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ABSTRACT

In this article, the comprehensive evaluation of water resource carrying capacity (for short WRCC) in Shiyang River Basin is the objective. Based on the comprehensive evaluation model of regional WRCC, the spatial and temporal change characteristics of the WRCC in Shiyang River Basin in 2007, 2011 and 2016 have been analyzed. Moreover, the influence elements of water resource carrying capacity in Shiyang River Basin are detected by the geographical detector. The results show that: (1) In terms of the spatial dimension, water resources in Shiyang River Basin are not in accordance with the distribution of social and economic development and population distribution, presenting a prominent contradiction between supply and demand of water resources; (2) in terms of the temporal dimension, the pressure on water resources in Shiyang River Basin has gradually increased, and the area of overload, light overload and serious overload has been augmented; (3) the geographical detector indicates that the influences of the water resources system and coordinating system factors are declining, and the influences of the social economy system and ecological factor are obviously increasing. The influences of the interaction with any two factors are stronger than that of a single factor, and the synergistic influences of two factors are aggravated.
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INTRODUCTION

Water resources are not only basic natural resources, but also strategic economic and social resources (Fang et al. 2019; Fu et al. 2019). The carrying capacity of water resources (WRCC) refers to the capacity of water resources to support the maximum demands of the population, social economy and ecological environment in a certain region with commitment to a certain socio-economic development level and water ecological environment (Chen & Wu 2014; Yuan et al. 2017; Zhang & Jin 2019). With global warming, rapid urbanization and rapid industrialization, highlighted problems are increasingly prominent in arid and semi-arid areas of China – Shiyang River Basin (Zhou et al. 2015), such as water resources shortage, water environment deterioration, and water ecological imbalance. Water resources utilization in the basin approaches or even exceeds the limit of the carrying capacity, which means the carrying capacity of water resources has become the important restricting factor in the sustainable development of the river basin (Li & Li 2012; Xia et al. 2012). WRCC is a basic measure to evaluate the sustainable development of water resources, and it is a ‘bottleneck’ index to measure whether water resources can support the coordinated development of the population, economy and environment in Shiyang River Basin (Wu et al. 2018; Cheng et al. 2020). The correct evaluation of WRCC in Shiyang River Basin is not only an important aspect of the rational and full
utilization of water resources, but is also closely related to the goal of social and economic development (Brown et al. 2015; Xiao et al. 2017; Cui et al. 2019; Liu et al. 2019; Wang et al. 2019a). It is the focus and hotspot of water resources scientific research (Li et al. 2014; Guo et al. 2017; Wang et al. 2019b).

The internal elements of a water resources system are interconnected and interact with each other to form a large complex system. It is necessary to construct an index system to reflect the carrying capacity of water resources (Guo et al. 2017). WRCC in different regions has been evaluated by constructing index systems and multiple quantification methods in academic circles. There are two principal methods to construct the index system. One is the system analysis method. Aimed at sustainable development theory, the system is divided into subsystems composed of different levels and elements from the perspective of system theory (Su et al. 2019; Zhou et al. 2019), taking into overall consideration the complexity and systematization of water resources. The disadvantage of this method is the complex calculation and limited application (Wu et al. 2018). The other one is the comprehensive evaluation method, relying on the comprehensive evaluation model of WRCC by borrowing the comprehensive evaluation model of ecological carrying capacity, and setting an evaluation standard to give a comprehensive evaluation for regional WRCC. The main models include: pressure state response (PSR) model (Yan et al. 2008), driving state response (DSR) model (Wang & Liu 2019), driving pressure state impact response (DPSIR) model (Chen et al. 2004), and driving pressure state impact response management (DPSIRM) model (Guo et al. 2017). However, the systematicity of water resources is ignored in the study, and it is difficult to unify the index selection and evaluation criteria (Wu et al. 2018). The quantitative evaluation methods mainly include the comprehensive evaluation method (Liu et al. 2011; Zhang et al. 2018a), principal component analysis method (Xu et al. 2011; Li & Zhang 2017), multi-objective analysis method (Fu et al. 2011), system dynamics method (Dürr et al. 2006), etc.

