The Relationship of Attitudinal Beliefs to Negative Symptoms, Neurocognition, and Daily Functioning in Recent-Onset Schizophrenia
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Background: In the early course of schizophrenia, premorbid functioning, negative symptoms, and neurocognition have been robustly associated with several domains of daily functioning. Research with chronic schizophrenia patients suggests that attitudinal beliefs may influence daily functioning. However, these relationships have not been examined in recent-onset schizophrenia patients. Methods: The sample consisted of recent-onset schizophrenia outpatients (n = 71) who were on average 21.7 (SD = 3.3) years old, had 12.5 (SD = 1.8) years of education, and 5.9 (SD = 6.3) months since psychosis onset. Patients were assessed for premorbid adjustment, positive and negative symptoms, neurocognition, attitudinal beliefs, and daily functioning. Normal controls (n = 20) were screened for psychopathology and demographically matched to the patients. Results: Comparisons indicated that recent-onset patients had higher levels of dysfunctional attitudes and lower self-efficacy compared to healthy controls (r = 3.35, P < .01; r = −4.1, P < .01, respectively). Dysfunctional attitudes (r = −.34) and self-efficacy (r = .36) were significantly correlated with daily functioning. Negative symptoms were found to mediate the relationship between self-efficacy and daily functioning (Sobel test, P < .01), as well as between dysfunctional attitudes and daily functioning (Sobel test, P < .05). Neurocognition was a significant mediator of the relationship between self-efficacy and daily functioning (Sobel test, P < .05). Discussion: Early course schizophrenia patients have significantly more dysfunctional attitudes and lower self-efficacy than healthy subjects. Both self-efficacy and dysfunctional attitudes partially contribute to negative symptoms, which in turn influence daily functioning. In addition, self-efficacy partially contributes to neurocognition, which in turn influences daily functioning.
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Introduction

The predictors and determinants of daily functioning and, ultimately, recovery from schizophrenia are of substantial current interest to patients and clinical researchers. A number of key factors have been identified as meaningful predictors of functioning in the early course of schizophrenia, which generally parallel the factors found to predict functioning in chronic schizophrenia patients. Among the First-Episode Psychosis (FEP) studies reporting on 1–2-year outcomes, 3 factors stand out as consistent predictors of functioning: premorbid history, neurocognition, and negative symptoms (for reviews see: Allott et al.; Lin et al.; MacBeth and Gumley). Poor premorbid adjustment has been inversely associated with good role functioning, quality of life, and has been linked to the severity of negative symptoms. This is especially important because studies have suggested that persistent negative symptoms are displayed by 20%–25% of FEP patients. In addition to premorbid history, the severity of negative symptoms has also been consistently associated with several domains of functioning in FEP. Considering another relevant domain, extensive research on cognition in schizophrenia has shown that individuals suffer a broad range of characteristic impairments that are related to deficits in various domains of daily functioning. However, there appears to be some lack of consistency across studies regarding the relationship between neurocognition and daily functioning in the early course. Yet, Nuechterlein and colleagues reported that 52% of the variance in work functioning...
for first-episode patients was explained by neurocognitive predictors. These findings provide strong evidence for the role of premorbid history, negative symptoms, and neurocognition in predicting daily functioning in schizophrenia patients.

Although there is much less research on attitudinal beliefs such as dysfunctional attitudes and self-efficacy, findings from studies in chronic patients indicate the promise of these factors for understanding functioning in the early course of schizophrenia. Chronic patients have documented dysfunctional attitudes compared with healthy controls.\textsuperscript{21,25} In addition, these attitudinal beliefs have been found to predict daily functioning in chronic patients. Beck and colleagues have proposed a model in schizophrenia patients suggesting that negative symptoms develop as a consequence of dysfunctional attitudes, such as anticipated failure in goal-directed tasks and self-criticism that they lack the ability to succeed. A self-efficacy questionnaire may ask: How confident are you in your ability to: go out on a date, go on a job interview, or concentrate on your work?\textsuperscript{26–29} Interestingly, self-efficacy has been hypothesized to influence the type of coping efforts that have been associated with successful psychosocial functioning.\textsuperscript{29} In addition, self-efficacy was highly correlated with the number of strategies used to cope with negative symptoms and with personally experienced stressful life events.\textsuperscript{30,31} Given these findings, the door is open for further exploration of attitudinal beliefs as potential contributors to established predictors of daily functioning, such as negative symptoms and neurocognition.

