

References

- Abbott, K. W., Green, J. F., & Keohane, R. O. (2016). Organizational ecology and institutional change in global governance. *International Organization*, 70(2), 247–277. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0020818315000338>
- Abbott, K. W., & Snidal, D. (2000). Hard and soft law in international governance. *International Organization*, 54(3), 421–456. <https://doi.org/10.1162/002081800551280>
- Abbott, K. W., & Snidal, D. (2010). International regulation without international government: Improving IO performance through orchestration. *Review of International Organizations*, 5(3), 315–344. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11558-010-9092-3>
- Abella, A., Ortiz-de-Urbina-Criado, M., & De Pablos-Herederó, C. (2017). A model for the analysis of data-driven innovation and value generation in smart cities' ecosystems. *Cities*, 64, 47–53.
- Abrahamsen, R. (2004). The power of partnerships in global governance. *Third World Quarterly*, 25(8), 1453–1467. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0143659042000308465>
- Abu-Shanab, E. A. (2015). Reengineering the open government concept: An empirical support for a proposed model. *Government Information Quarterly*, 32(4), 453–463.
- Adamtey, N. (2016). *Ghana end of term report 2013–2014*. Washington, DC: Open Government Partnership.
- Adjami, M., & Wannenwestch, S. (2017). Promoting private sector engagement in the Open Government Partnership: A discussion paper. International Centre for Collective Action, June 2017. https://baselgovernance.org/sites/default/files/2019-02/promoting_private_sector_engagement_in_the_open_government_partnership_-_basel_institute_-_june_2017-2.pdf
- Adler, S. (2015). OGP needs a reboot. LinkedIn, December 2, 2015. <https://www.linkedin.com/pulse/ogp-needs-reboot-steven-adler/>
- Ahmed, A. (2017). Mexico's government is blocking its own anti-corruption drive, commissioners say. *New York Times*, December 2, 2017. <https://www.nytimes.com/2017/12/02/world/americas/mexico-corruption-commission.html>

- Aitamurto, T., & Landemore, H. (2016). Crowdsourced deliberation: The case of the law on off-road traffic in Finland. *Policy & Internet*, 8(2), 174–196.
- Alianza para el Gobierno Abierto. (2012). Alianza para el Gobierno Abierto: Plan de Acción Ampliado. May 31, 2012. <http://gobabiertomx.org/wp-content/uploads/2014/08/Plande-Accion-C3%B3n-Ampliado.docx>
- Alianza para el Gobierno Abierto. (2014). *Plan de Acción 2013–2015 México: Una nueva relación entre sociedad y gobierno*. January 29, 2014. https://www.opengovpartnership.org/wp-content/uploads/2019/06/pa_aga_2015-1.pdf
- Alianza para el Gobierno Abierto. (2015). Señalamientos del núcleo de sociedad civil de la Alianza para el Gobierno Abierto (AGA) sobre los ejercicios locales de gobierno abierto impulsados por el IFAI. May 5, 2015.
- Alonso, J. (2011). *Open data: Seeing well beyond the portals*. WebFoundation, September 21, 2011. <https://webfoundation.org/2011/09/open-data-seeing-well-beyond-the-portals/>
- Álvarez Córdoba, Francisco Raúl. (2016). Las alianzas de gobiernos subnacionales en México: La Iniciativa de gobiernos abiertos subnacionales en México. In I. Luna Pla, J. A. Bojórquez Pereznieto, and A. Hofmann (Eds.), *Gobierno Abierto: El valor social de la información pública*, 195–203. Mexico City: Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México.
- Amnesty International. (2017). “If you are poor, you are killed:” *Extrajudicial executions in the Philippines’ “War on Drugs.”* London: Amnesty International.
- Andonova, L. B. (2017). *Governance entrepreneurs: International organizations and the rise of global public-private partnerships*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Andonova, L. B., Hale, T. N., & Roger, C. B. (2017). National policy and transnational governance of climate change: Substitutes or complements? *International Studies Quarterly*, 61(2), 253–268. <https://doi.org/10.1093/isq/sqx014>
- Andrews, M., Pritchett, L., & Woolcock, M. (2013). Escaping capability traps through problem driven iterative adaptation (PDIA). *World Development*, 51, 234–244. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.worlddev.2013.05.011>
- Ansell, C., & Gash, A. (2008). Collaborative governance in theory and practice. *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory*, 18(4), 543–571. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jopart/mum032>
- Aristegui Noticias. (2014). La casa blanca de Enrique Peña Nieto. November 9, 2014. <https://aristeguinoicias.com/0911/mexico/la-casa-blanca-de-enrique-pena-nieto>
- Arreola, A. G. (2013). Comments on the IRM Mexico report. Open Government Partnership Blog, October 22, 2013. <https://www.opengovpartnership.org/stories/comments-on-the-irm-mexico-report/>
- Artículo 19. (2015). Libertad de expresión en venta. Article 19. <https://www.scribd.com/doc/276117398/Libertad-de-expresion-en-venta>
- Atlas Network. (2017). 2017 Templeton Freedom Award winner. <https://web.archive.org/web/20210308180542/https://www.atlasnetwork.org/grants-awards/awards/the-2017-templeton-freedom-award>

- Attard, J., Orlandi, F., Scerri, S., & Auer, S. (2015). A systematic review of open government data initiatives. *Government Information Quarterly*, 32(4), 399–418. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.giq.2015.07.006>
- Avant, D., and Westerwinter, O. (Eds.). (2016). *The new power politics: Networks and transnational security governance*. Cambridge: Oxford University Press.
- Avendaño, C. O. (2011). Aquino stars in New York. *Inquirer*. <https://globalnation.inquirer.net/13179/aquino-stars-in-new-york>
- Bach, D., & Newman, A. L. (2010). Transgovernmental networks and domestic policy convergence: Evidence from insider trading regulation. *International Organization*, 64(3), 505–528. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0020818310000135>
- Bach, T., van Thiel, S., Hammerschmid, G., & Steiner, R. (2017). Administrative tradition and management reforms: A comparison of agency chief executive accountability in four Continental Rechtsstaat countries. *Public Management Review*, 19(6), 765–784.
- Bäckstrand, K. (2006). Democratizing global environmental governance? Stakeholder democracy after the World Summit on Sustainable Development. *European Journal of International Relations*, 12(4), 467–498. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1354066106069321>
- Bagozzi, B. E., Berliner, D., & Almquist, Z. W. (2019). When does open government shut? Predicting government responses to citizen information requests. *Regulation & Governance*, 15(2), 280–297. <https://doi.org/10.1111/rego.12282>
- Baier, V. E., March, J. G., & Saetren, H. (1986). Implementation and ambiguity. *Scandinavian Journal of Management Studies*, 2(3–4), 197–212.
- Banisar, D. (2006). The right to information in the age of information: Human rights in the global information society. In W. J. Drake & E. J. Wilson III (Eds.), *Human rights in the global information society* (pp. 73–89). Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Bartoo, V. (2018). Kenya's Elgeyo Marakwet County hosts Africa OGP convention. Open Government Partnership, May 29, 2018. <https://www.opengovpartnership.org/stories/kenyas-elgeyo-marakwet-county-hosts-africa-ogp-convention/>
- Bates, J. (2014). The strategic importance of information policy for the contemporary neoliberal state: The case of Open Government Data in the United Kingdom. *Government Information Quarterly*, 31(3), 388–395. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.giq.2014.02.009>
- Baumgartner, F. R., & Jones, B. D. (1991). Agenda dynamics and policy subsystems. *Journal of Politics*, 53(4), 1044–1074.
- Baumgartner, F. R., & Jones, B. D. (2010). *Agendas and instability in American politics*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Bearce, D. H., & Bondanella, S. (2007). Intergovernmental organizations, socialization, and member-state interest convergence. *International Organization*, 61(4), 703–733. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0020818307070245>
- Beer, C. (2001). Assessing the consequences of electoral democracy: Subnational legislative change in Mexico. *Comparative Politics*, 33(4), 421–440. <https://doi.org/10.2307/422442>

- Bellows, A. & Zohdy, N. (2020). *Is the coronavirus catalyzing new civic collaborations for open government?* Washington, DC: Carnegie Foundation.
- Benito, B., & Bastida, F. (2009). Budget transparency, fiscal performance, and political turnout: An international approach. *Public Administration Review*, 69(3), 403–417.
- Berliner, D., Bagozzi, B. E., & Palmer-Rubin, B. (2018). What information do citizens want? Evidence from one million information requests in Mexico. *World Development*, 109, 222–235. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.worlddev.2018.04.016>
- Berliner, D., Bagozzi, B., Palmer-Rubin, B., & Erlich, A. (2020). The political logic of government disclosure: Evidence from information requests in Mexico. *Journal of Politics*, 83(1), 229–245. <https://doi.org/10.1086/709148>
- Berliner, D., & Erlich, A. (2015). Competing for transparency: Political competition and institutional reform in Mexican states. *American Political Science Review*, 109(1), 110–128. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0003055414000616>
- Berliner, D., Ingrams, A., & Piotrowski, S. J. (2018). The future of FOIA in an open government agenda for freedom of information policy and implementation. *Villanova Law Review*, 63(5), 867–894.
- Berliner, D., Ingrams, A., & Piotrowski, S. J. (2021). Process effects of multistakeholder institutions: Theory and evidence from the Open Government Partnership. *Regulation & Governance*. <https://doi.org/10.1111/rego.12430>
- Berliner, D., & Prakash, A. (2012). From norms to programs: The United Nations Global Compact and global governance. *Regulation & Governance*, 6(2), 149–166. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1748-5991.2012.01130.x>
- Berliner, D. & Prakash, A. (2014). The United Nations global compact: An institutionalist perspective. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 122(2), 217–223.
- Berliner, D., & Prakash, A. (2015). “Bluewashing” the firm? Voluntary regulations, program design, and member compliance with the United Nations Global Compact. *Policy Studies Journal*, 43(1), 115–138. <https://doi.org/10.1111/psj.12085>
- Berliner, D., & Wehner, J. (2022). Audits for accountability: Evidence from municipal by-elections in South Africa. *The Journal of Politics*. <https://doi.org/10.1086/716951>
- Bernauer, T., Kalbhenn, A., Koubi, V., & Spilker, G. (2013). Is there a “depth versus participation” dilemma in international cooperation? *Review of International Organizations*, 8(4), 477–497. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11558-013-9165-1>
- Bernstein, S., & Hoffmann, M. (2018). The politics of decarbonization and the catalytic impact of subnational climate experiments. *Policy Sciences*, 51(2), 189–211. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11077-018-9314-8>
- Bevir, M., Rhodes, R. A., & Weller, P. (2003). Traditions of governance: Interpreting the changing role of the public sector. *Public Administration*, 81(1), 1–17.

