
Cardiovascular Health and Exercise Rehabilitation in Spinal Cord Injury

Darren E.R. Warburton, Janice J. Eng, Andrei Krassioukov, Shannon Sproule, and the SCIRE Research Team

There appears to be an increased prevalence and earlier onset of cardiovascular disease (CVD) in persons with spinal cord injury (SCI). Physical inactivity is thought to be a key factor in the increased risk for CVD. Physical inactivity is highly prevalent in persons with SCI, and it appears that activities of daily living are not sufficient to maintain cardiovascular fitness and health. This systematic review examines the current literature regarding the risk for CVD and the effectiveness of varied exercise rehabilitation programs in attenuating the risk for CVD in SCI. **Key words:** cardiovascular disease, exercise rehabilitation, fitness, glucose homeostasis, lipid lipoproteins, rehabilitation, spinal cord injury

Persons with spinal cord injury (SCI) currently have an increased life expectancy owing to improvements in medical treatment.¹ The majority of SCIs (80%) occur in individuals who are under 30 years of age.^{1,2} Therefore, persons with SCI are susceptible to the same chronic conditions across the lifespan as able-bodied persons. In fact, cardiovascular disease (CVD) is the leading cause of mortality in both able-bodied individuals and persons with SCI.³ However, there appears to be an earlier onset of CVD and/or an increased prevalence of CVD in persons with SCI.³⁻⁶ As reviewed by Myers et al.,⁷ there is consistent information indicating that there is a higher prevalence of CVD in persons with SCI in comparison to ambulatory populations.⁸ For instance, the prevalence rates of symptomatic CVD in SCI have approximated 30%–50% in comparison to 5%–10% in the general able-bodied population.⁷ Moreover, Bauman and colleagues revealed that the prevalence of asymptomatic CVD was 60%–70% in persons with SCI.^{9,10} It also appears that persons with SCI have increased CVD-related mortality rates and experience mortality at earlier ages in comparison to able-bodied individuals.^{3,7,11} These are alarming statistics, which

place a significant burden upon the patient, his/her family, and society as a whole.

Physical inactivity is a major independent risk factor for CVD and premature mortality.¹² Unfortunately, physical inactivity and marked deconditioning are highly prevalent

Darren E.R. Warburton, PhD, is Assistant Professor, Cardiovascular Physiology and Rehabilitation Laboratory, Experimental Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, University of British Columbia, and Investigator, International Collaboration on Repair Discoveries (ICORD), Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada.

Janice J. Eng, PhD, is Professor, School of Rehabilitation Sciences, University of British Columbia; Scientist, Rehab Research Lab, GF Strong Rehab Centre; and Investigator, ICORD, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada.

Andrei Krassioukov, MD, PhD, is Associate Professor, Division of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, Faculty of Medicine, University of British Columbia, and Investigator, ICORD, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada.

Shannon Sproule, PT, is Physical Therapist, GF Strong Rehab Centre, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada.

Top Spinal Cord Inj Rehabil 2007;13(1):98–122
© 2007 Thomas Land Publishers, Inc.
www.thomasland.com

doi:10.1310/sci1301-98

among persons with SCI.¹³ It is likely that low levels of physical activity and fitness (as a result of wheelchair dependency) explain (in part) the increased risk for CVD.⁷ For instance, marked inactivity associated with SCI has been associated with lower high-density lipoprotein (HDL) cholesterol^{14,15}; elevated low-density lipoprotein (LDL) cholesterol,¹⁴ triglycerides,^{14,15} and total cholesterol levels¹⁴; abnormal glucose homeostasis^{15,16}; increased adiposity^{15,16}; and excessive reductions in aerobic fitness.^{14,15} It is important to note that SCI presents an additional risk for CVD above that seen in able-bodied individuals owing to the marked decrease in physical activity and injury-related changes in metabolic function.¹⁷

Method

The primary database was obtained by a systematic computerized search of multiple databases (including PubMed/MEDLINE, CINAHL®, EMBASE, PsychINFO) from 1980 to 2006 using the SCIRE methodology as outlined in the companion article.¹⁸ This database was searched using the key words spinal cord injury, tetraplegia, quadriplegia, or paraplegia, paired with aerobic fitness, blood pressure, cardiovascular disease, cardiovascular fitness, endurance performance, endothelium, exercise, exercise tolerance, functional electrical stimulation (FES), glucose intolerance, glucose sensitivity, health, lipid, maximal aerobic power (VO_2 max), oxygen consumption (VO_2), and rehabilitation. This analysis was restricted to English-language publications and those using human participants. A quality assessment of each investigation was conducted using the Physiotherapy Evidence Database (PEDro) scale¹⁹ for all randomized controlled trials

(RCTs) or the Downs and Black (D&B) tool²⁰ for all non-RCTs. The PEDro scale¹⁹ was used to evaluate the methodological quality of RCTs. It evaluates RCT studies using an 11-item scale yielding a maximum score of 10. Higher scores indicate better methodological quality (9–10, *excellent*; 6–8, *good*; 4–5, *fair*; <4, *poor*).¹⁸ The D&B tool²⁰ that is used to assess the methodological quality of non-RCT studies uses 27 questions to assess reporting, external validity, and internal validity (bias and confounding). We used a modified version of the D&B tool¹⁸ to score non-RCT papers out of a maximum of 28, with higher scores indicating better methodological quality.

After each study was rated with the appropriate tool, conclusions about the level of evidence of the accumulated studies were drawn using Sackett's description of levels of evidence.²¹ We collapsed Sackett's levels of evidence into five categories where level 1 evidence came from "good" to "excellent" RCTs with a PEDro score ≥ 6 and level 2 evidence corresponded to RCTs with PEDro scores ≤ 5 or nonrandomized prospective controlled or cohort studies. Evidence from case-control studies were assigned to level 3, while levels 4 and 5 corresponded to evidence from pre-post/post-test/case series and observational/case report studies, respectively.¹⁸ Note that because there were no sample size restrictions level 1 conclusions could be based on small group sizes, however, these studies were rated highly according to the standardized PEDro scale.

Results

Exercise rehabilitation has been shown to be an effective means of attenuating or reversing chronic disease in persons with SCI.

However, supporting evidence is relatively low in comparison to the general population and other clinical conditions (e.g., chronic heart failure¹²).

The research conducted within the field of SCI has examined predominantly the effects of aerobic exercise and/or FES training. In the following sections, we will review the literature regarding the effects of varied exercise interventions on the risk for CVD. Particular attention will be given to the changes in cardiovascular fitness, glucose metabolism, and lipid lipoprotein profiles that occur after SCI training interventions.

Our search revealed 42 studies examining cardiovascular fitness before and after an exercise intervention. This included investigations related to treadmill training (4 studies; $n = 47$), arm exercise (20 studies; $n = 278$), and FES (18 studies; $n = 233$) training.

Treadmill training

Body-weight-supported treadmill training (BWSTT) is an exercise protocol that has been used to potentially affect a number of domains, including motor recovery, bone density, cardiovascular fitness, respiratory function, as well as quality of life. Traditional BWSTT involves the upright walking on a motor-driven treadmill while a harness (suspended from an overhead pulley system) supports the participant's body weight. Therapists conducting the session determine the magnitude of off-loading of an individual's body weight.²² The treadmill velocity, the amount of body weight supported, and time spent on the treadmill can be individualized.²² Significant resources are often required as the majority of individuals will require one or two assistants to manually help ambulate the lower limbs.

Two pre-post studies have been conducted

by the same research group using BWSTT^{23,24} to determine changes in cardiovascular health. The authors reported that BWSTT did not have substantial group effects on heart rate (HR) and blood pressure in motor-complete subjects but did reveal a significant reduction in resting HR in the study with incomplete tetraplegics. There was also evidence that improvements in HR and blood pressure variability may occur after BWSTT in incomplete SCI and a subset of participants with complete SCI. The authors attributed the change in blood pressure variability to reductions in sympathetic tone to the vasculature. These findings have significant physiological relevance since it indicates that both parasympathetic outflow to the heart (as evaluated by heart rate variability) and sympathetic flow to the vasculature (as evaluated by blood pressure variability) can adapt in response to exercise training. This research group also revealed the potential for improvements in vascular health (e.g., arterial compliance) after BWSTT in individuals with motor-complete SCI. There was no indication of the effects of BWSTT on peak oxygen consumption (VO_{2peak}).

The mechanisms responsible for the improvement in markers of cardiovascular health and regulation in individuals with incomplete SCI remain to be determined. The authors of the aforementioned studies attributed the training-induced changes in autonomic function to the cardiovascular challenge provided by the upright nature of BSWTT (which potentially could be a sufficient stimulus in individuals with postural hypotension) and the spasticity created during the treadmill training. However, it should also be noted that both weight bearing and the passive movement of the limbs may contribute to the observed changes in these studies.