In a contrasting model, dysfunctional attitudes were found to be mediators of the relationship between neurocognition and functioning (defined as quality of life) in chronic patients.\textsuperscript{22} Beck and colleagues proposed that defeatist beliefs, assessed with a subscale of dysfunctional attitudes, is a mediating variable between neurocognition (predictor) and negative symptoms (outcome), and between neurocognition (predictor) and quality of life (outcome).\textsuperscript{22,32–34} Further work has suggested that a reduction in dysfunctional attitudes was related to success in a skills training cognitive behavioral therapy intervention.\textsuperscript{3} In fact, a reduction in the degree of dysfunctional attitudes during the intervention was significantly correlated with improvement in functioning at follow-up. Another attitudinal belief, self-efficacy, has been shown to be a moderator, rather than a mediator, in relationship to functioning.\textsuperscript{25,33,34} For example, when self-efficacy was high, functional capacity scores were significantly related to functioning, but not when self-efficacy was low.\textsuperscript{25} Although weaker than expected results were found in studies after examining mediating effects of self-efficacy on daily functioning, further exploration in FEP is warranted.\textsuperscript{33,35} High levels of self-efficacy in chronic schizophrenia patients were associated with social participation and being employed,\textsuperscript{36} and thus they may play a role in functioning. Researchers have postulated that high self-efficacy is not simply a correlate of good functioning, but actually contributes directly by mediating the impact of other variables in predicting functioning. Indeed, direct attempts at increasing self-efficacy have been suggested as targets for treatment.\textsuperscript{37}

There appear to be 2 competing models regarding attitudinal beliefs. One model for which there is fairly
consistent evidence in chronic patients proposes neurocognition and negative symptoms as mediators of the relationship between attitudinal beliefs (predictor) and daily functioning (outcome). Another model, for which the evidence is mixed, proposes that attitudinal beliefs (dysfunctional attitudes and self-efficacy) are mediators between established predictors (neurocognition or negative symptoms) and daily functioning (outcome). These 2 models have not been tested on the same sample of chronic patients, or more specifically, on recent-onset patients. Examining empirically based predictors along with hypothesized mediators during this early phase of illness is critically important to understanding outcome. Identifying mediators might help to identify additional treatment targets in the early phase where interventions can pay big dividends.

This study aimed: (1) to determine if more dysfunctional attitudes and lower self-efficacy are present in recent-onset patients compared with normal controls, and (2) to test competing models previously proposed in chronic patients hypothesizing that dysfunctional attitudes and self-efficacy are either primary or indirect contributors to daily functioning. Based on a review of the literature, we hypothesize that in the early course of schizophrenia, dysfunctional attitudes and low self-efficacy: (1) are present in patients compared with healthy controls, (2) are correlated with poor premorbid functioning, neurocognition, negative symptoms, and daily functioning, and (3) are contributors to neurocognitive deficits and negative symptoms, which in turn contribute to daily functioning.

**Methods**

**Subjects**

The sample consisted of 71 recent-onset schizophrenia patients and 20 demographically matched healthy controls participating in the fourth phase of an National Institute of Mental Health-funded project focusing on the early course of schizophrenia [for additional sample details, see Nuechterlein et al.]; (table 1). Although patients were enrolled within 2 years of the beginning of their first psychotic episode, they were psychotic on average for about 5 months. Healthy controls were screened with the Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV for absence of major Axis I diagnoses and absence of schizophrenia spectrum personality disorders. Patients were enrolled in the Aftercare Research Program, an outpatient clinic that provides medication and offers individual case management, group therapy focused on practical life skills, and family education for all research subjects diagnosed with schizophrenia. The