- Bhaumik, A. (2011). India chooses supremacy of Parliament over open govt. *Deccan Herald*, August 30, 2011. <https://web.archive.org/web/20161228061231/https://www.deccanherald.com/content/187234/india-chooses-supremacy-parliament-over.html>
- Bingham, L. B., Nabatchi, T., & O’Leary, R. (2005). The new governance: Practices and processes for stakeholder and citizen participation in the work of government. *Public Administration Review*, 65, 547–558. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-6210.2005.00482.x>
- Bio, D. (2019). La Argentina sigue sin Defensor del Pueblo: cuál era su función y por qué el puesto está vacante desde 2009. Infobae. <https://www.infobae.com/sociedad/2019/09/28/la-argentina-sigue-sin-defensor-del-pueblo-cual-era-su-funcion-y-por-que-el-puesto-estavacante-desde-2009/>
- Birchall, C. (2011). Introduction to “secrecy and transparency”: The politics of opacity and openness. *Theory, Culture & Society*, 28(7–8), 7–25.
- Birkinshaw, P. (1997). Freedom of information and open government: The European community/union dimension. *Government Information Quarterly*, 14(1), 27–49. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0740-624X\(97\)90050-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0740-624X(97)90050-2)
- Blessett, B., Dodge, J., Edmond, B., Goerdel, H. T., Gooden, S. T., Headley, A. M., Riccucci, N. M., & Williams, B. N. (2019). Social equity in public administration: A call to action. *Perspectives on Public Management and Governance*, 2(4), 283–299. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ppmgov/gvz016>
- Blomeyer & Sanz. (2017). 2017 final report: Review of OGP’s independent reporting mechanism. Open Government Partnership, December 12, 2017. https://www.opengovpartnership.org/wp-content/uploads/2018/03/IRM-Review-Final-Report_Blomeyer-Sanz_20171212.pdf
- Boin, A., & t’Hart, P. T. (2003). Public leadership in times of crisis: Mission impossible? *Public Administration Review*, 63(5), 544–553.
- Bond Anti-Corruption Group. (2018). *Bond Anti-Corruption Group report on UK compliance with the UN Convention against Corruption (UNAC) Second Cycle Review, Chapters II (Prevention) and V (Asset Recovery)*. London: Bond. <https://www.bond.org.uk/resources/bond-anti-corruption-group-report-on-uk-compliance-with-the-un-convention-against>
- Bookman, Z., & Guerrero Amparán, J. P. (2009). Two steps forward, one step back: Assessing the implementation of Mexico’s Freedom of Information Act. *Mexican Law Review*, 1(2), 4–51.
- Boone, A. L., & White, J. T. (2015). The effect of institutional ownership on firm transparency and information production. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 117(3), 508–533.
- Borge Bravo, R., & Esteve del Valle, M. (2017). Opinion leadership in parliamentary Twitter networks: A matter of layers of interaction? *Journal of Information Technology & Politics*, 14(3), 263–276.
- Borrás, S., & Radaelli, C. M. (2011). The politics of governance architectures: creation, change and effects of the EU Lisbon Strategy. *Journal of European Public Policy*, 18(4), 463–484.
- Borrmann, R. W. (2019). Post data #2. Gobierno Abierto en Argentina (2015–2019). <https://www.linkedin.com/pulse/post-data-2-rudi-werner-borrmann/?originalSubdomain=es>

- Bovens, M. (2007). Analysing and assessing accountability: A conceptual framework 1. *European Law Journal*, 13(4), 447–468.
- Bovens, M., Goodin, R. E., & Schillemans, T. (Eds.). (2014). *The Oxford handbook of public accountability*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Bowman, J. S., & Stevens, K. A. (2013). Public pay disclosure in state government: An ethical analysis. *American Review of Public Administration*, 43(4), 476–492.
- Brandeis, L. (1971). *Other people's money*. New York: A. M. Kelly.
- Brewer, G. A., & Kellough, J. E. (2016). Administrative values and public personnel management: Reflections on civil service reform. *Public Personnel Management*, 45(2), 171–189.
- Brockmyer, B., & Fox, J. A. (2015). Assessing the evidence: The effectiveness and impact of governance-oriented multi-stakeholder initiatives. *Transparency & Accountability Initiative*, September 2015.
- Bryson, J. M., Crosby, B. C., & Bloomberg, L. (2014). Public value governance: Moving beyond traditional public administration and the new public management. *Public Administration Review*, 74(4), 445–456. <https://doi.org/10.1111/puar.12238>
- Calland, R. (2011). Blow the whistle at your peril. *Mail & Guardian*. <https://mg.co.za/article/2011-10-17-blow-the-whistle-at-your-peril/>
- Callander, S. (2011). Searching and learning by trial and error. *American Economic Review*, 101(6), 2277–2308.
- Cameron, B. (2015). *Battling a cancer: Tackling corruption in Peru, 2011–2014*. Innovations for Successful Societies, Princeton University. <https://successfulsocieties.princeton.edu/publications/battling-cancer-tackling-corruption-peru>
- Castells, M. (2008). The new public sphere: Global civil society, communication networks, and global governance. *ANNALS of the American Academy of Political and Social Science*, 616(1), 78–93. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0002716207311877>
- Catlaw, T. J., & Sandberg, B. (2014). “Dangerous government”: Info-liberalism, active citizenship, and the open government directive. *Administration and Society*, 46(3), 223–254. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0095399712461912>
- Charles, M. B., de Jong, W., & Ryan, N. (2011). Public values in Western Europe: A temporal perspective. *American Review of Public Administration*, 41(1), 75–91.
- Chayes, A., & Chayes, A. H. (1993). On compliance. *International Organization*, 47(2), 175–205. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0020818300027910>
- Cheung, A. B. (2005). The politics of administrative reforms in Asia: Paradigms and legacies, paths and diversities. *Governance*, 18(2), 257–282.
- Christensen, T., & Lægread, P. (2007). The whole-of-government approach to public sector reform. *Public Administration Review*, 67(6), 1059–1066.

- Civicus. (2016). *Open Government Partnership undermined by threats to civil society*. December 2, 2016 [press release]. <https://www.civicus.org/index.php/media-resources/media-releases/2664-open-government-partnership-undermined-by-threats-to-civil-society>
- Clark, D. (1996). Open government in Britain: Discourse and practice. *Public Money & Management*, 16(1), 23–30.
- Clemente, A. (2016). 23 entidades se suman a la iniciativa Gobierno Abierto del INAI. *El Financiero*, December 22, 2016. <http://www.elfinanciero.com.mx/nacional/23-entidades-se-suman-a-la-iniciativa-gobierno-abierto-del-inai>
- Cobb, P. D., & Rubin, B. A. (2006). Contradictory interests, tangled power, and disorganized organization. *Administration & Society*, 38(1), 79–112.
- Coglianesi, C. (2009). The transparency president? The Obama administration and open government. *Governance*, 22(4), 529–544. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-0491.2009.01451.x>
- Cole, A., & Jones, G. (2005). Reshaping the state: Administrative reform and new public management in France. *Governance*, 18(4), 567–588.
- Collier, R. B., & Collier, D. (1979). Inducements versus constraints: Disaggregating “corporatism.” *American Political Science Review*, 73(4), 967–986. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1953982>
- Cordis, A. S., & Warren, P. L. (2014). Sunshine as disinfectant: The effect of state freedom of information act laws on public corruption. *Journal of Public Economics*, 115, 18–36.
- Corrigan, T., & Gruzid, S. (2018). *Civil society participation in the open government partnership (OGP)*. Washington, DC: United States Agency for International Development.
- Criado, J. I. (2012). Interoperability of e government for building intergovernmental integration in the European Union. *Social Science Computer Review*, 30(1): 37–60.
- Cucciniello, M., Porumbescu, G. A., & Grimmelhuijsen, S. (2017). Twenty-five years of transparency research: Evidence and future directions. *Public Administration Review*, 77(1), 32–44.
- Curtin, D., & Meijer, H. (1995). The principle of open government in Schengen and the European Union: Democratic retrogression? *Common Market Law Review*, 32(2), 391–442.
- Dai, X. (2005). Why comply? The domestic constituency mechanism. *International Organization*, 59(2), 363–398. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0020818305050125>
- Danida. (n.d.). *Denmark's development cooperation*. Ministry of Foreign Affairs of Denmark. <http://myanmar.um.dk/en/danida-en/>
- D'Artigues, K. (2016). 16 días para cambiar a México. *El Universal*, April 12, 2016. <https://web.archive.org/web/20160415134505/https://www.eluniversal.com.mx/entrada-de-opinion/columna/katia-dartigues/nacion/2016/04/12/16-dias-para-cambiar-mexico>
- David-Barrett, E., & Okamura, K. (2016). Norm diffusion and reputation: The rise of the extractive industries transparency initiative. *Governance*, 29(2), 227–246.

- De Blasio, E., & Selva, D. (2016). Why choose open government? Motivations for the adoption of Open Government policies in four European countries. *Policy & Internet*, 8(3), 225–247.
- de Bruijn, H. D., & Dicke, W. (2006). Strategies for safeguarding public values in liberalized utility sectors. *Public Administration*, 84(3), 717–735.
- De Búrca, G., Keohane, R. O., & Sabel, C. (2014). Global experimentalist governance. *British Journal of Political Science*, 44(3), 477–486. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0007123414000076>
- De Graaf, G., Huberts, L., & Smulders, R. (2016). Coping with public value conflicts. *Administration & Society*, 48(9), 1101–1127.
- del Carmen Nava, M. (2014). Parlamento abierto en México: Un recuento. *Animal Politico*, September 9, 2014. <https://www.animalpolitico.com/vision-legislativa/parlamento-abierto-en-mexico-un-recuento/>
- Demortain, D. (2004). Public organizations, stakeholders and the construction of publicness. Claims and defence of authority in public action. *Public Administration*, 82(4), 975–992.
- Denhardt, J. V., & Denhardt, R. B. (2015). The new public service revisited. *Public Administration Review*, 75(5), 664–672.
- Denhardt, R. B., & Denhardt, J. V. (2000). The new public service: Serving rather than steering. *Public Administration Review*, 60(6), 549–559.
- Development Gateway, Inc., & Opening Contracting Partnership. (2017). *Open contracting scoping study: Ghana country report*. Washington, DC: Development Gateway. <https://developmentgateway.org/wp-content/uploads/2020/10/Open-Contracting-West-Africa-Ghana-Development-Gateway.pdf>
- Dey, N., & Roy, A. (2013). India in open government and open government in India. *Stanford Social Innovation Review*. Sponsored supplement, 14.
- DiMaggio, P. J., & Powell, W. W. (Eds.). (1991). *The new institutionalism in organizational analysis* (vol. 17, 1–38). Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Downs, G. W., Rocke, D. M., & Barsoom, P. N. (1996). Is the good news about compliance good news about cooperation? *International Organization*, 50(3), 379–406.
- Drayton, J. (2017). *Trinidad and Tobago end-of-term report 2014–2016*. [IRM Report]. Open Government Partnership, August 4, 2017. https://www.opengovpartnership.org/wp-content/uploads/2017/08/Trinidad-Tobago_EOTR_2014-2016.pdf
- Dressel, B., & Bonoan, C. R. (2019). Southeast Asia’s troubling elections: Duterte versus the rule of law. *Journal of Democracy*, 30(4), 134–148.
- Drezner, D. W. *All politics is global: Explaining international regulatory regimes*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press, 2007.
- Dufief, E., Dorward, N., Simons, R., & Welford, K. (2017). With publication comes responsibility: Using open data for accountability in Benin and Tanzania—A discussion paper. Publish What You Fund, October 2017. <http://www.publishwhatyoufund.org/wp-content/uploads/2017/09/With-Publication-Brings-Responsibility-A-discussion-paper.pdf>