Two recent investigations (a pre-post study [level 4] and a prospective controlled study [level 2]) from the same research group used partial BWSTT (30%–50%) via neuromuscular electrical stimulation assisted by physiotherapists.^{25,26} The first investigation revealed that 3 months of this form of gait training can result in a significant increase in systolic blood pressure at rest and during gait exercise in tetraplegic males.²⁵ In the latter study,²⁶ the authors revealed that long-term neuromuscular electrical stimulation gait training (6 months) resulted in significant increases in VO_2 (36%), minute ventilation (30.5%), and systolic blood pressure (4.8%) during the gait phase. The authors concluded that treadmill gait training combined with neuromuscular electrical stimulation leads to increased metabolic and cardiorespiratory responses in persons with complete tetraplegia.

In a comparison of trials using BWSTT, an interesting discrepancy arises. For instance, in the work of Ditor et al.,^{23,24} there was no change in resting blood pressure after BWSTT in individuals with complete or incomplete SCI. Whereas, the work by de Carvalho and coworkers^{25,26} revealed an increase in resting blood pressure following partial BWSTT (with neuromuscular electrical stimulation). It is not clear why these discrepancies exist, and, as such, further research is clearly warranted.

In summary, there is level 4 evidence that BWSTT improves cardiac autonomic balance in persons with incomplete tetraplegia. There is also level 4 evidence that BWSTT can lead to improvements in cardiac autonomic balance in a subset of individuals with motor-complete SCI who respond to ambulation with moderate-to-large increases in heart rate. Moreover, preliminary level 4 evidence indicates that BWSTT can

improve arterial compliance in individuals with motor-complete SCI. There is also level 2 evidence that neuromuscular electrical stimulation gait training can increase metabolic and cardiorespiratory responses in persons with complete tetraplegia.

Upper extremity exercise

Given the motor loss of the lower limbs following injury, upper extremity exercise is a logical choice. Improving cardiovascular fitness can be challenging using the smaller mass of the arms especially when muscle fatigue occurs before endurance training targets are met. From our search, we found 4 RCTs (1 high quality²⁷ and 3 lower quality trials^{28–30}), 2 prospective controlled,^{31,32} and 14 pre-post studies. Given the large number of studies that have looked at upper extremity exercise, we have tabled only those studies that included a control group consisting of participants with SCI (**Table 1**).

The reported improvements in aerobic capacity after aerobic arm training in SCI are approximately 20%–30%; however, it is not uncommon for improvements in excess of 50%.³³ The majority of aerobic training investigations have evaluated the effectiveness of moderate (40%–59% heart rate reserve [HRR] or 55%–69% of maximum HR) to vigorous (60%–84% HRR or 70%–89% of maximum HR) intensity exercise. These studies have used arm ergometry, wheelchair ergometry, and swimming-based interventions. Based on the current level of literature, it appears that moderate intensity exercise performed 20–60 minutes per day for at least 3 days/week for a minimum of 6 weeks is effective for improving cardiovascular fitness and exercise tolerance in persons with SCI (level 1 evidence based on one high-quality RCT²⁷ and several lower quality

Table 1. Effects of upper extremity training on cardiovascular fitness and health

Author, year Country Score Research design Total sample size	Method	Key outcomes
	Arm ergometry	
de Groot et al., 2003 ²⁷ Netherlands PEDro = 7 RCT N = 6	Population: 4 male, 2 female, C5-L1, ASIA A (<i>n</i> = 1), B (<i>n</i> = 1), C (<i>n</i> = 4), age 36 yrs. Treatment: Interval training (3-min exercise:2-min rest), 1hr/d, 3d/wk, 8 wks. Randomized to low intensity (50%–60% HRR) or high intensity (70%–80% HRR).	1. Greater changes in $\dot{V}O_2$ peak in the high-intensity (59%) versus low-intensity group (17%).
Davis et al., 1991 ²⁹ Canada PEDro = 4 RCT N = 24	Population: 8 spina bifida, 16 traumatic, age 17–42 yrs. Treatment: Random assignment to (a) control or 1 of 3 arm ergometry programs 2 d/wk, 24 wks: (1) high-intensity long duration (40 min at 70% $\dot{V}O_2$ peak), (2) high-intensity short duration (20 min at 70% $\dot{V}O_2$ peak), and (3) low-intensity short duration (20 min at 50% $\dot{V}O_2$ peak) training.	1. Training increased $\dot{V}O_2$ peak in the 3 arm ergometry groups (~21%). 2. There were increases in submaximal stroke volume and cardiac output in the high-intensity long and the low-intensity long training groups. 3. The low-intensity short duration training and control groups exhibited small nonsignificant decreases in stroke volume.
Davis et al., 1987 ²⁸ Canada PEDro = 4 RCT N = 14	Population: Sedentary SCI (<i>n</i> = 9 exercise group, <i>n</i> = 5 control group), age 20–39 yrs. Treatment: Arm ergometry, 50%–70% $\dot{V}O_2$ peak, 20–40 min/d, 3d/wk, 16 wks.	1. Significant improvement in $\dot{V}O_2$ peak (31%) and HR (–9.5%) with training. 2. During isometric handgrip exercise, decreased rate pressure product (20%) and increased stroke volume (12%–16%).

<p>Hjeltnes & Wallberg-Henriksson, 1998³² Norway D&B = 16 Prospective controlled trial N = 27</p>	<p>Population: Exercise group: 10 tetraplegia, C6-8, 7 ASIA A & 3 ASIA B; Control: 10 paraplegia, T7-11, all ASIA A. Treatment: Exercise group: standard rehabilitation + arm ergometry, 30 min/d, 3d/wk, 12-16 wks; Control: standard rehabilitation.</p>	<p>1. Tetraplegics increased peak workload (45%) with no change in VO₂ peak. 2. Peak workload (45.5%) and VO₂ peak (27.7) increased significantly in the paraplegics. 3. No change in peak HR, systolic BP, submaximal exercise stroke volume, or cardiac output in either SCI group.</p>
<p>Mixed arm and other exercise</p>		
<p>Hicks et al., 2003³⁰ Canada PEDro = 5 RCT N = 23</p>	<p>Population: 18 tetraplegia and 16 paraplegia, ASIA A-D, C4-L1, ages 19-65 yrs. Treatment: Exercise: 90-120 min/d, 2d/wk, 9 months of arm ergometry (15-30 min, ~70% VO₂max) and circuit resistance exercise; Control group: bimonthly education session.</p>	<p>1. Power output increased by 118% and 45% after training in the tetraplegic and paraplegic groups, respectively. 2. There were progressive increases in strength over the 9 months of training (range 19%-34%).</p>
<p>Wheelchair ergometry</p>		
<p>Hooker & Wells, 1989³¹ USA D&B = 9 Prospective controlled trial N = 8</p>	<p>Population: Low-intensity group n = 6, C5-T7; moderate-intensity group n = 5, C5-T9. Treatment: Wheelchair ergometry 20 min/d, 3 d/wk, 8 wks: low-intensity (50%-60% max HRR) and moderate-intensity (70%-80% max HRR).</p>	<p>1. The moderate-intensity group had significantly lower post-training submaximal HR, lactate, and RPE but no changes in oxygen consumption. 2. 70% maximal HRR appears to be the beneficial training threshold.</p>

Note: ASIA = American Spinal Injury Association; BP = blood pressure; d = day; hr = hour; HR = heart rate; HRR = heart rate reserve; min = minute; RCT = randomized controlled trial; RPE = rating of perceived exertion; SCI = spinal cord injury; wk = week; yr = year.

RCTs). Therefore, the general recommendations provided by agencies such as the Canadian Society for Exercise Physiology are appropriate for improving the cardiovascular fitness of persons with SCI. It is, however, important to note that training intensities may need to be established using a rating of perceived exertion (e.g., RPE) (rather than objective measures of heart rate) in individuals with SCI-induced autonomic denervation of the heart.

An exercise intensity threshold of 70% maximal HRR has been advocated for the attainment of training benefits when a minimal training duration (20 minutes) is the standard.^{31,34,35} It is also apparent that improvements in exercise capacity and functional status may occur after training without significant changes in VO_2 peak, particularly in persons with tetraplegia.³²

Questions remain regarding the primary mechanisms of importance for improvements in aerobic fitness after training. It is unclear whether central (heart and lung) or peripheral (skeletal muscle) adaptations are of key importance. Enhancements have been observed in peripheral muscle function. For instance, investigators have shown intrinsic cellular adaptations in the paralyzed muscle that facilitate oxidative metabolism following BWSTT.³⁶ Only limited investigations, however, have shown an improvement in cardiac function after aerobic exercise training.²⁸ It could therefore be argued that peripheral adaptations are of primary importance to the improvement in aerobic capacity after aerobic exercise. However, this statement is somewhat misleading as the majority of studies have not evaluated directly cardiac output during maximal/peak exercise. This is owing to the fact that the assessment of maximal cardiac output during exercise is

one of the most difficult procedures in clinical exercise physiology.^{37,38} When exercise measures of cardiac function have been taken, improvements in central function have been observed.²⁸ Further research examining the primary mechanism(s) of importance for the improved cardiovascular fitness and exercise capacity seen in persons with SCI after aerobic exercise training is warranted. It is also important to highlight that it is often difficult for patients to attain VO_2 max during exercise. Moreover, the submaximal prediction of VO_2 peak/ VO_2 max (based on the heart rate response to exercise) is limited owing to the potential impairment in the sympathetic drive to the heart in many persons with SCI. Furthermore, it is often difficult to determine whether the changes in VO_2 peak/ VO_2 max seen after training are related to changes in musculoskeletal fitness rather than changes in cardiovascular fitness.