### Table 1. Sample Characteristics at Study Entry for Recent-Onset Schizophrenia Patients (n = 71) and Age-Matched Controls (n = 20)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Patients</th>
<th>Controls</th>
<th>Test Statistics</th>
<th>P Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>21.68 (3.29)</td>
<td>21.80 (1.96)</td>
<td>t = 0.2</td>
<td>.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td>12.49 (1.82)</td>
<td>13.30 (1.22)</td>
<td>t = 1.9</td>
<td>.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parental education</td>
<td>14.05 (3.79)</td>
<td>14.50 (1.88)</td>
<td>t = 0.4</td>
<td>.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>56 (80%)</td>
<td>9 (75%)</td>
<td>χ²(1) = 0.1</td>
<td>.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marital status</td>
<td>Single</td>
<td>95%</td>
<td>χ²(1) = 1.0</td>
<td>.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Married</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race</td>
<td>Caucasian</td>
<td>38 (54%)</td>
<td>χ²(5) = 8.3</td>
<td>.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>African American</td>
<td>17 (21%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Asian</td>
<td>6 (9%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pacific Islander</td>
<td>2 (3%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Native American</td>
<td>4 (6%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mixed/Other</td>
<td>3 (4%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethnicity</td>
<td>Hispanic</td>
<td>29 (41%)</td>
<td>χ²(1) = 0.3</td>
<td>.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diagnosis</td>
<td>Schizophrenia</td>
<td>51%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Schizoaffective</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Schizophreniform</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean number of months since psychosis onset</td>
<td>5.92 (6.27)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Premorbid history</td>
<td>2.13 (1.71)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SANS</td>
<td>1.98 (1.02)</td>
<td>2.32 (1.31)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCCB composite score</td>
<td>31.81 (12.84)</td>
<td>43.5 (7.21)</td>
<td>t = −3.7</td>
<td>&lt; .01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RFS Global Role Functioning Index</td>
<td>16.35 (5.26)</td>
<td>24.05 (3.08)</td>
<td>t = −6.1</td>
<td>&lt; .01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:** MCCB, MATRICS Consensus Cognitive Battery; RFS, Role Functioning Scale; SANS, Scale for the Assessment of Negative Symptoms.
Relationship of Attitudinal Beliefs

The present study was approved by the University of California Los Angeles Institutional Review Board. All participants were provided with oral and written information about the research procedures involved in the study and gave written informed consent prior to data collection.

Procedures

All patient participants received outpatient psychiatric treatment as part of the program, including regular psychiatric visits, as well as individual case management and therapy by Master’s and Doctoral level therapists. All participants were considered eligible to enter the study when they were on a stable outpatient dose of oral or long-acting injectable risperidone for at least 3 weeks prior to data collection as part of a randomized controlled trial of these antipsychotic medications. The outpatient psychiatric treatment involved a similar frequency of weekly clinic visits in which the various psychosocial interventions and psychiatric care were provided to all patients. Cognitive behavior therapy, which is known to impact dysfunctional attitudes, was not part of the psychosocial intervention package. The Aftercare Research Program was the participants’ primary source of mental health care.

Measures

Psychiatric and Social History Schedule was used to gather demographic, patient education, gender, and premorbid history data at intake by trained raters using a form created by the study team. Data included age, patient level of education, gender, first appearance of psychotic and prodromal symptoms, and prior psychiatric treatments, including antipsychotic medications and hospitalizations.

Premorbid Adjustment Scale (PAS) was selected as a well-validated measure of premorbid functioning used in research on schizophrenia patients. The PAS is rated on a Likert scale from 0 (least healthy) to 4 (most healthy). The PAS assesses work functioning, social functioning, conductivity, family relationships, and social relationships. The Role Functioning Index, the sum of the 4 domains, was anchored in self-care, and manage symptoms (scale from 0 to 100, with 0 referring to “not at all able” and 100 being “totally able”). McDermott administered the SES to 62 participants with schizophrenia and found high internal consistency and test-retest reliability over 2 weeks.

