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ABSTRACT
In order to reasonably evaluate the ecological environment of Dari County in the source region of the Yellow River, the characteristics and actual conditions of Dari County’s ecological environment are taken into account, and the principles of representativeness, scientificity, operability and systematicness of index are followed. An evaluation index system is established for Dari County based on the Pressure-State-Response (PSR) conceptual framework. Combining the Analytic Hierarchy Process (AHP) with the cloud model, an ecological environment evaluation model for Dari County is established, and the ecological environment of Dari County is quantitatively evaluated. The model organically combines the ambiguity and randomness of the uncertainty concept. It not only describes the ambiguity of the evaluation level with a membership function, but also considers the randomness of the membership itself using the concept of superentropy, which is more in line with the actual evaluation object. The results indicate that the ecological situation of Dari County is in a ‘general’ state that should be urgently protected for sustainable development, and land degradation is the most important factor affecting the ecological environment of Dari County.
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HIGHLIGHTS
- A model method combining AHP and cloud model.
- The ecological environment of Dari County is in a general state.
- The evaluation index system of Dari County based on PSR framework is proposed.
- Land degradation is an important factor affecting the ecology of Dari County.
- The evaluation model can take into account both ambiguity and randomness.

INTRODUCTION
The source region of the Yellow River is located in southwest Qinghai Province, the hinterland of the Qinghai-Tibet Plateau. It is an important water conservation area and ecological barrier of the Yellow River, as well as one of the most special and fragile areas in China. With the influence of climate change and human activity, the ecological environment in parts of the source Yellow River areas have suffered damage in recent years. To protect the ecological environment, it is necessary to know and quantitatively evaluate the current state of the regional ecological environment. The difficulty is how to select the corresponding evaluation index system according to the regional characteristics and...
make a reasonable quantitative analysis. In view of this, assessing the state of the ecological environment in the river source area is of great significance for its protection and restoration. This paper selects the ‘Pressure-State-Response (PSR)’ model as the index system construction model. At present, domestic research scholars have widely used this model in the fields of water environment safety assessment, urban planning environmental impact assessment, and wetland ecosystem health assessment. For example, Li Lin’s study on the evaluation of urban lake water environment safety divides the evaluation indicators of urban lake water environment safety into three levels: pressure-state-response. The pressure layer selects the most threatening indicators for lake water environment safety, and the state layer selects the representative lake State indicators, the response layer selects indicators that reflect the measures taken in lake management. Xu Youpeng’s wetland ecological health assessment based on the ‘stress-state-response’ model and fractal theory: stress reflects the impact and stress of human activities on the wetland ecosystem, reflects the intensity and change trend of resource utilization; state reflects the wetland ecological environment, the change of elements reflects the ultimate goal of the environmental protection policy; the response reflects the measures taken by the society or individuals to stop, mitigate, prevent or restore the changes of the wetland ecosystem. The PSR model framework provides an evaluation idea, emphasizing the causal logic of the ecological environment impact process, and the need to analyze the change process of the evaluation object. The model is simple and easy to use and is widely used. Foreign scholars have applied the PSR model to environmental sustainability, regional sustainable development, and ecological security. Lin Zhen’s (Zhen et al. 2009) comparison of sustainability issues in two sensitive areas of China uses the PSR model to select the indicators of two sensitive agricultural areas in China to assess the sustainability of the two areas. Yang Pei’s (Yang et al. 2011) Ecological Risk Assessment of the Shenzhen River-Bay Watershed builds a pressure-effect-social response (PESR) ecological risk Evaluation System. The fuzzy comprehensive evaluation method (FCE) is used to calculate the risk index of each subsystem. At present, selection of specific indicators for ecological environment evaluation needs to consider different regional characteristics. For example, He Sanwei (Sanwei et al. 2011) reflects the ecological environment of agricultural land from the three aspects of water, soil, and climate based on PSR and cloud theory, considering fertilizer, irrigation condition and the degree of mechanization, and then a PSR-based agricultural land ecological environment evaluation index system is constructed. Zhang Zezhong (Zezhong et al. 2014) comprehensively assesses the current status and changes of ecological impacts of the North Canal from the perspective of river hydrological conditions, water environmental conditions, habitat quality and biological conditions. Compared with the ecological environment studies on watershed and agricultural land, there are fewer on the source region of the Yellow River (Zhongzheng et al. 2003). Wei Jing’s evaluation of the ecological environment vulnerability in the Three Rivers source area (Jing et al. 2015) selects meteorological factors, topographic factors, surface factors, vegetation net primary productivity (NPP), vegetation coverage factors and indicators of human and economic activities for comprehensive evaluation based on the definition of ecological environment vulnerability and ecological sensitivity-ecological resilience-ecological pressure (SRP) model. Wu Qing (Qing & Yanli 2002) systematically analysed the causes of water resources fragility in the source Yellow River region, such as the uneven spatial and temporal distribution of climate and rainfall, grassland degradation, land desertification, and human activities, and its ecological impacts. Based on the latest research results, this paper attempts to put forward the PSR framework eco-environmental impact assessment index system in Dari County, the source region of the Yellow River.

