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**Renal osteodystrophy: definition, nomenclature and classification**

Disturbances of bone and mineral metabolism are a hallmark of chronic kidney disease (CKD). Renal osteodystrophy (ROD) is the traditional term for bone lesions in conjunction with CKD and is now considered a part of the 'chronic kidney disease—mineral and bone disorder' (CKD-MBD) [1]. ROD comprises various subtypes with substantial differences in aetiology and fundamental differences in treatment strategies. In long-term dialysis patients the prevalence of some types of ROD is virtually 100% [2].

A simple, easy to apply but still sophisticated and comprehensive descriptive system of ROD is the TMV system [1]. The TMV system comprises bone turnover (T), bone mineralization (M) as well as bone volume (V). Bone turnover and bone volume may both be classified as high, normal or low. Bone mineralization may be categorized as normal or abnormal. As an alternative to volume, the bone balance may be considered [3,4].

Based on the above system, the NKF/KDOQI guidelines distinguish six types of bone pathology in CKD-MBD (Table 1) (Figures 1 and 2). The focus on cancellous bone parameters in this classification system has been questioned regarding the importance of cortical bone quality for structural integrity [5]. Moreover, bone histomorphometric parameters comprise a continuum, and categorization may be an oversimplified approach [5]. Nevertheless, categorical CKD-MBD classification is helpful for clinical practice and widely used as the basis for therapeutic decision making. In this review we will focus particularly on adynamic bone disease (ABD), which is increasing in prevalence and, in

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1. NKF/KDOQI guidelinesa and renal osteodystrophy classification</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hyperparathyroid (high turnover) bone disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixed (high turnover with mineralization defect) bone disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Osteomalacia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adynamic bone disease (ABD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Additionally, two distinct causing agents for ROD are explicitly mentioned: amyloid bone disease and aluminium bone disease</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


**What is ABD?**

The term ‘aplastic’ or ‘adynamic’ bone disease was introduced in the early 1980s [7,8]. ABD is characterized by a low-bone turnover without osteoid accumulation, i.e. with a thin osteoid seam. Both the rate of collagen synthesis by osteoblasts and the subsequent mineralization of bone collagen are subnormal. The latter distinguishes ABD from the second low-turnover form, i.e. osteomalacia, where a mineralization defect exceeds the defects in bone formation, resulting in a relative osteoid excess [9,10]. In ABD, there are few or no osteoblasts, and minimal or no peritrabecular fibrosis or marrow fibrosis (in contrast to osteitis fibrosa). Especially the bone formation rate (BFR) is substantially diminished and the number of remodelling sites is low [9].

**ABD in bone histomorphometry**

The NKF-KDOQI guidelines suggest a number of histomorphometric parameters for the classification of ROD (Table 2).

Bone turnover may be assessed by the activation frequency or by BFR [3]. The activation frequency is defined as the reciprocal of the total remodelling time. The latter is the net result of bone resorption, reversal, formation and quiescent periods. Therefore, the activation frequency assesses both osteoclast (resorption) and osteoblast (formation) activity [11,12]. In contrast, BFR
focuses only on osteoblast activity [11,13]. However, in ROD the correlation between these two parameters of bone turnover is excellent ($r = 0.95$ in dialysis and $r = 0.97$ in predialysis patients) [12] and both the activation frequency and BFR may be used for assessment of bone turnover.

Especially bone turnover, fibrosis quantification and bone mineralization assessment are required to differentiate between hyperparathyroid bone disease, osteomalacia and mixed and adynamic bone disease. Many previously published ROD studies thus rely on three histomorphometric parameters: BFR ($\mu m^2/mm^2/day$), osteoid accumulation (%) and the presence or absence of fibrosis [13–22]. For example, based on these three parameters, normal histology was defined as the absence of fibrosis, osteoid volume $<12\%$, and BFR $>97$ but $<613 \mu m^2/mm^2/day$ [16]. However, cut-off levels are inconstant. Concerning BFR, cut-off levels varying from 97 to 108 $\mu m^2/mm^2/day$ have been applied to separate normal from low bone turnover. Other studies used one standard deviation below normal levels, or $<5\%$ of normal levels, to define adynamic BFR [13–23]. Similarly, cut-off levels for osteoid volume separating osteomalacia from ABD vary from 12% [16,21] to 15%, [13–15,17–20,23] (Figure 3).
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Table 2. Frequently applied histomorphometric parameters and normal levels according to K/DOQI

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Normal level</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(1) Bone volume relative to total tissue volume</td>
<td>16–23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2) Osteoid thickness</td>
<td>4–20 μm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3) Osteoid surface relative to total bone surface</td>
<td>1–39%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(4) Osteoclast surface relative to total bone surface</td>
<td>0.2–10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(5) Osteoclast surface relative to total bone surface</td>
<td>0.15–1.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(6) Activation frequency(^a)</td>
<td>0.49–0.72/year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(7) Fibrosis volume relative to total tissue volume</td>
<td>Absent (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(8) Mineralization lag time</td>
<td>&lt;50 days</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ABD is diagnosed in the presence of subnormal values in criteria 2–6 in the absence of fibrosis.