Psychosocial Functioning The Role Functioning Scale (RFS) was used as the functional outcome measure of the following domains: independent living, work productivity, family relationships, and social relationships. We used specific probes to cover multiple areas of community functioning. The items on the RFS are anchored 1–6, so that higher scores reflect decreasing reliance on agency-related support and increasing independence in community functioning. A composite score, the Global Role Functioning Index, the sum of the 4 domains, was used (see Goodman et al.46).

Results

Comparisons of Patients and Controls on Dysfunctional Attitudes and Self-efficacy

We conducted t tests to examine whether patients showed significantly more dysfunctional attitudes and lower functioning, which raises questions about whether the SANS attention item is actually a core negative symptom (see Andreasen et al.41 for a discussion).

Neurocognition Neurocognition was assessed using the MATRICS Consensus Cognitive Battery. The current study included the 7 MATRICS domains of cognitive functioning speed of processing, attention/vigilance, working memory, verbal learning, visual learning, reasoning and problem solving, and social cognition. The primary variable of interest was the composite score.

Dysfunctional Attitudes and Revised Self-efficacy Scale The Dysfunctional Attitudes Scale (DAS) and Self-efficacy Scale (SES) were administered as a pencil-and-paper measure, after an interviewer provided detailed directions and an example item.

The DAS is a 40-item instrument based on Beck’s Cognitive Therapy Model that contains items related to the 7 major value systems: approval, love, achievement, perfectionism, entitlement, omnipotence, and autonomy. The Defeatist Performance Attitudes Subscale (DPAS) consists of 15 statements describing overgeneralized conclusions about one’s ability to perform tasks (eg, “If you cannot do something well, there is little point in doing it at all”). The Dysfunctional Need for Acceptance Subscale (DNAS) consists of 10 statements that exaggerate the importance of being accepted by other people (eg, “I cannot be happy unless most people I know admire me”).

The SES is comprised of 57 statements that require respondents to rate their confidence in their ability to perform social behaviors, school and work tasks, engage in self-care, and manage symptoms (scale from 0 to 100, with 0 referring to “not at all able” and 100 being “totally able”). McDermott administered the SES to 62 participants with schizophrenia and found high internal consistency and test-retest reliability over 2 weeks.
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self-efficacy than age- and gender-matched controls. The mean level of self-efficacy was significantly lower for patients than for controls ($M = 73.54$ vs $90.74$, $P < .01$, respectively). In particular, patients indicated asking someone out on a date as the behavior they felt least capable of performing ($M = 51.95$), considerably lower than any other item (nearest item was scored as $M = 67.18$). Similarly, patients had significantly higher levels of dysfunctional attitudes compared with controls (dysfunctional attitudes total score $M = 132.13$ vs $108.30$, $P < .01$, respectively) and higher scores on the subscale, DPAS, compared with controls ($M = 46.8$ vs $36.0$, $P < .01$, respectively). The difference for the other subscale, DNAS, although higher in patients, was not statistically significant ($M = 35.3$ vs $31.7$, $P = .13$, respectively). For the DAS, no single item stood out as patients scored equally low on most items, but 2 particularly low scoring items pertained to dysfunctional attitudes about asking for help ($M's = 2.16$ and $2.21$).

**Bivariate Correlations Among Premorbid History, Neurocognition, Negative Symptoms, Dysfunctional Attitudes, Self-efficacy, and Daily Functioning**

We found that premorbid functioning, neurocognition, negative symptoms, dysfunctional attitudes, and self-efficacy were all significantly related to the Global Role Functioning Index (table 2). Self-efficacy was also significantly related to premorbid functioning, neurocognition, and negative symptoms. In addition, self-efficacy was significantly related to the individual domains of daily functioning, including independent living ($r = .31$, $P = .01$), work/school productivity ($r = .43$, $P < .01$), social functioning ($r = .38$, $P < .01$), and family relationships ($r = .25$, $P = .05$).

Dysfunctional beliefs (total score) were significantly related to negative symptoms (composite). Correlational relationships between Defeatist Performance Attitudes (DPAS) and both experiential and expressive negative symptoms were stronger than the relationship of the DNAS with either experiential or expressive negative symptoms. Furthermore, the total score for dysfunctional attitudes was significantly related to the individual domains of daily functioning including: independent living ($r = -.31$, $P = .01$), family relationships ($r = -.34$, $P < .01$), work or school functioning ($r = -.31$, $P = .02$), and social functioning ($r = -.25$, $P = .05$).