The regional water resources composite system is a composite system which is composed of the coupling of society, economy, ecological environment and water resources (Wu et al. 2018). Taking two cities and five counties in Shiyang River Basin as the research objects, combining the comprehensive evaluation method with the system analysis method, a comprehensive evaluation model is constructed of regional water resource carrying capacity which takes water resources, social economy, ecological environment and coordination system as its subsystems. The spatio-temporal pattern of water resource carrying capacity in Shiyang River Basin was analyzed by using the spatial analysis and visualization function of ArcGIS, and the factors affecting water resource carrying capacity were analyzed by geographic detector. The following problems will be solved: (1) carrying capacity and state between supply and demand of water resources in Shiyang River Basin; (2) the economic and population pressure on water resources in Shiyang River Basin; (3) the coordinated development degree of the water resources composite system; (4) factors influencing the carrying capacity of water resources. Through the above research, it is expected that a scientific basis will be provided for the sustainable utilization of water resources in Shiyang River Basin and regional coordinated development.

**STUDY AREA AND DATA**

**Study site**

The study site is located in the east of Hexi corridor of Gansu Province, west of Wushaoling Mountain and at the north foot of Qilian Mountain. It is one of the three major inland river basins in Hexi corridor, between longitudes 101°41′–104°16′ and latitudes 36°29′–39°27′. In terms of administrative divisions, it involves two cities and five counties (districts), including Liangzhou district, Gulang county, Minqin county and the north of Tianzhu Zangzu Autonomous County, Yongchang county and Jinchuan district and Yugur Autonomous County of Sunan (for short Sunan county), and the total area of the basin is about 40,578 square kilometres (Yang et al. 2014; Guo et al. 2019). In regards to the climate, the region is characterized by little precipitation but strong evaporation, with the average annual precipitation reaching 213 mm (Deng et al. 2017; Zhang et al. 2017). The river basin is composed of eight major rivers from east to west, including Dajing River, Gulang River, Huangyang River, Zamu River, Jinta...
River, Xiyang River, Dongda River and Xida River. River water supply is an important source of mountain precipitation supply and snow melt water supply from the mountain. The basin consists of eight large and medium-sized reservoirs. The average annual water resources in the basin are 1.776 billion cubic metres, the average annual surface water resources are 1.5037 billion cubic metres, the average groundwater resources are 2,613.1 million cubic metres, and the average per capita water resources are 734 cubic metres (Shiyang River Basin management 2018). An overview of the study area is shown in Figure 1.

**Data sources**

In this study, 19 indicators were selected from the aspects of water resources, society–economy and ecological
environment. The data were informed from the following resources: (i) basic socio-economic data were derived from the Statistical Yearbook of China and Gansu province (2007, 2011, 2016); (ii) ecological environment data were provided by the Chinese Big Data Platform and the Data Center for Resources and Environment, Chinese Academy of Sciences (RESD); (iii) water resource utilization data were derived from Gansu Hydrologic Year Book, the Gansu Water Resources Bulletin, the Gansu Shiyang River Basin Management Bureau, water resources bulletin of Shiyang River Basin and water resources statistical bulletin of Gansu province. The groundwater-table data can be obtained from the Wuwei Hydrology Bureau and China Institute of Geo-Environment Monitoring (CIGEM).

**METHODS**

**Comprehensive evaluation model of water resource carrying capacity**

**Index system design and weight calculation**

The water resources system is a composite system of water resources, society, economy and ecology. In the selection of indicators, the population, resources, social, economic and ecological effects on the carrying capacity of water resources should be taken into account to truly reflect the coexistence of the components of the carrying capacity of water resources. In this study, considering principles such as the representative, comprehensive and scientific indicators and data availability, and combining the issues of Shiyang River Basin in arid and semi-arid climate features and the water resources supply-and-demand situation, 19 specific indicators from four subsystems, covering water resources, social economy, and ecology are selected (Table 1), and a water resource carrying capacity comprehensive evaluation index system in Shiyang River Basin is constructed.

