

References

- Alac, M., and Hutchins, E. (2004). I see what you are saying: Action as cognition in fMRI brain mapping practice. *Journal of Cognition and Culture* 4 (3–4): 629–662.
- Andersen, H. (2010). Joint acceptance and scientific change: A case study. *Episteme* 7:248–265.
- Andersen, H. (2016). Collaboration, interdisciplinarity, and the epistemology of contemporary science. *Studies in History and Philosophy of Science Part A* 56:1–10.
- Andersen, H., and Wagenknecht, S. (2013). Epistemic dependence in interdisciplinary groups. *Synthese* 190 (11): 1881–1898. doi:10.1007/s11229-012-0172-1
- Arabatzis, T. (2006). *Representing Electrons: A Biographical Approach to Theoretical Entities*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Aurigemma, J., Chandrasekharan, S., Nersessian, N. J., and Newstetter, W. (2013). Turning experiments into objects: The cognitive processes involved in the design of a lab-on-a-chip device. *Journal of Engineering Education* 102 (1): 117–140.
- Bailer-Jones, D. M. (2009). *Scientific Models in Philosophy of Science*. Pittsburgh: University of Pittsburgh Press.
- Barsalou, L. W. (1999). Perceptual symbol systems. *Behavioral and Brain Sciences* 22:577–609.
- Batterman, R. W., and Green, S. (2020). Steel and bone: Mesoscale modeling and middle-out strategies in physics and biology. *Synthese* 199 (4): 1–26.
- Bazerman, C. (1988). *Shaping Written Knowledge: The Genre and Activity of the Experimental Article in Science*. Rhetoric of the Human Services, vol. 356. Madison: University of Wisconsin Press.
- Bechtel, W. (2011). Mechanism and biological explanation. *Philosophy of Science* 78 (4): 533–557.
- Bechtel, W., and Abrahamsen, A. A. (2013). Thinking dynamically about biological mechanisms: Networks of coupled oscillators. *Foundations of Science* 18 (4): 707–723. doi:10.1007/s10699-012-9301-z

- Bechtel, W., and Richardson, R. C. (2010). *Discovering Complexity: Decomposition and Localization as Strategies in Scientific Research*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Becvar, A., Hollan, J., and Hutchins, E. (2008). Representing gestures as cognitive artifacts. In *Resources, Co-evolution, and Artifacts: Theory in CSCW*, edited by M. S. Ackerman, C. Halverson, T. Erickson, and W. A. Kellog, 117–143. New York: Springer.
- Beisbart, C. (2018). Are computer simulations experiments? And if not, how are they related to each other? *European Journal for Philosophy of Science* 8 (2): 171–204.
- Bertolaso, M. (2011). Hierarchies and causal relationships in interpretative models of the neoplastic process. *History and Philosophy of the Life Sciences* 33 (4): 515–535.
- Bertolaso, M., Giuliani, A., and Filippi, S. (2014). The mesoscopic level and its epistemological relevance in systems biology. In *Recent Advances in Systems Biological Research*, edited by A. Valente, A. Sarkar, and Y. Gao, 19–36. Hauppauge, NY: Nova Science Publishers.
- Black, M. (1962). *Models and Metaphors*. Ithaca: Cornell University Press.
- Bloor, D. (1991). *Knowledge and Social Imagery*. 2nd ed. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Boon, M. (2011). In defense of engineering sciences: On the epistemological relations between science and technology. *Techné: Research in Philosophy and Technology* 15:49–71.
- Boon, M. (2017). An engineering paradigm in the biomedical sciences: Knowledge as epistemic tool. *Progress in Biophysics and Molecular Biology* 129 (Supplement C): 25–39. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pbiomolbio.2017.04.001>
- Boon, M., and Knuuttila, T. (2009). Models as epistemic tools in engineering sciences: A pragmatic approach. *Handbook of the Philosophy of Technological Sciences* 9:687–719.
- Brass, M., Bekkering, H., and Prinz, W. (2002). Movement observation affects movement execution in a simple response task. *Acta Psychologica* 106 (1–2): 3–22.
- Braun, V., and Clarke, V. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative Research in Psychology* 3: 77–101.
- Brigandt, I. (2013). Systems biology and the integration of mechanistic explanation and mathematical explanation. *Studies in the History and Philosophy of Biological and Biomedical Sciences* 44:477–492.
- Brown, A. L. (1992). Design experiments: Theoretical and methodological challenges in creating complex interventions in classroom settings. *Journal of the Learning Sciences* 2 (2): 141–178.
- Bruggeman, F. J., and Westerhoff, H. V. (2007). The nature of systems biology. *TRENDS in Microbiology* 15 (1): 45–50.

- Bryant, D. J., and Tversky, B. (1999). Mental representations of perspective and spatial relations from diagrams and models. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition* 25:137–156.
- Bursten, J. (2015). Surfaces, Scales, and Synthesis: Scientific Reasoning at the Nanoscale. Diss., University of Pittsburgh.
- Calvert, J. (2010). Systems biology, interdisciplinarity and disciplinary identity. *Collaboration in the New Life Sciences*. Aldershot, UK: Ashgate.
- Calvert, J., and Fujimura, J. H. (2011). Calculating life? Duelling discourses in interdisciplinary systems biology. *Studies in History and Philosophy of Science Part C: Studies in History and Philosophy of Biological and Biomedical Sciences* 42:155–163.
- Caporael, L. R. (2014). Evolution, groups, and scaffolded minds. In *Developing Scaffolds in Evolution, Culture, and Cognition*, edited by L. R. Caporael, J. R. Griesemer, and W. C. Wimsatt, 57–76. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Carey, S. (2009). *The Origin of Concepts*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Carusi, A., Burrage, K., and Rodríguez, B. (2012). Bridging experiments, models and simulations: An integrative approach to validation in computational cardiac electrophysiology. *American Journal of Physiology—Heart and Circulatory Physiology* 303 (2): H1144–H1155.
- Cetina, K. K. (1999). *Epistemic Cultures: How the Sciences Make Knowledge*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Chandrasekharan, S. (2009). Building to discover: A common coding approach. *Cognitive Science* 33 (6): 1059–1086.
- Chandrasekharan, S., and Stewart, T. C. (2007). The origin of epistemic structures and proto-representations. *Adaptive Behaviour* 15:329–353.
- Chandrasekharan, S., and Nersessian, N. J. (2015). Building cognition: The construction of external representations for discovery. *Cognitive Science* 39:1727–1763.
- Chandrasekharan, S., Nersessian, N. J., and Subramanian, V. (2012). Computational modeling: Is this the end of thought experiments in science? *Thought Experiments in Philosophy, Science, and the Arts* 11:239.
- Chandrasekharan, S., and Nersessian, N. J. (2017). Rethinking correspondence: how the process of constructing models leads to discoveries and transfer in the bioengineering sciences. *Synthese* 198 (Suppl. 21):1–30 doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11229-017-1463-3>
- Chang, H. (2004). *Inventing Temperature: Measurement and Scientific Progress*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Chang, H. (2012). *Is Water H₂O?: Evidence, Realism and Pluralism*. Dordrecht: Springer.

