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ABSTRACT
There is increasing interest to improve the functionality and performance of pit latrines in low income urban areas. This study aimed at assessing the ambient and pit environmental conditions and their implications on the performance (smell and fly nuisance) of pit latrines. Forty-two pit latrines were investigated in urban slums of Kampala, Uganda, through field observation and measurements of ambient and pit environmental conditions. The implications were assessed using oxygen-reduction potential (ORP) and its association with smell/insect nuisances. The pit temperature (21 to 30.7 °C), pH (5.0 – 11.8) and ORP (∼247 to 65.9 mV) were consistently, significantly different (p < 0.001) between the surface and 0.5 m depth of pit content. The conditions in most (95%) pit latrines were anoxic (ORP < +50 mV), and mainly within the acid formation range (ORP –199 to –51 mV). Most smelling pit latrines and flies were within the acid formation ORP range, with a significant association (gamma, G = 0.797, p = 0.014) between ORP and smell in clean latrines only. The results suggest that ventilation of pit latrines within urban slums was not sufficient. Additionally, cleanliness, moisture reduction and waste stabilisation could address bad smells in pit latrines, ultimately improving their usage in urban slums.
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INTRODUCTION
The use of pit latrines in low income areas of developing countries is high (Strande 2014). In sub-Saharan Africa (SSA) alone, over half of the urban population uses some form of pit latrine for human excreta disposal (Nakagiri et al. 2016). However, there is concern about their ability to provide adequate, safe, hygienic and sustainable sanitation access, especially in low income, densely populated, unplanned urban areas (Jenkins et al. 2014). This is because most of them are usually full, overflowing, badly smelling, dirty and insect infested, which has led to user dissatisfaction and increased excreta-related health risks, like open defecation, and improper pit emptying techniques (Nakagiri et al. 2016).

To improve the usage of pit latrines, there is a need to address the shortfalls mentioned above. Currently, there is high interest in understanding the occurrences in the pits for their improvement and management. Studies have assessed the physico-chemical, biological and mechanical (thermal and rheological) properties of pit latrine content (faecal sludge) to give an indication of filling rates, and to understand and model degradation processes (Nwaneri et al. 2008; Brouckaert et al. 2013; Todman et al. 2015). Additionally, quantification and characterisation of the malodorous components of pit latrines have been carried out (Lin et al. 2013). Other investigations have focused on the efficiency of additives...
(Taljaard et al. 2003; Buckley et al. 2008; Bakare et al. 2015), and developing pit emptying and faecal sludge treatment technologies (Radford & Sugden 2014; Zuma et al. 2015). However, little attention has been paid to understanding the ambient and pit environmental conditions of latrines being used in various contexts and how these affect their performance, in terms of filling, smell and insect nuisances. Moreover, studies have shown that pit latrine functioning and contents are variable, affected by design, usage, maintenance, geophysical and climatic factors (Ryan & Mara 1983; Bakare et al. 2012).

Documented information on the ambient (immediate surroundings) and pit environmental conditions could provide useful information for developing strategies to improve pit latrines. For example, ambient temperature, humidity, airflow patterns and air velocity are key factors to consider when determining ventilation and odour management in buildings (Aflaki et al. 2015) and are of special interest especially in ventilated improved pit (VIP) latrines (Ryan & Mara 1983). During decomposition of matter, the environmental conditions control ecological characteristics, microbial activity, biochemical conversions and volatilisation of gases. For instance, the nature of degradation of the pit contents can be depicted by environmental parameters like pH, dissolved oxygen (DO) and oxygen-reduction potential (ORP), which have for long been used in monitoring, control and management of processes in wastewater (Zipper et al. 1998; Lynggaard-Jensen 1999). Additionally, most malodorous gases are weak acids or bases, whose volatilisation is affected by the chemical composition, pH, airflow rate and temperature at the gas–slurry surface (Blanes-Vidal et al. 2012).