In the existing researches, the domestic ecological environment assessment of river basins, plateau regions or eco-environment impacts of projects are mainly focused at home, while ecological impact assessments from certain perspective are concerned abroad (Bartsev et al. 2012; Carlos et al. 2020). But so far, related to regional ecological environment impact assessment, models are not clear and methods are close at home and abroad. The evaluation methods are mostly fuzzy comprehensive methods (Jun et al. 2013), Back Propagation (BP) neural network method, system dynamics (Hjorth & Bagheri 2005) and other related methods. The BP neural network method results in problems of inconsistency in the actual process.
The fuzzy comprehensive method divides the fuzzy classification boundary of indexes, but the calculated membership degree is easily disturbed by subjective conditions. The system dynamics method is to build a model around the problem; results will vary greatly because of different models for the same problem. In summary, the existing quantitative evaluation methods and models can obtain regional ecological environment impact level, but cannot explain the level conversion status, because they ignored the ambiguity of the quantitative index description and the randomness of the index value determination and rating division. Academicians Li (1998) first proposed the cloud model, which can take both ambiguity and randomness of evaluation into account, and has been widely used in multi-attribute decision-making, analysis and evaluation. For example, Zhang Yang's (Yang et al. 2015) assessment of land resource ecological security in Hubei Province is based on the normal cloud model. This study introduces the normal cloud model into the assessment of regional land resource ecological security. Taking Hubei Province as an example, a quantitative measurement of the regional land resource ecological security status is made. In Gao Mingmei's (Mingmei et al. 2015) application of the normal cloud model in the evaluation of land ecological security in Wanjia area, the concept portrays the randomness of the membership degree itself, and obtains the land ecological security level of Wanjia area.

The source region of the Yellow River studied in this paper has the characteristics of ecological fragility and has ambiguity and randomness from the perspective of mathematical theory. Therefore, we introduce the PSR indicator system framework and cloud model theory. The ‘Pressure-State-Response’ evaluation model is different from the traditional ecological evaluation framework. Organizing and classifying ecological indicators based on the interaction and impact of the ecosystem has a strong systematic and distinct ecological significance. The cloud model is used to convert between qualitative concepts and quantitative values, and is an important method in the evaluation of uncertain artificial intelligence. In this paper, Dari County, which is in the source region of the Yellow River, is taken as the study area. Considering its local ecological environment characteristics and actual conditions, and following the principles of indicator system construction such as representativeness, scientificity, operability, and systematicness, the ‘Pressure-State-Response’ indicator conceptual model is established. Based on the actual conditions of the Yellow River source which is a water conservation ecological function area, the ecological environment impact indicator system of Dari County was constructed, and the orderly levels of each indicator in the evaluation target and the objective judgment of expert opinions were analyzed by the analytic hierarchy process (Ying et al. 2007). Combine them effectively and use mathematical methods to determine weights. Then the cloud model is used to quantitatively evaluate the ecological environment impact of Dari County, for objectively giving its environment status.