- Charbonneau, M. (2013). The cognitive life of mechanical molecular models. *Studies in History and Philosophy of Science Part C: Studies in History and Philosophy of Biological and Biomedical Sciences* 44 (4, pt. A): 585–594.
- Christensen, B. T., and Schunn, C. D. (2007). The relationship between analogical distance to analogical function and pre-inventive structure: The case of engineering design. *Memory and Cognition* 35:29–38.
- Christensen, B. T., and Schunn, C. D. (2008). The role and impact of mental simulation in design. *Applied Cognitive Psychology* 22:1–18.
- Clark, A. (1998). *Being There: Putting Brain, Body, and World Together Again*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Clark, A., and Chalmers D. (1998). The extended mind. *Analysis* 58 (1):7–19.
- Cole, M., and Engeström, Y. (1993). A cultural-historical approach to distributed cognition. In *Distributed Cognitions: Psychological and Educational Considerations*, edited by G. Salomon, 1–46. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- Collins, A. (1992). Toward a design science of education. In *New Directions in Educational Technology*, edited by Eileen Scanlon and Tim O’Shea, 15–22. Berlin: Heidelberg. doi: 10.1007/978-3-642-77750-9_2
- Collins, H., and Evans, R. (2015). Expertise revisited, part I: Interactional expertise. *Studies in History and Philosophy of Science Part A* 54:113–123. doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.shpsa.2015.07.004>
- Collins, H. M., and Evans, R. (2002). The third wave of science studies: Studies of expertise and experience. *Social Studies of Science* 32 (2): 235–296.
- Collins, H., Evans, R., and Gorman, M. (2007). Trading zones and interactional expertise. *Studies in History and Philosophy of Science Part A* 38 (4): 657–666. doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.shpsa.2007.09.003>
- Collins, H., Evans, R., and Weinel, M. (2016). Expertise revisited, part II: Contributory expertise. *Studies in History and Philosophy of Science Part A* 56:103–110. doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.shpsa.2015.07.003>
- Corbin, J., and Strauss, A. (2008). *Basics of Qualitative Research: Techniques and Procedures for Developing Grounded Theory*. 3rd ed. Los Angeles: Sage.
- Craik, K. (1943). *The Nature of Explanation*. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- DeKleer, J., and Brown, J. S. (1983). Assumptions and ambiguities in mechanistic mental models. In *Mental Models*, edited by D. Gentner and A. Stevens, 155–190. Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Dennett, D. C. (2000). Making tools for thinking. In *Metarepresentations: A Multidisciplinary Perspective*, edited by D. Sperber, 17–29. New York: Oxford University Press.

- Donald, M. (1991). *Origins of the Modern Mind: Three Stages in the Evolution of Culture and Cognition*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Dunbar, K. (1995). How scientists really reason: Scientific reasoning in real-world laboratories. In *The Nature of Insight*, edited by R. J. Sternberg and J. E. Davidson, 365–395. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Dunbar, K. (1997). How scientists think: On-line creativity and conceptual change. In *Conceptual Structures and Processes*, edited by T. Ward, S. M. Smith, and J. Vaid, 461–493. Washington, DC: APA Press.
- Dunbar, K. (1999). How scientists build models: In vivo science as a window on the scientific mind. In *Model-Based Reasoning in Scientific Discovery*, edited by L. Magnani, N. J. Nersessian, and P. Thagard, 85–99. New York: Kluwer Academic/Plenum Publishers.
- Dunbar, K., and Blanchette, I. (2001). The *in vivo/in vitro* approach to cognition: The case of analogy. *TRENDS in Cognitive Science* 5:334–339.
- Eisner, E. W. (2003). On the art and science of qualitative research in psychology. In *Qualitative Research in Psychology: Expanding Perspectives on Methodology and Design*, edited by P. Carnic, J. Rhodes, and L. Yardley, 17–29. Washington, DC: American Psychological Association.
- Elgin, C. Z. (2009). Exemplification, idealization, and understanding. In *Fictions in Science: Essays on Idealization and Modeling*, edited by M. Suárez, 77–90. London: Routledge.
- Elgin, C. Z. (2018). *True Enough*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Feest, U., and Steinle, F. (2012). *Scientific Concepts and Investigative Practice*. Berlin: De Gruyter.
- Finke, R. A. (1989). *Principles of Mental Imagery*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Franklin, A. (1989). *The Neglect of Experiment*. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- Frigg, R., and Reiss, J. (2009). The philosophy of simulation: Hot new issues or same old stew? *Synthese* 169 (3): 593–613.
- Galison, P. (1997). *Image and Logic: A Material Culture of Microphysics*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Gavroglu, K., and Goudaroulis, Y. (1989). *Methodological Aspects of the Development of Low Temperature Physics 1881–1957: Concepts out of Context(s)*. Dordrecht: Nijhoff.
- Geertz, C. (1973). *The Interpretation of Cultures*. New York: Basic Books.
- Geertz, C. (1983). Thick description: Toward an interpretive theory of culture. In *Contemporary Field Research*, edited by R. Emerson, 37–59. Prospect Heights, IL: Waveland Press.

