How does nicotinic acid modify the lipid profile?
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An atherogenic dyslipidaemic phenotype, characterized by low HDL-cholesterol levels, hypertriglyceridaemia and small, dense LDL, is commonly observed in patients with type 2 diabetes, the metabolic syndrome, or pre-existing cardiovascular disease and is inadequately addressed by current guidelines for the management of cardiovascular disease. Moreover, low HDL-cholesterol, in particular, is common among patients treated for dyslipidaemia and is little affected by statin treatment. Incomplete suppression of lipolysis by insulin in the fed state in insulin-resistant subjects leads to increased lipolysis in adipose tissue with elevated circulating free fatty acids (FFA). This metabolic abnormality leads directly to the development of the atherogenic dyslipidaemic phenotype. Nicotinic acid increases levels of HDL-cholesterol, probably largely through suppression of lipolysis in adipocytes secondary to activation of specific, G-protein-coupled nicotinic acid (HM74A) receptors. The reduction in FFA flux after nicotinic acid treatment also results in reduced levels of circulating triglycerides, mainly in the form of VLDL, and increased size and buoyancy of LDL. Treatment with nicotinic acid equally increases the size of HDL particles, which may promote increased reverse cholesterol transport from macrophages in the atherosclerotic plaque via the ABCG1 cholesterol transporter. The effects of nicotinic acid on the lipid profile are thus potentially anti-atherogenic and may address a major source of cardiovascular risk in insulin-resistant populations, such as those with the metabolic syndrome, type 2 diabetes and/or cardiovascular disease.
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Introduction: correction of dyslipidaemia: current practice

Guidelines and risk scoring

Correction of dyslipidaemia is central to the management of cardiovascular risk. The first step in designing interventions for patients at elevated cardiovascular risk is often to determine their overall cardiovascular risk, and the principal guidelines for the management of cardiovascular risk in current use set about this task in different ways. Joint guidelines from European expert societies use the Systematic Coronary Risk Evaluation (SCORE) system, which estimates the 10-year risk of fatal cardiovascular disease, on the basis of gender, age, total cholesterol level, systolic blood pressure, and smoking status. Framingham scoring is used in guidelines from the National Cholesterol Education Program/Adult Treatment Panel III, which are most relevant to clinical practice in the USA, but are highly influential elsewhere. The online Framingham risk calculator provided by the US government estimates the 10-year risk of myocardial infarction, on the basis of same parameters, with the addition of HDL-cholesterol. Most guidelines themselves identify HDL-cholesterol <40 mg/dL (1.03 mmol/L) in men or <50 mg/dL (50 mmol/L) in women as 'low', but to date fall short of recommending treatment goals for this parameter.

Limitations of guideline recommendations

The omission of HDL-cholesterol from the European system might lead to the underestimation of cardiovascular risk in important subgroups of patients. HDL-cholesterol has
been shown to be an important determinant of overall cardiovascular risk in large observational cohort studies, including the Framingham study among others.\textsuperscript{5–7} Moreover, a distinct dyslipidaemic phenotype has been identified that is strongly associated with insulin-resistant states such as abdominal obesity, the metabolic syndrome, or type 2 diabetes: these patients often present with normal or only mildly elevated LDL-cholesterol or total cholesterol, and have low HDL-cholesterol, hypertriglyceridaemia and a shift in the LDL subclass distribution towards small, dense particles.\textsuperscript{8–11}

This phenotype is believed to favour plaque cholesterol accumulation, as shown schematically in Figure 1.\textsuperscript{12} Indeed, the lipid core of a mature complex plaque frequently contains a substantial amount of crystalline cholesterol,\textsuperscript{13} with the overall atheroma burden determined to a major degree by the balance of influx and efflux of cholesterol. In general, cholesterol is delivered to the plaque by ApoB-containing lipoproteins, while reverse cholesterol transport by HDL (ApoA1-containing lipoproteins) removes a proportion of excess cholesterol and delivers it to the liver via the plasma compartment for catabolism or recycles it back to ApoB-containing lipoproteins via cholesteryl ester transfer protein (CETP). Where cholesterol influx outstrips efflux, as in a patient with elevated LDL-cholesterol or a low level of HDL-cholesterol, the plaque grows, attracts more inflammatory cells, and inflammatory remodelling of the fibrous cap may render it thin and fragile.\textsuperscript{14} Overall, the risk of a morbid cardiovascular event is increased sharply. Reducing levels of LDL-cholesterol and/or increasing levels of HDL-cholesterol can potentially stabilize or even reverse this situation, leading to a more stable plaque and reduced risk of cardiovascular events.\textsuperscript{15}