\(^a\)See the text for comment.

![Diagram of osteomalacia and high-turnover osteopathy](image)

Fig. 3. Commonly applied definition criteria for ABD.

Parfitt has challenged such a high threshold for osteoid accumulation, since 5% is already ‘generous’, assuming that normal osteoid volume is 1.5 ± 1.2% [5]. If the lower 5% cut-off is used, more patients will be diagnosed with osteomalacia than with ABD [24]. In contrast, a fibrous tissue volume of <0.5% is a non-disputed criterion for ABD [13–21,23].

Within ABD, it is of major therapeutic importance to distinguish aluminium-induced and non-aluminium-induced forms [10,25,26]. Recently, a variant of ABD has been described (so-called ABD-V) [23], which is characterized by high osteoclastic resorption (osteoclast surface/bone surface more than two standard deviations higher than in controls). Its clinical relevance remains to be defined.

How to diagnose the subtype of renal osteodystrophy

The gold standard for the diagnosis and classification of ROD is histomorphometric analysis of an undecalcified bone sample [1]. Pre-biopsy in vivo tetracycline labelling as well as amyloid and aluminium stains are required for complete diagnostic work-up. A combination of dynamic and static bone parameters, both of cortical and trabecular bone, gives a complete overview upon bone metabolism [3,5]. The preferred site of biopsy is 2 cm posterior and 2 cm inferior to the anterior iliac crest using an instrument designed to obtain a core of bone of at least 4–5 mm diameter [9] (e.g. Meunier® bone biopsy device).

KDIGO and NKF-KDOQI guidelines recommend a bone biopsy in the following cases (Table 3).

The indications for a bone biopsy after renal transplantation are less clear and not explicitly discussed in current guidelines. Basically, the above-mentioned indications also apply for the posttransplant situation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 3. Possible indications for an iliac crest bone biopsy in renal osteodystrophy(^a)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>If a CKD patient with serum levels of intact PTH (iPTH) between 100 and 500 pg/mL (11.0–55.0 pmol/L) develops unexplained hypercalcaemia, bone pain or an increase in bone alkaline phosphatase activity, Inconsistencies among biochemical parameters that do not allow a definitive interpretation of bone metabolism, Unexplained skeletal fracture or bone pain, In the absence of other known causes of a bone fracture (e.g. malignancy), in the case of low trauma, unexplained fracture, Severe progressive vascular calcification, Unexplained hypercalcaemia, Suspicion of aluminium overload or toxicity (or possibly other metals like strontium), especially before chelation treatment due to possible side effects of DFO, Before parathyroidectomy if there has been significant exposure to aluminium in the past or if the results of biochemical determinations are not consistent with advanced secondary or tertiary hyperparathyroidism, Consider a biopsy before beginning treatment with bisphosphonates</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Bone biopsy and the role of tetracycline labelling

It is mandatory to distinguish between static and dynamic bone parameters in histomorphometry. Static histomorphometric parameters include bone volume/tissue volume, osteoid thickness, osteoid surface/bone surface, osteoblast surface/bone surface, osteoclast surface/bone surface, and fibrosis volume/tissue volume. In contrast, BFR, activation frequency and mineralization lag time are dynamic bone parameters.

In order to evaluate the underlying dynamics of bone morphology, in vivo tetracycline labelling is necessary [4,25]. Tetracyclines show fluorescence in ultraviolet light and bind to actively forming bone areas. Calcium-containing phosphate binders should not be given in parallel to tetracycline. In patients with severely impaired renal function, one possible scheme for tetracycline labelling is shown in Table 4 [27]. Information is enhanced by using two different tetracyclines with different fluorescence [27]. After the second labelling period, 4–6 days should elapse to give the second tetracycline line sufficient time to get buried by osteoid, in order to protect it from washout during in vitro staining. A modified, short-term ‘emergency’ labelling scheme is possible [9,27]. The most appropriate labelling scheme should be chosen in agreement with the local bone pathologist.
markers, such as bone-specific alkaline phosphatase, over long-term monitoring of ROD evolution. Changes of bone phosphatase [28]. Another approach is to measure the addition of osteoprotegerin [2] or iPTH plus bone-specific alkaline phosphatase virtually exclude an adynamic renal bone disease of bone formation. Elevated levels of bone alkaline phosphatase (BAP) is probably the single most useful biochemical parameter for the assessment of bone formation. Elevated levels of bone alkaline phosphatase virtually exclude an adynamic renal bone disease [25,28]; however, elevations of BAP along with total AP may be seen in cases of severe osteomalacia. Combinations of biochemical markers hold promise [22], at least for the differentiation for high-turnover versus adynamic forms. Such combinations could be, for example, iPTH plus osteoprotegerin [2] or iPTH plus bone-specific alkaline phosphatase [28]. Another approach is to measure the ratio of PTH(1–84) to PTH(7–84) [33].