The aim of this study was to assess the ambient and internal environmental conditions of pit latrines that could influence their functionality (smell, insect nuisance and thus usage) in a typical low income urban setting. An assessment of the implications of the environmental conditions on the performance of pit latrines was also done.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Study area**

This study was conducted in four slums of Kampala, the capital city of Uganda (Figure S1, available in the online version of this paper). The selection of the slums followed the criteria of having two types of terrains, low-lying with a high groundwater table (<1.5 m) and always flooding in the rainy seasons, and the other with a low ground-water table. Pit latrines in areas with low groundwater table were sunk in the ground and unlined. In contrast, in high water table areas, pit latrines were constructed fully lined and raised above the ground.

**Data collection**

In total, 42 simple pit and VIP latrines, located in both terrains were investigated: 15 in Bwaise II, 14 in Kibuye, 9 in Kamwokya and 4 in Nakulabye. Pit latrines selected were constructed out of brick superstructures and concrete slabs, and used by not more than four households per toilet stance. Pit latrines made of brick superstructures and concrete slabs are the most commonly used facilities within Kampala slums, which provide superior performance (reduced smells and insect nuisance) to other structures such as traditional latrines (Nakagiri et al. 2015) and are considered improved according to UNICEF and WHO (2008). Furthermore, latrines used by not more than four households (or about 20 individuals) per toilet stance ensure long-term hygienic and sustainable use (Günther et al. 2012).

Information collected in this study included the ambient conditions in the slums, general characteristics of the pit latrines and environmental conditions in the pit. Ambient conditions of temperature, wind speed and humidity in and around the pit latrines were measured using a pocket weather meter (Kestrel 4000, USA). The general characteristics of the pit latrines included the latrine dimensions, state and odour strength. Measurements of latrine stance dimensions (length, width, height) and depth of pit content from the drop hole were taken using a laser distance meter (Excelvan 60 m, USA). The latrine condition, clean or dirty, smelly or not, and presence of insects or not, were noted through observation, based on a scale used in an earlier study by Nakagiri et al. (2015). Details of the description of the different variables as used in this study are included in Table S1. Odour strength levels were taken from within the superstructure of the pit latrine with the door shut, using a handheld odour meter (Shinyei
OMX-ADM, Japan). To determine the environment in the pit, samples of the content were obtained at the surface (0 m), 0.5 m and 1 m depth below the surface of the content, using a fabricated multi-stage sludge sampler (Water For People, Uganda) (Figure S2, available in the online version of this paper). The pH, temperature, DO and ORP of the pit content were measured as soon as a given sample was obtained, using portable meters (Hanna HI991003, USA and Milwaukee MW600, USA). This study was carried out between September 2015 and December 2015. The information was collected during the day, between 9:00am and 3:00pm.

Data analysis

Data analysis in this study was done using SPSS version 21. The characteristics of the ambient and environmental conditions of the pit latrines investigated were presented using descriptive statistics and box and whisker plots. Significant variations in the environmental conditions around and within the pit latrine, and with respect to location, terrain and pit latrine type, were assessed using correlations, Student’s t-tests and analysis of variance.

Implications of the environmental conditions in the pit on the performance of the latrines was done in two stages. First, variation of the ORP at different depths was presented categorically using horizontal bar charts. ORP was selected as it distinguishes well the biological processes, because it measures the net value of all oxidation-reduction reactions in an aqueous environment. Additionally, factors contributing to the electron activity, such as pH, temperature, biological activity and chemical constituents of the system are reflected by ORP (Peddie et al. 1990). The ORP categories (Table S2, available in the online version of this paper) were adopted from the literature and the redox tower, while chosen parameters were those known to impact on the performance of pit latrines (Gerardi 2008; Madigan et al. 2015). The ranges used in this study were: ≤200 mV (reduction of sulphur compound, acetate fermentation and methane formation); −199 to −51 mV (acid formation); −50 to +49 mV (nitrate/nitrite reduction); and +50 mV (aerobic degradation). Second, an association between the environmental conditions (ORP) and smell (smelly, no smell)/insect (present, none) nuisance was assessed by cross-tabulation using Goodman and Kruskais’ gamma (G). This was limited to only the surface of the pit content because that is where volatilisation of malodorous compounds into the gaseous state occurs for them to be smelt while flies are drawn to the matter at the pit surface.

