Measures social awareness, reciprocal social communication, social anxiety, autistic traits and preoccupations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Autism Spectrum Rating Scales* (64)</td>
<td>2–15 years</td>
<td>5–20 minutes</td>
<td>Se: 92% (64) Sp: 89% PPV: 91% NPV: 89%</td>
<td>2,560 children normative sample</td>
<td>70 items: Assesses social and communication behaviours, self-regulation; Short-form version available (15 items, for 2- to 15-year-olds)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Interactive clinical tool</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Screening Tool for Autism in Two-Year-Olds* (STAT) (65)</td>
<td>24–36 months</td>
<td>20 minutes</td>
<td>Se: 92–95% (65) Sp: 73–85% PPV: 56% NPV: 97%</td>
<td>71 children with an older sibling with ASD or referred for ASD concerns</td>
<td>Assesses communication and social behaviours; 12 observed activities during 20-minute play sessions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rapid Interactive Screening Test for Autism in Toddlers* (RITA-T) (66)</td>
<td>18–36 months</td>
<td>10 minutes</td>
<td>Se: 100% (66) Sp: 84% PPV: 88%</td>
<td>61 toddlers from an early childhood clinic</td>
<td>Differentiates toddlers with ASD and those with DD/non-ASD</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Training required.

DD Developmental delay; NPV Negative predictive value; PPV Positive predictive value; Se Sensitivity; Sp Specificity.
objectives which are known to have positive impacts on outcome. Responsible, efficient use of health care resources, and risks related to possible misclassification (i.e., delayed identification of children with ASD, and inappropriate identification of children without ASD) have also been constant considerations.

All Canadian children should be monitored for early behavioural signs of ASD as part of general developmental surveillance.

Paediatricians, family physicians, and other health care providers should be familiar with early behavioural features of ASD (Table 3), and ask parents at every office visit whether they have any developmental concerns. A previous CPS statement recommended enhancing developmental assessment and parental education during the 18-month well-baby visit—a critical time when signs or symptoms of ASD often emerge (26).

Developmental surveillance includes collecting information on developmental concerns from parents and other care providers (e.g., grandparents and child care or toddler group staff). Relying exclusively on open-ended inquiry can under-identify children experiencing delays or disorders. Paediatric health care providers can use the Rourke Baby Record (RBR) to chart global development, physical examination data, immunization, nutrition, and other milestones (59).

Health care providers could also incorporate broadband measures to standardize information on development (Table 4) into everyday practice. However, because randomized clinical trials have not yet demonstrated that routine developmental screening for children with no preidentified risks improves outcomes, the Canadian Task Force on Preventive Healthcare (CTFPHC) has concluded that the evidence is insufficient to recommend routine screening (20,60).

It is important to recognize that the timing and clarity of early behavioural features may vary by the child’s characteristics. For example, children with milder symptoms and more advanced development tend to be diagnosed later (6). Therefore, surveillance for any behavioural features of ASD should continue throughout childhood.

Children identified as being at increased risk for ASD should receive an early, focused evaluation to determine need for further diagnostic assessment.

When developmental surveillance indicates a possible risk for ASD, further in-depth assessment is needed. Vigilance is needed for children with known risk factors (Table 2), because the overall prevalence of ASD is higher in these children. This stage of assessment, which is more intensively ASD-focused, should include a standardized measure of ASD symptoms (Table 5) (20,23,30,47,50,61–65). Either a parent questionnaire (i.e., M-CHAT-R/F or ITC) or, in communities where trained personnel are available, an interactive tool (e.g., STAT) could be used. Detailed information about symptomology complements parental and clinical observations and helps to guide next steps.

Children who meet scoring criteria according to a standardized ASD symptom measure, or whose clinical presentation indicates a high index of suspicion to their health care provider, should proceed to a diagnostic assessment, either by a community paediatrician or a specialized team (Figure 1 and the companion statement, Standards of Diagnostic Assessment for ASD, published in this issue). At-risk children should also be referred immediately for local early intervention services (e.g., infant development, speech-language therapy, occupational therapy, targeted preschool support), depending on level of need and the local service model, pending a diagnostic assessment.

To ensure that ASD diagnostic assessment is timely, accurate, and efficient, a flexible clinical pathway is proposed. This approach is tailored to the complexity of a child’s clinical presentation, a health care provider’s personal experience and clinical judgment, and the availability and scope of local health care resources (Figure 1). A paediatric health care provider may choose to make or rule out an ASD diagnosis independently, based on DSM-5 criteria and clinical judgment, or to collaborate with another professional (e.g., a paediatric [sub]specialist or child psychologist) to confirm a diagnosis (a shared care model).

When a child’s presentation is complicated by co-existing concerns, or a complex medical or psychosocial history, the community practitioner may refer the child to an expert team. Parents should be advised that their child will be referred for and receive supportive community interventions (such as speech/language or occupational therapy, or a preschool program), while waiting for a diagnostic assessment, and beyond.

- When referring a child for an ASD diagnostic assessment, include the following in the referral letter:
  - Parental or health care professional reports of signs or symptoms of ASD, developmental delays or concerns, missed developmental milestones, abnormal behaviours, plus any general development or ASD screening results
  - Clinical observations of signs or symptoms of ASD
  - Antenatal and perinatal histories
  - Developmental milestones achieved
  - Any specific risk factors for ASD
  - Relevant medical history and investigations
  - Information from previous assessments
- Be sure to explain to parents what they can expect during any stage of the assessment process. Invite questions, and counsel with printed or online resources and contact information about local family or peer-to-peer support groups.
- Discuss concerns, fears, and feelings about the possibility of an ASD diagnosis with parents. Be sensitive to distress related to developmental concerns and potential impacts on family life. Be mindful of family vulnerability, fears for the future, and financial pressures, as they work through the assessment process.
For other clinical steps to take or initiate before a formal diagnosis is obtained, see the companion statement Standards of Diagnostic Assessment for ASD, published in this issue.

When ASD-focused surveillance does not indicate need for further diagnostic assessment, but other developmental concerns remain:

- Address these concerns directly with parents and continue ongoing developmental surveillance.
- Refer the child and parents for early, development supportive services or interventions, as appropriate.
- Revisit the need for ASD-focused monitoring if needed, and for surveillance of other developmental assessments, as concerns evolve.

How to prepare for the first office visit with a child suspected of having ASD (66,67)

- Consider scheduling a telephone call with a parent in advance of the first visit, to discuss the child’s:
  o Medical and developmental history, with related family factors
• Strengths and challenges
• Sensory sensitivities that might influence behaviour within the office environment; and
• Strategies to optimize compliance during the clinical visit.

- Consider inviting both patient and parent for a ‘practice visit’ to familiarize the child with the care setting.
- Consider scheduling the child for the first (or last) appointment of the day, when there are fewer people in the waiting room, to minimize wait time.
- Schedule a longer appointment than for a typically developing child.
- Advise parent(s) to bring a couple of favourite toys or foods to offer as a distraction or reward, if needed.
- Consider re-arranging the examination room to accommodate sensory sensitivities (i.e., quiet, with dim lights).

Recommended resources:

- Autism Society: www.autismcanada.org
- Autism Speaks: www.autismspeaks.ca
- BMJ Best Practice Autism Spectrum Disorder: https://bestpractice.bmj.com/topics/en-us/379
- Canadian Paediatric Society, Caring for Kids website: Developmental milestones: (https://www.caringforkids.cps.ca/handouts/your_childs_development)
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