Currently, the domain of biochemical markers is the long-term monitoring of ROD evolution. Changes of bone markers, such as bone-specific alkaline phosphatase, over time, may be suitable indicators for the assessment of therapeutic effects.

### Aluminium bone disease

Hyperaluminaemia in end-stage renal disease (ESRD) patients was reported as early as 1970 [34]. The true dimension of aluminium-related complications in dialysis patients, including bone disease, emerged in the 1980s [35,36]. In the 1980s, aluminium overload was the predominant cause for the development of low-turnover bone disease in dialysis patients [35,37]. In aluminium-treated dialysis patients with osteitis fibrosa, the distribution of aluminium in bone is diffuse, whereas in aluminium-induced osteomalacia, or ABD, there is a predominant localization along the mineralization front [35]. Aluminium causes mineralization defects, and markedly reduces both osteoclast resorption and osteoblast surface [10]. It profoundly decreases PTH synthesis and release [38,39] even in the presence of excessive hyperphosphataemia [40]. A chronic low-dose exposure with concomitant high dosages of vitamin D may preferentially lead to ABD [10] rather than osteomalacia. Clinically, the aluminium-induced ABD forms appear particularly prone to causing bone pain, hypercalcaemia and fractures [10,26]. A current example that even recent trial findings have to take into account the underlying aluminium exposure is the study by Barreto et al. from Brazil [29]. They recorded a high proportion of low-turnover bone disease (∼2/3) in their entire cohort. Of these patients ∼60% had substantial aluminium staining (∼25% aluminium bone staining) in contrast to about a third of the patients with high-turnover bone disease.

### Sources of aluminium

Prior to widespread usage of reverse osmosis, water contamination used to be a major source of aluminium for dialysis patients [35]. Aluminium toxicity has also been described in CKD patients ingesting aluminium hydroxide who had never been treated with dialysis [41]. Although aluminium-containing phosphate binder usage has substantially declined, in 1995 about a quarter of patients exhibited positive aluminium bone staining, and in a study published in 2004, 57% of the dialysis patients had been treated with aluminium ‘in the past’ [42]. Thus, aluminium overload or intoxication continues to be a clinical concern. There is certainly a difference in the clinical relevance of aluminium-induced bone disease between ‘developing’ and ‘developed’ countries simply due to the different prescription patterns. Especially in emerging countries aluminium is used more generously, and as a consequence in the year 2000, 95% of the bone biopsies contained Al in Uruguay compared to 19% in Spain [43].

### How to diagnose aluminium bone disease

Serum aluminium levels do not correctly reflect body aluminium stores and do not correlate well with signs of aluminium toxicity. A desferrioxamine (DFO) test increases the diagnostic accuracy (Table 5). Depending on the dosage of DFO administered, the aluminium increase in serum regarded as diagnostic varies from exceeding 50 µg/L [44] to 200 µg/L [45]. The NKF-K/DOQI guidelines recommend performing the low-dose test because of possible DFO side effects (ophthalmologic damage and mucormycosis). The sensitivity and specificity of the low-dose DFO test to diagnose Al-bone disease are

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 4. Example of tetracycline labelling in patients with suspected renal osteodystrophy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>First label: doxycyclin 100 mg SID for 3 days wait 14 days (8–15 days) Second label: minocyclin 50 mg BID for 3 days (2–4 days) perform a biopsy 4–6 days later</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Several alternatives from the tetracycline family are available, e.g. tetracycline hydrochloride (250 mg TID/BID depending on renal function on days 25–23 before biopsy) followed by demeclocycline (days 4–2 before biopsy) (note: demeclocycline capsules or tablets are not available in parts of the European Community).

Alternatively, two doses of tetracycline hydrochloride 10 days apart may be used.

### Assessment of renal osteodystrophy without a bone biopsy

Measurements of bone mineral density or plain bone radiographs are not suitable for a diagnosis of ROD [25], although the latter may identify Looser’s zones. None of the known biochemical markers for parathyroid status, bone formation and bone resorption have reached a sufficient level of diagnostic accuracy (reviews in [1,25,28]), and none so far can replace the diagnostic power of a bone biopsy.