- Duncan, J. (2015). *Global food security governance: Civil society participation in Committee on World Food Security*. London: EarthScan Routledge.
- Dunleavy, P., & Hood, C. (1994). From old public administration to new public management. *Public Money & Management*, 14(3), 9–16.
- Dunleavy, P., Margetts, H., Bastow, S., & Tinkler, J. (2006). New Public Management is dead—Long live digital-era governance. *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory*, 16(3), 467–494.
- Durant, R. F. (2008). Sharpening a knife cleverly: Organizational change, policy paradox, and the “weaponizing” of administrative reforms. *Public Administration Review*, 68(2), 282–294.
- Dussauge Laguna, M. I. (2011). The challenges of implementing merit-based personnel policies in Latin America: Mexico’s civil service reform experience. *Journal of Comparative Policy Analysis*, 13(1) 51–73. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13876988.2011.538541>
- Eaves, D. (2012). The opportunities and challenges of the Open Government Partnership. Open Government Partnership, April 23, 2012. <https://www.ogp.am/en/news/item/2012/04/23/brasil2012/>
- Economist* [editorial]. (2011a). A transparency conspiracy? America’s latest bid for global dominance? September 30, 2011. <https://www.economist.com/democracy-in-america/2011/09/30/a-transparency-conspiracy>
- Economist*. [editorial]. (2011b). The parting of the red tape. October 8, 2011. <https://www.economist.com/international/2011/10/08/the-parting-of-the-red-tape>
- Economist*. (2015). Mexico and its NGOs: The new movers and shakers. May 2, 2015. <https://www.economist.com/the-americas/2015/05/02/the-new-movers-and-shakers>
- Eisenstadt, T. A. (2004). *Courting democracy in Mexico: Party strategies and electoral institutions*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Elkins, D. J., & Simeon, R. (1979). A cause in search of its effect, or what does political culture explain? *Comparative Politics*, 11(2), 127–145.
- Ellington, T. C. (2019). Transparency under Trump: Policy and prospects. *Public Integrity*, 21(2), 127–140. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10999922.2018.1463837>
- El Norte. (2015). Alerta ONG regresión en apertura de datos. February 24, 2015.
- Emerson, K., & Nabatchi, T. (2015). *Collaborative governance regimes*. Washington, DC: Georgetown University Press.
- Esmark, A. (2016). Maybe it is time to rediscover technocracy? An old framework for a new analysis of administrative reforms in the governance era. *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory*, 27(3), 501–516.
- European Union, European Commission. (2016). *eGovernment in Norway*. [Country Profile]. https://joinup.ec.europa.eu/sites/default/files/inline-files/eGovernment%20in%20Norway%20-%20February%202016%20-%202013_0_v1_00.pdf

- Evans, A. M., & Campos, A. (2013). Open government initiatives: Challenges of citizen participation. *Journal of Policy Analysis and Management*, 32(1), 172–185.
- Excell, C. (2012). *Why the green crowd should care about the Open Government Partnership*. Washington, DC: Access Initiative.
- Falla, R. (2017). Why OGP commitments fall behind. Open Government Partnership. https://www.opengovpartnership.org/sites/default/files/IRM_Technical-Paper_Failure_Dec2017.pdf
- Falla, R. (2021). Effective, efficient, and equitable: How open government can deliver on vaccines. Open Government Partnership, March 15, 2021. <https://www.opengovpartnership.org/stories/effective-efficient-and-equitable-how-open-government-can-deliver-on-vaccines/>
- Farrell, H., & Newman, A. (2018). Linkage politics and complex governance in transatlantic surveillance. *World Politics*, 70(4): 515–554.
- Feldman, J. G., & Teberg, R. L. (1965). Beneficial ownership under section 16 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. *Western Reserve Law Review*, 17, 1054–1097.
- Ferčíková, I. (2018). Peer Exchanges as a core element for pushing OGP reforms. Open Government Partnership, January 9, 2018. <https://www.opengovpartnership.org/stories/peer-exchanges-as-a-core-element-for-pushing-ogp-reforms/>
- Finnemore, M., & Sikkink, K. (1998). International norm dynamics and political change. *International Organization*, 52(4), 887–917.
- Forrer, J., Kee, J. E., Newcomer, K. E., & Boyer, E. (2010). Public–private partnerships and the public accountability question. *Public Administration Review*, 70(3), 475–484.
- Fountain, J. E. (2004). *Building the virtual state: Information technology and institutional change*. Washington, DC: Brookings Institution Press.
- Fox, J. (2007). *Accountability politics, power and voice in rural Mexico*. Oxford University Press, 2007.
- Fox, J. A. (2015). Social accountability: What does the evidence really say? *World Development*, 72, 346–361. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.worlddev.2015.03.011>
- Francesco, F. D. (2012). Diffusion of regulatory impact analysis among OECD and EU member states. *Comparative Political Studies*, 45(10): 1277–1305.
- Fraundorfer, M. (2017). The Open Government Partnership: Mere smokescreen or new paradigm? *Globalizations*, 14(4), 611–626.
- Fraundorfer, M. (2018). *Rethinking global democracy in Brazil*. London: Rowman & Littlefield.
- Frederickson, H. G. (1976). The lineage of new public administration. *Administration & Society*, 8(2), 149–174.
- Freedom House. (2015). *Nations in transit: Macedonia*. Washington, DC: Freedom House. https://freedomhouse.org/sites/default/files/NIT_2015_Macedonia.pdf
- FreedomInfo.org. (2011a). Brazil agrees to co-chair international FOI effort. FreedomInfo.Org, April 22, 2011. <http://www.freedominfo.org/2011/04/brazil-agrees-to-co-chair-international-foi-effort>

- FreedomInfo.org. (2011b). Open government effort officially begun at NYC event. FreedomInfo.Org, September 20, 2011. <http://www.freedominfo.org/2011/09/open-government-effort-officially-begun-at-nyc-event>
- FreedomInfo.org. (2011c). Some ineligible OGP countries ask how to join. FreedomInfo.Org, September 30, 2011. <http://www.freedominfo.org/2011/09/some-ineligible-ogp-countries-ask-how-to-join>
- FreedomInfo.org. (2015a). OGP CSO leaders criticize Mexico over FOI legislation. February 21, 2015. <http://www.freedominfo.org/2015/02/ogp-cso-leaders-criticize-mexico-over-foi-legislation/>
- FreedomInfo.org. (2015b). Mexican Senate approves new access legislation. March 19, 2015. <http://www.freedominfo.org/2015/03/mexican-senate-approves-new-access-legislation>
- Frey, L., (2014). Memo: Financial contributions to the Open Government Partnership. Open Government Partnership, May 27, 2014. <https://www.opengovpartnership.org/wp-content/uploads/2019/06/OGP-Memo-to-Participating-Countries-27-May.pdf>
- FUNDAR. (2014). Alianza para el parlamento abierto en México. September 22, 2014. <http://fundar.org.mx/alianza-para-el-parlamento-abiero-en-mexico/>
- FUNDAR. (2015). Urgente que la Alianza para el Gobierno Abierto se convierta en una plataforma efectiva para ayudar a resolver los grandes problemas que enfrenta México. October 28, 2015. <http://fundar.org.mx/urgente-que-la-alianza-para-el-gobierno-abierto-se-convierta-en-una-plataforma-efectiva-para-ayudar-a-resolver-los-grandes-problemas-que-enfrenta-mexico/>
- FUNDAR. (2016). La importancia del parlamento abierto para el combate a la corrupción. October 31, 2016. <https://fundar.org.mx/la-importancia-del-parlamento-abierto-para-el-combate-a-la-corrupcion/>
- Fung, A. (2013). Infotopia: Unleashing the democratic power of transparency. *Politics & Society*, 41(2), 183–212.
- Fung, A., Graham, M., & Weil, D. (2007). *Full disclosure: The perils and promise of transparency*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- García, A. G. (2016). Transparency in Mexico: An overview of access to information regulations and their effectiveness at the federal and state level. Wilson Center Mexico Institute. <https://www.wilsoncenter.org/publication/transparency-mexico-overview-access-to-information-regulations-and-their-effectiveness>
- Garduño, S. (2015). Reprochan activistas exclusión de cumbre. *Reforma*, October 30, 2015. <https://busquedas.gruporeforma.com/reforma/Libre/VisorNota.aspx?id=5806668|InfodexTextos&cmd5=f826f175025faa01aba51bf4897d6125>
- Gehring, T., & Faude, B. (2014). A theory of emerging order within institutional complexes: How competition among regulatory international institutions leads to institutional adaptation and division of labor. *Review of International Organizations*, 9(4), 471–498. <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s11558-014-9197-1>
- Gerring, J. (2011). *Social science methodology: A unified framework*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

- Gerson, P., & Nieto, F. (2016). Opening government? The Case of Mexico in the Open Government Partnership. *Global Integrity*, January 2016. <https://www.globalintegrity.org/wp-content/uploads/2018/12/Mexico-final.pdf>
- Gingrich, J. (2015). Varying costs to change? Institutional change in the public sector. *Governance*, 28(1), 41–60.
- Global Integrity. (2011). Our role in the Open Government Partnership. July 19, 2011. <http://www.globalintegrity.org/2011/07/our-role-in-ogp>
- Global Integrity. (2012). Assessing OGP action plans. June 21, 2012. <https://www.globalintegrity.org/2012/06/ogp-action-plan-assessments/>
- Global Integrity. (2016). Learning to open government. Washington, DC: Global Integrity. <https://www.globalintegrity.org/wp-content/uploads/2018/12/Learning-to-Open-Government-full.pdf>
- Global Open Data Index. (2014). United Kingdom. [Data Set]. <http://2015.index.okfn.org/place/united-kingdom/2014/>
- Gogidze, Lasha. (2018). *Georgia end-of-term report 2014–2016* [IRM report]. Open Government Partnership, January 30, 2018. <https://www.opengovpartnership.org/documents/georgia-end-of-term-report-2014-2016/>
- Gómez, R. (2012). Avala bancada del PRD plan para eliminar SSP y SFP. *El Universal*, November 12, 2012. <http://archivo.eluniversal.com.mx/notas/882547.html>
- Gonzalez-Zapata, F., & Heeks, R. (2015). The multiple meanings of open government data: Understanding different stakeholders and their perspectives. *Government Information Quarterly*, 32(4), 441–452.
- Government of Albania (2015). Albania Second Action Plan for 2014–2016. Open Government Partnership.
- Government of Costa Rica. (2013). Costa Rica action plan 2013–2014. Open Government Partnership. <https://www.opengovpartnership.org/documents/costa-rica-action-plan-2013-2014/>
- Government of Malta. (2012). Malta action plan 2012–2013. Open Government Partnership. <https://www.opengovpartnership.org/members/malta/commitments/MT0001/>
- Government of Norway. (2015). *Independent reporting Mechanism (IRM) progress report 2013–2014*. Washington, DC: Open Government Partnership. https://www.opengovpartnership.org/wp-content/uploads/2001/01/IRMReport_Norway_Final_Eng_0.pdf
- Government of South Korea. (2014). South Korea second action plan for 2014–2016. Open Government Partnership, June 24, 2014. <https://www.opengovpartnership.org/documents/south-korea-second-action-plan-for-2014-2016/>
- Government of the Republic of Croatia. (2015). Croatia’s “E-citizens” voted best open government project in Europe. October 30, 2015. <https://vlada.gov.hr/news/croatia-s-e-citizens-voted-best-open-government-project-in-europe/18030>