Due to the different calculation units and orders of magnitude of each index, it is impossible to directly compare the indices. For the above reasons, using the extreme method for non-dimensional indicators of the original data makes the data comparable between the indicators, and finally the purpose of scientific calculation is achieved (Li et al. 2014). The entropy value method is used to determine the weight of each indicator after water indicators of the resources composite system are standardized (Table 2 and Figure 2).

**Model construction**

In order to quantitatively analyze the carrying capacity of water resources, a comprehensive evaluation model of regional water resource carrying capacity will be constructed from four aspects: economic pressure, population pressure, carrying pressure and coordination level. The calculation formula is (Liu et al. 2011):

$$CI = \sqrt[3]{(\alpha E_p I + \beta P_p I)} \times C_p I \times HI$$

In this formula, CI stands for the comprehensive evaluation index of regional water resource carrying capacity; $E_p I$ and $P_p I$ for economic pressure index and population pressure index respectively; $\alpha$ and $\beta$ for the weight of economic pressure index and population pressure index, and $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are equal weight 0.5 (Liu et al. 2011); $C_p I$ and $HI$ for load carrying index and coordination index respectively. Specific calculation methods of each index are shown in Table 3.

This study refers to Liu et al. (2011), the comprehensive evaluation study on the carrying capacity of water resources in China which was made by Jiajun Liu. The classification standard of the comprehensive evaluation index is determined according to the actual situation of water resources, society, economy and ecology in Shiyang River Basin (Table 4).

**Geographic detector**

Factor detection is used to quantitatively detect whether a certain geographical factor affects the reason for the difference in the spatial distribution of index values and its weight (Lv et al. 2017):

$$P_{DU} = 1 - \frac{1}{n \sigma_U^2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} n_{DI} \sigma_{E_{DU}}^2$$
Table 1 | Comprehensive evaluation index and weights of water resource carrying capacity in Shiyang River Basin

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Target layer</th>
<th>Criterion layer</th>
<th>Index layer</th>
<th>Meanings</th>
<th>Effects</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Carrying capacity index</td>
<td>Water resources system (0.4394)</td>
<td>Per capita water resources (m³/per) (0.0661)</td>
<td>The state of water resources shortage</td>
<td>Positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social and economic systems (0.1870)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Surface water (10⁴ m³) (0.1033)</td>
<td>The amount of surface water resources</td>
<td>Positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Underground water (10⁴ m³) (0.1775)</td>
<td>The amount of groundwater resources</td>
<td>Positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Water production modulus (m³/km²) (0.0391)</td>
<td>The amount of water resources per unit area of the region</td>
<td>Positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Annual precipitation (mm) (0.0532)</td>
<td>The local water production capacity</td>
<td>Positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Population density (per/km²) (0.0240)</td>
<td>Population pressure</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coordination index</td>
<td>Coordinate system (0.2129)</td>
<td>Inter-basin water transfer (10⁴ m³) (0.0889)</td>
<td>The area water demand</td>
<td>Positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Irrigation rate (%) (0.0254)</td>
<td>The efficiency of agricultural irrigation</td>
<td>Positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ten thousand yuan GDP water (m³/10,000 yuan) (0.0266)</td>
<td>The degree of coordination between water resources and economic development</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Agricultural water (10⁴ m³) (0.0247)</td>
<td>The dependence of agriculture on water resources</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Grain production (10⁴t) (0.0244)</td>
<td>The coordination of agricultural production and water resources</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: The weights of 2016 are taken as an example in the table brackets.