Whereas plasma iPTH levels at the extremes, i.e. <50 pg/ml and >800 pg/ml, are usually associated with ABD and high-turnover bone disease, respectively, in particular levels between about 100 and 500 pg/ml exhibit variable associations with types of bone lesions. This diagnostic uncertainty of intermediate, K/DOQI target-compliant PTH levels has recently been confirmed by bone biopsy studies from Brazil [29] and Portugal [30]. The situation is complicated furthet by wide variations in iPTH results if different test assays are employed [31,32] and by potentially variable ratios of agonistic (PTH1–84) and antagonistic (PTH7–84) PTH forms [33].

Bone alkaline phosphatase (BAP) is probably the single most useful biochemical parameter for the assessment of bone formation. Elevated levels of bone alkaline phosphatase virtually exclude an adynamic renal bone disease [25,28]; however, elevations of BAP along with total AP may be seen in cases of severe osteomalacia. Combinations of biochemical markers hold promise [22], at least for the differentiation for high-turnover versus adynamic forms. Such combinations could be, for example, iPTH plus osteoprotegerin [2] or iPTH plus bone-specific alkaline phosphatase [28]. Another approach is to measure the ratio of PTH(1–84) to PTH(7–84) [33].

Currently, the domain of biochemical markers is the long-term monitoring of ROD evolution. Changes of bone markers, such as bone-specific alkaline phosphatase, over time, may be suitable indicators for the assessment of therapeutic effects.
When does ABD occur in the course of CKD?

ABD frequently occurs before ESRD is reached [21,50,51]. Bone biopsies in patients new on dialysis or with advanced CKD (mean age 54 ± 12 years) revealed ABD in 23% of the patients [21]. None of these patients had received calcitriol or aluminium during the course of CKD. An even higher ABD prevalence of 49% in predialysis CKD stage 5 patients was reported [19]. The prevalence of ABD was 13% in patients with a creatinine clearance of 20 ± 12 ml/min [51]. No data are available on the evolution of ABD in patients who progress from CKD stages 3 to 5.

Evolution of ABD prevalence over the last decades

The prevalence of ABD has increased over the last 15–20 years, despite the fact that aluminium-induced low-turnover bone disease has become more and more infrequent [6,18] (Figure 4). Non-aluminium-induced ABD has now emerged as the dominant lesion in a mixed cohort of adult haemodialysis and peritoneal dialysis patients [18], and in particular in diabetic ESRD patients, prevalences up to 67% have been observed [21]. In parallel, the former predominance of hyperparathyroid bone disease has diminished [6,52]. The increase in ABD prevalence parallels two major developments in dialysis patients. First, the proportion of elderly and diabetic patients is steadily growing. Second, many patients were exposed to relatively high vitamin D and oral calcium dosages. It is currently impossible to quantify the relative impact of these two potentially causative factors.

Of note, not all studies confirm a high ABD prevalence. For example, Lehmann et al. [20] used the static histological parameters, osteoclast-covered surface/bone surface (OcS/BS <1%) and osteoblast-covered surface/bone surface (ObS/BS <1%), to stratify patients into low- versus high-turnover osteopathy. With this classification, only ~7% of both pre- and dialysis patients suffered from low-turnover osteopathy.

What are risk factors for the development of ABD?

Besides aluminium, several other factors or conditions decrease bone turnover and bone remodelling activity (Table 6). Low bone turnover is not limited to advanced CKD, but also occurs in other conditions that are frequent in dialysis populations such as advanced age, glucocorticoid-induced osteoporosis, diabetes and hypoparathyroidism. A relative ‘hypoparathyroidism’ is regarded as an important risk factor for ABD [14,18,50,53]. It may be due to low iPTH(1–84) levels or to a relative excess of antagonistic PTH fragments (e.g. PTH(7–84)) that negatively affect bone metabolism [54]. In a bone biopsy study in dialysis patients,
Table 6. Factors associated with a high prevalence of ABD

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>High calcium load [10]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low PTH levels [14,18,50,53]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitamin D over-treatment [62–64]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increasing age of the dialysis patients [6,65]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High prevalence of diabetes mellitus [6,65,66]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAPD compared to haemodialysis [6,18,65,67]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

iPTH plasma levels determined by immunoradiometric assay (Nichols Allegro) were highly predictive of ABD if <120 pg/mL, while levels >450 pg/mL virtually excluded ABD [19]. When considering the optimal osteoblast surface (1.5%) and the absence of fibrosis, the authors defined an iPTH range between 120 and 250 pg/mL as desirable (in patients not treated with calcitriol). In agreement with this report, bone biopsies in parathyroidectomized dialysis patients with a persistent iPTH plasma level <70 pg/mL uniformly revealed low turnover or ABD at 1 year after the operation [55].