- Gentner, D. (1983). Structure-mapping: A theoretical framework for analogy. *Cognitive Science* 7:155–170.
- Gentner, D., Rattermann, M. J., and Forbus, K. D. (1993). The roles of similarity in transfer: Separating retrievability from inferential soundness. *Cognitive Psychology* 25:524–575.
- Gerson, E. M. (2013). Integration of specialties: An institutional and organizational view. *Studies in History and Philosophy of Science Part C: Studies in History and Philosophy of Biological and Biomedical Sciences* 44 (4): 515–524.
- Giere, R. N. (2002). Scientific cognition as distributed cognition. In *The Cognitive Basis of Science*, edited by P. Carruthers, S. Stich, and M. Siegal, 285–299. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- Glaser, B., and Strauss, A. (1967). *The Discovery of Grounded Theory: Strategies for Qualitative Research*. Piscataway, NJ: Aldine Transaction.
- Glenberg, A. M. (1997). Mental models, space, and embodied cognition. In *Creative Thought: An Investigation of Conceptual Structures and Processes*, edited by T. Ward, S. M. Smith, and J. Vaid, 495–522. Washington, DC: American Psychological Association.
- Glenberg, A. M. (2010). Embodiment as a unifying perspective for psychology. *WIREs Cognitive Science* 1:586–596. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1002/wcs.55>
- Goddiksen, M. (2014). Clarifying interactional and contributory expertise. *Studies in History and Philosophy of Science Part A* 47:111–117. doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.shpsa.2014.06.001>
- Gooding, D. (1990). *Experiment and the Making of Meaning: Human Agency in Scientific Observation and Experiment*. Dordrecht: Kluwer.
- Goodman, N. (1968). *Languages of Art*. Indianapolis, IN: Hackett.
- Goodwin, C. (1995). Seeing in depth. *Social Studies of Science* 25:237–274.
- Gorman, M. (1997). Mind in the world: Cognition and practice in the invention of the telephone. *Social Studies of Science* 27:583–624.
- Green, S. (2013). When one model is not enough: Combining epistemic tools in systems biology. *Studies in History and Philosophy of Science Part C: Studies in History and Philosophy of Biological and Biomedical Sciences* 44 (2): 170–180. doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.shpsc.2013.03.012>
- Green, S. (2017). *Philosophy of Systems Biology*. New York: Springer.
- Green, S., and Andersen, H. (2019). Systems science and the art of interdisciplinary integration. *Systems Research and Behavioral Science* 36 (5): 727–743. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1002/sres.2633>
- Greeno, J. G. (1989a). A perspective on thinking. *American Psychologist* 44:134–141.

- Greeno, J. G. (1989b). Situations, mental models, and generative knowledge. In *Complex Information Processing*, edited by D. Klahr and K. Kotovsky, 285–318. Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Griesemer, J. (2013). Integration of approaches in David Wake's model-taxon research platform for evolutionary morphology. *Studies in History and Philosophy of Science Part C: Studies in History and Philosophy of Biological and Biomedical Sciences* 44 (4): 525–536.
- Grüne-Yanoff, T. (2011). Models as products of interdisciplinary exchange: Evidence from evolutionary game theory. *Studies in History and Philosophy of Science Part A* 42 (2): 386–397.
- Guba, E. G. (1978). *Toward a Methodology of Naturalistic Inquiry in Educational Evaluation*. Berkeley: University of California Press.
- Guba, E. G. (1981). Criteria for assessing the trustworthiness of naturalistic inquiries. *Educational Research Technology and Development*, 29(2): 75–91. Hacking, I. (1983). *Representing and Intervening*. New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Hall, R., Stevens, R., and Torralba, T. (2002). Disrupting representational infrastructure in conversation across disciplines. *Mind, Culture, and Activity* 9:179–210.
- Hall, R., Wieckert, K., and Wright, K. (2010). How does cognition get distributed? Case studies of making concepts general in technical and scientific work. In *Generalization of Knowledge: Multidisciplinary Perspectives*, edited by M. Banich and D. Cacmisse, 225–246. New York: Psychology Press.
- Hangel, N., and Schickore, J. (2017). Scientists' conceptions of good research practice. *Perspectives on Science* 25 (6): 766–791. doi:10.1162/POSC_a_00265
- Harmon, E., and Nersessian, N. J. (2008). *Cognitive Partnerships on the Bench Top: Designing to Support Scientific Researchers*. Paper presented at the Proceedings of the 7th ACM Conference on Designing Interactive Systems. doi:10.1145/1394445.1394458
- Harré, R. (1970). *The Principles of Scientific Thinking*. London: Macmillan.
- Harré, R., Moghaddam, F. M., Cairnie, T. P., Rothbart, D., and Sabat, S. R. (2009). Recent advances in positioning theory. *Theory & Psychology* 19 (1): 5–31.
- Hegarty, M. (1992). Mental animation: Inferring motion from static diagrams of mechanical systems. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition* 18 (5): 1084–1102.
- Hegarty, M. (2004). Mechanical reasoning by mental simulation. *Trends in Cognitive Science* 8:280–285.
- Hesse, M. (1963). *Models and Analogies in Science*. London: Sheed and Ward.
- Hofstadter, D. (1995). *Fluid Concepts and Creative Analogies: Computer Models of the Fundamental Mechanisms of Thought*. New York: Basic Books.

Hollan, J., Hutchins, E., and Kirsh, D. (2000). Distributed cognition: Toward a new foundation for human-computer interaction research. *ACM Transactions on Computer-Human Interaction (TOCHI)* 7 (2): 174–196.

Holyoak, K. J., and Koh, K. (1987). Surface and structural similarity in analogical transfer. *Memory & Cognition* 15 (4):332–340.

Holyoak, K., and Thagard, P. (1989). Analogical mapping by constraint satisfaction: A computational theory. *Cognitive Science* 13:295–356.

Hood, L., Heath, J. R., Phelps, M. E., and Lin, B. (2004). Systems biology and new technologies enable predictive and preventative medicine. *Science* 306 (5696): 640–643.

Humphreys, P. (2004). *Extending Ourselves: Computational Science, Empiricism, and Scientific Method*. New York: Oxford University Press.