Table 2 | The weight calculated by the entropy method

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Steps</th>
<th>Formulas</th>
<th>Parameter description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(1) The index value is forward-processed, and the proportion of the evaluation index is transformed</td>
<td>( P_{ij} = \frac{Y_{ij}}{\sum_{i} Y_{ij}} )</td>
<td>( P_{ij} ) stands for the proportion of standardized value on the ( j ) index in the ( i ) region; ( m ) for the sample area. Relevant data of Shiyang River Basin two cities and five counties are selected in this study, so ( 1 \leq m \leq 7 ) (Wu et al. 2018)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2) Calculate information entropy of index</td>
<td>( e_{i} = -k \sum_{j=1}^{m} (P_{ij} \times \ln P_{ij}) )</td>
<td>( e_{i} ) stands for the information entropy of each indicator; ( k = 1/ \ln m ), and ( m ) for the sample region where the index value is located (Wu et al. 2018)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3) Determine the weight of each indicator</td>
<td>( W_{j} = \frac{1 - e_{i}}{\sum_{j} (1 - e_{j})} )</td>
<td>( W_{j} ) stands for the weight of each index; ( n ) for the number of indices (Wu et al. 2018)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\( P_{DU} \) stands for the detection index of the influence of influencing factors on the carrying capacity of water resources; \( n_{DA} \) for the number of sub-level regional samples; \( m \) for the number of secondary regions; \( \sigma^2 \) for the variance of water resource carrying capacity in
each research region of the city; $\sigma^2_{uD,i}$ for the variance of the sub-regional water carrying capacity (Li et al. 2017; Lv et al. 2017; Wang et al. 2018; Zhang et al. 2018b).

Interactive detection was used to identify the combined actions of different driving factors on the explanatory power of the analysis variables (Wang & Xu 2017). In the following rules (Table 5) the symbol ‘∩’ means that the $X_i$ and $X_j$ are taken together (or, the conjunction of $X_i$ and $X_j$). The $q(X_i)$ and $q(X_j)$ denote the effect of the explanatory variables $X_i$ and $X_j$, respectively, on soil heavy metal accumulation, and the $q(X_i \cap X_j)$ denotes the $q$-statistic of the joint (interactive) effect of $X_i$ and $X_j$. Specifically, the characterization ‘nonlinear-weaken’ indicates a small interactive effect of $X_i$ and $X_j$ compared with their effects considered separately; the term ‘uni-weaken’ suggests a mild interactive effect that lies between the separate effects of $X_i$ and $X_j$; the term ‘bi-enhance’ indicates that the interactive effect of $X_i$ and $X_j$ is bigger than each one of the separate effects of $X_i$ and $X_j$; the characterization ‘independent’ means that the interactive effect is equal to the sum of the separate effects of $X_i$ and $X_j$; and the term ‘nonlinear-enhance’ indicates that the interactive effect of $X_i$ and $X_j$ is stronger than the sum of their separate effects (Yang et al. 2019).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Comprehensive evaluation and analysis of water resource carrying capacity

Economic pressure index analysis of water resources

The economic pressure on water resources carried in the Shiyang River Basin is relatively large and increasing, with significant spatial differences (Figure 3 and Table 6). In terms of temporal dimension, the annual average value of the economic pressure index of water resources in Shiyang
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River Basin is 0.691, which indicates it has borne great pressure. During the period of 2007–2016, the economic pressure of water resources in Jinchang city and Wuwei city increased significantly, from bearable to greater, while other cities and counties did not change significantly. In terms of spatial dimension, the economic pressure index of water resources in Sunan county is the lowest in the Qilian Mountain area, where the multi-year average is 0.0191. The next is Tianzhu (0.1896). The economic pressure index of these two places is much lower than the average of the river basin (0.691), which shows that the water demand is far lower than the water supply, so it is a relatively abundant water area. The economic pressure of water resources in Wuwei city is the largest, where the multi-year average of economic stress is 1.21, 63 times more than that of Sunan. The second is Jinchang city...
(0.93), which indicates that Wuwei city and Jinchang city have great economic pressure on water resources, and the water demand far exceeds the water supply of the region. The economic pressures of water resources in Yongchang county, Minqin county and Gulang county have always been bearable, and water resources can basically support economic development.

The analysis of population pressure index

The population pressure on water resources in Shiyang River Basin is relatively less, and the trend is downward with obvious spatial differentiation (Figure 4 and Table 6). In terms of temporal dimension, the water resource population pressure in Shiyang River Basin has decreased from 2007 to 2016, especially in Wuwei city and Minqin county, but in Jinchang city, Tianzhu county, Wuwei city, Gulang county and Yugu Autonomous County of Sunan, the water resource population pressure has remained stable. In terms of space dimensions, water resource population pressure is greater in Wuwei city and Gulang county, and the multi-year average of the population carrying stress index is 0.2276 and 0.3357 respectively; Sunan county and Jinchang city maintain a relatively low level of water resource population pressure, while Tianzhu county has always been in a bearable state; Yongchang county gradually changed from greater to lesser, and Minqin county changed from a bearable state to a lower pressure state. The water resource population pressure index of Minqin county decreased from 0.1158 in 2007 to 0.0618 in 2016. With urban and rural integration development being implemented in Wuwei city, some areas of Wuwei city and Gulang County have entered the accelerated urbanization stage together with the implementation of the ‘four downs and four advances’ ecological immigration policy. Those measures have led to the population density of Wuwei city and Gulang county becoming higher, and the population pressure on water resources becoming larger.