STUDY AREA AND DATA SOURCE

Study area

Dari County is located in the Sanjiangyuan District (Figure 1). The source area of the river is located in the southwest of Qinghai Province in the hinterland of the Qinghai-Tibet Plateau and is the source of the Yangtze, Yellow and Lancang Rivers. It is an ecological barrier for the ecological environment and regional sustainable development of the middle and lower reaches of China’s rivers and Southeast Asian countries. Dari County is the core area of the Sanjiangyuan, and its ecological location is very important. It is of great significance for ensuring the safety of the ecological environment of the Sanjiangyuan and promoting the development of the regional ecological environment (He et al. 2018). In recent years, regional climate change and intensified human activities have caused a decrease in precipitation, which has reduced water conservation functions, reduced water production, and reduced forest and grass coverage. Regional socio-economic development has had a serious impact (details in Table 1).

Data source

The basic data used in this paper is collected from China National Data Network (http://data.stats.gov.cn/), Chinese Academy of Sciences Resource and Environmental Science Data Center (http://www.resdc.cn/), Qinghai Meteorological Bureau Climate Data Center, field survey, annual statistics of various indicators.
The PSR model is an indicator selection framework based on the interconnections between indicators. It grasps the development process of the ecological environment impact by analyzing status changes. According to the environment of different areas, the corresponding indicators are selected to constitute the index layer, so as to focus on the ecological environment of Dari County. Then, an ecological environment impact assessment index system based on PSR and a target framework suitable for Dari County were constructed.

According to the PSR model framework, pressure index refers to the interference and pressure factors from external conditions in the evaluation index system constructed, state index is used to reflect the ecological environment, and the response category refers to the impact of the ecosystem under the current ecological environment pressure (Long et al. 2012). Interconnect the three aspects of pressure-state-response to build the framework of the ecological environment impact assessment system, and select specific indicators to form a three-level indicator system.

**Evaluation index system for Dari County**

The construction of an assessment index system is the basis for conducting an ecological environment impact assessment. But considering that different regions have different characteristics, a specific research indicator system and evaluation model need to be carried out for the study area, based on its ecological environment characteristics and actual conditions. Details of the eco-environmental impact assessment index system of Dari County are in Table 2. Apart from the influence of water resources, mainly climate factors, grassland degradation and land desertification are major factors that cause ecological and environmental

---

**Table 1 | Characteristics of ecological and environmental in Dari County**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Data</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Data</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Annual rainfall</td>
<td>570 mm</td>
<td>Aridity index</td>
<td>1.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual average temperature</td>
<td>-1.3 °C</td>
<td>Natural grassland area</td>
<td>140 × 10⁴ hm²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual evaporation</td>
<td>1,120 mm</td>
<td>Utilizable grassland area</td>
<td>111 × 10⁴ hm²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wind speed</td>
<td>34 m/s</td>
<td>Deteriorated grassland area</td>
<td>78 × 10⁴ hm²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total land area</td>
<td>148 × 10⁴ hm²</td>
<td>‘Black Soil Type’ deteriorated grassland area</td>
<td>57 × 10⁴ hm²</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**REGIONAL ECOLOGICAL EVALUATION INDEX SYSTEM BASED ON PSR**

**PSR**

The PSR model is an indicator selection framework based on the interconnections between indicators. It grasps the development process of the ecological environment impact by analyzing status changes. According to the environment of different areas, the corresponding indicators are selected to constitute the index layer, so as to focus on the ecological environment of Dari County. Then, an ecological environment impact assessment index system based on PSR and a target framework suitable for Dari County were constructed.

Figure 1 | Geographical location of the research area in Dari County, Qinghai Province.

Table 1 | Characteristics of ecological and environmental in Dari County
impacts in Dari County. In the construction of the index system, the climate and the degree of dryness reflect the disturbance and pressure factors of the water resources, which is an important factor in environmental changes. The state of soil salinity, soil moisture content, and grassland coverage are selected to reflect the ecological environment condition of Dari County. Degradation of grassland weakened the regulation of vegetation on land runoff. The response of the ecological environment refers to the impact of the ecosystem under the current ecological environment pressure, which is mainly represented by soil erosion and land degradation.