Humphreys, P. (2009). The philosophical novelty of computer simulation methods. *Synthese* 169:615–626. doi:10.1007/s11229-008-9435-2

Hutchins, E. (1995a). *Cognition in the Wild*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Hutchins, E. (1995b). How a cockpit remembers its speed. *Cognitive Science* 19:265–288.

Hutchins, E. (1996). Response to reviewers. *Mind, Culture, and Activity* 3 (1): 64–68. doi:10.1207/s15327884mca0301_6

Hutchins, E. (2011). Enculturating the supersized mind. *Philosophical Studies* 152 (3): 437–446.

Johnson, M. (1987). *The Body in the Mind: The Bodily Basis of Meaning, Imagination, and Reason*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

Johnson-Laird, P. N. (1983). *Mental Models*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Keller, E. F. (2002). *Making Sense of Life: Explaining Biological Development with Models, Metaphors, and Machines*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.

Keller, E. F. (2003). Models, simulation, and computer experiments. In *The Philosophy of Scientific Experimentation*, edited by H. Radder, 198–215. Pittsburgh: University of Pittsburgh Press.

Keller, J. D., Bazerman, C., and Latour, B. (1996). Cognition in the Wild (book). *Mind, Culture, and Activity* 3 (1): 46–63.

Kirsh, D. (1995). *Complementary Strategies: Why We Use Our Hands when We Think*. Paper presented at the Proceedings of the Seventeenth Annual Conference of the Cognitive Science Society. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/236864140_Complementary_Strategies_-_Why_we_use_our_hands_when_we_think

Kirsh, D. (2001). The context of work. *Human-Computer Interaction* 16 (2–4): 305–322.

- Kirsh, D. (2010). Thinking with external representations. *AI and Society* 24:441–454.
- Kirsh, D., and Maglio, P. (1994). On distinguishing epistemic from pragmatic action. *Cognitive Science* 18:513–549.
- Kitano, H. (2002). Looking beyond the details: A rise in system-oriented approaches in genetics and molecular biology. *Current Genetics* 41 (1): 1–10.
- Klein, J. T. (2010). A taxonomy of interdisciplinarity. In *The Oxford Handbook of Interdisciplinarity*, edited by R. Frodeman, J. T. Klein, and C. Mitcham, 15–30. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Knorr-Cetina, K. D. (1983). *The Ethnographic Study of Scientific Work: Towards a Constructivist Interpretation of Science*. <http://nbn-resolving.de/urn:nbn:de:bsz:352-opus-80624http://nbn-resolving.de/urn:nbn:de:bsz:352-opus-80624https://kops.uni-konstanz.de/bitstream/handle/123456789/11543/knorrethnographic.pdf?sequence=1>
- Knuuttila, T. (2005). Models, representation, and mediation. *Philosophy of Science* 72 (5):1260–1271.
- Knuuttila, T. (2011). Modelling and representing: An artefactual approach to model-based representation. *Studies in History and Philosophy of Science Part A* 42 (2): 262–271.
- Knuuttila, T., and Loettgers, A. (2011). Synthetic modeling and the functional role of noise. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/50854247_Synthetic_Modeling_and_the_Functional_Role_of_Noise
- Knuuttila, T., and Loettgers, A. (2014). Varieties of noise: Analogical reasoning in synthetic biology. *Studies in History and Philosophy of Science Part A* 48:76–88.
- Kosslyn, S. M. (1994). *Image and Brain*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Krohs, U., and Callebaut, W. (2007). Data without models merging with models without data. In *Systems Biology: Philosophical Foundations*, edited by F. C. Boogerd, F. J. Bruggeman, J.-H. S. Hofmeyer, and H. V. Westerhoff, 181–213. New York: Elsevier.
- Kuhn, T. (1962). *The Structure of Scientific Revolutions: International Encyclopedia of Unified Science*. Vol. 2. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Kurz-Milcke, E., Nersessian, N. J., and Newstetter, W. (2004). What has history to do with cognition? Interactive methods for studying research laboratories. *Journal of Cognition and Culture* 4:663–700.
- Lakoff, G. (1987). *Women, Fire, and Dangerous Things: What Categories Reveal about the Mind*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Latour, B. (1987). *Science in Action*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Latour, B., and Woolgar, S. (1979). *Laboratory Life: The Construction of Scientific Facts*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.

- Lave, J. (1988). *Cognition in Practice: Mind, Mathematics, and Culture in Everyday Life*. New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Lave, J., and Wenger, E. (1991). *Situated Learning: Legitimate Peripheral Participation*. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- Lenhard, J. (2006). Surprised by a nanowire: Simulation, control, and understanding. *Philosophy of Science* 73 (5): 605–616.
- Lenhard, J. (2007). Computer simulation: The cooperation between experimenting and modeling *Philosophy of Science* 74 (2): 176–194.
- Lenhard, J. (2020). *Calculated Surprises: A Philosophy of Computational Simulation*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Leonelli, S. (2013). Integrating data to acquire new knowledge: Three modes of integration in plant science. *Studies in History and Philosophy of Science Part C: Studies in History and Philosophy of Biological and Biomedical Sciences* 44 (4): 503–514.
- Leonelli, S. (2016). *Data-Centric Biology: A Philosophical Study*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Leonelli, S. (2019). Scientific agency and social scaffolding in contemporary data-intensive biology. In *Beyond the Meme: Development and Structure in Cultural Evolution*. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press. <https://manifold.umn.edu/read/un-titled-efb05e81-47d9-4015-a30f-1b133318b43a/section/88c7360c-5cb0-4d7f-9661-2e71fca23ae5>
- Levitt, H. M., Motulsky, S. L., Wertz, F. J., Morrow, S. L., and Ponterotto, J. G. (2017). Recommendations for designing and reviewing qualitative research in psychology: Promoting methodological integrity. *Qualitative Psychology* 4:2–22. doi:10.1037/qup0000082
- Levy, A., and Bechtel, W. (2013). Abstraction and the organization of mechanisms. *Philosophy of Science* 80 (2): 241–261.
- Lincoln, Y., and Guba, E. (1985). *Naturalistic Inquiry*. Newberry Park, CA: Sage.
- Loettgers, A. (2007). *The Hopfield Model and Its Role in the Development of Synthetic Biology*. Paper presented at the International Joint Conference on Neural Networks. doi:10.1109/IJCNN.2007.4371175
- Longino, H. (1990). *Science as Social Knowledge*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.
- Longino, H. (2001). *The Fate of Knowledge*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.
- Love, A. C., and Lugar, G. L. (2013). Dimensions of integration in interdisciplinary explanations of the origin of evolutionary novelty. *Studies in History and Philosophy*

of Science Part C: Studies in History and Philosophy of Biological and Biomedical Sciences 44 (4): 537–550.