The analysis of comprehensive carrying pressure

The data of 2007, 2011, and 2016 shows that the water resources support force in Shiyang River Basin is low, while the pressure on the development of the social and economic environment is high. Hence, the comprehensive carrying pressure of water resources is large and increasing, and the spatial and temporal differences are obvious (Figure 5 and Table 6). In the basin, the average values of the carrying pressure index in 2007, 2011 and 2016 are 2.2, 3.1 and 3.1, which shows that the water resource demand of water is greater than supply, and water shortage is serious, and carrying pressure increases significantly. The

Figure 3 | Economic pressure index of water resources in Shiyang River Basin.

![Figure 3](http://iwaponline.com/ws/article-pdf/20/4/1409/705385/ws020041409.pdf)
comprehensive carrying pressure of water resources in Yongchang county and Minqin county increased significantly, and the carrying pressure index of Yongchang county increased from 2.32 in 2011 to 3.59 in 2016. The pressure of water resources in Minqin county increased from 2.94 in 2011 to 9.13 in 2016. This data indicates that the water carrying pressure index increased significantly, and water pressure significantly greater than its support, and the demand is much greater than the supply. Hence, there is serious shortage of water. The carrying pressure of water resources in Jinchang area remains at 4–6, which shows an increasing trend (4.85–5.58–5.72), and the water pressure is far greater than the support. The carrying pressure index of Gulang county and Tianzhu county has always been at the level of 1–3, and the average \( C_p \) is 1.68, 2.2 respectively. It indicates that water resources, social economy, and ecology have reached a state of mutual restraint and stability, the pressure of water resources is greater than the supporting force, and demand is greater than supply. For Sunan county and Wuwei city, the \( C_p \) is less than 1, and the multi-year average respectively is 0.5968, 0.3011, which indicates that the water resource pressure in Sunan county and Wuwei city is less than the supporting force, and water resource supply is greater than demand.

### The analysis of coordination index

In 2007, 2011 and 2016, the coordination degrees of the water resources composite system in Shiyang River Basin are low, with a trend of first rising and then falling (0.117–0.118–0.117), with obvious spatial difference (Figure 6 and Table 6). The average annual values of the water resource carrying coordination index of Minqin county, Gulang county, Wuwei city, Sunan county, Jinchang city, Tianzhu county and Yongchang county respectively are 0.15, 0.13, 0.12, 0.11, 0.1, 0.09, all of which are at the lower level. Relatively speaking, the coordination index of water resource carrying capacity in Minqin county is higher, because of the development of water-saving agriculture and the improvement of people's awareness of water-saving, which make the water resource utilization in this area highly coordinated. The coordination index \( HI \) of Gulang county, Jinchang city and Minqin county shows...
an increasing trend. The (HI) coordination index of Gulang county, Jinchang city and Minqin county shows an increasing trend, indicating that there is a better utilization of water resources due to public policies, and water conservation infrastructure construction, investment in supporting irrigation areas and water-saving transformation improve the agricultural irrigation methods and water resource utilization level. The water resources coordination index of Wuwei city is obviously reduced, and the level of water resources utilization is reduced. The water resources coordination index of Yongchang county and Sunan county shows a trend of first rising and then falling, and the utilization capacity of water resources shows a volatile decline. The water resources coordination index in Tianzhu county
reduces first and then increases to the original level (0.10–0.07–0.10), and its utilization capacity of water resources remains at a low level.