Apart from absolute or relative hypoparathyroidism, ABD is frequently characterized by skeletal resistance to bone-anabolic PTH actions, presumably via a down-regulation of the PTH/PTHrp receptor on osteoblasts [56,57]. In patients with ABD, the parathyroid gland responsiveness to hypocalcaemia is diminished. As a consequence, PTH pulsatility, an important parameter accounting for PTH anabolic bone actions, is impaired in ABD.

Diabetes mellitus negatively affects bone metabolism. In type 1 diabetes with ESRD bone biopsies exhibited reduced trabecular and osteoid bone volumes and marked reductions in indices of bone formation and resorption [58]. Diabetic dialysis patients are also particularly prone to aluminium accumulation and PTH resistance [59].

Calcium administration and vitamin D as triggers for ABD will be discussed in the ‘treatment’ section.

It is clear from the above that the pathophysiology of ABD is certainly multifactorial. Further mediators may include uraemic toxins as well as derangements in cytokines and growth factors [10, 60]. In summary, on the background of relative PTH resistance in a uraemic milieu and presumably several other factors, there is only a thin line in CKD between allowing sufficient hyperparathyroidism to maintain sufficient bone metabolism versus oversuppression of PTH leading to low-turnover bone disease [61].

Low-turnover bone disease and clinical symptoms

Skeletal pain may occur in all subtypes of ROD, but is especially common in patients with (aluminium-induced) osteomalacia [10]. Proximal muscle weakness together with axial skeletal pain and fractures of the ribs, vertebral bodies, pelvis and hips has been described as common features of aluminium-induced osteomalacia [35]. However, these signs and symptoms may also occur in the absence of aluminium overload in patients with osteomalacic bone lesions [68] (Figure 5). The classical triad [35] of dialysis encephalopathy [69], microcytic anaemia and osteopathy suggesting aluminium toxicity is very rare nowadays. It has been claimed that ‘aluminium-induced bone disease is the only form of low-turnover producing symptoms and ultimately death’ [10]. This idea came from observation that side effects of low-turnover bone disease (pain, hypercalcaemia, fractures) were associated with aluminium covering >20% of the bone surface [10]. However, non-aluminium induced ABD also carries significant morbidity and mortality [42] (see below) and it is now clear that any type of ABD may cause bone pain. However, there is no pathognomonic clinical sign of ABD.
ABD and calcium metabolism

ABD is characterized by a reduced ability to incorporate serum calcium into the bone compartment [70]. In calcium isotope experiments in dialysis patients with biopsy-proven ROD, calcium accretion in bone was significantly lower in ABD compared to hyperparathyroid bone lesions [70]. Patients with reduced bone turnover exhibited a higher systemic calcium exposure while enteral calcium absorption did not differ between high- and low-turnover bone lesions [70]. In agreement with this, low biochemical markers of bone turnover predicted the development of hypercalcaemia after the initiation of calcium carbonate [71]. The reduced bone capacity to buffer calcium loads in ABD has now been widely confirmed [72].

ABD and ectopic calcification

Cardiovascular calcifications and associated mortality are prominent clinical problems in patients with ESRD [73–75]. Several studies noted a relation between bone metabolism and such calcifications. In 224 prevalent Turkish haemodialysis patients, low turnover was detected in 75% of the bone biopsies [76]. Patients with the lowest bone activation frequencies, i.e. the lowest bone turnover, exhibited the most pronounced coronary artery calcification (CAC) scores. Similar findings were obtained in 101 Brazilian haemodialysis patients [15]. London et al. [42] quantified vascular calcifications of the common carotid arteries, the abdominal aorta, iliofemoral axis as well as legs. Increasing calcification score levels were associated with decreasing mean iPTH, tetracycline double-labelled surface and osteoblast surface, while the aluminium-stained surface predicted the calcification score in a multiple stepwise regression analysis [42]. All these findings point to an association of low-bone turnover with cardiovascular calcifications (Figures 6 and 7).

Calcific uraemic arteriolopathy (CUA), formerly called calciphylaxis, has also been linked to ABD [77]: five out of seven patients with CUA had biopsy-confirmed ABD (Figure 8).

The above human data are supported by animal studies performed in LDL receptor knock-out mice (LDL-R−/−). These mice, when fed a high-fat or diabetogenic diet, also exhibit the combination of low-turnover osteodystrophy and vascular calcifications [78,79]. This is accelerated by superimposed experimental CKD [78,79]. Administration of anabolic bone stimulating agents such as bone morphogenic protein 7 (BMP-7) [78] or synthetic PTH(1–34) [79] improved bone turnover and skeletal mineralization and decreased calcium deposition in the aorta.