Less is known about the effects of resistance training on cardiovascular fitness. However, the incorporation of resistance training into the treatment of SCI appears to be essential. In fact, muscle weakness and dysfunction are key determinants of pain and functional status in persons with SCI. Previous studies have revealed improvements in VO_2 peak/ VO_2 max,^{39,40} exercise tolerance,⁴⁰ and musculoskeletal fitness⁴⁰ after resistance training (e.g., circuit training).

In summary, there is level 1 evidence that moderate intensity aerobic arm training (performed 20–60 min/day, 3 days/week for at least 8 weeks) is effective in improving the aerobic capacity and exercise tolerance of persons with SCI. Recent research also indicates level 1 evidence that vigorous intensity (70%–80% HRR) exercise leads to greater improvements in aerobic capacity than mod-

erate intensity exercise. The relative importance of changes in cardiac function and the ability to extract oxygen at the periphery in persons with SCI after aerobic training remains to be determined.

Functional electrical stimulation (FES)

Computer-assisted FES during leg cycling has been shown to be an important and practical means of exercising a relatively large muscle mass in persons with SCI.⁴¹ This device also permits the activation of the skeletal muscle pump during leg cycling. For these reasons, FES training has been advocated as an effective SCI treatment strategy.

There is a growing body of literature indicating that FES exercise training is an effective way of improving cardiovascular health, peak power output, and exercise tolerance/capacity in persons with SCI (**Table 2**). These studies generally employ a cycling motion, although rowing and bipedal ambulation have also been evaluated. It appears that moderate-to-vigorous intensity FES training (relative to baseline capacity) is effective in enhancing cardiovascular fitness in persons with SCI. The majority of the investigations are pre-post designs (level 4) with investigators reporting marked changes in VO_2max or VO_2peak after FES training. Similar to aerobic training, 20%–40% changes in aerobic capacity are often observed after FES training. However, improvements in excess of 70% are not uncommon.⁴²

Investigations with FES training have also shown an improvement in musculoskeletal fitness. Similar to arm exercise training, limited investigations have shown an improvement in cardiac function after FES training. A recent investigation has also revealed that the degree of muscular adaptation that can be

achieved via FES exercise is dependent upon the load that is applied to the paralyzed muscle.⁴³

Researchers have also shown that hybrid exercise training (FES leg cycling combined with arm ergometry) may elicit greater changes in peak work rates and $\text{VO}_2\text{peak}/\text{VO}_2\text{max}$ than FES leg-cycling exercise alone.^{44,45} Moreover, it appears that the physiological adaptations to combined FES leg cycling and arm ergometry training are partially maintained after 8 weeks of detraining.⁴⁶ Other interventions (**Table 3**) that make use of hybrid FES training have also been shown to improve the exercise capacity and cardiovascular health status in persons with SCI. It would appear that the potential adaptations with hybrid exercise may be greater than FES alone; however, further research is required to test this hypothesis.

A series of intrinsic muscle adaptations can also occur after FES training that enhance the ability for oxidative metabolism at the cellular level, which in turn facilitates improved endurance, exercise tolerance, and functional capacity. Key intrinsic muscle adaptations that have been observed include an increase in the proportion of type I fibers, an enhancement in cross-sectional fiber area, an increase in capillary number, a shift toward more fatigue-resistant contractile proteins, and increased citrate synthase and hexokinase activity.^{43,47–49} Given the importance of musculoskeletal fitness for health and functional status,^{50–52} further research is clearly warranted in persons with SCI. Accordingly, randomized, controlled exercise interventions (both arm and/or FES training) that evaluate concurrent changes in musculoskeletal fitness and health status are particularly needed.

In summary, there is level 4 evidence from

Table 2. Effects of functional electrical stimulation on cardiovascular fitness

Author, year Country Score Research design Total sample size	Method	Key outcomes
Mohr et al., 1997 ⁸⁸ Denmark D&B = 14 Pre-post N = 10	Population: 6 tetraplegia at C6, 4 paraplegia at T4, all complete, ages 27–45 yrs, 3–23 yrs postinjury. Treatment: 1-yr exercise training using an FES cycle ergometer (30 min/d, 3 d/wk).	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 4-fold increase in work output and 12% increase in thigh muscle mass with FES. VO₂max increased 17.5% (6 months) and 19.2% (12 months). Shift toward more fatigue-resistant contractile proteins and a doubling of citric synthase activity.
Ragnarsson et al., 1988 ⁷³ USA D&B = 14 Pre-post N = 19	Population: 16 male, 3 females (7 paraplegics T4-T10, 12 tetraplegics C4-C7), ages 19–47 yrs, 2–17 yrs postinjury. Treatment: Phase I: quadriceps stimulation with dynamic knee extensions against increasing resistance, 3 d/wk, 4 wks; Phase II: leg-cycle FES, 15-30 min/d, 3 d/wk for 12 wks.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Most showed an increase in strength and endurance. VO₂peak increased nonsignificantly (14.9%) after training.
Hooker et al., 1992 ⁴¹ USA D&B = 13 Pre-post N = 18	Population: 17 males, 1 female, 10 tetraplegia (C5-C7), 8 paraplegia (T4-T11), 7 incomplete, age 30.6 yrs, 6.1 yrs postinjury. Treatment: FES leg-cycle training 10–30 min/d, 2–3 d/wk, 12–16 wks.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Increase in power output (45%), VO₂peak (23%), cardiac output (13%), HR (11%), and a reduction in total peripheral resistance (–14%) during peak FES leg cycle. No changes in stroke volume (6%), mean arterial BP (–5%), or arteriovenous oxygen difference (+10%). No differences during peak arm cranking exercise for any of the cardiovascular variables.
Cramer et al. 2004 ⁴³ Denmark D&B = 12	Population: Paraplegia, complete, C6-T7, ages 26–54 yrs, 3–21 yrs postinjury. Treatment: FES training 45 min/d, 3 d/wk, 10 wks. One leg:	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> The isometric-trained leg showed larger mean increases in force, increase in type I fibers, fiber cross-sectional area, capillary-to-fiber

Pre-post N = 6	dynamic cycle ergometry involved bilateral quadriceps and hamstring stimulation; contralateral leg: isometric contractions.	ratio, citrate synthase activity, and relative oxygenation after static training in comparison to baseline and the dynamically trained leg.
Hjeltnes et al., 1997 ⁷² Norway D&B = 12 Pre-post N = 5	Population: 5 males, complete chronic lesions, 2 C5, 2 C6, 1 C7, 4 ASIA A, 1 ASIA A/B, age 35 yrs, 10.2 yrs postinjury. Treatment: FES leg cycling, 7x/wk, 8 wks.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. VO₂ peak increased (70%) during FES leg cycling but not during arm exercise. 2. Increase in lean body mass (3.0%) and muscle cross-sectional area (21.3%). 3. Decrease in body fat (6.4%).
Barstow et al., 1996 ⁶⁹ USA D&B = 12 Pre-post N = 9	Population: 9 males, 2 tetraplegia, 7 paraplegia, all ASIA A, age 34.4 yrs, 10.1 yrs postinjury. Treatment: FES leg-cycle exercise, 30 min (minimum of 24 sessions, 3 d/wk).	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Training significantly increased VO₂ peak (10.9%), peak work rate (46.5%), and peak oxygen pulse (12.6%).
Faghri et al., 1992 ⁷² USA D&B = 12 Pre-post N = 13	Population: 6 paraplegics (5 complete), 7 tetraplegics (all incomplete), C4-C7 and T4-T10, age 30.5 yrs, 8 yrs postinjury. Treatment: FES leg cycle, 3 d/wk, 12 wks.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Increased resting HR and systolic blood pressure in the tetraplegics, while decreased systolic, diastolic, and mean arterial BP in the paraplegics after training. 2. In both groups, decreased submaximal exercise HR and BP and increased stroke volume after training. 3. After training, submaximal cardiac output increased significantly in the paraplegic group.
Gerrits et al., 2001 ⁷⁴ Netherlands D&B = 11 Pre-post N = 9	Population: C4-C6 and T4-T8, ASIA A (5), B (3), and C (1), ages 26-61 yrs, 1-27 yrs postinjury. Treatment: FES leg cycle, 30 min/d, 3 d/wk, 6 wks.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Increased work output (300%). 2. No change HR and systolic BP. <p>Increased femoral artery diameter (8%); no change carotid artery diameter. Blood flow to the paralyzed limbs was increased.</p>

Note: ASIA = American Spinal Injury Association; BP = blood pressure; d = day; FES = functional electrical stimulation; hr = hour; HR = heart rate; min = minute; wk = week; yr = year.