**The analysis of comprehensive evaluation index**

Basing on the comprehensive index $CI$ of water resource carrying capacity (Table 2) and the comprehensive evaluation index of water resource carrying capacity in Shiyang River Basin (Figure 7), the spatial–temporal characteristics of the comprehensive carrying capacity of water resources in Shiyang River Basin is analyzed. In the spatial dimension, the distribution of water resources in Shiyang River Basin is uneven, and the contradiction between supply and demand of water resources is prominent. Even more, the dependence of human production and life on water resources far exceeds the carrying capacity of the water resources. There is extreme shortage of water resources in Minqin county, where the water resource carrying capacity is seriously overloaded, and
the water resource problem has become the restraining factor for sustainable development; Wuwei city and Gulang county in the oasis area of the middle basin have a concentrated population and rapid economic development, while their water resources are less and there is a mildly discordant use of water resources. Hence, it belongs to the area where water resource carrying capacity is on the verge of overload and the area of mild overload. The carrying capacity of water resources in Yongchang county and Jinchang city is slightly overloaded; Tianzhu county belongs to the bearable area, because its social and economic development is coordinated with the utilization of water resources with relatively low pressure; Sunan county belongs to the surplus area, because its supply of water resources is larger than the demand of social and economic development, with a low pressure.

In terms of temporal dimension, the carrying pressure of water resources in Shiyang River Basin has gradually increased. Meanwhile, the area of overload has increased. Minqin county, Yongchang county and Wuwei city are all in the state of intensified water shortage. The carrying pressure of water resources in Sunan, Gulang and Tianzhu counties has decreased slightly, and the water shortage has improved. The water carrying pressure in Jinchang city is always in a state of slight overload. Minqin county has changed from a state of light overload to serious overload; Yongchang county changed from overloaded to lightly overloaded, and water resources utilization is not coordinated; Wuwei city changed from bearable to overloaded, and the water resources are in the state of slight uncoordination; Sunan county changed from bearable to surplus; Gulang county has changed from slight to overloaded; Tianzhu county has changed from an overloaded area to a bearable area.

### Analysis of influencing factors of water resource carrying capacity

#### Factor detection results of geographical detector

There is a close correlation between the carrying capacity of water resources and the indices of the water resources composite system. Therefore, geographical detector is applied to detect 19 indicators of the water resources composite system and get the explanatory power of each indicator factor of water resource carrying capacity \((Zhang et al. 2018b)\). The factors with significant verification and high explanatory power \((>0.5)\) are selected as the main influencing factors of water resource carrying capacity in three periods (Table 7).