### Grading standards

There are regional differences in the standards of the ecological environment index levels. This study takes into account the actual situation of Dari County in the source region of the Yellow River, with reference to the research results of ecological index thresholds at home and abroad, ecological environment evaluation standards, standards issued by local governments, and planning objectives, etc. (Jinrui et al. 2003; Jian et al. 2006) The eco-environment evaluation level of Dari County in the source region of the Yellow River is divided into four levels: poor, fair, good and excellent. Finally, combined with the opinions of experts, the classification standards for regional ecological environment evaluation indicators are obtained. The specific ecological environment classification standards are shown in Table 3.

---

**Table 2 | Index system of ecological environment impact assessment in Dari County**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Target layer</th>
<th>Criterion layer</th>
<th>Indicator layer</th>
<th>Indicator meaning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ecological Environmental Impact Assessment</td>
<td>Pressure</td>
<td>Annual precipitation P1</td>
<td>The impact of rainfall on vegetation growth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Drying index P2</td>
<td>The pressure of climate dryness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>State</td>
<td>Grass coverage S1</td>
<td>Represents a green sustainable state, the foundation for water renewal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Soil salinity S2</td>
<td>Indicates the local soil salinity status</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Soil water content S3</td>
<td>State of soil water storage capacity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Response</td>
<td>Soil erosion area ratio R1</td>
<td>Response to grassland degradation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Land degradation rate R2</td>
<td>Response to the formation of “black soil beach”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Soil erosion modulus R3</td>
<td>Responds to soil holding capacity</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Table 3 | Classification standard for ecological environment impact assessment in Dari County**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Target layer</th>
<th>Criterion layer</th>
<th>Indicator layer</th>
<th>Evaluation standard</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ecological Environment Impact Assessment</td>
<td>Pressure</td>
<td>Annual precipitation P1</td>
<td>I (Poor)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Drying index P2</td>
<td>&lt;1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>State</td>
<td>Grass coverage S1</td>
<td>&gt;4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Soil salinity S2</td>
<td>&lt;15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Soil water content S3</td>
<td>&gt;5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Response</td>
<td>Soil erosion area ratio R1</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Land degradation rate R2</td>
<td>&gt;35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Soil erosion modulus R3</td>
<td>&gt;10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
membership function and has a wide range of universality. The three digital characteristics of the cloud model are expected value \( (E_x) \), entropy \( (E_n) \), and superentropy \( (H_e) \) (Yang et al. 2013). \( E_x \) represents the grade point value of the ecological environment impact assessment of Dari County, while \( E_n \) divides the index level. The degree of uncertainty and dispersion of each index is expressed by \( H_e \), which indicates the degree of correlation between randomness and ambiguity within indicators. The three characteristic value parameters \( (E_x, E_n, H_e) \) of each grade cloud are determined by the upper and lower boundary values of each grade of the evaluation index corresponding to the grade, which can be obtained by the corresponding characteristic value parameter value formula. There is data \( x_{ij} \), where \( i \) is the evaluation index, and \( j \) is the evaluation level corresponding to the data \( x \); then there are \( x_{ij}^1 \) and \( x_{ij}^2 \) for the upper and lower boundary of the data \( x_{ij} \). Model representation can obtain an \( i \times j \) cloud model. Since the intermediate value of each level is the qualitative concept that can best represent that level, the expected value is expressed as:

\[
E_{x_{ij}} = \frac{(x_{ij}^1 + x_{ij}^2)}{2}
\]  

(1)

As the boundary of each level, \( x_{ij} \) belongs to both the previous level and the next level, so the boundary value is equal to the membership degree of the upper and lower levels, thereby obtaining the entropy value:

\[
E_{n_{ij}} = \frac{(x_{ij}^1 - x_{ij}^2)}{6}
\]  

(2)

The size of \( H_e \) is generally obtained based on the entropy value and experience, which mainly reflects the thickness of the cloud layer, which is 0.01 here:

\[
H_e = T
\]  

(3)

**Ecological environment impact assessment model based on cloud model**

In the process of quantitatively measuring the regional ecological environment, the quantitative description of its evaluation indicators has both ambiguity and randomness. If only the ambiguity or randomness of the objects in the regional ecological environment evaluation is considered, then an accurate evaluation result is expected. The purpose is difficult to achieve, so it is necessary to introduce a model method that can take into account both ambiguity and randomness. This study builds on the normal cloud model theory and builds a comprehensive evaluation model based on the normal cloud. The construction of the evaluation model is mainly divided into the following parts: (Figure 2).