Unmet clinical need

Correction of the components of the atherogenic dyslipidaemic phenotype associated with insulin resistance is, therefore, likely to be therapeutically beneficial, in addition to the guideline-driven correction of LDL-cholesterol and blood pressure. Indeed, randomized outcome trials provide strong support to the principle of correcting low HDL-cholesterol (reviewed by Brown).\textsuperscript{16} The high prevalence of low HDL-cholesterol (33% in men and 40% in women)\textsuperscript{17} in patients under treatment for dyslipidaemia in Europe suggests that this lipid disorder represents an unmet clinical need. Treatment with nicotinic acid (niacin in some countries) or a fibrate increases HDL-cholesterol. Nicotinic acid is more effective in this regard, and is the most effective agent currently available for increasing levels of HDL-cholesterol.\textsuperscript{18} The purpose of this review is to consider in detail the biochemical pharmacology of nicotinic acid.

**Actions of nicotinic acid on the lipid profile**

**Biochemical pharmacology of nicotinic acid**

Nicotinic acid is a member of the B-vitamin family and is sometimes referred to as vitamin B\textsubscript{3}. Conversion in vivo to nicotine adenine dinucleotide (NAD\textsuperscript{+}, or NADH in its reduced form) is an important part of the biological action of nicotinic acid overall. This molecule serves as a hydrogen acceptor/donor involved in countless biochemical reactions, especially those involving energy transduction, and may also have a role in cellular signalling and modification of proteins.\textsuperscript{18} These actions are unlikely to be involved in the modulation of lipids by nicotinic acid, however, as much higher serum concentrations of nicotinic acid are required to increase levels of HDL-cholesterol than are usually available in the diet.

Recent research has identified a likely mechanism for the effects of nicotinic acid on the lipid profile, more than 50 years after these effects were first demonstrated.\textsuperscript{19} Two groups independently identified, HM74, a previously ‘orphan’ G–protein-coupled receptor expressed at high levels in human adipocytes, as a nicotinic acid receptor.\textsuperscript{20,21} One of these studies also identified HM74A, a second nicotinic acid receptor with higher affinity.\textsuperscript{21} Importantly, administration of nicotinic acid to mice lacking the murine version of HM74 (PUMA-G) does not induce the usual changes in lipid metabolism expected from nicotinic acid treatment,\textsuperscript{20} suggesting that the HM74/HM74A receptor is physiologically important in mediating the effects of nicotinic acid on the lipid profile.

The cellular events following the binding of nicotinic acid to HM74A are as follows.\textsuperscript{22} Recruitment of an inhibitor G-protein reduces the activity of adenylate cyclase, thus reducing cellular levels of cyclic adenosine monophosphate (cAMP). The reduction in cAMP levels reduces the activity of protein kinase A which, in turn, reduces the activity of hormone-sensitive lipase. The net result of these actions on the adipocyte is a reduction in the rate of lipolysis and a reduction in free fatty acid (FFA) output from adipocytes.

This mechanism is consistent with the effects of nicotinic acid on the functions of adipocytes in humans. Figure 2 shows the results of a study in which an immediate-release formulation of nicotinic acid was administered to patients with diabetes.\textsuperscript{23} Another group...
of type 2 diabetes patients not receiving nicotinic acid served as controls. There was a transient but clear reduction in circulating FFA levels after administration of nicotinic acid. This phenomenon and the subsequent rebound in FFA levels, after the effect of the drug had worn off, are typical of the effects of immediate-release nicotinic acid in diabetic or non-diabetic humans.

**Correction of atherogenic dyslipidaemia by nicotinic acid**

**Aetiology of dyslipidaemia associated with insulin resistance**

Insulin resistance is central to the development of low HDL-cholesterol, hypertriglyceridaemia and small, dense LDL (Figure 3). Normally, in the fed state, insulin virtually completely suppresses FFA production by adipocytes, also through a cAMP-dependent mechanism as described above. Lipolysis persists in the setting of insulin resistance, however, despite the presence of hyperinsulinaemia, and the liver is exposed to chronically high levels of FFA. This is essentially the basis of the atherogenic dyslipidaemic phenotype.