Low iPTH and increased mortality

The causal relation between ABD and vascular disease may at least in part explain why iPTH plasma levels < 150 pg/mL.
led to a significant, 1.4-fold increase in mortality in 58 000 ESRD patients after extensive multivariate adjustments [80]. Ganesh et al. confirmed a U-curve relationship in their 2-year follow-up study in 12 800 dialysis patients: Both very low (<32 pg/ml) and high iPTH levels (>496 pg/ml) increased the risk for sudden death [81]. Similar findings were reported in other smaller studies [82;83]. In particular the combination of low iPTH and high serum calcium levels (plus high serum phosphate), a combination typical for ABD, was associated with substantial mortality [84]. However, such a U-curve-shaped relationship between PTH and mortality has not been uniformly confirmed. After multiple adjustments, Block et al. revealed a linear association of the two parameters [85].

**ABD and bone stability**

ABD is associated with a diminished ability to repair microdamage [5]. Accumulated microdamage may result in an increased fracture risk [53,86]. In a retrospective study in 9000 haemodialysis patients, a U-curve relationship between fracture risk and plasma iPTH levels was indeed detectable [87]. Fracture risk was comparable for hip, vertebrae and pelvis in patients with iPTH levels <150 pg/mL and those with iPTH exceeding 800 pg/mL and was lowest around ∼300 pg/mL [87]. Another study determined that, compared to the normal population, hip fracture incidence was 17 times higher in ESRD patients [88]. One of the significant predictors of fracture risk was an iPTH level <195 pg/mL... Atsumi et al. [86] retrospectively showed that the lowest tertile of iPTH, in particular in men, was associated with a 22% increase in the risk of vertebral fractures. However, all these studies have significant limitations and may only serve to create a hypothesis rather than to establish evidence, since none assessed bone histologies or parathyroidectomy rates and they were retrospective and uncontrolled.

In prepubertal children, ABD was associated with decreased linear growth and worsened growth retardation [89].

**Management of the patient with ABD**

**General considerations**

In contrast to high-turnover bone disease, the management of ABD is not well investigated and large-scale prospective randomized trials are absent [90]. The treatment currently follows two principles: first, to reduce calcium and vitamin D load and second, to restore PTH activity (Table 7). Using these approaches, ABD is reversible in a substantial number of patients [13,91]. However, while the approaches mentioned above appear intuitive, the situation clearly is more complex given data from large databases indicating that treatment with active vitamin D is associated with a survival benefit even in patients with very low PTH levels [92]. Thus, potential bone benefits of avoiding active vitamin D in ABD patients may be offset by the resulting lack of other beneficial actions of a pleiotropic compound such as vitamin D, emphasizing the need for large controlled prospective trials in this area.

**Aluminium removal in cases of significant exposure**

DFO mobilizes aluminium from bone and decreases the proportion of protein-bound aluminium in plasma, thereby facilitating removal by dialysis. Discontinuation of aluminium and administration of DFO improved signs of
aluminium-induced bone lesions in vivo [93,94]. Human data with serial biopsies after DFO treatment have shown marked declines in stainable bone-surface aluminium that were associated with increases in BFR [17]. Long-term application of DFO (11 ± 4 months, dosage 42 ± 17 mg/kg administered once weekly) also improved signs of dementia and increased erythrocyte mean corpuscular volume, but side effects were common [95]. Polysulfone dialyzers offer maximum clearance of DFO-aluminium complexes [96]. Parathyroidectomy should be avoided in patients with aluminium-induced bone disease, since the decrease in bone turnover after surgery may be associated with an accelerated accumulation of aluminium in bone [97]. A repeat bone biopsy with quantification of stainable aluminium on the trabecular surface may help to guide the duration of chelation therapy [27].

Reduction of intradialytic calcium loading

Serum ionized calcium levels are probably the most powerful regulator of PTH synthesis and excretion. Especially in conjunction with vitamin D treatment a positive calcium balance depresses bone turnover [63]. For both haemodialysis and CAPD patients there are convincing laboratory data and first histomorphometry results showing that lowering dialysate calcium concentration improves ABD [13,98–100]. Reducing the dialysate calcium concentration from 1.75 or 1.5 mmol/L to 1.25 mmol/L reduced serum ionized calcium, diminished episodes of hypercalcaemia and increased iPTH (fourfold), bone-specific alkaline phosphatase and TRAP-5b levels within 3–6 months [100]. In a prospective trial in 51 CAPD patients with biopsy-proven ABD, two batch calcium concentrations (1.62 mM or 1.0 mM) were compared [13]. Repeat bone biopsies after 16 months showed that the low-calcium batch led to a normalization of BFR, which increased from 18.1 ± 5.6 to 159 ± 59 µm2/mm2/day. The low-calcium group experienced a decrease in serum ionized calcium levels resulting in a 300% increase in serum iPTH values (from 57 ± 15 to 237 ± 34 pg/mL). In 40% of the patients, ABD had resolved after 16 months.