Table 3. Effects of hybrid FES training on cardiovascular fitness and health

Author, year Country Score Research design Total sample size	Method	Key outcomes
Thijssen et al., 2005 ⁷⁰ Netherlands D&B = 14 Pre-post N = 10	Population: 9 males, 1 female, T1-T12, 9 complete, age 39.2 yrs, 1-20 yrs postinjury. Treatment: Simultaneous FES cycle ergometry and arm ergometry, 30 min/d, 2-3 d/wk, 4 wks.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Training resulted in increased thigh resting (43.5%) and peak blood flow (17.1%), decreased thigh resting vascular resistance (31.8%), and increased femoral artery diameter. 2. After training, there was an increase in maximal workload (6.8%), VO₂ peak (6.1%), and resistance to fatigue.
Thijssen et al., 2006 ⁷⁵ Netherlands D&B = 20 Pre-post N = 9	Population: 8 males, 1 female, C5-T12, 8 complete ASIA A, 1 incomplete ASIA C, age 39 yrs, 11 yrs postinjury. Treatment: Simultaneous FES cycle ergometry and arm ergometry, 25 min/d, 2 d/wk, 6 wks followed by 6 wks detraining.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. After 2 wks of training, there was a significant increase in baseline and peak blood flow, an increase in femoral artery diameter, and a decrease in femoral artery flow-mediated dilation (FMD). 2. Detraining lead to a reversal of baseline and peak thigh blood flow, vascular resistance, and femoral diameter. 3. Detraining did not restore femoral artery FMD.
Gurney et al., 1998 ⁴⁶ USA D&B = 12 Pre-post N = 6	Population: All male, C4-T10, 4 paraplegia, 2 tetraplegia, ages 23-41 yrs, 5-24 yrs postinjury. Treatment: Phase I: FES leg cycle, 3 d/wk, 6 wks; Phase II: FES leg cycle with simultaneous, voluntary arm ergometry, 3 d/wk, 6 wks; Phase III: 8 wks detraining.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Increased VO₂ peak (81.7%) and workload with FES leg cycle. 2. After an 8-wk detraining period, peak workload returned to baseline; VO₂ peak remained higher.
Mutton et al., 1997 ⁴⁴ USA	Population: All male, complete ASIA A, C5-6 to T12-L1, age 35.6 yrs, 9.7 yrs postinjury.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. In response to FES-LCE training, both VO₂ peak and peak work rate during graded

D&B = 12 Pre-post N = 11	Treatment: 3 phases of exercise training (FES leg-cycle ergometry). Phase I progressive FES leg-cycle exercise (FES-LCE) to 30 min of exercise; Phase II ~35 sessions of FES-LCE; and Phase III ~41 sessions (30 min each) of combined FES-LCE and arm ergometry.	FES leg exercise (but not graded arm ergometry) testing improved. 2. With hybrid training, VO_{2peak} (13%) and peak power output (28%) were increased during graded hybrid testing, but not during graded arm or graded FES leg testing alone.
Krauss et al., 1993 ⁴⁵ USA D&B = 12 Pre-post N = 8	Population: 7 male, 1 female, 7 paraplegia, 1 tetraplegia, age 32 yrs, 13 yrs postinjury. Treatment: 2 phase program. Phase I: FES leg cycling 3 d/wk, 6 wks; Phase II: FES leg cycle plus simultaneous arm ergometry for 6 wks.	1. After Phase I, arm ergometer VO_{2peak} (21.9%) and FES leg ergometer VO_{2peak} (62.7%) increased. 2. After Phase II, the hybrid exercise VO_{2peak} increased 13.7%. 3. Peak HR only increased with training during FES leg ergometry.
Pollack et al., 1989 ⁶⁸ USA D&B = 11 Pre-post N = 11	Population: 7 male and 4 female, C4-C6 and T2-T6, complete motor lesions, ages 18–54 yrs, 6–132 months postinjury. Treatment: 3 phase program over 13–28 wks. Phase I: quadriceps stimulation (knee extension); Phase II: FES leg cycle with 0–1 kp resistance; Phase III: loaded FES leg cycle, 3 d/wk, 3 wks.	1. There were significant increases in endurance time (288%), VO_{2peak} (95.9%), and HR (16.8%) and decreases in diastolic BP (31.5%) with training.
Other forms of electrically assisted training		
Wheeler et al., 2002 ⁷¹ Canada D&B = 17 Pre-post N = 6	Population: C7-T12, 5 ASIA A, 1 ASIA C, age 42.5 yrs, 13.8 yrs postinjury. Treatment: FES (quadriceps) with arm rowing (70%–75% VO_{2peak}) 30 min/d, 3 d/wk, 12 wks.	1. Training resulted in significant increases in rowing distance (25%), VO_{2peak} (11.2%), and peak oxygen pulse (11.4%).
Sabattier et al., 2006 ⁷⁶ USA D&B = 15 Pre-post N = 5	Population: All male, complete ASIA A, C5-T10, age 35.6 yrs, 13.4 yrs postinjury. Treatment: Home-based electrical stimulation 2 d/wk, 18 wks.	1. Training resulted in significant increases in weight lifted and muscle mass and a decrease in muscle fatigue. 2. There was no change in femoral artery diameter with training. 3. Resting, reactive hyperaemia, and exercise blood flow did not change significantly with training.

(continued)

Table 3. Continued

Author, year Country Score Research design Total sample size	Method	Key outcomes
Solomonow et al., 1997 ⁶⁶ USA D&B = 13 Pre-post N = 70	Population: All paraplegia, no other details given. Treatment: Reciprocating gait orthosis (RGO) 3 hr/wk, 14 wks.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. There was a nonsignificant increase in cardiac output (7.1%) and stroke volume (5.0%) after training. 2. There was a significant increase in knee extensor torque (78.2%).
de Groot et al., 2005 ⁷⁷ Netherlands D&B = 10 Pre-post N = 6	Population: SCI: 3 male, 3 female, T4-L2, all complete ASIA A/B, age 43 yrs, 14.5 yrs postinjury; Controls: 8 able-bodied individuals (4 male, 4 female), age 41 yrs. Treatment: Unilateral surface stimulation of the quadriceps, tibial anterior, and gastrocnemius muscles, 30 min/d, daily, 4 wks.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. An increase in arterial compliance and a decrease in the flow-mediated dilation in the femoral artery of the trained leg, with no changes in these vascular parameters in the femoral artery of the untrained leg, the carotid artery, and the brachial artery. 2. There were no significant training-related changes in resting vessel diameter, blood flow, or shear rate in the femoral, carotid, and brachial arteries.

Note: ASIA = American Spinal Injury Association; BP = blood pressure; d = day; FES = functional electrical stimulation; HR = heart rate; min = minute; wk = week; yr = year.

pre-post studies that FES training performed for a minimum of 3 days per week for 2 months may be effective for improving musculoskeletal fitness, the oxidative potential of muscle, exercise tolerance, and cardiovascular fitness.

Glucose homeostasis

Glucose intolerance and decreased insulin sensitivity are independent risk factors for CVD.⁵³ Abnormal glucose homeostasis is associated with worsened lipid lipoprotein profiles and an increased risk for the development of hypertension and type 2 diabetes.^{50,51,53} It is well-established that habitual physical activity is an effective primary preventative strategy against insulin resistance and type 2 diabetes in the general population.⁵² Although comparatively less information is available for SCI, it appears that exercise training programs are effective in improving glucose homeostasis.^{22,27,54–56}

The majority of the data is from experimental non-RCT trials. A search of the literature revealed seven investigations ($n = 47$). This included one RCT²⁷ and six experimental non-RCT (pre-post) trials.^{22,54–58} The single RCT involved the randomization to two different forms of exercise, and, as such, an exercise condition served as the control (**Table 4**). The majority (five) of these trials examined the effectiveness of FES training.

Similar to other studies in the field of SCI research, this area of investigation is limited by the lack of quality RCTs. Moreover, the majority of the research relates to the effects of FES training. Limited work has been conducted using aerobic and/or resistance exercise training. As a whole, however, these studies are consistent and reveal several important findings. For instance, the improvements in glucose homeostasis may be the

result of increased lean body mass (and associated changes in insulin sensitivity) and increased expression of GLUT-4, glycogen synthase, and hexokinase in exercised muscle.