Love, A. C., and Wimsatt, W. (2019). *Beyond the Meme: Development and Structure in Cultural Evolution*. Minnesota Studies in the Philosophy of Science, vol. 22. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press.

Lynch, M. (1985). *Art and Artifact in Laboratory Science: A Study of Shop Work and Shop Talk in a Research Laboratory*. London: Routledge and Kegan Paul.

MacLeod, M., and Nersessian, N. J. (2013). Coupling simulation and experiment: The bimodal strategy in integrative systems biology. *Studies in the History and Philosophy of Biological and Biomedical Sciences* 44 (4, pt. A): 572–584. doi:10.1016/j.shpsc.2013.07.001

MacLeod, M., and Nersessian, N. J. (2015). Modeling systems-level dynamics: Understanding without mechanistic explanation in integrative systems biology. *Studies in History and Philosophy of Science Part C: Studies in History and Philosophy of Biological and Biomedical Sciences* 49:1–11.

MacLeod, M., and Nersessian, N. J. (2016). Interdisciplinary problem-solving: Emerging modes in integrative systems biology. *European Journal for Philosophy of Science* 6 (3): 401–418.

MacLeod, M., and Nersessian, N. J. (2018). Modeling complexity: Cognitive constraints and computational model-building in integrative systems biology. *History and Philosophy of the Life Sciences* 40 (1):1–28.

MacLeod, M., and Nersessian, N. J. (2019). Mesoscopic modeling as a cognitive strategy for handling complex biological systems. *Studies in the History and Philosophy of Biological and Biomedical Sciences* 78:101201. doi:10.1016/j.shpsc.2019.101201

MacLeod, M., and Nersessian, N. J. (2020). Bounded rationality, distributed cognition, and the computational modeling of complex systems. In *The Routledge Handbook of Bounded Rationality*, edited by R. Viale, 120–130. London: Routledge.

Malone, K. R., Newstetter, W. C., and Nersessian, N. J. (2005). Gender writ small: Gender enactments and gender narratives about lab organization and knowledge transmission in a biomedical engineering research setting. *Journal of Women and Minorities in Science and Engineering* 11 (1): 61–82.

Mansnerus, E., and Wagenknecht, S. (2015). Feeling with the organism: A blueprint for empirical philosophy of science. In *Empirical Philosophy of Science: Introducing Qualitative Methods into Philosophy of Science*, edited by S. Wagenknecht, N. J. Nersessian, and H. Andersen, 37–64. Cham: Springer.

Morgan, M. S., and Morrison, M. (1999). *Models as Mediators: Perspectives on Natural and Social Science*. Ideas in Context, vol. 52. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.

- Myers, N. (2015). *Rendering Life Molecular: Models, Modelers, and Excitable Matter*. Durham, NC: Duke University Press.
- Nair, A., Chauhan, P., Saha, B., and Kubatzky, K. F. (2019). Conceptual evolution of cell signaling. *International Journal of Molecular Science* 20 (13). doi:10.3390/ijms20133292
- NAS, NAE, and IM. (2005). *Facilitating Interdisciplinary Research*. Washington, DC: National Academies Press.
- Nersessian, N. J. (1984). *Faraday to Einstein: Constructing Meaning in Scientific Theories*. Dordrecht: Martinus Nijhoff/Kluwer.
- Nersessian, N. J. (1987). A cognitive-historical approach to meaning in scientific theories. In *The Process of Science*, edited by N. J. Nersessian, 161–179. Dordrecht: Kluwer.
- Nersessian, N. J. (1991a). Discussion: The method to “meaning”: A reply to Leplin. *Philosophy of Science* 58:678–687.
- Nersessian, N. J. (1991b). Why do thought experiments work? In *Proceedings of the Cognitive Science Society* 13:430–438. Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Nersessian, N. J. (1992a). How do scientists think? Capturing the dynamics of conceptual change in science. In *Minnesota Studies in the Philosophy of Science*, edited by R. Giere, 3–45. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press.
- Nersessian, N. J. (1992b). In the theoretician’s laboratory: Thought experimenting as mental modeling. In *PSA 1992 2*, edited by D. Hull, M. Forbes, and K. Okruhlik, 291–301. East Lansing, MI: PSA.
- Nersessian, N. J. (1995). Should physicists preach what they practice? Constructive modeling in doing and learning physics. *Science & Education* 4:203–226.
- Nersessian, N. J. (2002). The cognitive basis of model-based reasoning in science. In *The Cognitive Basis of Science*, edited by P. Carruthers, S. Stich, and M. Siegal, 133–153. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- Nersessian, N. J. (2005). Interpreting scientific and engineering practices: Integrating the cognitive, social, and cultural dimensions. In *Scientific and Technological Thinking*, edited by M. Gorman, R. D. Tweney, D. Gooding, and A. Kincannon, 17–56. Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Nersessian, N. J. (2006). *Boundary Objects, Trading Zones, and Adaptive Spaces: How to Create Interdisciplinary Emergence?* Paper presented at the Invited Address, National Science Foundation Sciences of Learning Centers PI meeting, Washington, DC.
- Nersessian, N. J. (2008). *Creating Scientific Concepts*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Nersessian, N. J. (2009). How do engineering scientists think? Model-based simulation in biomedical engineering research laboratories. *Topics in Cognitive Science* 1:730–757.