The current NKF K/DOQI guidelines recommend limiting daily oral calcium intake (dietary calcium plus phosphate binder) to <2000 mg. Dialysate calcium concentrations of 1.75 mmol/L should not be used routinely. In cases of ABD, reduction of dialysate calcium to 1.25 or 1.00 mmol/L is advisable and usually tolerated well clinically.

Usage of calcium-free phosphate binders

Oral calcium-containing phosphate binders are the other major source of calcium. Recently developed calcium- and aluminium-free phosphate binders now offer alternatives. Two prospective bone biopsy studies have compared the effects of calcium-free versus calcium-containing phosphate binders on bone metabolism and histology in dialysis patients [30,91]. D’Haese et al. [91] compared the bone effects of lanthanum carbonate versus calcium carbonate in 63 dialysis patients. The median intake of calcium carbonate and lanthanum carbonate was 2000 (n = 30) and 1250 mg/day (n = 33), respectively. After 1 year of treatment, the number of patients increasing their bone turnover after an initial diagnosis of ABD was similar in both groups (3/6 calcium carbonate versus 4/6 lanthanum). However, during the follow-up, bone turnover decreased to ABD after an initial diagnosis of high-turnover ROD in six patients of the calcium carbonate group versus one patient in the lanthanum group. Regarding sevelamer, Ferreira et al. analysed repetitive bone biopsies in 68 patients after 1 year of treatment with either sevelamer (dosage increased from 3.3 ± 2.0 to 5.0 ± 2.7 g/day) or calcium carbonate (dosage increased from 3.8 ± 2.2 to 4.0 ± 2.5 g/day) [30]. Only the sevelamer group exhibited a significant increase in BFR per bone surface. At the end of the study three patients (9%) had developed de novo ABD in the sevelamer group compared to six (17%) in the calcium group. However, the comparability between these two bone biopsy studies is limited due to different histomorphometric criteria of ABD [30,91]. Several additional lines of evidence also point towards an improved bone turnover following a switch from calcium-containing phosphate binders to sevelamer [101,102]. In the Treat-to-Goal study, 200 haemodialysis patients were randomized either to 6.5 g/day sevelamer or 4.6 g/day calcium acetate or 3.9/day g calcium carbonate (mean intake) over 53 weeks. Mean iPTH remained stable in the sevelamer group (~220 pg/mL), whereas it dropped significantly from 200 to 138 pg/ml in the calcium group. In a post hoc analysis of this study, it was shown that calcium-treated subjects showed a decrease in thoracic vertebral trabecular bone attenuation, a surrogate marker of bone density, whereas sevelamer-treated subjects exhibited stable values [102]. Similar data were obtained in a 2-year prospective study that also compared calcium carbonate-treated (4.3 ± 1.7 g/day) with sevelamer-treated (6.9 ± 2.6 g/day) haemodialysis patients [103]. The calcium carbonate group in comparison to the sevelamer group exhibited decreasing iPTH levels, significantly more hypercalcaemic episodes, and a loss of trabecular bone density [103] (Figure 9).

These human data are in line with experimental results indicating that high dosages of calcium supplementation in uremic rats suppress osteoclastic and chondroclastic activity [104].

Avoidance of vitamin D over-treatment

The administration of active vitamin D compounds reduces bone turnover in CKD patients. One hundred and seventy-six CKD patients (GFR 15–50 ml/min) were randomized to alphacalcidol (0.25 µg every other day to 1.0 µg/day) or placebo treatment over 2 years [49]. Bone biopsies were performed at the study entry and end. In patients with ROD at baseline (75%), alphacalcidol treatment significantly reduced osteoblast surface, number of osteoblasts, eroded surface and BFR while these parameters changed insignificantly with placebo. Biopsy studies indicate that high dosages of active vitamin D (calcitriol) in patients with ESRD may eventually lead to the development of ABD. In a prospective 12-month study with serial bone biopsies in 14 children on peritoneal dialysis, all exhibited hyperparathyroidism-associated bone lesions at baseline and 11 overt osteitis fibrosa [63]. Intermittent oral or
intraperitoneal calcitriol decreased BFR by \sim60\% and six children developed ABD (43\%) [63]. Similar results emerged from another 12-month repeat biopsy study in 16 peritoneal dialysis children, who, after an initial diagnosis of osteitis fibrosa \( (n=9) \) or mild lesions of secondary HPT \( (n=7) \), developed ABD under calcitriol in 25\% of the cases [89]. However, in all these studies high-dosage active vitamin D treatment was associated with higher incidences of hypercalcemia and higher mean serum calcium levels. Therefore, it is difficult to assess the particular impact of non-calcaemic versus calcaemic vitamin D actions upon bone metabolism. Moreover, the high dialysate calcium content of 1.75 mmol/L certainly contributed to ABD development in the two studies.