Hypertriglyceridaemia: the excess FFA in the liver are esterified and converted to triglycerides with packaging into triglyceride-rich VLDL. High rates of hepatic VLDL production result in progressive accumulation of these particles in the circulation with ensuing hypertriglyceridaemia.

Altered HDL and LDL phenotypes: CETP mediates heterotransfer of triglycerides from VLDL to HDL in exchange for cholesteryl ester moving in the opposite direction. Abnormally high VLDL triglyceride concentrations in insulin resistance increase the rate of transfer, leading to the formation of triglyceride-rich HDL. Lipolysis of the excess triglycerides reduces the size of HDL particles and increases their density. Small, dense HDLs are catabolized in the kidney and excreted more rapidly than larger HDLs, resulting in low circulating HDL-cholesterol levels. In a similar manner, CETP acts to transfer TG from VLDL to LDL; upon hydrolysis by hepatic lipase, small dense LDL are produced (Figure 3).

**Effects of nicotinic acid on the lipid profile**

The effects of nicotinic acid on the lipid profile are summarized in Figure 4. Clearly, reduced lipolysis in adipocytes in nicotinic acid-treated patients, as described above, would result in reduced hypertriglyceridaemia, together with higher levels of HDL-cholesterol and larger LDL. Increasing the proportion of LDL particles within the larger, more buoyant subclasses would also increase the potential for reverse cholesterol transport from peripheral cells, including that from macrophages via ABCG1 within an evolving atherosclerotic plaque. Potentially beneficial effects of nicotinic acid on
lipoprotein subclasses have been observed in humans. Two of these studies evaluated Niaspan®, a once-daily prolonged release formulation of nicotinic acid that is as effective as the immediate-release formulation but better tolerated.25

A 16-week, randomized study compared the effects of a combination of Niaspan® with lovastatin, at doses of 2000 and 40 mg, respectively, with those of monotherapy with atorvastatin or simvastatin in 315 patients with hypercholesterolaemia (Table 1).26 Effects on overall levels of lipoproteins were as expected with these treatments, with larger increases in HDL-cholesterol with the combination as compared to that with statin monotherapies (P < 0.001), and with broadly similar effects on LDL-cholesterol (although atorvastatin was more effective than simvastatin on this parameter).

Lipoprotein subclass distributions were determined in this study using polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis. Different commercial methodologies for evaluating lipoprotein subclass distributions give rise to different classifications, which complicates comparisons between studies. In this case, HDL particles were divided into five subclasses (3c, 3b, 3a, 2a, and 2b, in increasing order of peak particle diameter) and LDLs were subdivided into seven subclasses (IVb, IVa IIIb, IIIa, IIb, IIa, and I, in increasing order of peak particle diameter).27 It is believed that a reduction in LDL size increases their atherogenicity.8,9

The combination treatment increased the proportion of HDL particles in the largest measured HDL subclass (HDL2b) by 42%, an effect significantly greater (P < 0.001) than the corresponding changes in patients randomized to treatment with either statin given as monotherapy. In addition, treatment with Niaspan® plus lovastatin, compared with statin monotherapy, was associated with a greater increase in the peak particle diameter of LDL (P < 0.001 vs. atorvastatin or simvas- tatin), a reduction in the proportion of subjects with peak LDL particle diameter <257 Å (P < 0.001 vs. ator- vastatin or simvastatin) and a reduction in the proportion
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**Figure 4** Effects of nicotinic acid on the atherogenic dyslipidaemic phenotype associated with insulin resistance.

---

**Table 1** Effects of Niaspan® combined with a statin on lipoprotein subclasses26

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Per cent change from baseline</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Niaspan® 2000 mg + lovastatin 40 mg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>HDL</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean HDL-cholesterol</td>
<td>+33&lt;sup&gt;a,b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proportion of HDL2b subclass</td>
<td>+41.8&lt;sup&gt;a,b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>LDL</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean LDL-cholesterol</td>
<td>−42&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL peak particle diameter</td>
<td>+ 9.9&lt;sup&gt;c,d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proportion with LDL IIIa/IIIb</td>
<td>−13.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup>P < 0.001 vs. atorvastatin  
<sup>b</sup>P < 0.001 vs. simvastatin.  
<sup>c</sup>P < 0.01 vs. atorvastatin.  
<sup>d</sup>P < 0.01 vs. simvastatin.