Consistent with findings in able-bodied individuals,^{50,51} the improvement in glucose homeostasis after exercise interventions (e.g., aerobic training or FES) does not appear to be related solely to decreases in body adiposity and/or increases in VO_2max . This is due to the fact that significant improvements in glucose homeostasis can occur with minor changes in body composition and/or aerobic fitness.

It is also important to note that there appears to be a minimal volume of exercise required for improvements in glucose homeostasis. For instance, Mohr et al.⁵⁸ revealed that a reduction of FES training was not sufficient to maintain the beneficial changes in insulin sensitivity and GLUT-4 protein observed during a 3 days/week FES training program.

In summary, there is level 1 and level 4 evidence that both aerobic and FES training (approximately 20–30 min/day, 3 days/week for 8 weeks or more) are effective in improving glucose homeostasis in persons with SCI.

Lipid lipoprotein profiles

Abnormal lipid lipoprotein profiles have been associated with an increased risk for CVD.^{12,50–53} Several studies have revealed worsened lipid lipoprotein profiles in persons with SCI.^{59–64} Routine physical activity has been shown to enhance lipid lipoprotein profiles by reducing triglycerides (TG), increasing HDL, and lowering LDL/HDL in the general population.^{12,50,51} Although limited, similar findings have been observed in persons with SCI^{27,31,36,65–67} (**Table 5**).

The information regarding the effects of

Table 4. Effects of exercise training on glucose metabolism in persons with spinal cord injury

Author, year Country Score Research design Total sample size	Method	Key outcomes
de Groot et al., 2003 ²⁷ Netherlands PEDro = 7 RCT N = 6	Population: 4 male, 2 female, C5-L1, ASIA A ($n = 1$), B ($n = 1$), and C ($n = 4$), age 36 yrs, 116 d postinjury. Treatment: Randomized to low-intensity (50%–60% HRR) or high-intensity (70%–80% HRR) arm ergometry, 20 min/d, 3 d/wk, 8 wks.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. There was a significant difference in insulin sensitivity between groups, with a nonsignificant decline in the high-intensity group and a significant improvement in the low-intensity group with training. 2. A positive correlation between $\dot{V}O_{2\text{peak}}$ and insulin sensitivity ($r = 0.68$, $p = .02$).
Mahoney et al., 2005 ⁵⁴ USA D&B = 17 Pre-post N = 5	Population: 5 males, complete SCI, C5-T10, ASIA grade A, age 35.6 yrs, 13.4 yrs postinjury. Treatment: Home-based neuromuscular electric stimulation-induced resistance exercise training, 2 d/wk, 12 wks.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. All participants had normal fasting glucose levels before and after training. 2. There were no significant changes in blood glucose or insulin with training. However, there was a trend toward reduced plasma glucose levels ($p = .074$).
Hjeltnes et al., 1998 ⁵⁵ Sweden D&B = 13 Pre-post N = 5	Population: 5 males, C5-C7, all complete ASIA A, age 35 yrs, 10 yrs postinjury. Treatment: Electrically stimulated leg cycling exercise, 7 d/wk, 8 wks.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. After training, insulin-mediated glucose disposal was increased by 33%. There was a 2.1-fold increase in insulin-stimulated glucose transport. 2. Training led to marked increases in protein expression of GLUT4 (glucose transporter) (378%), glycogen synthase (526%), and hexokinase II (204%) in the vastus lateralis muscle. 3. Hexokinase II activity increased 25% after training.
Phillips et al., 2004 ²² Canada	Population: 8 male, 1 female, incomplete ASIA C, C4-T12, 8.1 yrs postinjury.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Reduction in the area under the curve for glucose (–15%) and insulin (–33%).

<p>D&B = 12 Pre-post N = 9</p>	<p>Treatment: Body-weight-supported treadmill walking, 3 d/wk, 6 months.</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 2. The oxidation of exogenous (ingested) glucose liver glucose increased (68% and 36.8%, respectively) after training. 3. Training resulted in increased muscle glycogen, GLUT-4 content (glucose transporter) (126%), and hexokinase II enzyme activity (49%).
<p>Jeon et al., 2002⁵⁷ Canada D&B = 11 Pre-post N = 7</p>	<p>Population: 5 male, 2 female, motor complete, C5-T10, ages 30-53 yrs, 3-40 yrs postinjury. Treatment: FES leg-cycle training, 30 min/d, 3 d/wk, 8 wks.</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. There were significantly lower (14.3%) 2-hr OGTT glucose levels after 8 wk of training. 2. Glucose utilization was higher for all 3 participants and insulin sensitivity was higher for 2 of the 3 participants during posttraining 2-hr clamp test.
<p>Mohr et al., 2001⁵⁸ Denmark D&B = 10 Pre-post N = 10</p>	<p>Population: 8 male, 2 female, 6 tetraplegia, 4 paraplegia, C6-T4, age 35 yrs, 12 yrs postinjury. Treatment: FES cycling, 30 min/d, 3 d/wk, 12 months; 7 participants completed an additional 6 months (1 d/wk).</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Insulin-stimulated glucose uptake rates increased after intensive training. 2. With the reduction in training, insulin sensitivity decreased to a similar level as before training. GLUT-4 increased by 105% after intense training and decreased again with the training reduction. The participants had impaired glucose tolerance before and after training, and neither glucose tolerance nor insulin responses to OGTT were significantly altered by training.
<p>Chilibeck et al., 1999⁵⁶ Canada D&B = 10 Pre-post N = 5</p>	<p>Population: 4 male, 1 female, motor complete C5-T8, ages 31-50 yrs, 3-25 yrs postinjury. Treatment: FES leg-cycle ergometry training, 30 min/d, 3 d/wk, 8 wks.</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Training resulted in increases in GLUT-1 (52%) and GLUT-4 (72%). 2. There was a training-induced increase in citrate synthase activity (56%) and an improvement in the insulin sensitivity index as determined from oral glucose tolerance test.

Note: ASIA = American Spinal Injury Association; d = day; FES = functional electrical stimulation; HRR = heart rate reserve; min = minute; OGTT = oral glucose tolerance test; RCT = randomized controlled trial; SCI = spinal cord injury; wk = week.

Table 5. Effects of exercise training on lipid lipoprotein profiles in persons with spinal cord injury

Author, year	Country	Score	Research design	Total sample size	Method	Key outcomes
de Groot et al., 2003 ²⁷	Netherlands	PEDro = 7	RCT	N = 6	Population: 4 male, 2 female, C5-L1, ASIA A (n = 1), B (n = 1), and C (n = 4), age 36 yrs, 116 d postinjury. Treatment: Randomized to low-intensity (50%–60% HRR) or high-intensity (70%–80% HRR) arm ergometry; 20 min/d, 3 d/wk, 8 wks.	1. The TC/HDL and triglycerides decreased significantly more in the high-intensity group.
El-Sayed et al. 2005 ⁶⁵	UK	D&B = 13	Pre-post	N = 12	Population: 5 SCI, lesion below T10, age 32 yrs; 7 AB controls, age 31 yrs. Treatment: Arm ergometry, 30 min/d (60%–65% VO ₂ peak), 3 d/wk, 12 wks.	1. Training improved HDL but did not alter TC or triglycerides.
Solomonow et al., 1997 ⁶⁶	USA	D&B = 13	Pre-post	N = 70/33	Population: All paraplegia, no other details given. Treatment: Reciprocating gait orthosis (RGO), 3 hr/wk, 14 wks.	1. There were significant reductions in total cholesterol, LDL, LDL/HDL, and TC/HDL in 8 patients with initially high total cholesterol levels (>200 mg/dL).

Nash et al., 2001 ⁶⁷ USA D&B = 11 Pre-post N=5	Population: 5 males, complete lesions T6-L1, age 37.8 yrs, 4.8 yrs postinjury. Treatment: Circuit resistance training (50%–60% 1 repetition maximum), 3 d/wk, 12 wks.	1.	There were significant decreases in LDL, LDL/HDL, and TC/HDL after training.
Stewart et al., 2004 ³⁶ Canada D&B = 10 Pre-post N = 9	Population: 8 male, 1 female, incomplete ASIA C, C4-T12, 8.1 yrs postinjury. Treatment: Body-weight–supported treadmill training, 3 d/wk, 6 months.	1.	There were significant reductions in TC (–11.2%), LDL (–12.9%), and TC/HDL (–19.8%).
Hooker & Wells, 1989 ³¹ USA D&B=9 Prospective controlled trial N = 8	Population: Low-intensity group: n = 6, 3 male, 3 female, C5-T10, age 26–36 yrs, 3 months to 19 yrs postinjury; moderate-intensity group: n = 5, 3 male, 2 female, C5-T9, age 23–30 yrs, 2–19 yrs postinjury. Treatment: Wheelchair ergometry 20 min/d, 3 d/wk, 8 wks; low-intensity (50%–60% max HRR) and moderate intensity (70%–80% max HRR).	1. 2.	1. No change in lipid levels in low-intensity group. 2. Significant increases in HDL and decreases in triglycerides, LDL, and the TC/HDL ratio in the moderate intensity group.