**Testing Competing Models of Mediation**

For testing mediation, we used the Sobel test\textsuperscript{48} to determine the significance of the indirect effect through the mediator for 2 competing models (figures 1a and 1b). We do not present statistics for mediation tests if there was an absence of a significant correlation for any relevant path (indicated by an asterisk in figures 1a and 1b), including
between the predictor and the outcome (path A–C), the predictor and the hypothesized mediator (path A–B), and the mediator and the outcome (path B–C). In the absence of a relevant significant correlation, the indirect path cannot be significant. A significant Sobel test is evidence of partial mediation and does not make any claims about the absence or presence of complete mediation.

We tested 2 mediation models, one in which dysfunctional attitudes and self-efficacy are the mediators (figure 1a) and one in negative symptoms and neurocognition as potential mediators of the relationship between self-efficacy and dysfunctional attitudes, and daily functioning in the early course of schizophrenia as evaluated using the Sobel test. We found support for our hypothesis that more dysfunctional attitudes and lower self-efficacy are present in FEP compared with healthy controls. Further, we found significant associations between premorbid functioning, neurocognition, negative symptoms, attitudinal beliefs, and daily functioning. The model proposing that self-efficacy and dysfunctional attitudes appear to make moderately significant, direct contributions to negative symptoms, which in turn act as a mediator contributing to daily functioning was supported. These findings are consistent with research on chronic patients showing that low self-efficacy or dysfunctional beliefs are related in indirect ways through negative symptoms the indirect effect of SES (z = 3.57, P < .01), while the indirect effect of DAS was not significant (z = −1.11, P = .27). These variables were chosen because all pathways in the bivariate associations were statistically significant. For expressive negative symptoms, neither the indirect effect of SES (z = 1.83, P = .07) nor the indirect effect of DAS was significant (z = −.81, P = .42), while for experiential negative symptoms the indirect effect of SES (z = 3.13, P < .01) was significant, while the indirect effect for DAS was not (z = −.71, P = .48).

**Discussion**

As far as we are aware, this is the first study to conduct a comprehensive examination of both dysfunctional attitudes and self-efficacy on the same sample, as well as the first study to examine these attitudinal beliefs within recent-onset schizophrenia patients and normal control subjects. We found support for our hypothesis that more dysfunctional attitudes and lower self-efficacy are present in FEP compared with healthy controls. Further, we found significant associations between premorbid functioning, neurocognition, negative symptoms, attitudinal beliefs, and daily functioning. The model proposing that self-efficacy and dysfunctional attitudes appear to make moderately significant, direct contributions to negative symptoms, which in turn act as a mediator contributing to daily functioning was supported. This finding supports Beck and colleagues’ contention that dysfunctional beliefs contribute to the severity of negative symptoms and thus poor outcomes. We found support for our previous finding that self-efficacy, but not dysfunctional attitudes, was related to neurocognition in patients. In addition, there was evidence supporting a model in which self-efficacy influences neurocognition, which in turn influences daily functioning. These findings support the hypotheses previously validated in chronic schizophrenia samples that self-efficacy is not a mediator of the relationship between negative symptoms and daily functioning. These findings are consistent with research on chronic patients showing that low self-efficacy or dysfunctional beliefs are related in indirect ways through negative symptoms and neurocognition to poor functioning.