RESULTS

General characteristics of pit latrines

The study involved 45% simple and 55% VIP latrines, with mean dimensions of 1,270 mm (length), 928 mm (width) and 1,871 mm (height) (Table S3, available in the online version of this paper). The pit latrines were within 911 (±526) mm of filling, with 74%, exhibiting a strong smell, 53% had few flies, 52% were dirty and had odour strength levels ranged from zero to 999 (odour meter limit), indicating inadequate performance (Table S4, available in the online version of this paper).

Ambient conditions around and inside the pit latrine structures

The temperatures around the pit latrine structures ranged from 23.5 to 34.5 °C while the relative humidity recorded was between 38.8 and 71.4% (Figure 1(a) and Table S5, available in the online version of this paper). The wind speed varied from 0 to 1.8 ms⁻¹. The range of ambient conditions inside the superstructures was 22.5–34.2 °C for temperature, 29.7–73.6% relative humidity and 0.0 to 0.6 ms⁻¹ for wind speed. Analysis of variance revealed significant differences (p ≤ 0.001) in the ambient conditions between the slums (Figure 1(b)) while none were found with respect to pit latrine type (simple or ventilated) and terrain. This implied that variations in ambient conditions are influenced by location. There was a strong significant correlation between the ambient conditions (temperature r = 0.87, N = 42, p ≤ 0.001 and relative humidity r = 0.74, N = 42, p ≤ 0.001) around and inside the pit latrine structures and none with respect to wind speed. The temperature and relative humidity within the pit latrine structures increased consistently with an increase in the same conditions outside. However, wind speed outside the superstructure did not directly influence the wind speed inside the superstructure.
The environmental conditions in the pit

The environmental conditions in the latrine pit are presented in Figure 2 and Table S6 (available in the online version of this paper). The temperature inside the pit ranged from 21°C to 30.7°C, with mean ± standard deviation values of 26.6 ± 2.3°C (surface), 24.8 ± 1.1°C (0.5 m) and 23.78 ± 0.5°C (1 m) at the different depths. The pH of the pit content was between 5.0 and 11.8 while DO concentrations of 0 to 2.4 mg/L were recorded. The ORP ranged from -247 to 65.9 mV. Analysis of variance revealed significant differences in the environmental conditions with respect to slums and none with respect to pit latrine type and terrain. This implied that variations in pit environment could be influenced by only location and not the pit latrine type or the terrain.

There was a significantly strong correlation between the ambient temperature and that at the surface of the pit content \((r = 0.57, N = 42, p < 0.001)\) around the superstructure vs. pit content and \(r = 0.50, N = 42, p < 0.001\) in the superstructure vs. pit content). The temperature at the surface of the pit content in over half of the pit latrines was consistently lower than the ambient temperature. Further, significant t-statistics (\(t(41) = 5.4, p < 0.001\) around the superstructure vs. pit content and \(t(41) = 6.4, p < 0.001\) in the superstructure vs. pit content) of the ambient conditions and the pit content imply that the variation is not due to chance.

Analysis for associations in the environmental conditions at different depths revealed significant Pearson's correlation coefficients (Table S7, available in the online version of this paper). The temperature and ORP dropped with increase in depth and this was consistent in a number of the pits. A consistently significant \((r = 0.84, N = 42, p < 0.001)\) increase in pH was also noted between the content at the surface and at 0.5 m, and it decreased significantly at 1 m in most of the pits. In addition, a drop in DO was noted with increased depth. However, the drop was significant and consistent only between the content at the surface and that at 0.5 m. Between 0.5 m and 1 m depth, the results show an overall decrease in DO. However, the correlation was not significant, implying that the decrease was not consistent across the pit latrines.