- Nersessian, N. J. (2012a). Engineering concepts: The interplay between concept formation and modeling practices in bioengineering sciences. *Mind, Culture, and Activity* 19 (3): 222–239.
- Nersessian, N. J. (2012b). Modeling practices in conceptual innovation: An ethnographic study of a neural engineering research laboratory. In *Scientific Concepts and Investigative Practice*, edited by U. Feest and F. Steinle, 245–269. Berlin: DeGruyter.
- Nersessian, N. J. (2017). Systems biology modeling practices: Reflections of a philosopher-ethnographer. In *Philosophy of Systems Biology*, edited by S. Green, 215–225. New York: Springer.
- Nersessian, N. J., and Chandrasekharan, S. (2009). Hybrid analogies in conceptual innovation in science. *Cognitive Systems Research* 10:178–188.
- Nersessian, N. J., Kurz-Milcke, E., and Davies, J. (2005). Ubiquitous computing in science and engineering research laboratories: A case study from biomedical engineering. In *In-Use Knowledge*, edited by G. Kouzelis, M. Pournari, M. Stšppler, and V. Tselves, 167–195. Berlin: Peter Lang
- Nersessian, N. J., Kurz-Milcke, E., Newstetter, W., and Davies, J. (2003). Research laboratories as evolving distributed cognitive systems. In *Proceedings of the Cognitive Science Society 25*, edited by D. Alterman and D. Kirsch, 857–862. Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Nersessian, N. J., and Macleod, M. (2022, in press). Rethinking ethnography for philosophy of science. *Philosophy of Science*.
- Nersessian, N. J., and Newstetter, W. C. (2013). Interdisciplinarity in engineering research and learning. In *Cambridge Handbook of Engineering Education Research*, edited by J. Aditya and B. Olds, 713–730. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- Newell, A., and Simon, H. A. (1972). *Human Problem Solving*. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice Hall.
- Newstetter, W., Kurz-Milcke, E., and Nersessian, N. J. (2004). Cognitive partnerships on the bench tops. *Proceedings of the Sixth International Conference on Learning Sciences*. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/234799965_Cognitive_partnerships_on_the_bench_tops
- Newstetter, W. C. (2005). Designing cognitive apprenticeships for biomedical engineering. *Journal of Engineering Education* 94 (2): 207–213. doi:10.1002/j.2168-9830.2005.tb00841.x
- Newstetter, W. C. (2006). Fostering integrative problem solving in biomedical engineering: The PBL approach. *Annals of Biomedical Engineering* 34 (2): 217–225.
- Newstetter, W. C., Behravesh, E., Nersessian, N. J., and Fasse, B. B. (2010). Design principles for problem-driven learning laboratories in biomedical engineering education. *Annals of Biomedical Engineering* 38 (10): 3257–3267.

- Newstetter, W. C., Kurz-Milcke, E., and Nersessian, N. J. (2004). Agentive learning in engineering research labs. In *Frontiers in Education, 2004*. Frontiers in Education Conference 2004, T2F/7–T2F12. IEEE Xplore. doi:10.1109/FIE.2004.1408503
- Noble, D. (2006). *The Music of Life: Biology beyond the Genome*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Norman, D. A. (1988). *The Psychology of Everyday Things*. New York: Basic Books.
- Norman, D. A. (1991). Cognitive artifacts. In *Designing Interaction*, edited by J. M. Carroll. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- NRC. (2015). *Enhancing the Effectiveness of Team Science*. Washington, DC: National Academies Press.
- Ochs, E., and Jacoby, S. (1997). Down to the wire: The cultural clock of physicists and the discourse of consensus. *Language in Society* 26:479–505.
- O'Malley, M. A., Calvert, J., and Dupré, J. (2007). The study of socioethical issues in systems biology. *American Journal of Bioethics* 7 (4): 67–78.
- O'Malley, M. A., and Dupré, J. (2005). Fundamental issues in systems biology. *BioEssays* 27 (12): 1270–1276.
- O'Malley, M. A., and Soyer, O. S. (2012). The roles of integration in molecular systems biology. *Studies in History and Philosophy of Science Part C: Studies in History and Philosophy of Biological and Biomedical Sciences* 43 (1): 58–68.
- O'Rourke, M., Crowley, S., and Gonnerman, C. (2016). On the nature of cross-disciplinary integration: A philosophical framework. *Studies in History and Philosophy of Science Part C: Studies in History and Philosophy of Biological and Biomedical Sciences* 56:62–70.
- Osbeck, L., and Nersessian, N. J. (2006). The distribution of representation. *Journal for the Theory of Social Behaviour* 36:141–160.
- Osbeck, L. M., and Nersessian, N. J. (2010). Forms of positioning in interdisciplinary science practice and their epistemic effects. *Journal for the Theory of Social Behaviour* 40 (2): 136–161.
- Osbeck, L. M., and Nersessian, N. J. (2012). The acting person in science practice. In *Psychology of Science: Implicit and Explicit Processes*, edited by R. W. Proctor and E. J. Capaldi, 86–111. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Osbeck, L. M., and Nersessian, N. J. (2013). Beyond motivation and metaphor: “Scientific passions” and anthropomorphism. In *EPSA11 Perspectives and Foundational Problems in Philosophy of Science*, 455–466. New York: Springer.
- Osbeck, L. M., and Nersessian, N. J. (2015). Prolegomena to an empirical philosophy of science. In *Empirical Philosophy of Science*, edited by S. Wagnekencht, N. J. Nersessian, and H. Andersen, 13–35. Cham: Springer.