- Government of the United States. (2016). *United States of America midterm self-assessment report for the Open Government Partnership. Third open government national action plan, 2015–2017*. Washington, DC: Open Government Partnership. https://open.usa.gov/assets/files/nap_3_self_assessment_final.pdf
- Green, J. E. (2010). *The eyes of the people: Democracy in an age of spectatorship*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Green, J. F. (2014). *Rethinking private authority: Agents and entrepreneurs in global environmental governance*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.
- Greve, C. (2015). Ideas in public management reform for the 2010s. Digitalization, value creation and involvement. *Public Organization Review*, 15(1), 49–65.
- Grimmelikhuijsen, S. G., & Feeney, M. K. (2017). Developing and testing an integrative framework for open government adoption in local governments. *Public Administration Review*, 77(4), 579–590.
- Guedhami, O., & Pittman, J. A. (2011). The choice between private and public capital markets: The importance of disclosure standards and auditor discipline to countries divesting state-owned enterprises. *Journal of Accounting and Public Policy*, 30(5), 395–430.
- Guerzovich, F., & Moses, M. (2016). *Learning to open government: Findings and reflections on how the Open Government Partnership is playing out, in practice, in five countries*. Washington, DC: Global Integrity.
- Guillán Montero, A., & Taxell, N. (2015). *Open government reforms: The challenge of making public consultations meaningful in Croatia*. Bergen: Anti-Corruption Resource Centre.
- Hafner-Burton, E. M., & Tsutsui, K. (2005). Human rights in a globalizing world: The paradox of empty promises. *American Journal of Sociology*, 110(5), 1373–1411.
- Haggard, S., & Simmons, B. A. (1987). Theories of international regimes. *International Organization*, 41(3), 491–517.
- Hale, T. (2016). “All hands on deck”: The Paris Agreement and nonstate climate action. *Global Environmental Politics*, 16(3), 12–22.
- Hale, T. (2020). Catalytic cooperation. *Global Environmental Politics*, 20(4), 73–98. https://doi.org/10.1162/glep_a_00561
- Hall, P. A., & Taylor, R. C. (1996). Political science and the three new institutionalisms. *Political Studies*, 44(5), 936–957. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9248.1996.tb00343.x>
- Hansson, K., Belkacem, K., & Ekenberg, L. (2015). Open government and democracy: A research review. *Social Science Computer Review*, 33(5), 540–555.
- Hardy, K., & Maurushat, A. (2017). Opening up government data for Big Data analysis and public benefit. *Computer Law & Security Review*, 33(1), 30–37.
- Harrison, T. M., & Sayogo, D. S. (2014). Transparency, participation, and accountability practices in open government: A comparative study. *Government Information Quarterly*, 31(4), 513–525.

- Heinrich, C. J. (2012). How credible is the evidence, and does it matter? An analysis of the Program Assessment Rating Tool. *Public Administration Review*, 72(1), 123–134.
- Heller, N. (2011). *What the South African secrecy bill means for the Open Government Partnership*. Washington, DC: Global Integrity, November 29, 2011. <https://www.globalintegrity.org/2011/11/29/south-africa-and-ogp/>
- Heller, N. (2016). Making the case for adding sub-national governments to the Open Government Partnership. Results for Development, January 12, 2016. <https://www.r4d.org/blog/making-case-adding-sub-national-governments-open-government-partnership/>
- Herrero, A. (2017). *OGP as a framework for change: The experience of Buenos Aires*. Washington, DC: Open Government Partnership.
- Hood, C. (1991). A public management for all seasons? *Public Administration*, 6, 3–19. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9299.1991.tb00779.x>
- Hood, C. (1995). The “New Public Management” in the 1980s: variations on a theme. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, 20(2–3), 93–109.
- Hood, C. (2007). What happens when transparency meets blame-avoidance? *Public Management Review*, 9(2), 191–210.
- Hood, C. (2010). Accountability and transparency: Siamese twins, matching parts, awkward couple? *West European Politics*, 33(5), 989–1009.
- Hood, C., & Peters, G. (2004). The middle aging of New Public Management: Into the age of paradox? *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory*, 14(3), 267–282.
- Howard, A. (2015). Mexico misses an opportunity to address corruption and press freedom. *Huffington Post*, October 29, 2015. https://www.huffingtonpost.co.uk/entry/mexicoopen-government_us_56324e44e4b0631799115896
- Howard, A., & Wonderlich, J. (2017). *Trump administration commits to participating in Open Government Partnership*. Washington, DC: Sunlight Foundation.
- Hughes, T. (2015). Launching the NAP review: Conclusions. Open Government Partnership Blog, December 17, 2015. <https://www.opengovpartnership.org/stories/launching-the-nap-review-conclusions/>
- Iakobidze, T. (2017). 2016 statistics on telephone surveillance and secret investigation in Georgia. Institute for Development of Freedom of Information, February 3, 2017. https://idfi.ge/en/statistical_data_on_phone_conversation_surveillance
- Ingrams, A. (2017a). The legal-normative conditions of police transparency: A configurational approach to open data adoption using qualitative comparative analysis. *Public Administration*, 95(2), 527–545.
- Ingrams, A. (2017b). *Open government performance: An analytical framework for organizational design* (PhD dissertation, Newark, NJ, Rutgers University-Graduate School).

- Ingrams, A. (2018). Democratic transition and transparency reform: An fsQCA analysis of access to information laws in twenty-three countries. *Government Information Quarterly*, 35(3), 428–436.
- Ingrams, A. (2020). Administrative reform and the quest for openness: A Popperian review of open government. *Administration & Society*, 52(2), 319–340.
- Ingrams, A., Piotrowski, S. J., & Berliner, D., (2020). Learning from our mistakes: Public management reform and the hope of open government. *Perspectives on Public Management and Governance*, 3(4), 257–272.
- Jaeger, P. T., & Bertot, J. C. (2010). Transparency and technological change: Ensuring equal and sustained public access to government information. *Government Information Quarterly*, 27(4), 371–376.
- Janssen, M., & Estevez, E. (2013). Lean government and platform-based governance—Doing more with less. *Government Information Quarterly*, 30(S1), S1–S8.
- Jørgensen, T. (1999). The public sector in an in-between time: Searching for new public values. *Public Administration*, 77(3), 565–584.
- Jørgensen, T. B., & Bozeman, B. (2007). Public values: An inventory. *Administration & Society*, 39(3), 354–381.
- Judd, N. (2011). The semi-open development of an Open Government Plan. TechPresident, August 23, 2011. <https://web.archive.org/web/20120209081102/http://techpresident.com/blog-entry/semi-open-development-open-government-plan>
- Kahler, M. (2018). Global governance: Three futures. *International Studies Review*, 20(2), 239–246. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ist/viy037>
- Kaimal, S., & González, A. A. (2015). OGP civil society co-chairs statement on the general transparency law in Mexico. Open Government Partnership, February 21, 2015. <https://www.opengovpartnership.org/stories/ogp-civil-society-co-chairs-statement-on-the-general-transparency-law-in-mexico/>
- Kassen, M. (2014). Globalization of e-government: Open government as a global agenda; benefits, limitations and ways forward. *Information Development*, 30(1), 51–58.
- Keohane, R. O. (1984). *After hegemony: Cooperation and discord in the world political economy*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.
- Keohane, R. O., & Martin, L. L. (1995). The promise of institutionalist theory. *International Security*, 20(1), 39–51.
- Keohane, R. O., & Victor, D. G. (2011). The regime complex for climate change. *Perspectives on Politics*, 9(1), 7–23.
- Kettl, D. F. (2000). The transformation of governance: Globalization, devolution, and the role of government. *Public Administration Review*, 60(6), 488–497.

- Kettl, D. F. (2006). Modernising government: The way forward—A comment. *International Review of Administrative Sciences*, 72(3), 313–317.
- Kingdon, J. W. (1984). *Agendas, Alternatives, and Public Policies*. London: Longman.
- Kitschelt, H. P. (1986). Political opportunity structures and political protest: Anti-nuclear movements in four democracies. *British Journal of Political Science*, 16(1), 57–85. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S000712340000380X>
- Koremenos, B., Lipson, C., & Snidal, D. (2001). The rational design of international institutions. *International Organization*, 55(4), 761–799. <https://doi.org/10.1162/002081801317193592>
- Korunovska, N. (2017). *Macedonia: 2014–2016 end-of-term report*. [IRM Report]. Washington, DC: Open Government Partnership. July 12, 2017. <https://www.opengovpartnership.org/documents/macedonia-end-of-term-report-2014-2016/>
- La Porte, T. M., Demchak, C. C., & De Jong, M. (2002). Democracy and bureaucracy in the age of the web: Empirical findings and theoretical speculations. *Administration & Society*, 34(4), 411–446.
- Lathrop, D., & Ruma, L. (2010). *Open government: Collaboration, transparency and participation in practice*. Cambridge, MA: O'Reilly.
- Levitsky, S., & Way, L. A. (2006). Linkage versus leverage. Rethinking the international dimension of regime change. *Comparative Politics*, 38(4), 379–400. <https://doi.org/10.2307/20434008>
- Lewis, J. R. (2000). Electronic access to public records. *Public Administration and Public Policy*, 77, 197–214.
- Light, P. C. (1998). *The tides of reform: Making government work, 1945–1995*. New Haven, CT: Yale University Press.
- Lindner, R., & Riehm, U. (2011). Broadening participation through e-petitions? An empirical study of petitions to the German Parliament. *Policy & Internet*, 3(1), 1–23.
- Liu, H. K. (2017). Crowdsourcing government: Lessons from multiple disciplines. *Public Administration Review*, 77(5), 656–667.
- Lodge, M., & Gill, D. (2011). Toward a new era of administrative reform? The myth of post-NPM in New Zealand. *Governance*, 24, 141–166.
- Lynn, L. E., Jr. (2001). The myth of the bureaucratic paradigm: What traditional public administration really stood for. *Public Administration Review*, 61(2), 144–160.
- Lynn, L. E., Jr. (2006). *Public management: Old and new*. London: Routledge.
- Magaloni, B. (2006). *Voting for autocracy: Hegemonic party survival and its demise in Mexico*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Makinana, Z. (2013). Zuma sends secrecy bill back for fixing. *Mail & Guardian*, September 12, 2013. <https://mg.co.za/article/2013-09-12-zuma-sends-secrecy-bill-back-for-fixing/>

- Manin, Bernard, Przeworski, Adam, & Stokes, Susan. (1999). Introduction; elections and representation. In A. Przeworski, S. Stokes, & B. Manin (Eds.), *Democracy, accountability, and representation* (pp. 1–54). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Marczak, B., & Scott-Railton, J. S. (2016). The million dollar dissident: NSO group's iPhone zero-days used against a UAE human rights defender. CitizenLab, August 24, 2016. <https://citizenlab.ca/2016/08/million-dollar-dissident-iphone-zero-day-nso-group-uae/>
- Marczynski, A. (2018). It's time to make ambitious anti-corruption commitments to the OGP. *Voices for Transparency*, July 18, 2018. <https://voices.transparency.org/its-time-to-make-ambitious-anti-corruption-commitments-in-the-ogp-a14489b02dc6>
- McCarthy, J. D., & Zald, M. N. (1977). Resource mobilization and social movements: A partial theory. *American Journal of Sociology*, 82(6), 1212–1241. <https://doi.org/10.1086/226464>
- McDermott, P. (2010). Building open government. *Government Information Quarterly*, 27(4), 401–413.
- McIntosh, T. (2011). India withdraws from Open Government Partnership. FreedomInfo.org, July 12, 2011. <http://www.freedominfo.org/2011/07/india-withdraws-from-open-government-partnership/>
- McIntosh, T. (2012). Open data, FOI communities show signs of convergence. FreedomInfo.org, September 14, 2012. <http://www.freedominfo.org/2012/09/open-data-foi-communities-show-signs-of-convergence/>
- McKenzie, J. (2014). Near 3-year mark, Open Government Partnership success still unclear. *tech-President*, May 29, 2014. <https://web.archive.org/web/20160324094101/http://techpresident.com/news/wegov/25084/near-three-year-mark-ogp-success-still-unclear>
- McLean, C. (2020). COVID-19: What is the role of open government? Open Government, April 30, 2020. <https://www.opengovernment.org.uk/2020/04/30/covid-19-what-is-the-role-of-open-government/>
- Mearsheimer, J. J. (1994). The false promise of international institutions. *International Security*, 19(3), 5–49.
- Meijer, A. J. (2009). Understanding modern transparency. *International Review of Administrative Sciences*, 75(2), 255–269.
- Meijer, A. J., Curtin, D., & Hillebrandt, M. (2012). Open government: Connecting vision and voice. *International Review of Administrative Sciences*, 78(1), 10–29. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0020852311429533>
- Mendeš, I. (2016). *Croatia: 2014–2016 end-of-term report*. Open Government Partnership, May 17, 2016. https://www.opengovpartnership.org/wp-content/uploads/2001/01/Croatia_EOTR_2014-2016_for-pub-comment_ENG.pdf
- Mergel, I. (2015). Opening government: Designing open innovation processes to collaborate with external problem solvers. *Social Science Computer Review*, 33(5), 599–612.