### Table 7 | The q values of influencing factors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>System factors</th>
<th>Impact factor</th>
<th>07((q))</th>
<th>Influencing factor</th>
<th>11((q))</th>
<th>Influencing factor</th>
<th>16((q))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Water resources system</td>
<td>Water production modulus</td>
<td>0.964</td>
<td>Water production modulus</td>
<td>0.987</td>
<td>Underground water</td>
<td>0.943</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Underground water</td>
<td>0.929</td>
<td>Underground water</td>
<td>0.789</td>
<td>Per capita water resource</td>
<td>0.749</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Surface water</td>
<td>0.857</td>
<td>Surface water</td>
<td>0.789</td>
<td>Annual precipitation</td>
<td>0.732</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Annual precipitation</td>
<td>0.857</td>
<td>Annual precipitation</td>
<td>0.776</td>
<td>Water production modulus</td>
<td>0.598</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Per capita water resource</td>
<td>0.536</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social and economic systems</td>
<td>Number of hospital beds</td>
<td>0.804</td>
<td>Urbanization rate</td>
<td>0.772</td>
<td>Per capita GDP</td>
<td>0.866</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Number of hospital beds</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.507</td>
<td>Urbanization rate</td>
<td>0.713</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Number of hospital beds</td>
<td>0.541</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ecological system</td>
<td>Ecological water use</td>
<td>0.571</td>
<td>COD</td>
<td>0.757</td>
<td>COD</td>
<td>0.857</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>COD</td>
<td>0.631</td>
<td>Fold purity of fertilizer</td>
<td>0.618</td>
<td>Wastewater treatment rate</td>
<td>0.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fold purity of fertilizer</td>
<td>0.631</td>
<td>Ecological water use</td>
<td>0.547</td>
<td>Forest coverage rate</td>
<td>0.627</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Fold purity of fertilizer</td>
<td>0.584</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ecological water use</td>
<td>0.507</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coordinate system</td>
<td>Irrigation rate</td>
<td>0.857</td>
<td>Irrigation rate</td>
<td>0.759</td>
<td>Inter-basin water transfer</td>
<td>0.512</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Grain production</td>
<td>0.631</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.579</td>
<td>Irrigation rate</td>
<td>0.508</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Inter-basin water transfer</td>
<td>0.571</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Detection results (Table 7) show that although there are temporal differences in the influencing elements of water resource carrying capacity in Shiyang River Basin, the background of water resources still is the main factor of the water resource carrying capacity. The impact on water resources systems and coordination system factors is declining, the impact of socio-economic and ecological factors on the water resource carrying capacity of the basin is significantly enhanced, most of the ecological factors are related to human activities (such as ecological water use, wastewater treatment rates and folding purity of chemical fertilizer, etc), which indicates that the root factor of water resources is the sustained supporting force of water resources in Shiyang River Basin, and the socio-economic development and human activities have an increasing impact on water resources carrying capacity. Shiyang River Basin belongs to an arid and semi-arid climate with a shortage of water resources. The water resources in the basin mainly derive from atmospheric precipitation on Qilian Mountain and the snow melt water from alpine snow and ice, and its surface water resources, annual precipitation, water production modulus and groundwater are the main forces of the water resources supply in the basin. With the socio-economic development of the basin area, the improvement of urbanization level and the implementation of green development strategy, the influence of socio-economic and ecological environmental factors on water resource carrying capacity increases significantly. In addition, inter-basin water transfer is a crucial measure to solve the contradiction between supply and demand of water resources in the river basin, and the inter-basin water diversion projects, such as the Liuhuanggou area–Jinchang city water diversion project, and Datong River–Xida River water diversion project, are the reserve force of basin water resources.

The socio-economic development of the Shiyang River Basin relied on the local water resources. At the cost of the ecological environment, it is not a better development mode in which the social economy is not coordinated with water resources and the ecological environment. What we should do is to rationally plan the sustainable utilization of water resources in the basin, and to pay attention to the research on water resource carrying capacity. That is how we can avoid water resources overload and ecological environmental damage caused by social and economic development.

Interaction of influencing factors on water resource carrying capacity

The regional water resources composite system is a complex, giant system that is composed of society, economy, ecological environment and water resources. The intensity of the subsystem factors will have a profound impact on the WRCC. Taking 2016 as an example year, 36 factor pairs are obtained through interactive detection of the nine factors (the influence q-value of these factors is greater than 0.5). The result shows that the influence of the pairwise interaction of each factor is more than 0.5, indicating that the two factors of enhancing pairs have the stronger synergistic effect. It is

Table 8 | The dominant interactions between two covariates of water resource carrying capacity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>X1</th>
<th>X2</th>
<th>X3</th>
<th>X4</th>
<th>X5</th>
<th>X6</th>
<th>X7</th>
<th>X8</th>
<th>X9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.9877</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.9877</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.9508</td>
<td>0.9877</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.9508</td>
<td>0.9877</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.6926</td>
<td>0.9877</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.6926</td>
<td>0.9877</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.9877</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.9877</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.8361</td>
<td>0.9877</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X8</td>
<td>0.8893</td>
<td>0.9508</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.8361</td>
<td>0.9877</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X9</td>
<td>0.8893</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.9508</td>
<td>0.8033</td>
<td>0.9877</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.8893</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: 1. X1,X2,X3,X4,X5,X6,X7,X8,X9 represent per capita GDP, urbanization rate, groundwater, water production modulus, annual precipitation, forest cover, COD, wastewater treatment rate and per capita water resources. 2. It only selects the factor interactions of the first nine q-values in the table.
indicated from Table 8 that the combination of per capita water resources, groundwater resources, water production modulus and annual precipitation in the water resources system has a positive effect on WRCC and that the water resources system factor plays a positive role in the whole composite system. Among ecosystem factors, chemical oxygen demand (COD) and other factors form negative effective pairs, while forest coverage rate, wastewater treatment rate and other factors form positive pairs. In the socio-economic system, when the per capita GDP and urbanization rate interact with other factors, a negative effect of WRCC will be formed. It shows that the main consumption of water resources comes from groundwater, surface water and precipitation in the process of the social, economic and urbanization development of Shiyang River Basin. At the time, we should pay close attention to water quality safety and ecological protection of water resources. The sustainable utilization of water resources should be rationally planned during social and economic development. Attention should be paid to water resources bearing capacity, to avoid sacrificing the ecological environment for quick economic benefits.