- Osbeck, L. M., and Nersessian, N. J. (2017). Epistemic identities in interdisciplinary science. *Perspectives on Science* 25:226–260.
- Osbeck, L. M., and Nersessian, N. J. (2019). “Groping for trouts in a peculiar river”: Challenges in exploration and application for ethnographic study of interdisciplinary science. In *Psychological Studies of Science and Technology*, edited by K. C. O’Doherty, L. M. Osbeck, E. Schraube, and J. Yen, 103–126. Cham: Springer.
- Osbeck, L. M., Nersessian, N. J., Malone, K. R., and Newstetter, W. C. (2011). *Science as Psychology: Sense-Making and Identity in Science Practice*. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- Parker, W. S. (2009). Does matter really matter? Computer simulations, experiments, and materiality. *Synthese* 169 (3): 483–496.
- Parker, W. S. (2010a). Comparative process tracing and climate change fingerprints. *Philosophy of Science* 77 (5): 1083–1095.
- Parker, W. S. (2010b). Predicting weather and climate: Uncertainty, ensembles and probability. *Studies in History and Philosophy of Science Part B: Studies in History and Philosophy of Modern Physics* 41 (3): 263–272.
- Parker, W. S. (2013). Ensemble modeling, uncertainty and robust predictions. *Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Climate Change* 4:213–223.
- Patton, M. Q. (2002). *Qualitative Research & Evaluation Methods*. 3rd ed. London: Sage.
- Pea, R. D. (1993). Practices of distributed intelligence and designs for education. *Distributed Cognitions: Psychological and Educational Considerations* 11:47–87.
- Peck, S. L. (2008). The hermeneutics of ecological simulation. *Biology & Philosophy* 23 (3): 383–402.
- Perrig, W., and Kintsch, W. (1985). Propositional and situational representations of text. *Journal of Memory and Language* 24:503–518.
- Plutynski, A. (2013). Cancer and the goals of integration. *Studies in History and Philosophy of Science Part C: Studies in History and Philosophy of Biological and Biomedical Sciences* 44 (4, pt A): 466–476.
- Prinz, J. J. (2002). *Furnishing the Mind: Concepts and Their Perceptual Basis*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Quine, W. V. O. (1969). Naturalized epistemology. In *Ontological Relativity and Other Essays*, 69–91. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Rahaman, J., Agrawal, H., Srivastava, N., and Chandrasekharan, S. (2018). Recombinant enaction: Manipulatives generate new procedures in the imagination, by extending and recombining action spaces. *Cognitive Science* 42 (2): 370–415.
- Rheinberger, H.-J. (1997). *Toward a History of Epistemic Things: Synthesizing Proteins in the Test Tube*. Stanford, CA: Stanford University Press.

- Roessner, D., Porter, A. L., Nersessian, N. J., and Carley, S. (2013). Validating indicators of interdisciplinarity: Linking bibliometric measures to studies of engineering research labs. *Scientometrics* 94 (2): 439–468.
- Roosth, S. (2017). *Synthetic: How Life Got Made*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Roschelle, J., and Greeno, J. G. (1987). *Mental Models in Expert Physics Reasoning*. GK-2. Retrieved from ERIC Document ED, Office of Naval Research, pp. 285–736. Washington, DC.
- Ross, L. N. (2018). Causal concepts in biology: How pathways differ from mechanisms and why it matters. *British Journal for the Philosophy of Science* 72 (1): 1–30. doi:10.1093/bjps/axy078
- Rouse, J. (1996). *Engaging Science: How to Understand Its Practices Philosophically*. Ithaca, NY: Cornell University.
- Savageau, M. A. (1969a). Biochemical systems analysis: I. Some mathematical properties of the rate law for the component enzymatic reactions. *Journal of Theoretical Biology* 25 (3): 365–369.
- Savageau, M. A. (1969b). Biochemical systems analysis: II. The steady-state solutions for an N-pool system using a power-law approximation. *Journal of Theoretical Biology* 25 (3): 370–379.
- Savageau, M. A. (1970). Biochemical systems analysis: III. Dynamic solutions using a power-law approximation. *Journal of Theoretical Biology* 26 (2): 215–226.
- Schank, J. C., and Wimsatt, W. C. (1986). Generative entrenchment and evolution. *PSA: Proceedings of the Biennial Meeting of the Philosophy of Science Association* 1986 (2): 33–60. doi:10.1086/psaprocbienmeetp.1986.2.192789
- Schwartz, D. L. (1995). Reasoning about the referent of a picture versus reasoning about a picture as the referent. *Memory and Cognition* 23:709–722.
- Schwartz, D. L., and Black, J. B. (1996). Analog imagery in mental model reasoning: Depictive models. *Cognitive Psychology* 30:154–219.
- Schwartz, D. L., and Martin, T. (2006). Distributed learning and mutual adaptation. *Pragmatics and Cognition* 14:313–332.
- Serban, M., and Green, S. (2019). Biological robustness: Design, organization, and mechanisms. <http://philsci-archive.pitt.edu/id/eprint/16251>
- Shepard, R. N., and Cooper, L. A. (1982). *Mental Images and Their Transformations*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Sheredos, B., Burnston, D., Abrahamsen, A., and Bechtel, W. (2013). Why do biologists use so many diagrams? *Philosophy of Science* 80 (5): 931–944.

- Shore, B. (1997). *Culture in Mind: Cognition, Culture and the Problem of Meaning*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Simon, H. A. (1957). *Models of Man: Social and Rational*. Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley and Sons.
- Spiro, R. J., Coulson, R. L., Feltovich, P. J., and Anderson, D. K. (1994). *Cognitive Flexibility Theory: Advanced Knowledge Acquisition in Ill-Structured Domains*. Paper presented at the 10th Annual Conference of the Cognitive Science Society. doi:10.1017/CBO9780511529863.023
- Spiro, R. J., Feltovich, P. L., Jacobson, M. J., and Coulson, R. L. (1992). Cognitive flexibility, constructivism, and hypertext: Random access for advanced knowledge acquisition in ill-structured domains. In *Constructivism and the Technology of Instruction: A Conversation*, edited by T. M. Duffy and D. Jonassen. Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Star, S. L., and Griesemer, J. G. (1989). Institutional ecology, “translations” and boundary objects: Amateurs and professionals in Berkeley’s Museum of Vertebrate Zoology, 1907–39. *Social Studies of Science* 19:387–420.
- Strauss, A., and Corbin, I. (1998). *Basics of Qualitative Research Techniques and Procedures for Developing Grounded Theory*. 2nd ed. London: Sage.
- Stuart, M. T., and Nersessian, N. J. (2019). Peeking inside the black box: A new kind of scientific visualization. *Minds and Machines* 29 (1): 87–107.
- Suchman, L. A. (1987). *Plans and Situated Actions: The Problem of Human-Machine Communication*. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- Tomasello, M. (1999). *The Cultural Origins of Human Cognition*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Trafton, J. G., Trickett, S. B., and Mintz, F. E. (2005). Connecting internal and external representations: Spatial transformations of scientific visualizations. *Foundations of Science* 10:89–106.
- Traweek, S. (1988). *Beamtimes and Lifetimes: The World of High Energy Physics*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Trewavas, A. (2006). A brief history of systems biology. *Plant Cell* 18 (10): 2420–2430.
- Trickett, S. B., and Trafton, J. G. (2007). “What if. . .”: The use of conceptual simulations in scientific reasoning. *Cognitive Science* 31:843–876.
- Vermeulen, I., Brun, G., and Baumberger, C. (2009). Five ways of (not) defining exemplification. In *From Logic to Art: Themes from Nelson Goodman*, edited by G. Ernst, J. Steinbrenner, and O. Scholz, 219–250. London: Ontos.