- Mergel, I., & Desouza, K. C. (2013). Implementing open innovation in the public sector: The case of Challenge.gov. *Public Administration Review*, 73(6), 882–890.
- Meyer, J. W., Boli, J., Thomas, G. M., & Ramirez, F. O. (1997). World society and the nation-state. *American Journal of Sociology*, 103(1), 144–181.
- Meyer, M., & Hinojosa, G. (2018). WOLA report: Mexico's national anti-corruption system. Washington Office on Latin America, May 17, 2018. <https://www.wola.org/analysis/wola-report-mexico-national-anti-corruption-system/>
- Michener, G. (2011a). FOI laws around the world. *Journal of Democracy*, 22(2), 145–159. <https://doi.org/10.1353/jod.2011.0021>
- Michener, G. (2011b). The Open Government Partnership—A new direction for US foreign policy? *Christian Science Monitor*, July 13, 2011. <https://www.csmonitor.com/World/Americas/Latin-America-Monitor/2011/0713/The-Open-Government-Partnership-a-new-direction-for-US-foreign-policy>
- Michener, G. (2019). Gauging the impact of transparency policies. *Public Administration Review*, 79(1), 136–139.
- Michener, G., & Bersch, K. (2013). Identifying transparency. *Information Polity*, 18(3), 233–242.
- Michener, G., & Pereira, C. (2011). Is Brazil fit to lead the Open Government Partnership? Secrecy vs. transparency and the ambivalence of Brazil's presidents. Brookings, July 18, 2011. <https://www.brookings.edu/opinions/is-brazil-fit-to-lead-the-open-government-partnership-secrecy-vs-transparency-and-the-ambivalence-of-brazils-presidents>
- Michener, G., & Ritter, O. (2017). Comparing resistance to open data performance measurement: Public education in Brazil and the UK. *Public Administration*, 95(1), 4–21.
- Milewicz, K., & Goodin, R. (2016). Deliberative capacity building through international organizations: The case of the Universal Periodic Review of Human Rights. *British Journal of Political Science*, 48(2) 1–21.
- Millard, J. (2015). Open governance systems: Doing more with more. *Government Information Quarterly*, 35(4), S77–S87.
- Miranda, D. (2019). Enhanced accountability: Reshaping the IRM together. Open Government Partnership, August 19, 2019. <https://www.opengovpartnership.org/stories/enhanced-accountability-reshaping-the-irm-together/>
- Montalvo, T. L. (2014). Senadores hacen a un lado propuesta civil y cambian la Ley de Transparencia de último momento. *AnimalPolítico*, December 5, 2014. <https://www.animalpolitico.com/2014/12/senadores-presentan-ley-de-transparencia-con-un-articulo-que-sanciona-la-apertura-de-informacion/>
- Montero, A. G., & Taxell, N. (2015). *Open government reforms: The challenge of making public consultations meaningful in Croatia*. U4 Anti-Corruption Resource Centre. December, No. 3, 1–46. <https://www.u4.no/publications/open-government-reforms-the-challenge-of-making-public-consultations-meaningful-in-croatia>

- Montes, J. (2018). Mexico's multibillion-dollar airport project is well under way. It may be canceled. *Wall Street Journal*, April 27, 2018. <https://www.wsj.com/articles/mexicos-multibillion-dollar-airport-project-is-well-under-way-it-may-be-canceled-1524821401>
- Moon, M. J., & Ingraham, P. (1998). Shaping administrative reform and governance: An examination of the political nexus triads in three Asian countries. *Governance*, 11(1), 77–100.
- Moravcsik, A. (2000). The origins of human rights regimes: Democratic delegation in postwar Europe. *International Organization*, 54(2): 217–252.
- Moses, M. (2016). Opening government? The case of Albania in the Open Government Partnership. *Global Integrity*, January 31, 2016. <https://www.globalintegrity.org/resource/log-albania/>
- Moulton, S. (2009). Putting together the publicness puzzle: A framework for realized publicness. *Public Administration Review*, 69(5), 889–900.
- Mueller, M. L. (2010). *Networks and states: The global politics of internet governance*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Mural*. (2015). Llamam ONG a no retroceder en transparencia. February 24, 2015.
- Murillo, M. J. (2015). Evaluating the role of online data availability: The case of economic and institutional transparency in sixteen Latin American nations. *International Political Science Review*, 36(1), 42–59.
- Nalbandian, J. (2005). Professionals and the conflicting forces of administrative modernization and civic engagement. *The American Review of Public Administration*, 35(4), 311–326.
- Nava Campos, G. (2018). *Mecanismo de Revisión Independiente (MRI): Informe de Avances de México 2016–2018*. Washington, DC: Open Government Partnership Independent Reporting Mechanism. https://www.opengovpartnership.org/wp-content/uploads/2018/04/Mexico_Mid-Term_Report_2016-2018_for-public-comment.pdf
- Newman, A., & Posner, E. (2016). Structuring transnational interests: The second-order effects of soft law in the politics of global finance. *Review of International Political Economy*, 23(5), 768–798.
- Ninua, T. (2016). Breaking down iron doors: Why opening up Soviet archives matters. Open Government Partnership, March 21, 2016. <https://www.opengovpartnership.org/stories/breaking-down-iron-doors-why-opening-soviet-archives-matters>
- Notimex. (2014a). México encabeza acción en pro de la transparencia: Ministro británico. July 30, 2014.
- Notimex. (2014b). México recibe premio en nombre de Alianza para el Gobierno Abierto. November 6, 2014.
- Notimex. (2018). INAI realizará Cumbre de Gobierno Abierto. March 27, 2018.
- Noveck, B. S. (2009). *Wiki government: How technology can make government better, democracy stronger, and citizens more powerful*. Washington, DC: Brookings Institution Press.
- Noveck, B. S. (2017). Rights-based and tech-driven: Open data, freedom of information, and the future of government transparency. *Yale Human Rights & Development Law Journal*, 19(1).

- Núcleo de la Sociedad Civil para el Gobierno Abierto en México. (2017a). Mexican civil society statement for OGP steering committee. Open Government Partnership, May 23, 2017. https://www.opengovpartnership.org/sites/default/files/Mexican_Letter_Civil-Society_May23-2017.pdf
- Núcleo de la Sociedad Civil para el Gobierno Abierto en México. (2017b). September 2017 letter from Mexican civil society to the Steering Committee. Open Government Partnership, September 19, 2017. <https://www.opengovpartnership.org/documents/september-2017-letter-from-mexican-civil-/>
- Ocejo Rojo, A. (2016). *Mecanismo de Revisión Independiente: México Informe de Fin de Término 2013–2015*. Washington, DC: Open Government Partnership Independent Reporting Mechanism.
- O'Donnell, G. A. (1998). Horizontal accountability in new democracies. *Journal of Democracy*, 9(3), 112–126.
- OECD and GovLab. (2021). *Open data in action: Initiatives during the initial stage of the COVID-19 pandemic*. New York: OECD and GovLab. <https://www.oecd.org/gov/digital-government/use-of-open-government-data-to-address-covid19-outbreak.htm>
- OGP Support Unit. (n.d.). OGP support unit, accessed May 21, 2019. <https://www.opengovpartnership.org/about/about-ogp/ogp-support-unit>
- OGP Support Unit (2017). Open Government for improving public services in Asia. Open Government Partnership, August 4, 2017. <https://www.opengovpartnership.org/stories/open-government-for-improving-public-services-in-asia/>
- OGP Support Unit. (2018). The Kingdom of Morocco joins Open Government Partnership, April 26, 2018. <https://www.opengovpartnership.org/news/the-kingdom-of-morocco-joins-open-government-partnership/>
- Ohemeng, F. L., & Ofosu-Adarkwa, K. (2015). One way traffic: The open data initiative project and the need for an effective demand side initiative in Ghana. *Government Information Quarterly*, 32(4), 419–428.
- Open Contracting Partnership. (2019). Open contracting in Mexico. <https://web.archive.org/web/20170606041128/http://www.open-contracting.org/why-open-contracting/worldwide/mexico/>
- Open Data Barometer. (2017). *Quantitative datasets*. 4th ed. World Wide Web Foundation: Washington, DC.
- Open Government Partnership. [Webpage] (n.d.). Governance. Accessed January 18, 2019. <https://www.opengovpartnership.org/about/who-we-are/>
- Open Government Partnership (2012a). Second European outreach and support meeting. October 16, 2012. <https://www.opengovpartnership.org/stories/update-from-the-second-ogp-european-outreach-and-support-meeting-in-dubrovnik/>
- Open Government Partnership. (2012b). OGP independent reporting mechanism concept note. December 4, 2012. <https://www.opengovpartnership.org/documents/irm-proposal-approved>
- Open Government Partnership. (2012c). Ministerial level steering committee meeting minutes. London, December 4, 2012. https://www.opengovpartnership.org/wp-content/uploads/2019/10/SC-Minutes-Dec-4-2012-Final_0.pdf

- Open Government Partnership. (2012d). *Self-assessment report Mexico*. December 14, 2012. https://www.opengovpartnership.org/wp-content/uploads/2019/06/14Dic2012_Self-AssessmentReport_ENG-1.pdf
- Open Government Partnership. (2013a). *More inclusive and meaningful public engagement on laws in Croatia*. [Case study]. January 1, 2013. <https://www.opengovpartnership.org/sites/default/files/Inspiring%20Story%20-%20Croatia.pdf>
- Open Government Partnership. (2013b). Draft national action plan for the Republic of Ghana. January 2013. https://www.opengovpartnership.org/wp-content/uploads/2001/01/Ghana_Action%20Plan%202013_2014_final.pdf
- Open Government Partnership. (2013c). *Mecanismo de Revisión Independiente: México Informe de Avance 2011–2013*. Open Government Partnership Independent Reporting Mechanism. <https://www.opengovpartnership.org/wp-content/uploads/2001/01/Mexico%20for%20Public%20Comment.pdf>
- Open Government Partnership. (2014a). Four-year strategy, 2015–2018. <https://www.opengovpartnership.org/wp-content/uploads/2001/01/4YearAP-Online.pdf>
- Open Government Partnership. (2014b). *Outcome statement of the OGP high-level event: Citizen action, government responsiveness*. Washington, DC: Open Government Partnership.
- Open Government Partnership. (2015a). Criteria & Standards Subcommittee meeting minutes, Open Government Hub, Washington, DC, USA. March 9–10, 2015. <https://www.opengovpartnership.org/wp-content/uploads/2019/10/Minutes-9-10-March-Meeting.pdf>
- Open Government Partnership. [Webpage] (2015b). *Open Government Partnership: Articles of governance* (April 2015 update). https://www.opengovpartnership.org/wp-content/uploads/2019/06/OGP_Articles-Gov_Apr-21-2015.pdf
- Open Government Partnership. (2016a). Featured commitment—Georgia. March 1, 2016. <https://www.opengovpartnership.org/stories/featured-commitment-georgia>.
- Open Government Partnership. (2016b). *São Paulo action plan*. Washington, DC: Open Government Partnership. https://www.prefeitura.sp.gov.br/cidade/secretarias/upload/Sao_Paulo_Subnational_Action_Plan2016_ENG.pdf
- Open Government Partnership. (2017a). #OGP15: Giorgi Kldiashvili, Institute for Development of Freedom of Information, March 30, 2017. YouTube video, 2:10. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=8HGd4yUnmOg&list=UUCDhqc6Xx5a-VKZNo6q_8aQ&index=77
- Open Government Partnership. (2017b). Open Government Partnership statement on domestic OGP developments in Mexico. May 25, 2017. <https://www.opengovpartnership.org/stories/open-government-partnership-statement-on-domestic-ogp-developments-in-mexico/>
- Open Government Partnership. (2017c). Statement from OGP steering committee co-chairs on recent withdrawal by Mexican civil society from national OGP platform. June 14, 2017. https://www.opengovpartnership.org/sites/default/files/OGP-SC-co-chair-Mexico-statement_%20June2017.pdf