Note: ASIA = American Spinal Injury Association; d = day; HDL = high-density lipoprotein; hr = hour; HRR = heart rate reserve; LDL = low-density lipoprotein; min = minute; RCT = randomized controlled trial; TC = total cholesterol; wk = week; yr = year.

exercise training on lipid lipoprotein profile is derived from one high-quality RCT (level 1),²⁷ one nonrandomized, prospective controlled trial (level 2),³¹ and several level 4 studies^{36,65-67} ($N = 110$). The majority of the investigations examined a form of aerobic training (either arm ergometry or assisted treadmill walking). Another investigation examined the effects of reciprocating gait orthosis powered with electrical muscle stimulation.

These findings provide level 1 evidence (based on one high-quality RCT and several lower quality studies) for the role of exercise in the reduction of atherogenic lipid lipoprotein profiles and the reduction of the risk for CVD in persons with SCI. It appears that a minimal threshold of training exists for changes in lipoprotein profile. For instance, authors have reported that 70% of maximal HRR (for at least 20 min/day, 3 days/week for 8 weeks) is the threshold necessary to achieve significant improvements in lipid lipoprotein profiles. Future research is warranted, however, to quantify the effects of varying forms of exercise (including aerobic exercise, resistance exercise, and FES) on lipid lipoprotein profiles in persons with SCI.

Discussion

There is a growing body of evidence to suggest that persons with SCI are at an increased risk for CVD. Increasing data indicate that persons with SCI experience an earlier onset and increased prevalence of CVD. Similar to able-bodied individuals, physical inactivity plays a significant role in the risk for CVD in persons with SCI. In fact, the ordinary activities of daily living do not appear to be sufficient to maintain cardiovascular fitness in persons with SCI. Moreover,

extremely low levels of physical activity and fitness may lead to a vicious cycle of further decline. Ultimately these changes will have significant implications for the development of CVD (and associated comorbidities) and the ability to live an independent lifestyle. It appears that SCI presents an additional risk for CVD above that observed in able-bodied individuals owing to marked physical deconditioning and injury-related changes in metabolic function (e.g., insulin resistance).^{7,17}

Physical activity interventions have been shown to be effective at attenuating the progression of CVD and related comorbidities. The forms of exercise interventions are varied, and the experimental data are limited in comparison to other patient populations (i.e., chronic heart failure). However, there is compelling evidence supporting the health benefits of upper extremity aerobic exercise (level 1 and 4) and FES (level 4) training (see **Tables 6 and 7**). For instance, there is research indicating that upper extremity exercise at a moderate-to-vigorous intensity, 3 days/week for at least 6 weeks, improves cardiovascular fitness and exercise tolerance in persons with SCI. The optimal exercise intervention for improving cardiovascular fitness remains to be determined. There is level 1 evidence²⁷ that high-intensity (70%–80% HRR) exercise leads to greater improvements in peak power and VO_{2peak} than low-intensity (50%–60% HRR) exercise. Further investigation is required to determine the relative roles that cardiac and peripheral muscle function play in the improvement of exercise capacity in persons with SCI. There is level 4 (pre-post) evidence that resistance training at a moderate intensity for at least 2 days/week also appears to be appropriate for the rehabilitation of persons with SCI.^{39,40,54,67}

There is growing evidence (predomi-

Table 6. Management of the risk for cardiovascular disease in persons with spinal cord injury through aerobic exercise training interventions

	Risk factor	Strength of evidence	Literature support, references
Cardiovascular fitness	• Increased exercise tolerance	Level 1	27, 32, 33, 78–81
	• Increased VO ₂ max	Level 1	27, 33, 39, 78–82
	• Increased cardiac output	Level 2	28, 29
	• Reduced submaximal exercise heart rate	Level 4	33
	• Increased maximal heart rate	Level 4	81
	• Increased stroke volume	Level 2	28, 29
	• Decreased total peripheral resistance	Level 2	28, 29
	• Increased power output	Level 1	27, 30, 32, 33, 39, 80, 81
	• Intrinsic cellular adaptations that facilitate oxidative metabolism	Level 4	36
Lipid lipoprotein profile	• Increased HDL cholesterol	Level 2	31, 65, 67
	• Reduced LDL cholesterol	Level 1	27, 31, 36, 67
	• Reduced triglycerides	Level 1	27
	• Reduced total cholesterol	Level 1	27, 31, 36
Glucose homeostasis	• Increased insulin sensitivity, decreased insulin resistance, and/or improved glucose tolerance.	Level 1	27

Note: HDL = high-density lipoprotein; LDL = low-density lipoprotein.

nantly level 4) from several pre-post trials that FES training for a minimum of 3 days/week for 2 months can improve oxidative metabolism,^{43,47–49} exercise tolerance,^{41,48,68–71} and cardiovascular fitness.^{41,48,68–72} There is limited (level 4) evidence^{23,24} that BWSTT can improve indicators of cardiovascular health in individuals with complete and incomplete SCI.

Preliminary (level 1 and level 4) evidence indicates that aerobic and FES exercise training programs (performed 30 min/day, 3 days per week for 8 weeks or more) are effective in improving glucose homeostasis in persons with SCI.^{27,57} The magnitude of change in glucose homeostasis appears to be of clinical

significance for the prevention and/or treatment of type 2 diabetes in persons with SCI.

There is level 1 evidence from a high-quality RCT²⁷ and several pre-post studies^{31,36,65} to suggest that aerobic exercise training programs (performed at a moderate-to-vigorous intensity 20–30 min/day, 3 days/week for 8 weeks) are effective in improving the lipid lipoprotein profiles of persons with SCI. The optimal training program for changes in lipid lipoprotein profile remains to be determined. However, a minimal aerobic exercise intensity of 70% of HRR on most days of the week appears to be a good general recommendation for improving lipid lipoprotein profile. Preliminary level 4 data also

Table 7. Management of the risk for cardiovascular disease in persons with spinal cord injury through functional electrical stimulation training interventions

	Risk factor	Strength of evidence	Literature support, references
Cardiovascular fitness	• Increased exercise tolerance	Level 4	41, 48, 68–71
	• Increased VO ₂ max	Level 4	41, 48, 68–72
	• Increased cardiac output	Level 4	41
	• Reduced submaximal exercise heart rate	Level 4	42
	• Increased stroke volume	Level 4	42
	• Decreased total peripheral/vascular resistance	Level 4	42
	• Increased power output	Level 4	41, 42, 70
	• Intrinsic cellular adaptations that facilitate oxidative metabolism	Level 4	43, 47–49
Lipid lipoprotein profile	• Reduced LDL cholesterol	Level 4	66
	• Reduced total cholesterol	Level 4	66
Glucose homeostasis	• Increased insulin sensitivity, decreased insulin resistance, and/or improved glucose tolerance.	Level 4	57

Note: LDL = low-density lipoprotein.

indicate that FES training (3 hr/week for 14 weeks) may improve lipid lipoprotein profiles in SCI.⁶⁶

Conclusion

A growing body of evidence supports the existence of an increased risk for CVD and CVD-related mortality in persons with SCI. Marked physical inactivity appears to play a central role in the increased risk for CVD in persons with SCI. Intuitively, exercise training should lead to significant reductions in the risk for CVD and improved overall quality of life in the SCI population. However, the relationship between increasing physical activity and health status of SCI has not been evaluated adequately to

date. Based on preliminary evidence (primarily level 4), it would appear that various exercise modalities (including arm ergometry, resistance training, BWSTT, and FES) may attenuate and/or reverse abnormalities in glucose homeostasis, lipid lipoprotein profiles, and cardiovascular fitness. As such, exercise training appears to be an important therapeutic intervention for reducing the risk for CVD and multiple comorbidities (such as type 2 diabetes, hypertension, obesity) in individuals with SCI. Well-designed RCTs are required in the future to establish firmly the primary mechanisms by which exercise interventions elicit these beneficial changes. Similarly, further research is required to evaluate the effects of lesion level and injury severity

on exercise prescription, such that exercise programs can be developed that address the varied needs of persons with SCI. Moreover, long-term follow-up investigations are required to determine whether training-induced changes in risk factors for CVD translate directly into a reduced incidence of CVD and premature mortality in persons with SCI.

Acknowledgments

Drs. Warburton and Eng are currently Michael Smith Foundation for Health Research (MSFHR) Scholars and Canadian Institutes of Health Research (CIHR) New Investigators. Financial support for the SCIRE project from the Rick Hansen Man-in-Motion Foundation and Ontario Neurotrauma Fund was greatly appreciated.