- Voit, E. O., Qi, Z., and Kikuchi, S. (2012). Mesoscopic models of neurotransmission as intermediates between disease simulators and tools for discovering design principles. *Pharmacopsychiatry* 45 (1): 22.
- Vygotsky, L. S. (1962). *Thought and Language*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Wagenknecht, S., Nersessian, N. J., and Andersen, H. (2015). Empirical philosophy of science: Introducing qualitative methods into philosophy of science. In *Empirical Philosophy of Science*, edited by S. Wagenknecht, N. J. Nersessian, and H. Andersen, 1–10. Cham: Springer.
- Westerhoff, H. V., and Kell, D. B. (2007). The methodologies of systems biology. *Systems Biology: Philosophical Foundations*, edited by F. C. Boogerd, F. J. Bruggeman, J.-H. S. Hofmeyer, and H. V. Westerhoff, 23–70. New York: Elsevier.
- Westerhoff, H. V., Kolodkin, A., Conradie, R., Wilkinson, S. J., Bruggeman, F. J., Krab, K., . . . Moné, M. J. (2009a). Systems biology towards life in silico: Mathematics of the control of living cells. *Journal of Mathematical Biology* 58 (1): 7–34.
- Westerhoff, H. V., Winder, C., Messiha, H., Simeonidis, E., Adamczyk, M., Verma, M., . . . Dunn, W. (2009b). Systems biology: The elements and principles of life. *FEBS Letters* 583 (24): 3882–3890.
- Wimsatt, W. C. (1974). Complexity and organization. *PSA 1972: Proceedings of the Biennial Conference of the Philosophy of Science Association*, 67–80. Dordrecht: D. Reidel.
- Wimsatt, W. C. (2007). *Re-engineering Philosophy for Limited Beings: Piecewise Approximations to Reality*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Wimsatt, W. C. (2013a). Articulating Babel: An approach to cultural evolution. *Studies in History and Philosophy of Science Part C: Studies in History and Philosophy of Biological and Biomedical Sciences* 44 (4): 563–571.
- Wimsatt, W. C. (2013b). Entrenchment and scaffolding: An architecture for a theory of cultural change. In *Developing Scaffolding in Evolution, Cognition and Culture*, edited by L. R. Caporael, J. R. Griesemer, and W. C. Wimsatt, 77–105. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Winsberg, E. (2001). Simulations, models, and theories: Complex physical systems and their representations. *Philosophy of Science* 68 (3): 442–454.
- Winsberg, E. (2009). A tale of two methods. *Synthese* 169 (3): 575–592.
- Winsberg, E. (2010). *Science in the Age of Computer Simulation*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Woods, D. D. (1997). Towards a theoretical base for representation design in the computer medium: Ecological perception and aiding human cognition. In *The*

Ecology of Human-Machine Systems, edited by J. Flach, P. Hancock, J. Caird, and K. Vicente, 157–188. Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.

Woody, A. I. (2014). Chemistry's periodic law: Rethinking representation and explanation after the turn to practice. *Science after the Practice Turn in Philosophy, History, and the Social Studies of Science*, edited by L. Soler, S. Zwart, M. Lynch, and V. Israël-Jost. London: Routledge.

Zagzebski, L. T. (1996). *Virtues of the Mind: An Inquiry into the Nature of Virtue and the Ethical Foundations of Knowledge*. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.

Zhang, J., and Norman, D. A. (1995). A representational analysis of numeration systems. *Cognition* 57:271–295.

Zwaan, R. A. (1999). Situation models: The mental leap into imagined worlds. *Current Directions in Psychological Science* 8:15–18.

This is a section of [doi:10.7551/mitpress/14667.001.0001](https://doi.org/10.7551/mitpress/14667.001.0001)

Interdisciplinarity in the Making Models and Methods in Frontier Science

By: Nancy J. Nersessian

Citation:

Interdisciplinarity in the Making: Models and Methods in Frontier Science

By: Nancy J. Nersessian

DOI: 10.7551/mitpress/14667.001.0001

ISBN (electronic): 9780262372275

Publisher: The MIT Press

Published: 2022

The open access edition of this book was made possible by generous funding and support from MIT Press Direct to Open



The MIT Press

© 2022 Nancy J. Nersessian

This work is subject to a Creative Commons CC-BY-ND-NC license. Subject to such license, all rights are reserved.



The MIT Press would like to thank the anonymous peer reviewers who provided comments on drafts of this book. The generous work of academic experts is essential for establishing the authority and quality of our publications. We acknowledge with gratitude the contributions of these otherwise uncredited readers.

This book was set in Stone Serif and Stone Sans by Westchester Publishing Services.

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Names: Nersessian, Nancy J., author.

Title: Interdisciplinarity in the making : models and methods in frontier science / Nancy J. Nersessian.

Description: Cambridge, Massachusetts : The MIT Press, [2022] | Includes bibliographical references and index.

Identifiers: LCCN 2021061880 (print) | LCCN 2021061881 (ebook) | ISBN 9780262544665 | ISBN 9780262372268 (epub) | ISBN 9780262372275 (pdf)

Subjects: LCSH: Biotechnology—Methodology. | Bioengineering—Methodology. | Biotechnology—Research—Case studies. | Bioengineering—Research—Case studies. | Biotechnology laboratories. | Scientific surveys. | Interdisciplinary research.

Classification: LCC TP248.24 .N47 2022 (print) | LCC TP248.24 (ebook) | DDC 660.6—dc23/eng/20220720

LC record available at <https://lcn.loc.gov/2021061880>

LC ebook record available at <https://lcn.loc.gov/2021061881>