Consistent with models proposed by Beck and colleagues in chronic patients, our findings suggest that recent-onset patients have higher levels of dysfunctional attitudes compared with healthy controls. On the other hand, we did not find any evidence for the hypothesis that dysfunctional attitudes are mediators of the relationship between negative symptoms and functioning, or between neurocognition and functioning. In addition, our findings did not provide specific support for Grant and Beck’s hypothesis that dysfunctional attitudes are related to poor neurocognition. We believe that
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one of the reasons for these discrepancies is that Grant and Beck (2009) used an abbreviated 10-item Quality of Life measure to define functioning in schizophrenia, ie, based on the patient’s report. This 10-item version was derived from the Quality of Life Scale (QLS; Henrichs et al., 1984), a 21-item scale. According to the authors of the original scale, the QLS balances subjective questions regarding life satisfaction and objective indicators of social and occupational role functioning. The 10-item measure used by Grant and Beck is likely to be assessing to some extent the person’s attitudes and beliefs about the quality of his or her life and as such may be quite proximal to the DAS. In contrast, our measure of functioning was the Global Index from the RFS, which uses behavioral anchor points to measure objective aspects of level of functioning in schizophrenia patients. Thus, our measure of functioning is more objective and does not overlap with attitudes as much as the measure used by Grant and Beck. In addition, Grant and Beck focused on a chronic schizophrenia sample, unlike our recent-onset schizophrenia emphasis. In comparisons with chronic patients (published literature), we noted that the Dysfunctional Need for Acceptance is actually higher in these early course patients, thereby appearing to decrease as the illness progresses. This change may impact relationships of dysfunctional attitudes to other constructs.

Our findings do extend to the early course of schizophrenia the observation that dysfunctional attitudes partially contribute to negative symptoms, which in turn contribute to poor daily functioning.21,50 We agree that

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Predictor</th>
<th>Mediator</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>c′</th>
<th>a × b</th>
<th>P Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Negative symptoms</td>
<td>Dysfunctional attitudes</td>
<td>Role functioning</td>
<td>−0.68</td>
<td>−0.64</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>.35, NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-efficacy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Experiential negative symptoms</td>
<td>Dysfunctional attitudes</td>
<td>Role functioning</td>
<td>−0.68</td>
<td>−0.70</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>.74, NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-efficacy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expressive negative symptoms</td>
<td>Dysfunctional attitudes</td>
<td>Role functioning</td>
<td>−0.68</td>
<td>−0.63</td>
<td>−0.03</td>
<td>.23, NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-efficacy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neurocognition</td>
<td>Dysfunctional attitudes</td>
<td>Role functioning</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>.24, NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-efficacy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Tests of Mediation with Attitudinal Beliefs as the Predictors and the Established Predictors as Potential Mediators Using the Sobel Test (Standardized Values)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Predictor</th>
<th>Mediator</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>c′</th>
<th>a × b</th>
<th>P Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dysfunctional attitudes</td>
<td>Negative symptoms</td>
<td>Role functioning</td>
<td>−0.34</td>
<td>−0.09</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-efficacy</td>
<td>Negative symptoms</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Experiential negative symptoms</td>
<td>Experiential symptoms</td>
<td>Role functioning</td>
<td>−0.34</td>
<td>−0.14</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>.06, NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-efficacy</td>
<td>Experiential symptoms</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dysfunctional attitudes</td>
<td>Expressive negative symptoms</td>
<td>Role functioning</td>
<td>−0.34</td>
<td>−0.23</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>.08, NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-efficacy</td>
<td>Expressive negative symptoms</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dysfunctional attitudes</td>
<td>Neurocognition</td>
<td>Role functioning</td>
<td>−0.34</td>
<td>−0.25</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>.26, NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-efficacy</td>
<td>Neurocognition</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: a × b, indirect path; C, predictor relationship; c′, predictor relationship after controlling for moderator; NS, not significant.
negative expectancies regarding positive outcomes may contribute to negative symptoms (eg, lack of motivation), which reduces attempts to engage in daily activities. Another interpretation is that the lower levels of dysfunctional attitudes contribute to absence of negative symptoms, which in turn leads to better functioning.