- Open Government Partnership. (2017d). OGP steering committee working level meeting minutes. June 27 and 28, 2017. https://www.opengovpartnership.org/sites/default/files/OGP_SC-Working-Level-Mtg_Minutes_June2017.pdf
- Open Government Partnership. (2017e). Mongolia: 2014–2016 end-of-term report. Washington, DC: Open Government Partnership.
- Open Government Partnership. (2018a). FAQs on the OGP multi donor trust fund. February 20, 2018. <https://www.opengovpartnership.org/ogp-multi-donor-trust-fund/faqs-on-the-ogp-multi-donor-trust-fund/>
- Open Government Partnership. (2018b). OGP steering committee meeting. December 5–6, 2018—Washington DC: Open Government Partnership.
- Open Government Partnership. [Webpage] (ca. 2018). Finances and budget. <https://www.opengovpartnership.org/about/transparency-financial-information/#budget>
- Open Government Partnership. (2018c). OGP participation and co-creation toolkit. <https://www.opengovpartnership.org/stories/ogps-participation-and-co-creation-toolkit-from-usual-suspects-to-business-as-usual/>
- Open Government Partnership. (2018d). Open Government Partnership SU-IRM budget—2018. https://www.opengovpartnership.org/wp-content/uploads/2019/07/OGP_SU-IRM-Budget_2018.pdf
- Open Government Partnership (2019a). *Open Government Partnership global report: Democracy beyond the ballot box*. Washington, DC: Open Government Partnership Secretariat.
- Open Government Partnership. (2019b). Mexico resumes national Open Government process. March 5, 2019. <https://www.opengovpartnership.org/documents/mexico-resumes-national-open-government-process-march-5-2019/>
- Open Government Partnership. (2019c). OGP global summit 2019: Ottawa, Canada. May 29, 2019. <https://www.opengovpartnership.org/events/ogp-global-summit-2019-ottawa-canada/>
- Open Government Partnership. (2019d). Articles of governance. June 17, 2019. <https://www.opengovpartnership.org/about/about-ogp/governance/articles-of-governance>.
- Open Government Partnership. (2019e). Argentina and the B team’s Robin Hodess take helm of International Partnership on Open Government. October 1, 2019. <https://www.opengovpartnership.org/news/argentina-and-the-b-teams-robin-hodess-take-helm-of-international-partnership-on-open-government/>
- Open Government Partnership (2020a). IRM refresh approved by the OGP steering committee. February 2020. <https://www.opengovpartnership.org/wp-content/uploads/2020/06/IRM-Refresh-Approved-by-the-OGP-Steering-Committee-February-2020.pdf>
- Open Government Partnership. (2020b). Why join OGP Local? May 2020. https://www.opengovpartnership.org/wp-content/uploads/2020/05/OGP-Local_Why-Join_20200528.pdf

- Open Government Partnership. (2020c). A guide to open government and the Coronavirus: Open response, open recovery. August 25, 2020. <https://www.opengovpartnership.org/documents/a-guide-to-open-government-and-the-coronavirus/>
- Open Government Partnership. (2020d). 56 local jurisdictions join global partnership to promote open government. October 20, 2020. <https://www.opengovpartnership.org/news/56-local-jurisdictions-join-global-partnership-to-promote-open-government/>
- Open Government Partnership. (2020e). *Open Government Partnership Handbook*. Washington, DC: OGP.
- Open Government Partnership. (2022). OGP values check assessment. March 20, 2022. <https://www.opengovpartnership.org/process/joining-ogp/eligibility-criteria/>
- OpenTheGovernment. (2011). Is a blog with e-mail public participation? August 16, 2011. <https://www.openthegovernment.org/is-a-blog-with-e-mail-public-participation>
- Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development (2019). OECD public governance reviews, open government in Argentina. Paris: Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development.
- Osborne, D., & Gaebler, T. (1992). *Reinventing government: How the entrepreneurial spirit is transforming government*. Reading, MA: Addison Wesley.
- Osborne, S. P. (2010a). Delivering public services: Time for a new theory? *Public Management Review*, 12(1), 110. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14719030903495232>
- Osborne, S. P. (ed.). (2010b). *The new public governance: Emerging perspectives on the theory and practice of public governance*. London: Routledge.
- Pandey, S. K., Davis, R. S., Pandey, S., & Peng, S. (2016). Transformational leadership and the use of normative public values: Can employees be inspired to serve larger public purposes? *Public Administration*, 94(1), 204–222.
- Park, S. M., & Joaquin, M. E. (2012). Of alternating waves and shifting shores: the configuration of reform values in the US federal bureaucracy. *International Review of Administrative Sciences*, 78(3), 514–536.
- Partlow, J., & Martinez, G. (2015). Halfway through his term, Mexico's Peña Nieto has tumbled in polls. *Washington Post*, September 2, 2015. https://www.washingtonpost.com/world/the_americas/halfway-through-his-term-mexicos-pena-nieto-has-tumbled-in-polls/2015/09/02/9e09082c-50f1-11e5-b225-90edbd49f362_story.html
- Pérez, H. (2013). Alianza para el Gobierno Abierto: Una oportunidad para México. *FUNDAR*, April 1, 2013. <https://fundar.org.mx/alianza-para-el-gobierno-abierto-una-oportunidad-para-mexico/>
- Perloth, N. (2017). Spyware's odd targets: Backers of Mexico's soda tax. *New York Times*, February 11, 2017. <https://www.nytimes.com/2017/02/11/technology/hack-mexico-soda-tax-advocates.html>
- Petersen, G. (2020). Early democratization, corruption scandals and perceptions of corruption: Evidence from Mexico. *Democratization*, 28(2), 333–352. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13510347.2020.1819246>

- Pierson, P. (1993). When effect becomes cause: Policy feedback and political change. *World Politics*, 45(4), 595–628. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2950710>
- Pimentel, T. (2018). Achieving compliance despite the shifting political tides. Open Government Partnership, April 12, 2018. <https://www.opengovpartnership.org/stories/achieving-compliance-despite-the-shifting-political-tides/>
- Piotrowski, S. J. (2007). *Governmental transparency in the path of administrative reform*. Albany, NY: SUNY Press.
- Piotrowski, S. J. (ed.) (2010). *Transparency and secrecy: A reader linking literature and contemporary debate*. Lanham, MD: Lexington Books.
- Piotrowski, S. J. (2014). Transparency: A regime value linked with ethics. *Administration & Society*, 46(2), 181–189. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0095399713519098>
- Piotrowski, S. J. (2017). The “Open Government Reform” movement: The case of the Open Government Partnership and U.S. transparency policies. *American Review of Public Administration*, 47(2), 155–171. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0275074016676575>
- Piotrowski, S. J., Rosenbloom, D. H., Kang, S., & Ingrams, A. (2018). Levels of value integration in federal agencies’ mission and value statements: Is open government a performance target of U.S. federal agencies? *Public Administration Review*, 78(5), 705–716. <https://doi.org/10.1111/puar.12937>
- Piotrowski, S. J., & Van Ryzin, G. G. (2007). Citizen attitudes toward transparency in local government. *American Review of Public Administration*, 37(3), 306–323.
- Piscopo, A., Siebes, R., & Hardman, L. (2017). Predicting sense of community and participation by applying machine learning to open government data. *Policy & Internet*, 9(1), 55–75.
- Pollitt, C., & Bouckaert, G. (2011). *Continuity and change in public policy and management*. London: Edward Elgar Publishing.
- Pollitt, C., & Dan, S. (2011). *The impacts of the New Public Management in Europe: A meta-analysis*. Rotterdam: Coordinating for Cohesion in the Public Sector of the Future.
- Pollitt, C., & Hupe, P. (2011). Talking about government: The role of magic concepts. *Public Management Review*, 13(5), 641–658.
- Porumbescu, G. A., Cucciniello, M., & Gil-Garcia, J. R. (2020). Accounting for citizens when explaining open government effectiveness. *Government Information Quarterly*, 37(2), [101451]. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.giq.2019.101451>
- Porumbescu, G. A., Piotrowski, S. J., & Mabillard, V. (2020). Performance information, racial bias, and citizen evaluations of government: Evidence from two studies. *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory*, 31(3), 523–541. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jopart/muaa049>
- Pousadela, I. (2019). *The road to genuine co-creation: Insights from Argentina*. Washington, DC: Open Government Partnership. <https://www.opengovpartnership.org/stories/the-road-to-genuine-co-creation-insights-from-argentina/>

- Power, S. (2015). Keeping the Open Government Partnership vital, innovative, and true to its founding principles. U.S. Department of State, October 28, 2015. <https://2009-2017.state.gov/p/io/rm/248934.htm>
- Pozen, D. (2018). Transparency's ideological drift. *Yale Law Journal*, 128, 100–165.
- Prakash, A., & Potoski, M. (2007). Collective action through voluntary environmental programs: A club theory perspective. *Policy Studies Journal*, 35(4), 773–792.
- Proceso. (2016). INAI: 23 estados se han sumado a iniciativa de Gobierno Abierto. August 2, 2016. https://web.archive.org/web/2016*/https://www.proceso.com.mx/449505/inai-23-estados-se-han-sumado-a-iniciativa-gobierno-abierto
- Proceso. (2018). ONG a AMLO: Sólo regímenes autocráticos ven a la sociedad civil como amenaza. April 11, 2018. <https://web.archive.org/web/20191003031314/https://www.proceso.com.mx/529430/ong-a-amlo-solo-regimenes-autocraticos-ven-a-la-sociedad-civil-como-amenaza>
- Pyo, S., Reggi, L., & Martin, E. G. (2020). The potential role of open data in mitigating the COVID-19 pandemic: Challenges and opportunities. *Health Affairs*, November 2, 2020. <https://www.healthaffairs.org/doi/10.1377/hblog20201029.94898/full/>
- Raat, C. (2016). *Netherlands: 2013–2014 end of term report*. [Final Report]. Washington, DC: Open Government Partnership. February 1, 2016. <https://www.opengovpartnership.org/documents/nederland-2013-2014-eindrapport/>
- Radaelli, C. M. (2003). *The open method of coordination: A new governance architecture for the European Union?* Stockholm: Swedish Institute for European Policy Studies.
- Rainey, H. G., & Jung, C. S. (2014). A conceptual framework for analysis of goal ambiguity in public organizations. *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory*, 25(1), 71–99.
- Randma-Liiv, T. (2008). New public management versus neo-Weberian state in Central and Eastern Europe. *NISPAcee Journal of Public Administration and Policy*, 1(2), 69–81.
- Rashchupkina, Y. (2015). The European Union's role in networks on removal of fossil fuel subsidies and disclosure of climate change information. *Contemporary Politics*, 21(3), 354–366.
- Raymond, M., & DeNardis, L. (2015). Multistakeholderism: Anatomy of an inchoate global institution. *International Theory*, 7(3), 572–616.
- Reforma*. (2015a). Plantean acotar transparencia; Pide Presidencia 82 cambios a proyecto de ley. February 10, 2015.
- Reforma*. (2015b). Anuncian medidas sobre rendición de cuentas: Asegura Presidente que transparencia es el antídoto contra la corrupción. October 29, 2015.
- Reforma*. (2017). Rompen acuerdo en transparencia. May 24, 2017.
- Reinsberg, B., & Westerwinter, O. (2021). The global governance of international development: Documenting the rise of multi-stakeholder partnerships and identifying underlying theoretical explanations. *The Review of International Organizations*, 16, 59–94. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11558-019-09362-0>