REFERENCES

1. Rick Hansen Spinal Cord Injury Registry. *Spinal Cord Injury Facts and Statistics*. Vancouver, BC: Rick Hansen Spinal Cord Injury Registry; 2004.
2. ICORD. SCI Facts and Stats. *International Collaboration on Repair Discoveries*. Available at: <http://www.icord.org/sci.html>. Accessed September 26, 2003.
3. Whiteneck GG, Charlifue SW, Frankel HL, et al. Mortality, morbidity, and psychosocial outcomes of persons spinal cord injured more than 20 years ago. *Paraplegia*. 1992;30(9):617–630.
4. Bauman WA, Kahn NN, Grimm DR, Spungen AM. Risk factors for atherosclerosis and cardiovascular autonomic function in persons with spinal cord injury. *Spinal Cord*. 1999;37(9):601–616.
5. DeVivo MJ, Black KJ, Stover SL. Causes of death during the first 12 years after spinal cord injury. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil*. 1993;74(3):248–254.
6. Yekutieli M, Brooks ME, Ohry A, Yarom J, Carel R. The prevalence of hypertension, ischaemic heart disease and diabetes in traumatic spinal cord injured patients and amputees. *Paraplegia*. 1989;27(1):58–62.
7. Myers J, Lee M, Kiratli J. Cardiovascular disease in spinal cord injury: an overview of prevalence, risk, evaluation, and management. *Am J Phys Med Rehabil*. 2007;86(2):142–152.
8. Groah SL, Weitzenkamp D, Sett P, Soni B, Savic G. The relationship between neurological level of injury and symptomatic cardiovascular disease risk in the aging spinal injured. *Spinal Cord*. 2001;39(6):310–317.
9. Bauman WA, Raza M, Spungen AM, Machac J. Cardiac stress testing with thallium-201 imaging reveals silent ischemia in individuals with paraplegia. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil*. 1994;75(9):946–950.
10. Bauman WA, Raza M, Chayes Z, Machac J. Tomographic thallium-201 myocardial perfusion imaging after intravenous dipyridamole in asymptomatic subjects with quadriplegia. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil*. 1993;74(7):740–744.
11. DeVivo MJ, Krause JS, Lammertse DP. Recent trends in mortality and causes of death among persons with spinal cord injury. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil*. 1999;80(11):1411–1419.
12. Warburton DE, Nicol CW, Bredin SS. Health benefits of physical activity: the evidence. *CMAJ*. 2006;174(6):801–809.
13. Jacobs PL, Nash MS. Exercise recommendations for individuals with spinal cord injury. *Sports Med*. 2004;34(11):727–751.
14. Schmid A, Halle M, Stutzle C, et al. Lipoproteins and free plasma catecholamines in spinal cord injured men with different injury levels. *Clin Physiol*. 2000;20(4):304–310.
15. Manns PJ, McCubbin JA, Williams DP. Fitness, inflammation, and the metabolic syndrome in men with paraplegia. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil*. 2005;86(6):1176–1181.
16. Elder CP, Apple DF, Bickel CS, Meyer RA, Dudley GA. Intramuscular fat and glucose tolerance after spinal cord injury—a cross-sectional study. *Spinal Cord*. 2004;42(12):711–716.
17. Bravo G, Guizar-Sahagun G, Ibarra A, Centurion D, Villalón CM. Cardiovascular alter-

- ations after spinal cord injury: an overview. *Curr Med Chem Cardiovasc Hematol Agents*. 2004;2(2):133–148.
18. Eng JJ, Teasell RW, Miller WC, et al. Spinal Cord Injury Rehabilitation Evidence: method of the SCIRE systematic review. *Top Spinal Cord Inj Rehabil*. 2007;13(1):1–10.
 19. Moseley AM, Herbert RD, Sherrington C, Maher CG. Evidence for physiotherapy practice: a survey of the Physiotherapy Evidence Database (PEDro). *Aust J Physiother*. 2002;48(1):43–49.
 20. Downs SH, Black N. The feasibility of creating a checklist for the assessment of the methodological quality both of randomised and non-randomised studies of health care interventions. *J Epidemiol Community Health*. 1998;52(6):377–384.
 21. Sackett DL, Straus SE, Richardson WS, Rosenberg W, Haynes RB. *Evidence-Based Medicine: How to Practice and Teach EBM*. 2nd ed. Toronto, ON: Churchill Livingstone; 2000.
 22. Phillips SM, Stewart BG, Mahoney DJ, et al. Body-weight-support treadmill training improves blood glucose regulation in persons with incomplete spinal cord injury. *J Appl Physiol*. 2004;97(2):716–724.
 23. Ditor DS, Macdonald MJ, Kamath MV, et al. The effects of body-weight supported treadmill training on cardiovascular regulation in individuals with motor-complete SCI. *Spinal Cord*. 2005;43(11):664–673.
 24. Ditor DS, Kamath MV, MacDonald MJ, et al. Effects of body weight-supported treadmill training on heart rate variability and blood pressure variability in individuals with spinal cord injury. *J Appl Physiol*. 2005;98(4):1519–1525.
 25. Carvalho DC, Cliquet A Jr. Response of the arterial blood pressure of quadriplegic patients to treadmill gait training. *Braz J Med Biol Res*. 2005;38(9):1367–1373.
 26. de Carvalho DC, Martins CL, Cardoso SD, Cliquet A. Improvement of metabolic and cardiorespiratory responses through treadmill gait training with neuromuscular electrical stimulation in quadriplegic subjects. *Artif Organs*. 2006;30(1):56–63.
 27. de Groot PC, Hjeltnes N, Heijboer AC, Stal W, Birkeland K. Effect of training intensity on physical capacity, lipid profile and insulin sensitivity in early rehabilitation of spinal cord injured individuals. *Spinal Cord*. 2003;41(12):673–679.
 28. Davis GM, Shephard RJ, Leenen FH. Cardiac effects of short term arm crank training in paraplegics: echocardiographic evidence. *Eur J Appl Physiol Occup Physiol*. 1987;56(1):90–96.
 29. Davis G, Plyley MJ, Shephard RJ. Gains of cardiorespiratory fitness with arm-crank training in spinally disabled men. *Can J Sport Sci*. 1991;16(1):64–72.
 30. Hicks AL, Martin KA, Ditor DS, et al. Long-term exercise training in persons with spinal cord injury: effects on strength, arm ergometry performance and psychological well-being. *Spinal Cord*. 2003;41(1):34–43.
 31. Hooker SP, Wells CL. Effects of low- and moderate-intensity training in spinal cord-injured persons. *Med Sci Sports Exerc*. 1989;21(1):18–22.
 32. Hjeltnes N, Wallberg-Henriksson H. Improved work capacity but unchanged peak oxygen uptake during primary rehabilitation in tetraplegic patients. *Spinal Cord*. 1998;36(10):691–698.
 33. DiCarlo SE. Effect of arm ergometry training on wheelchair propulsion endurance of individuals with quadriplegia. *Phys Ther*. 1988;68(1):40–44.
 34. Bizzarini E, Saccavini M, Lipanje F, et al. Exercise prescription in subjects with spinal cord injuries. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil*. 2005;86(6):1170–1175.
 35. Tordi N, Dugue B, Klupzinski D, et al. Interval training program on a wheelchair ergometer for paraplegic subjects. *Spinal Cord*. 2001;39(10):532–537.
 36. Stewart BG, Tarnopolsky MA, Hicks AL, et al. Treadmill training-induced adaptations in muscle phenotype in persons with incomplete spinal cord injury. *Muscle Nerve*. 2004;30(1):61–68.
 37. Warburton DE, Haykowsky MJ, Quinney HA, Humen DP, Teo KK. Reliability and validity of measures of cardiac output during incremental to maximal aerobic exercise. Part I: conventional techniques. *Sports Med*. 1999;27(1):23–41.
 38. Warburton DE, Haykowsky MJ, Quinney HA, Humen DP, Teo KK. Reliability and validity of measures of cardiac output during incremental to maximal aerobic exercise. Part II: novel techniques and new advances. *Sports Med*. 1999;27(4):241–260.
 39. Cooney MM, Walker JB. Hydraulic resistance