Preliminary \textit{in vitro} data point towards a lower osteoblast activity suppression of novel vitamin D receptor (VDR) agonists (paricalcitol) [105]. Additionally, paricalcitol increased while calcitriol decreased the PTH(1–84)/PTH C-fragment ratio in haemodialysis patients indicating a positive effect by paricalcitol on skeletal PTH resistance [106]. However, no human bone biopsy data are available to verify whether newer VDR agonists indeed affect bone turnover in a better way than calcitriol.

\textbf{Teriparatide as a bone-stimulating agent}

The daily subcutaneous application of PTH(1–34), teriparatide, is a powerful anti-osteoporotic treatment. In theory, teriparatide offers the chance to restore bone metabolism in patients with ABD ([79] see above). The administration of PTH(1–34) in patients with ‘non-renal’ hypoparathyroidism (mostly post-surgical or with gain-of-function mutations in the calcium-sensing receptor) over 3 years led to significant elevations of bone turnover markers [107]. However, controlled human trials in CKD have not been performed so far. Nevertheless, in anecdotal reports, teriparatide (e.g. 20 \( \mu \)g s.c. three times per week after haemodialysis) has been used in bone biopsy-confirmed ABD patients with severe fracturing osteoporosis. Reductions of bone pain and transient increases of bone-specific alkaline phosphatase have been reported.

\textbf{Restoring the pulsatile PTH secretion pattern}

The biological action of PTH on bone largely depends on pulsatile PTH secretion [108]. This may explain the risk for ABD in patients receiving active vitamin D or peritoneal dialysis, since in the former case vitamin D activity builds up over days and then continuously suppresses PTH release, whereas in PD patients there is often a constant exposure to high calcium dialysate levels, in contrast to the fluctuating calcium level in HD patients.

Two classes of compounds may help re-establish a pulsatile, oscillatory secretion pattern of PTH in patients with ABD: the calcimimetics and the calcilytics. The calcimimetic agent cinacalcet has a half-life of \(<24\) h and initially reduces iPTH levels markedly, but this is followed by a strong iPTH rebound in plasma so that circadian swings of plasma iPTH increase [109]. \textit{In vivo} experiments already showed a bone protective, bone anabolic effect of calcimimetics [110]. Untreated rats with adriamycin-induced CKD developed a low-turnover bone disease resembling osteomalacia [110]. Two treatment arms with NPS-568, a short-acting calcimimetic agent, were tested: one with daily oral gavage, the other with a continuous subcutaneous infusion. While the continuous infusion normalized PTH-levels in the previously hyperparathyroid CKD animals, large fluctuations of PTH were detectable in the gavage group: at 1 h after gavage, PTH decreased by 78\%, while levels had returned to baseline after 14 h. After 57 days, several parameters of bone formation were significantly improved in the daily gavage arm compared to the animals treated continuously.

Finally, calcilytic agents, which temporarily block the calcium sensing receptor at the parathyroid gland and thereby promote PTH secretion, may also help to stimulate bone turnover by increasing the pulsatile PTH secretion pattern. The oral calcilytic agent NPS 2143 has been applied to a model of bone loss and osteopenia (ovarectomized rats)
[111] and compared with the action of s.c. PTH(1–34). Increases of plasma PTH after the administration of NPS 2143 were prolonged (>4 h) in contrast to short increases with s.c. PTH(1–34). Indeed, both agents stimulated bone turnover. However, NPS 2143 resulted in a dramatic increase in both bone formation and resorption, with no net effect on bone mass. In contrast, PTH(1–34) also increased both resorption and formation, but formation exceeded resorption, resulting in increased bone mass. Only the coapplication of the calcitropic agent plus estradiol led to an increase in bone mass, presumably due to the hormonal antiresorptive effect in this experiment. Calcitropic agents therefore need further proof of bone protective properties.

**ABD: closing remarks**

ABD is not an innocent bystander in CKD [65]. It is possibly the most prevalent bone lesion in advanced CKD, is associated with impaired calcium metabolism and linked to cardiovascular disease and mortality in CKD patients. ABD is, at least in part, often iatrogenic and it is this part in particular, which lends itself to prevention or therapeutic intervention. Reducing the calcium load is the best investigated preventive or therapeutic option in non-aluminium induced ABD.
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