CONCLUSIONS

In this research, the data of water resources and socio-economics from the years 2007, 2011 and 2016 is used to analyze the spatial and temporal evolution characteristics of water resource carrying capacity in Shiyang River Basin, a comprehensive evaluation model of regional water resource carrying capacity is constructed, and the geographical probe method is applied to detect the factors that affect the carrying capacity of water resources. The results show the following:

(1) In the spatial dimension, the distribution of water resources in Shiyang River Basin is uneven, and does not match the economic development and population distribution. The contradiction between the supply and demand of water resources is prominent, and the dependence of human production and life on the water resources far exceeds the water resource carrying capacity. Sunan and Tianzhu county belong to the surplus or bearable carrying area of water resources, and are located in the upstream of the basin with less population and abundant water resources. The water resource carrying capacity of oasis areas, including Yongchang county, Jinchang city, Gulang county and Wuwei city, is on the verge of overload and a slightly overloaded city in which the economy develops rapidly with large population, but with less water resource. Minqin county belongs to the serious overload, which is far away from water sources and near desert with extreme shortage of water resources.

(2) In terms of temporal dimension, the water pressure in Shiyang River Basin gradually increases, and the areas on the verge of overload, and of slight overload and severe overload increase. The shortage in Minqin county, Yongchang county and Wuwei city worsens. Minqin county changes from a light overload area to a serious overload area, Yongchang county changes from an overload area to a light overload area, and Wuwei changes from a bearable area to an overload area. The carrying pressure of water resources in Sunan, Gulang and Tianzhu counties decreases slightly, among which Sunan county changes from a bearable area to a surplus area, and Gulang county changes from a light overload area to an overload area. Tianzhu county, which used to be on the verge of overload, has become a bearable area.

(3) The results of geographical detector indicate that the influencing factors of water resource carrying capacity in Shiyang River Basin are different in time, and the root factor of water resources is the sustained supporting force, that the factors of the water resources system and coordination system have weaker influence while the socio-economic and ecological factors are significantly enhanced, and the influence of human activities is enhanced. The interactive results further reveal that the interaction of any two factors is stronger than that of a single factor, and two-factor enhanced pairs have the strongest synergistic effect.

The research area has its particularities including desert, oasis plain and mountain range, which is the ecological barrier area in northwest China. Shiyang River is a typical arid inland river, in which water resource shortage and overload is becoming more and more serious. Strengthening the efficient utilization of water resources, the implementing of the inter-basin water transfer project and storage project...
will be beneficial for alleviating these problems in Shiyang River Basin. The utilization coefficient of the canal system should be improved and the traditional irrigation method should be ameliorated in the oasis agriculture area. The water resources in upstream water areas (Sunan county and Tianzhu county) are in surplus. Due to the complex topographic and geomorphic conditions, the protection of water resources and the ecological environment would be strengthened to realize the sustainable development of water resources and the social economy in Shiyang River Basin. (I) The study applied geographical detector to detect the factors that affect the carrying capacity of water resources. Furthermore, the WRCC in the study area was analyzed more precisely, but the influence factors were not analyzed in other studies microscopically. (II) This paper analyzed the WRCC from the perspectives of socio-economy, coordination, water resources and ecology. In particular, ecology was first studied as an independent indicator. This study has a certain reference value for the WRCC in the Shiyang River Basin and its sustainable utilization in the future. Although the study analyzes the WRCC in the Shiyang River Basin from a macroscopic perspective, the balance between supply and demand of water resources is not analyzed from a microscopic perspective (such as water footprint). Hence, further exploration is needed in the future.
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