- Reynaers, A. M. (2014). Public values in public–private partnerships. *Public Administration Review*, 74(1), 41–50.
- Rhodes, R. A. (2016). Recovering the craft of public administration. *Public Administration Review*, 76(4), 638–647.
- Ríos, V. (2016). Mexico wins: Anti-corruption reform approved. Wilson Center, July 12, 2016. <https://www.wilsoncenter.org/article/mexico-wins-anti-corruption-reform-approved>
- Ríos, V. (2017). How Mexico’s anti-corruption fight went off-track. *Americas Quarterly*, September 18, 2017. <https://www.americasquarterly.org/content/how-mexicos-anti-corruption-fight-went-track>
- Rivoir, A., & Landinelli, J. (2017). New pathways for citizen agency: Open government national action plans in Uruguay. IT for Change. <https://opendocs.ids.ac.uk/opendocs/bitstream/handle/123456789/13011/Research-Brief-Uruguay.pdf?sequence=2&isAllowed=y>
- Roberts, A. (2006). *Blacked out: Government secrecy in the information age*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Robinson, K. (2021). The case for open contracting and the COVID-19 vaccine. Open Contracting Partnership, February 18, 2021. <https://www.open-contracting.org/2021/02/18/the-case-for-open-contracting-the-covid-19-vaccine/>
- Rodea, F. (2019). 5 estados mostraron desinterés hacia plan de gobierno abierto en 2018: INAI. *El Financiero*, January 1, 2019. <https://web.archive.org/web/20210128084759/https://www.elfinanciero.com.mx/nacional/5-estados-mostraron-desinteres-hacia-plan-de-gobierno-abierto-en-2018-inai/>
- Roelofs, P. (2019). Transparency and mistrust: Who or what should be made transparent? *Governance*, 32(3), 565–580. <https://doi.org/10.1111/gove.12402>
- Roldán, N. (2015). El Sistema Nacional Anticorrupción, ¿un triunfo de la sociedad civil? *Animal Político*, February 27, 2015. <https://www.animalpolitico.com/2015/02/el-sistema-nacional-anticorrupcion-un-triunfo-de-la-sociedad-civil/>
- Romzek, B. S., & Dubnick, M. J. (1987). Accountability in the public sector: Lessons from the *Challenger* tragedy. *Public Administration Review*, 47(3), 227–238.
- Rooney, B. (2013). Open government and open data are not the same thing. *Wall Street Journal*, August 15, 2013. <https://www.wsj.com/articles/BL-TEB-5696>
- Rosenbloom, D. H. (2000). Retrofitting the administrative state to the Constitution: Congress and the judiciary’s twentieth-century progress. *Public Administration Review*, 60(1), 39–46.
- Ruelas, A. C. (2015). Mexico: OGP leader faking transparency. FreedomInfo.org, February 19, 2015. <http://www.freedominfo.org/2015/02/mexico-ogp-leader-faking-transparency/>
- Ruelas, A. C., & Mora, D. (2015). Notes of the Mexican Transparency System reform. FreedomInfo.org, April 29, 2015. <http://www.freedominfo.org/2015/04/notes-of-the-mexican-transparency-system-reform/>

- Ruelas Serna, A. C. (2016). La transparencia en México: Un trabajo colectivo. *Fundación Friedrich Ebert en México*. http://rendiciondecuentas.org.mx/wp-content/uploads/2016/03/Analisis_Anacristina-Ruelas.pdf
- Ruggie, J. G. (2002) The theory and practice of learning networks: Corporate social responsibility and the global compact. *Journal of Corporate Citizenship*, 5, 27–36.
- Ruggie, J. G. (2007). Business and human rights: The evolving international agenda. *American Journal of International Law*, 101(4), 819–840.
- Rushton, S., & Williams, O. (Eds.). (2011). *Partnerships and foundations in global health governance*. Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Sabatier, P. A. (1991). Toward better theories of the policy process. *PS: Political Science & Politics*, 24(2), 147–156. <https://doi.org/10.2307/419923>
- Schedler, A. (1999). Conceptualizing accountability. In A. Schedler, L. Diamond, & M. F. Plattner (Eds.), *The self-restraining state: Power and accountability in new democracies* (pp. 13–28). Boulder, CO: Lynne Rienner Publishers.
- Schillemans, T., Van Twist, M., & Van Hommerig, I. (2013). Innovations in accountability: Learning through interactive, dynamic, and citizen-initiated forms of accountability. *Public Performance & Management Review*, 36(3), 407–435.
- Schmidhuber, L., Hilgers, D., Gegenhuber, T., & Etzelstorfer, S. (2017). The emergence of local open government: Determinants of citizen participation in online service reporting. *Government Information Quarterly*, 34(3), 457–469.
- Schneider, J. (2015). Bringing government data into the light: Slovakia's open data initiative, 2011–2015. *Innovations for Successful Societies*, Princeton University, <http://successfulesocieties.princeton.edu/>
- Schnell, S. (2015). Mimicry, persuasion, or learning? The case of two transparency and anti-corruption policies in Romania. *Public Administration and Development*, 35(4), 277–287.
- Schnell, S. (2018). Cheap talk or incredible commitment? (Mis)calculating transparency and anti-corruption. *Governance*, 31(3), 415–430.
- Scott-Railton, J., Marczak, B., Guarnieri, C., & Crete-Nishihata, M. (2017a). Bitter sweet: Supporters of Mexico's soda tax targeted with NSO exploit links. CitizenLab, February 11, 2017. <https://citizenlab.ca/2017/02/bittersweet-nso-mexico-spyware/>
- Scott-Railton, J., Marczak, B., Razzak, B. A., Crete-Nishihata, M., & Deibert, R. (2017b). Reckless exploit: Mexican journalists, lawyers, and a child targeted with NSO spyware. CitizenLab, June 19, 2017. <https://citizenlab.ca/2017/06/reckless-exploit-mexico-nso/>
- Sedacca, M. (2021) A key tool in COVID Tracking: The Freedom of Information Act. *New York Times*, April 21, 2021. <https://www.nytimes.com/2021/04/14/insider/freedom-of-information-covid.html>
- Selakovic, B. (2018). *Political will is still key to transformative changes*. Belgrade: United Nations Development Program.

- Shkabatur, J. (2012). Transparency with(out) accountability: Open government in the United States. *Yale Law & Policy Review*, 31(1), 79–140.
- Simmons, B. (2000). International law and state behavior: Commitment and compliance in international monetary affairs. *American Political Science Review*, 94(4), 819–835. doi:10.2307/2586210
- Simmons, B. (2009). *Mobilizing for human rights: International law in domestic politics*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Simmons, B. (2010). Treaty compliance and violation. *Annual Review of Political Science*, 13, 273–296.
- Simmons, B., & Danner, A. (2010). Credible Commitments and the International Criminal Court. *International Organization*, 64(2), 225–256. doi:10.1017/S0020818310000044
- Simmons, B. A., & Martin, L. L. (2002). International organizations and institutions. In W. Carlsnaes et al. (Eds.), *Handbook of international relations* (pp. 192–211). London: Sage.
- Slaughter, A. M. (2009). *A new world order*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.
- SocialTIC. (2015). Urgente que la Alianza para el Gobierno Abierto se convierta en una plataforma efectiva para ayudar a resolver los grandes problemas que enfrenta México. October 28, 2015. <https://socialtic.org/blog/urgente-que-la-alianza-para-el-gobierno-abierto-se/>
- Stamati, T., Papadopoulou, T., & Anagnostopoulos, D. (2015). Social media for openness and accountability in the public sector: Cases in the Greek context. *Government Information Quarterly*, 32(1), 12–29.
- Steibel, F., Alves, M., & Konopacki, M. (2017). Fighting corruption alone: Civic participation in OGP anti-corruption commitments. *International Journal of Open Governments*, 6, 1–32. <https://ojs.imodev.org/?journal=RIGO&page=article&op=view&path%5B%5D=205>
- Stone, D. (2004). Transfer agents and global networks in the “transnationalization” of policy. *Journal of European Public Policy*, 11(3): 545–566.
- Stone, D. (2019). Transnational policy entrepreneurs and the cultivation of influence: Individuals, organizations and their networks. *Globalizations*, 16(7), 1128–1144. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14747731.2019.1567976>
- Stone, R. W. (2013). Informal governance in international organizations: Introduction to the special issue. *Review of International Organizations*, 8(2), 121–136. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11558-013-9168-y>
- Susha, I. (2015). Participation in open government. *Orebro studies in informatics* 8. Orebro University School of Business, Orebro University, Sweden.
- Tallberg, J., Sommerer, T., Squatrito, T., & Jönsson, C. (2013). *The opening up of international organizations*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- ten Oever, N. (2019). Productive contestation, civil society, and global governance: Human rights as a boundary object in ICANN. *Policy & Internet*, 11(1), 37–60.

- Terrazas, R. (2015). Gobierno abierto local, ¿más que una moda? *Animal Político*, May 29, 2015. <https://www.animalpolitico.com/blogueros-res-publica/2015/05/29/gobierno-abierto-local-mas-que-una-moda/>
- Terry, L. D. (2005). The thinning of administrative institutions in the hollow state. *Administration & Society*, 37(4), 426–444.
- Timberman, D. G. (2016). The vote in the Philippines: Elite democracy disrupted? *Journal of Democracy*, 27(4), 135–144. <https://doi.org/10.1353/jod.2016.0069>
- Tisné, M. (2014a). The ambition of Open Government Partnership. Tisné.org, May 27, 2014. <https://tisne.org/2014/05/27/the-ambition-of-open-government-partnership/>
- Tisné, M. (2014b). “What can OGP do for me?” Open Government Partnership, September 24, 2014. <https://www.opengovpartnership.org/stories/what-can-ogp-do-for-me/>
- Tisné, M. (2015). OGPx—Going local for global impact. Open Government Partnership, October 27, 2015. <https://www.opengovpartnership.org/stories/ogpx-going-local-for-global-impact/>
- Tisné, M. (2016). The magic in the room: How the Open Government Partnership can inspire and go to scale. Tisné.org, September 20, 2016. <https://tisne.org/2016/09/20/the-magic-in-the-room-how-the-open-government-partnership-can-inspire-and-go-to-scale>
- Transparencia Mexicana*. (2015a). Letter from Mexican CSOs to the Tripartite Technical Secretariat. February 3, 2015. <https://www.tm.org.mx/wp-content/uploads/2015/02/Letter-from-Mexican-CSO-to-the-TTS-Feb-3.15.pdf>
- Transparencia Mexicana*. (2015b). Mexican CSO warn of possible regressions on access to information. February 17, 2015. <https://www.tm.org.mx/mexican-cso-warn-possible-regressions-access-information/>
- Transparencia Mexicana*. (2016). Mensaje al Senado de la República con motivo de la dictaminación de la Iniciativa Ciudadana de Ley General de Responsabilidades Administrativas “Ley3de3.” June 13, 2016. <https://www.tm.org.mx/mensaje-al-senado-la-republica-motivo-la-dictaminacion-la-iniciativa-ciudadana-ley-general-responsabilidades-administrativas-ley3de3-2/>
- Treisman, L. (2019). New at the OGP Summit: OpenOwnership and UK Government launch a major collective action platform, and we scale up our help for implementers. Open Ownership, May 2019. <https://www.openownership.org/news/new-at-the-ogp-summit-openownership-and-uk-government-launch-a-major-collective-action-platform-and-we-scale-up-our-help-for-implementers/>
- Trend News Agency. (2012). Int’l organizations’ opinions considered while preparing national action plans in Azerbaijan. September 6, 2012. <https://en.trend.az/azerbaijan/politics/2062493.html>
- Trubek, D. M., & Trubek, L. G. (2005). Hard and soft law in the construction of social Europe: The role of the open method of co-ordination. *European Law Journal*, 11(3): 343–364.
- Twaweza Ni Sisi. (n.d.) About Twaweza. Accessed March 2, 2022. <https://www.twaweza.org/go/about-us>