Lipoprotein subclasses were measured using polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis; see text for explanations of HDL2b and LDLIIIa/IIIb subclasses.
of LDL in the atherogenic LDL IIIa and IIIb categories (P < 0.001 vs. simvastatin).

Nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) analysis provides a different classification, where H1 is the smallest and densest HDL, and H5 is the largest (H5 on NMR corresponds roughly to HDL2b on gel electrophoresis).26 Similarly, L1 is the smallest subclass of LDL on NMR analysis and L3 the largest.26 The effects of 12 weeks of Niaspan® monotherapy (daily doses of 1000 or 2000 mg) on NMR lipoprotein subclass distributions were evaluated in 60 patients with primary hypercholesterolaemia enrolled in a double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled trial.28 Changes from baseline in H5 (large, buoyant) HDL were +70% and +89% for the Niaspan® 1000 mg and 2000 mg, respectively, with a decrease of 5% on placebo (P < 0.001). A trend towards larger particles in the LDL subclass profile, with a greater proportion in the larger L3 class was also observed, although this did not achieve statistical significance in this small study.

Discussion

We have numerous pharmacological agents available for clinical use in patients with dyslipidaemia. The results of many well-designed outcome studies have placed control of ApoB-containing lipoproteins with statins firmly at the centre of current lipid management strategies. We should remember, however, that dyslipidaemia is a highly heterogeneous condition, driven by multiple pathologies and with multiple phenotypes. Sedentary habits and poor diets are fuelling the twin global pandemics of obesity (particularly abdominal obesity) and diabetes.29 These trends, driven by insulin resistance, are likely to increase the prevalence of the atherogenic dyslipidaemic phenotype in the near future.

Statins reduce cardiovascular event rates in insulin-resistant populations, as shown in the Collaborative Atorvastatin Diabetes Study (CARDS), which demonstrated a 37% risk reduction vs. placebo for major cardiovascular events in patients with type 2 diabetes.30 This is unsurprising, as randomized studies show that statins appear to reduce cardiovascular event rates in populations with increased cardiovascular risk of any aetiology.31 However, even intensive intervention with a statin has yet to deliver cardiovascular risk reductions in excess of 40%.31 Statins modestly reduce levels of triglycerides but usually do not exert clinically relevant effects on levels of HDL-cholesterol.32 The Pan-European Survey of HDL-cholesterol recently measured lipid levels in 8545 patients under specialist care for dyslipidaemia in 11 countries in Europe.17 Patients in this survey were stratified according to receipt or not of lipid-modifying treatment, which almost always involved a statin and only rarely involved nicotinic acid or a fibrate. It is interesting to note that the prevalence of low HDL-cholesterol (NCEP/ATPIII criteria) was almost the same in treated or untreated men (32.5 vs. 34.4%, respectively) or women (39.9 vs. 38.5%, respectively). A similar pattern was observed for the prevalence of very low HDL-cholesterol (<0.9 mmol/L [35 mg/dL], both genders combined), which was 14.4% in treated patients and 13.1% in untreated patients.

This large and well-conducted survey provides clear evidence that statin-based treatment exerts little impact on low HDL-cholesterol. The high prevalence of low HDL-cholesterol, and its status as an independent cardiovascular risk factor have led to recommendations from expert consensus groups on both sides of the Atlantic to strengthen recommendations in cardiovascular management guidelines for intervention to correct low HDL-cholesterol levels.33,34

Adding a second agent such as nicotinic acid to a statin provides an alternative strategy to statin monotherapy that may help to make inroads into the roughly 65% of original cardiovascular risk remaining after intervention with a statin (Figure 5). Moreover, this approach is likely to address the actual dyslipidaemic phenotype found in insulin-resistant populations, including patients with the metabolic syndrome, type 2 diabetes, or established cardiovascular disease.

Conclusions

Treatment with nicotinic acid reduces the rate of lipolysis in adipocytes, thereby leading to decreased flux of FFA to the liver with reduced VLDL production. Overall levels of HDL-cholesterol are increased due to retention of cholesterol in HDL particles, via a CETP-mediated mechanism. In addition, the broad spectrum lipid-modifying action of nicotinic acid attenuates other features of the atherogenic dyslipidaemic phenotype (hypertriglyceridaemia and dense LDL profile). Adding nicotinic acid to a statin addresses an important source of cardiovascular risk in many patients that is inadequately addressed by treatment with statin monotherapy.
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