Figure 1 | Ambient conditions around and inside pit latrine structures. Box represents 50% of the data points, whisker represent minimum and maximum, line in box represents the median. Graphs with different letters (a–d) are significantly different from each other, \(p < 0.05\).
The paired t-statistics values (Table S7, available in the online version of this paper) were significant \( p \leq 0.001 \) for all the pit environmental conditions at the surface and at 0.5 m. This implied that the average changes in the values of each parameter was not by chance, and could thus be explained. Thereafter, between 0.5 m and 1 m the noted change was due to chance variation.

**Implications of the pit environmental condition on the performance of pit latrines**

**Categorical variations of ORP in the pit**

Environmental conditions in pit latrines have an implication on the nature of biological reactions, which in turn, affects their performance. To depict the biological reactions in pit latrines, ORP values have been presented categorically (Figure 3). It was noted that 95% of the pit latrines were anoxic (ORP values less than \(-50 \text{ mV}\)). Aerobic conditions (ORP values greater than \(+50 \text{ mV}\)) were noted at the surface of the pit content of only 5% of the pit latrines.

The ORP levels for samples taken from the surface of the pit content (Figure 3(a)) in the majority of the latrines (48%) were within the acid formation range (ORP values \(-199 \text{ mV} \text{ to } -51 \text{ mV}\)), while 43% of the pit latrines were within the nitrite/nitrate reduction level (ORP values of \(-50 \text{ mV} \text{ to } +50 \text{ mV}\)). Reduction of sulphur compounds (ORP < \(-200 \text{ mV}\)), methane formation (ORP < \(-240 \text{ mV}\)) and acetate fermentation (ORP < \(-280 \text{ mV}\)) conditions were found in only 7% of the pit latrines. At increased depth below the pit surface (Figure 3(b) and 3(c)), acid formation (\(-199 \text{ mV} \text{ to } -51 \text{ mV}\)) was common in the majority of the pit latrines. In addition, at 0.5 m, there was a decrease in the number of pit latrines in the nitrite/nitrate reduction range (\(-50 \text{ mV} \text{ to } +50 \text{ mV}\)) to only 17% and an increase in those in the sulphur, acetate reduction and methane formation range to 17.1%.
Relating ORP categories to smell and flies in pit latrines

Cross-tabulation of ORP with smell and fly nuisance (Tables 1 and 2) revealed that the most smelling pit latrines (N = 17) and flies (N = 10) were found within the ORP ranges of $-199 \text{ mV to } -50 \text{ mV}$ followed by $-50 \text{ mV to } +50 \text{ mV}$ (N = 12 smell and N = 8 flies). However, gamma analysis for association showed no significant correlations between ORP and smell ($G = 0.483, p = 0.115$) or fly nuisance ($G = 0.081, p = 0.767$). Results from cross-tabulation analysis of only clean pit latrines (Tables 1 and 2) showed that smell and flies were also found mainly within the ORP ranges of $-199 \text{ mV to } -50 \text{ mV}$ (N = 9; smell and N = 5; flies). The clean pit latrine with ORP range less than $-200 \text{ mV}$ was also smelling and had flies (Table 2).

Gamma analysis for associations within clean pit latrines showed a strong positive correlation between ORP and smell, which was statistically significant ($G = 0.797, p = 0.014$). However, while there was a moderate correlation between ORP and fly nuisance, it was not