- Ubaldi, B. (2013). *Open government data: Towards empirical analysis of open government data initiatives* (OECD Working Papers on Public Governance, no. 22). Paris: OECD Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.1787/5k46bj4f03s7-en>
- UK Cabinet Office (2013). PM speech at Open Government Partnership 2013. Accessed March 22, 2022. <https://www.gov.uk/government/speeches/pm-speech-at-open-government-partnership-2013>
- United Nations (2015). *The sustainable development goals*. New York: United Nations. <https://sdgs.un.org/goals/goal16>
- United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change. (n.d.) Transparency of support under the Paris Agreement. <https://unfccc.int/topics/climate-finance/workstreams/transparency-of-support-ex-post/transparency-of-support-under-the-paris-agreement>
- United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change. (2015). *The Paris Agreement*. (FCCC/CP/2015/L.9/Rev.1). New York: United Nations. https://unfccc.int/files/essential_background/convention/application/pdf/english_paris_agreement.pdf
- Universidad Panamericana. (2016). Analizan rol de la sociedad civil en Sistema Nacional Anticorrupción. August 11, 2016. <http://www.up.edu.mx/es/noticias/29709/analizan-rol-de-la-sociedad-civil-en-sistema-nacional-anticorrupcion>
- Vabulas, F., & Snidal, D. (2013). Organization without delegation: Informal intergovernmental organizations (IIGOs) and the spectrum of intergovernmental arrangements. *Review of International Organizations*, 8(2), 193–220.
- van Zyl, A. (2014). How civil society organizations close the gap between transparency and accountability. *Governance*, 27(2), 347–356. <https://doi.org/10.1111/gove.12073>
- Verzosa, N. (2017). Insights on the Philippines' 4th national action plan: Co-creating our governance outcomes. Open Government Partnership Blog, August 10, 2017. <https://www.opengovpartnership.org/stories/insights-on-the-philippines-4th-national-action-plan-co-creating-our-governance-outcomes/>
- Vila, S., & Wilson, C. (2013). Open thread: The second Open Government Partnership summit. TechPresident, November 5, 2013. <https://web.archive.org/web/20140327022029/http://techpresident.com/ogpsummit>
- Volintiru, C., & Osuna Olivas, J. J. (2018). *Preventing corruption at local and regional level in South Mediterranean countries*. Brussels, Belgium: European Committee of the Regions (CoR). http://eprints.lse.ac.uk/90170/1/Olivas-Osuna_Preventing%20corruption_2018.pdf
- von Bertele, K. (2015, December 15). Calling all subnational reformers! Open Government Partnership (website), December 15, 2015. <https://www.opengovpartnership.org/stories/calling-all-subnational-reformers>
- von Stein, J. (2005). Do treaties constrain or screen? Selection bias and treaty compliance. *American Political Science Review*, 99(4), 611–622. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/30038968>

- Vossler, L., & Foti, J. (2018). When more is more: Toward higher impact OGP commitments. *Open Government Partnership Independent Reporting Mechanism*. https://www.opengovpartnership.org/sites/default/files/IRM_Analysis-Paper_Higher-Impact_20180327.pdf
- Waldo, D. (2017). *The administrative state: A study of the political theory of American public administration*. New York: Routledge.
- Webber, J. (2019). López Obrador takes on Mexico's institutions. *Financial Times*. July 30, 2019. <https://www.ft.com/content/69bf2628-b1e1-11e9-bec9-fdcab53d6959>
- Weerakkody, V., Irani, Z., Kapoor, K., Sivarajah, U., & Dwivedi, Y. K. (2017). Open data and its usability: An empirical view from the citizen's perspective. *Information Systems Frontiers*, 19(2), 285–300.
- Weinstein, J. (2013). Transforming multilateralism: Innovation on a global stage. *Stanford Social Innovation Review*. Sponsored supplement. 3–7.
- Welch, E. W., & Wong, W. (2001). Effects of global pressures on public bureaucracy: Modeling a new theoretical framework. *Administration & Society*, 33(4), 371–402.
- White House. (2010a). Fact sheet: U.S. support for open government. September 23, 2010. <https://obamawhitehouse.archives.gov/the-press-office/2010/09/23/fact-sheet-us-support-open-government>
- White House. (2010b). Remarks by the president to the United Nations General Assembly. September 23, 2010. <https://obamawhitehouse.archives.gov/the-press-office/2010/09/23/remarks-president-united-nations-general-assembly>
- White House. (2011). Opening remarks by President Obama on Open Government Partnership. Waldorf Astoria Hotel, New York City, New York. <https://obamawhitehouse.archives.gov/the-press-office/2011/09/20/opening-remarks-president-obama-open-government-partnership>
- Wijnhoven, F., Ehrenhard, M., & Kuhn, J. (2015). Open government objectives and participation motivations. *Government Information Quarterly*, 32(1), 30–42.
- Williams, S. (2018). *How the Catholic Church is fighting the drug war in the Philippines*. New York: America Magazine. <https://www.americamagazine.org/politics-society/2018/01/25/how-catholic-church-fighting-drug-war-philippines>
- Wilson, C. (2020). The socialization of civic participation norms in government? Assessing the effect of the Open Government Partnership on countries' e-participation. *Government Information Quarterly*, 37(4), 101476.
- Wilson, C. (2021). Multi-stakeholder initiatives, policy learning and institutionalization: the surprising failure of open government in Norway. *Policy Studies*, 42(2), 173–192.
- Wilson, W. (1887). The study of administration. *Political Science Quarterly*, 2(2), 197–222. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2139277>
- Winters, M. S. (2014). Targeting, accountability and capture in development projects. *International Studies Quarterly*, 58(2), 393–404. <https://doi.org/10.1111/isqu.12075>

- World Bank. (2015). *Indonesia—Country partnership framework for the period FY16–20*. (Report No. 99172). Washington, DC: World Bank Group. <http://documents.worldbank.org/curated/en/195141467986374707/text/99172-REVISED-World-Bank-Indonesia-Country-Partnership-Framework-2016-2020.txt>
- Worthy, B. (2015). *United Kingdom progress report 2013–2015*. [IRM Second Progress Report]. Washington, DC: Open Government Partnership. March 30, 2015. <https://www.opengovpartnership.org/documents/united-kingdom-progress-report-2013-2015/>
- Wotipka, C. M., & Tsutsui, K. (2008). Global human rights and state sovereignty: State ratification of international human rights treaties, 1965–2001. *Sociological Forum*, 23, 724–754. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1573-7861.2008.00092.x>
- Yavuz, N., & Welch, E. W. (2014). Factors affecting openness of local government websites: Examining the differences across planning, finance and police departments. *Government Information Quarterly*, 31(4), 574–583. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.giq.2014.07.004>
- Yeung, R. L. K. (2005). Public enterprise governance: KRC corporation and its governance controversies. *Public Management Review*, 7(4), 565–587. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14719030500362579>
- Yu, H., & Robinson, D. G. (2012). The new ambiguity of open government. *UCLA Law Review Discourse*, 59, 178.
- Zagdragchaa, B., & Tserenjav, D. (2017). *Mongolia end-of-term report 2014–2016*. Open Government Partnership, August 4, 2017. <https://www.opengovpartnership.org/documents/mongolia-end-of-term-report-2014-2016/>
- Zahariadis, N. (2008). Ambiguity and choice in European public policy. *Journal of European Public Policy*, 15(4), 514–530. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13501760801996717>
- Zeitlin, J. (2009). The open method of coordination and reform of national social and employment policies. In M. Heidenreich and J. Zeitlin (Eds.), *Changing European employment and welfare regimes: The influence of the open method of coordination on national reforms* (pp. 214–245). New York: Routledge.
- Zeleti, F. A., Ojo, A., & Curry, E. (2016). Exploring the economic value of open government data. *Government Information Quarterly*, 33(3), 535–551. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.giq.2016.01.008>
- Zuiderwijk, A., & Janssen, M. (2014). Open data policies, their implementation and impact: A framework for comparison. *Government Information Quarterly*, 31(1), 17–29. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.giq.2013.04.003>

This is a section of [doi:10.7551/mitpress/13984.001.0001](https://doi.org/10.7551/mitpress/13984.001.0001)

The Power of Partnership in Open Government

Reconsidering Multistakeholder Governance Reform

By: Suzanne J. Piotrowski, Daniel Berliner, Alex Ingrams

Citation:

The Power of Partnership in Open Government: Reconsidering Multistakeholder Governance Reform

By: Suzanne J. Piotrowski, Daniel Berliner, Alex Ingrams

DOI: 10.7551/mitpress/13984.001.0001

ISBN (electronic): 9780262372091

Publisher: The MIT Press

Published: 2022

The open access edition of this book was made possible by generous funding and support from the authors



The MIT Press

© 2022 Massachusetts Institute of Technology

This work is subject to a Creative Commons CC-BY-NC-ND license.

Subject to such license, all rights are reserved.



The open access edition of this book was made possible by generous funding from the Lois and Samuel Pratt Program for Freedom of Information at Rutgers Law School and the Institute of Public Administration at Leiden University.

The MIT Press would like to thank the anonymous peer reviewers who provided comments on drafts of this book. The generous work of academic experts is essential for establishing the authority and quality of our publications. We acknowledge with gratitude the contributions of these otherwise uncredited readers.

This book was set in Adobe Garamond and Berthold Akzidenz Grotesk by Westchester Publishing Services.

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Names: Piotrowski, Suzanne J., 1973– author. | Berliner, Daniel, author. | Ingrams, Alex, author.

Title: The power of partnership in open government : reconsidering multistakeholder governance reform / Suzanne J. Piotrowski, Daniel Berliner, and Alex Ingrams.

Description: Cambridge, Massachusetts : The MIT Press, [2022] | Series: Information Policy Series / edited by Sandra Braman | Includes bibliographical references and index.

Identifiers: LCCN 2022007845 (print) | LCCN 2022007846 (ebook) | ISBN 9780262544597 (Paperback) | ISBN 9780262372084 (ePub) | ISBN 9780262372091 (PDF)

Subjects: LCSH: Transparency in government. | Administrative agencies—Mexico—Management—Case studies. | Organizational change. | Organizational effectiveness.

Classification: LCC JC598 .P56 2022 (print) | LCC JC598 (ebook) | DDC 352.8/8—dc23/eng/20220630

LC record available at <https://lccn.loc.gov/2022007845>

LC ebook record available at <https://lccn.loc.gov/2022007846>