- exercise benefits cardiovascular fitness of spinal cord injured. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.* 1986;18(5):522–525.
40. Jacobs PL, Nash MS, Rusinowski JW. Circuit training provides cardiorespiratory and strength benefits in persons with paraplegia. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.* 2001;33(5):711–717.
 41. Hooker SP, Figoni SF, Rodgers MM, et al. Physiologic effects of electrical stimulation leg cycle exercise training in spinal cord injured persons. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil.* 1992;73(5):470–476.
 42. Faghri PD, Glaser RM, Figoni SF. Functional electrical stimulation leg cycle ergometer exercise: training effects on cardiorespiratory responses of spinal cord injured subjects at rest and during submaximal exercise. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil.* 1992;73(11):1085–1093.
 43. Cramer RM, Cooper P, Sinclair PJ, Bryant G, Weston A. Effect of load during electrical stimulation training in spinal cord injury. *Muscle Nerve.* 2004;29(1):104–111.
 44. Mutton DL, Scremin AM, Barstow TJ, et al. Physiologic responses during functional electrical stimulation leg cycling and hybrid exercise in spinal cord injured subjects. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil.* 1997;78(7):712–718.
 45. Krauss JC, Robergs RA, Depaepe JL, et al. Effects of electrical stimulation and upper body training after spinal cord injury. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.* 1993;25(9):1054–1061.
 46. Gurney AB, Robergs RA, Aisenbrey J, Cordova JC, McClanahan L. Detraining from total body exercise ergometry in individuals with spinal cord injury. *Spinal Cord.* 1998;36(11):782–789.
 47. Andersen JL, Mohr T, Biering-Sorensen F, Galbo H, Kjaer M. Myosin heavy chain isoform transformation in single fibres from m. vastus lateralis in spinal cord injured individuals: effects of long-term functional electrical stimulation (FES). *Pflugers Arch.* 1996;431(4):513–518.
 48. Mohr T, Andersen JL, Biering-Sorensen F, et al. Long-term adaptation to electrically induced cycle training in severe spinal cord injured individuals. *Spinal Cord.* 1997;35(1):1–16.
 49. Cramer RM, Weston A, Climstein M, Davis GM, Sutton JR. Effects of electrical stimulation-induced leg training on skeletal muscle adaptability in spinal cord injury. *Scand J Med Sci Sports.* 2002;12(5):316–322.
 50. Warburton DE, Gledhill N, Quinney A. Musculoskeletal fitness and health. *Can J Appl Physiol.* 2001;26(2):217–237.
 51. Warburton DE, Gledhill N, Quinney A. The effects of changes in musculoskeletal fitness on health. *Can J Appl Physiol.* 2001;26(2):161–216.
 52. Warburton DE, Nicol CW, Bredin SS. Prescribing exercise as preventive therapy. *CMAJ.* 2006;174(7):961–974.
 53. Hurley BF, Hagberg JM. Optimizing health in older persons: aerobic or strength training? *Exerc Sport Sci Rev.* 1998;26:61–89.
 54. Mahoney ET, Bickel CS, Elder C, et al. Changes in skeletal muscle size and glucose tolerance with electrically stimulated resistance training in subjects with chronic spinal cord injury. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil.* 2005;86(7):1502–1504.
 55. Hjeltnes N, Galuska D, Bjornholm M, et al. Exercise-induced overexpression of key regulatory proteins involved in glucose uptake and metabolism in tetraplegic persons: molecular mechanism for improved glucose homeostasis. *FASEB J.* 1998;12(15):1701–1712.
 56. Chilibeck PD, Bell G, Jeon J, et al. Functional electrical stimulation exercise increases GLUT-1 and GLUT-4 in paralyzed skeletal muscle. *Metabolism.* 1999;48(11):1409–1413.
 57. Jeon JY, Weiss CB, Steadward RD, et al. Improved glucose tolerance and insulin sensitivity after electrical stimulation-assisted cycling in people with spinal cord injury. *Spinal Cord.* 2002;40(3):110–117.
 58. Mohr T, Dela F, Handberg A, et al. Insulin action and long-term electrically induced training in individuals with spinal cord injuries. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.* 2001;33(8):1247–1252.
 59. Bauman WA, Spungen AM, Raza M, et al. Coronary artery disease: metabolic risk factors and latent disease in individuals with paraplegia. *Mt Sinai J Med.* 1992;59(2):163–168.
 60. Brenes G, Dearwater S, Shapera R, LaPorte RE, Collins E. High density lipoprotein cholesterol concentrations in physically active and sedentary spinal cord injured patients. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil.* 1986;67(7):445–450.
 61. Dearwater SR, LaPorte RE, Robertson RJ, et al. Activity in the spinal cord-injured patient: an epidemiologic analysis of metabolic parameters. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.* 1986;18(5):541–544.
 62. Maki KC, Briones ER, Langbein WE, et al. Associations between serum lipids and indica-

- tors of adiposity in men with spinal cord injury. *Paraplegia*. 1995;33(2):102–109.
63. Krum H, Howes LG, Brown DJ, et al. Risk factors for cardiovascular disease in chronic spinal cord injury patients. *Paraplegia*. 1992;30(6):381–388.
 64. Dallmeijer AJ, Hopman MT, van der Woude LH. Lipid, lipoprotein, and apolipoprotein profiles in active and sedentary men with tetraplegia. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil*. 1997; 78(11): 1173–1176.
 65. El-Sayed MS, Younesian A. Lipid profiles are influenced by arm cranking exercise and training in individuals with spinal cord injury. *Spinal Cord*. 2005;43(5):299–305.
 66. Solomonow M, Reisin E, Aguilar E, et al. Reciprocating gait orthosis powered with electrical muscle stimulation (RGO II). Part II: medical evaluation of 70 paraplegic patients. *Orthopedics*. 1997;20(5):411–418.
 67. Nash MS, Jacobs PL, Mendez AJ, Goldberg RB. Circuit resistance training improves the atherogenic lipid profiles of persons with chronic paraplegia. *J Spinal Cord Med*. 2001;24(1):2–9.
 68. Pollack SF, Axen K, Spielholz N, et al. Aerobic training effects of electrically induced lower extremity exercises in spinal cord injured people. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil*. 1989;70(3): 214–219.
 69. Barstow TJ, Scremin AM, Mutton DL, et al. Changes in gas exchange kinetics with training in patients with spinal cord injury. *Med Sci Sports Exerc*. 1996;28(10):1221–1228.
 70. Thijssen DH, Heesterbeek P, van Kuppevelt DJ, Duysens J, Hopman MT. Local vascular adaptations after hybrid training in spinal cord-injured subjects. *Med Sci Sports Exerc*. 2005; 37(7):1112–1118.
 71. Wheeler GD, Andrews B, Lederer R, et al. Functional electric stimulation-assisted rowing: increasing cardiovascular fitness through functional electric stimulation rowing training in persons with spinal cord injury. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil*. 2002;83(8):1093–1099.
 72. Hjeltnes N, Aksnes AK, Birkeland KI, et al. Improved body composition after 8 wk of electrically stimulated leg cycling in tetraplegic patients. *Am J Physiol*. 1997;273(3 pt 2):R1072–1079.
 73. Ragnarsson KT, Pollack S, O'Daniel W Jr, et al. Clinical evaluation of computerized functional electrical stimulation after spinal cord injury: a multicenter pilot study. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil*. 1988;69(9):672–677.
 74. Gerrits HL, de Haan A, Sargeant AJ, van Langen H, Hopman MT. Peripheral vascular changes after electrically stimulated cycle training in people with spinal cord injury. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil*. 2001;82(6):832–839.
 75. Thijssen DH, Ellenkamp R, Smits P, Hopman MT. Rapid vascular adaptations to training and detraining in persons with spinal cord injury. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil*. 2006;87(4):474–481.
 76. Sabatier MJ, Stoner L, Mahoney ET, et al. Electrically stimulated resistance training in SCI individuals increases muscle fatigue resistance but not femoral artery size or blood flow. *Spinal Cord*. 2006;44(4):227–233.
 77. de Groot P, Crozier J, Rakobowchuk M, Hopman M, MacDonald M. Electrical stimulation alters FMD and arterial compliance in extremely inactive legs. *Med Sci Sports Exerc*. 2005;37(8):1356–1364.
 78. DiCarlo SE, Supp MD, Taylor HC. Effect of arm ergometry training on physical work capacity of individuals with spinal cord injuries. *Phys Ther*. 1983;63(7):1104–1107.
 79. Gass GC, Watson J, Camp EM, et al. The effects of physical training on high level spinal lesion patients. *Scand J Rehabil Med*. 1980;12(2):61–65.
 80. Jacobs PL, Mahoney ET, Nash MS, Green BA. Circuit resistance training in persons with complete paraplegia. *J Rehabil Res Dev*. 2002;39(1):21–28.
 81. Sutbeyaz ST, Koseoglu BF, Gokkaya NK. The combined effects of controlled breathing techniques and ventilatory and upper extremity muscle exercise on cardiopulmonary responses in patients with spinal cord injury. *Int J Rehabil Res*. 2005;28(3):273–276.
 82. El-Sayed MS, Younesian A, Rahman K, Ismail FM, El-Sayed Ali Z. The effects of arm cranking exercise and training on platelet aggregation in male spinal cord individuals. *Thromb Res*. 2004;113(2):129–136.