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cross-tabulation of ORP ranges with smell</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

\[
\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline
& \text{No smell} & \text{Smell} & \text{Total} & \text{No smell} & \text{Smell} & \text{Total} & \text{No smell} & \text{Smell} & \text{Total} \\
\hline
> +50 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
+49 to -50 & 6 & 12 & 18 & 5 & 2 & 7 & 1 & 10 & 11 \\
-51 to -199 & 3 & 17 & 20 & 3 & 9 & 12 & 0 & 8 & 8 \\
\leq 200 & 0 & 3 & 3 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 2 & 2 \\
\hline
\text{Total} & 9 & 33 & 42 & 8 & 12 & 20 & 1 & 21 & 22 \\
\hline
\end{array}
\]
statistically significant (G = 0.451, p = 0.277). The results indicate that as ORP at the surface of the pit content decreases, there is likely to be smell and flies in pit latrines. However, the relationship is only statistically significant for smell among clean pit latrines. There were no significant correlations for ORP and smell (G = 0.818, p = 0.306) or fly nuisance (G = 0.70, p = 0.849) among the dirty latrines.

**DISCUSSION**

The study aimed at assessing the ambient and environmental conditions of pit latrines and their implication on the performance of pit latrines. General assessment of level of pit content, cleanliness, smell and flies showed that while some pit latrines are considered improved, they do not provide hygienically safe access as sanitation facilities. Moreover, studies have shown that full, dirty, smelling latrines that have flies are related to user dissatisfaction and are often abandoned for open defecation (Tumwebaze et al. 2015; Kwiringira et al. 2017), posing a risk to public health. These findings are consistent with previous studies in urban slums (Kwiringira et al. 2017; Nakagiri et al. 2018; Okurut et al. 2015).

The ambient temperature (range 23.3 to 34.3 °C) and relative humidity (29.7–73.6%) around the pit latrine superstructure is typical of that of tropical climates (18–35 °C) (Pidwirny 2006). However, the results of the study showed low wind speeds (0.56 ± 0.46 m/s) and, in some cases, there was no wind movement (0 m/s). Studies in Botswana and Zimbabwe found wind speeds of 2 m/s and above (Ryan & Mara 1983). The low ambient wind speeds in this study could be attributed to obstruction from the surrounding buildings as pit latrines in urban slums are placed close to the houses due to overcrowding. While ventilation pipes are meant to increase air flow in the latrines, the results from the study showed no air movements within the superstructures. This is because, while the ventilation pipes of the VIP latrines are well above the highest point of the latrine roof, they did not exceed the roofs of the nearby residential buildings. Air movement in VIPs is also constrained by inappropriate vent pipe sizing and location of openings (Nakagiri et al. 2015).

The environmental conditions of the contents from the pits in this study (temperature, 21 °C to 30.7 °C; pH, 5.0 to 11.8 and DO, 0 mg/L to 2.4 mg/L) varied significantly with location and not according to pit latrine type nor terrain. This could be because classification of simple and VIP latrines is determined by the presence of a vent pipe on the superstructure and not the nature of the pit. However, variations based on slums could arise from the differences in characteristic between slums. Previous studies have reported temperature of 24.2 °C to 26.2 °C and DO 0.9 mg/L–1.72 mg/L (Kimuli et al. 2016) and temperature of 25.5 °C to 35 °C and pH 5.2–8.2 (Irish et al. 2013). Other studies found pH ranges of 7.31–9.01 (Wood 2015), 6.4–6.9 (Appiah-Effah & Nyark 2014) and from 5.3 to 7.5 (Rose et al. 2015). The rise in pH within the pits in this study may be attributed to accumulation of ammonium ions from urea in the pit latrines.

There was a significant difference in the environmental conditions between the surface and that at 0.5 m depth of the pit content and not thereafter, which could be attributed to the different stages of faecal matter degradation and associated physical state, chemical and biological processes in the pit. This observation is in agreement with previous
covered. The difference in occurrence at the surface of the pit content until the material is that rapid degradation of matter under aerobic conditions high moisture content (about 80%) (Kimuli et al. 2016). Even with the occurrence of DO in the pit content, ORP ranges show that the main form of degradation in the majority (95%) of the pit latrines was anaerobic. This is contrary to Nwaneri et al.’s (2008) assertion that rapid degradation of matter under aerobic conditions occurs at the surface of the pit content until the material is covered. The difference in findings could be attributed to high moisture content (about 80%) (Kimuli et al. 2016) and low air circulation indicated by the low wind speed observed in the pit latrines in this study. Among the causes of high moisture content are included, cleaning the latrines before/after use, by every user, and directing the wash water into the pit and, in some cases, use of the facility as a bathroom (Nakagiri et al. 2015). In addition, the pit latrines are without urine diversion, thus human excreta (faeces and urine) is collected in the same pit.