Our findings provide the first evidence that self-efficacy is on average lower in schizophrenia patients (specifically in first-episode patients) than in healthy controls and is related to several domains of daily functioning, such as family and social relationships, and work or school. We extend to the early course of schizophrenia the previous work on chronic patients indicating that high self-efficacy is linked to better functioning. As Bandura (1993) suggested, the mechanism of action is likely to be that a belief in one’s ability to succeed, eg, on a cognitive task, translates to greater cognitive effort, which in turn translates to improved performance. Patients with higher levels of self-efficacy are thus more motivated to put effort into cognitive tasks, which could be especially important during the premorbid phase of illness. This is consistent with the idea that a person’s level of confidence is linked to the achievement of personal goals. Our findings also support previous work indicating that self-efficacy influences negative symptoms, which in turn has an impact on functional outcome in chronic schizophrenia patients. Specifically in chronic patients, there is a link between self-efficacy, self-confidence, functional capacity, and increased motivation that could explain successful functioning in daily living. The number and magnitude of relationships we found between self-efficacy and premorbid history, negative symptoms, and neurocognition suggest closer interrelationships than is the case for dysfunctional attitudes. This suggests that level of self-efficacy might be the core feature from which dysfunctional attitudes are generated and thus has a greater impact on some aspects of the illness.

The presence of lowered self-efficacy early in the course of illness suggests that these beliefs may be enduring, core features of schizophrenia. If present at early stages such as when symptoms are forming, low self-efficacy can influence the early course of the illness. Further, comparisons with chronic patients (published literature; see Table 4) suggest that self-efficacy might continue to decrease with chronicity. Lowered self-efficacy with illness chronicity might be explained by the discouragement associated with continued failure to cope successfully with the challenges of daily living.

Several of the study limitations have been discussed in our prior work on related topics so will only be briefly reviewed. The primary limitation is that this cross-sectional study cannot make conclusions about the longitudinal nature of these relationships. Furthermore, directional hypotheses are not testable. The selection of variables as “cause” and “effect” is purely theoretical. Future research should be directed at clarifying the longitudinal nature of these relationships and experimentally manipulating the variables through interventions. A second limitation is the moderate sample size of patients. The sample was large enough to successfully detect mediating relationships that would be missed with a smaller sample.

Table 4. Comparisons of Dysfunctional Attitudes and Self-efficacy in First-Episode and Chronic Schizophrenia Patients Means and SDs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Attitudinal Beliefs</th>
<th>First-Episode</th>
<th>Chronic</th>
<th>t(df) = P Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Current Study</td>
<td>Horan et al., 2010 (n = 111)</td>
<td>Cardenas et al., 2012 (n = 97)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dysfunctional Attitudes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scale Factors</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DNAS</td>
<td>35.39 (9.53)</td>
<td>30.7 (12.1)</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DPAS</td>
<td>46.57 (16.52)</td>
<td>51.2 (18.0)</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-efficacy</td>
<td>74.12 (18.3)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>61.0 (14.1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: DNAS, Dysfunctional Need for Acceptance Subscale; DPAS, Defeatist Performance Attitudes Subscale.

Fig. 2. Model that examines the combined effects of self-efficacy and dysfunctional attitudes on daily functioning as mediated through negative symptoms.
of moderate strength, but not large enough to detect mediating relationships that are present, but quite weak. Third, as is the case with most studies of schizophrenia patients, the sample is predominately male. Sample size was too small for separate analyses of female patients. Finally, the results would have been strengthened with the administration of identical measures to a chronic sample of individuals with schizophrenia to provide direct comparisons of the effects of chronicity on these observed relationships. Despite these limitations, the study results do have important implications for understanding how impairments in dysfunctional attitudes and self-efficacy contribute to functioning in schizophrenia.

These findings have potential implications for implementing effective interventions that aim to improve daily functioning in early course schizophrenia patients. We provide evidence that supports attempts to reduce negative symptoms and improve cognitive functions, as they are proximal influences on daily functioning. Pharmacological interventions have thus far had limited effectiveness for improving negative symptoms or cognitive deficits. However, cognitive enhancement approaches have been effective in improving cognition to a moderate degree. In addition, dysfunctional attitudes and low self-efficacy were present in the early course and therefore may be additional, but less proximal targets for intervention. Using this approach within a Cognitive Behavioral Therapy paradigm would be an indirect way to improve functioning by reducing negative symptoms. Another implication is that increasing self-efficacy might lead to improved cognitive functioning and, in turn, better functional outcome.
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