Anaerobic degradation of organic matter is normally considered a two-stage process involving acid formation (hydrolysis) and waste stabilisation, where microorganisms exploit any oxidation-reduction reaction resulting in formation of recalcitrant stable compounds (McCarty 1964; Rittmann & McCarty 2001). From the results of this study, hydrolysis may not be a limiting stage in anaerobic degradation, as the majority of the latrines were in the acid formation (−199 mV to −51 mV) range. This is further supported by the pH range (5.0 to 11.8) of the pit contents in this study and volatile organic compounds reported in other studies (Lin et al. 2013). Material stabilisation, as noted in this study, could have been dominated by denitrification, while optimal ORP ranges for reduction of sulphur compounds (ORP < −200 mV), methane formation (ORP < −240) and acetate fermentation (ORP < −280 mV) were not attained in most of the pit latrines. Inhibition for stabilisation (through methanogenesis) within the pits could have resulted from the high pH ranges as the optimal pH range for methanogenic bacteria is 6.5 to 7.5 (Parkin & Owen 1986).

Smell in clean pit latrines in this study was in the acid formation range (−199 mV to −51 mV) and ORP range less than −200 mV. These finding are in agreement with Lin et al. (2013) who characterised a range of volatile compounds in faecal sludge from pit latrines. Further, the study showed that with a decrease in ORP in clean latrines, smell was more likely to be evident. However, flies were not significantly associated with an increase in ORP, possibly because of their phototropic nature. In addition, the dirty nature of the pit latrine could have contributed to lack of association between ORP and smell in those latrines.

**IMPLICATIONS AND CONCLUSION**

The findings of this study suggested that improvements to the functioning of pit latrines in urban slums should consider the ambient and internal environmental conditions and their location. The results showed that natural ventilation of pit latrines by introducing a vent pipe was not effective because of overcrowding in urban slums. This implied that improving ventilation in pit latrines in slum settings may necessitate introduction of mechanical devices to increase air flow in the structures.

Further, the results showed a relationship between the environmental conditions in the pit (represented by ORP) and the performance (smell and fly nuisance). However, the association was statistically significant for only smell in clean pit latrines. This implied that changes in the biological processes in the pit could only affect the smell of the latrine and be effective when they were kept clean.

As noted, significant anaerobic degradation activity existed within the top 0.5 m of the pit content. Reducing the moisture content, by introducing ways of limiting the amount of liquid that gets into the pit, could improve the aerobic nature and processes of the pit content (realised by an increase in ORP), resulting in a reduction in smell. This could be attained by use of urine diversion inserts in the drop holes of existing latrines. In addition, behaviour change could be attained though sensitisation to ensure that latrines are cleaned once a day, by mopping or directing the wash water into a separate soakaway, and are not used as bathrooms. Attaining material stabilisation by use of microorganisms and/or enzymes operating within the noted environmental conditions could be sufficient. This could effect the conversion of intermittent compounds,
most malodorous by nature to more stable compounds. Finally, besides pit latrine cleanliness, interventions to fly nuisance could look at entomological studies into the types of flies and their behaviour.

In conclusion, this research highlighted the inadequacy in performance (smell and flies) and ventilation of pit latrines in urban slums. Addressing cleanliness, modifying the environment in the pit to reduce the moisture content through urine diversion or behaviour change, could improve the performance of pit latrines. Additionally, attaining material stabilisation and entomological studies could provide additional options for reducing smell and fly nuisance. Thus, the findings provide important information for practitioners, researchers and bio-additive manufacturers looking at improving the performance of pit latrines within urban slums.
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