- Establish an index system \( (X_1, X_2, X_3, \ldots, X_n) \) of the ecological environment impact assessment, and formulate an evaluation level standard \( V = \{V_1, V_2, \ldots, V_m\} \).

- Evaluate each index in the system and establish a fuzzy matrix \( R \). The element \( r_{ij} \) in \( R \) represents the degree of membership of the \( i \)-th element in the system.

\[
r_{ij} = (E_{x_{ij}}, E_{n_{ij}}, H_e), \quad R = \begin{pmatrix}
  r_{11} & \cdots & r_{1j} \\
  \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
  r_{i1} & \cdots & r_{ij}
\end{pmatrix}
\]

- Take the quantitative value \( r_{ij} \) of each index as the input for the cloud generator to get a set of cloud drops \( (a, y) \). Execute the cloud generator multiple times, and determine the cloud membership matrix \( Z = (Z_{ij})_{n \times m} \). Cloud generator algorithm: First set \( E_x \) as the expected value and \( H_e \) as the standard deviation to generate a

![Figure 2 | Cloud model calculation flow chart.](http://iwaponline.com/ws/article-pdf/21/3/1050/886572/ws021031050.pdf)
normal random number $E_n'$, and then set $Ex$ as the expected value and $E_n'$ as the standard deviation to generate a normal random number $a$. After the degree of certainty is calculated by using the (Equation (4)) $N$ cloud droplets are generated by repeating $N$ times. The calculation principle is shown in Figure 3.

$$y = \exp\left\{ -\frac{(x - Ex)^2}{2E_n^2} \right\}$$ (4)

### Determination of indicator weights

In the process of regional eco-environmental assessment, the degree of influence of different evaluation factors on the evaluation results is different. Therefore, it is first necessary to determine the degree of influence (weight) of each evaluation factor on the evaluation results. Hierarchical analysis method (AHP) is used to determine the weight of each evaluation index in the ecological environment evaluation index system. According to the steps of the analytic hierarchy process, first construct a hierarchical hierarchy structure, namely the target layer, the criterion layer and the factor layer of the evaluation index system, and then compare the two elements in turn to construct a judgment matrix, and then calculate the relative weights, and then make it consistent. The sex test is terminated after passing the test. (Changyu et al. 2005).

After the largest eigenvalue $\lambda$ and the eigenvector $\bar{w}$ are calculated by the sum-product method, the weights of each index are acquired. And then a consistency check for each index is conducted. If the judgment matrix meets check, the calculated weight is available for use. The calculation steps are as follows:

- Normalize the vector

$$\bar{w}_j = \frac{a_{ij}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{ij}}, j = 1, 2, 3, \ldots n$$ (5)

- Sum $\bar{w}_ij$

$$\bar{w}_i = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \bar{w}_{ij}, i = 1, 2, \ldots , n$$ (6)

- Normalize $\bar{w}_ij$

$$w_i = \frac{\bar{w}_i}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \bar{w}_i}$$ (7)

- Calculate the largest eigenvalue $\lambda$

$$\lambda = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( \bar{w}_i \right)$$ (8)

- Perform consistency check

$$CI = \frac{(\lambda - n)}{(n - 1)}$$ (9)

$$CR = \frac{CI}{RI}$$ (10)

### Evaluation process

The AHP method is a combination of qualitative and quantitative methods for seeking weights. It can test and reduce the influence of subjective factors, making the analysis and evaluation more objective and scientific. At the same time, the cloud model can reduce the impact of ambiguity and randomness in the evaluation process. Coupling the AHP method with the cloud model can take advantage of the two and apply it to the evaluation of uncertain systems. The evaluation process is as follows.
Select suitable indicators for the target layer and set evaluation level standards for each indicator. Use the AHP method to acquire the weight of each indicator. After calculating the degree of certainty with the help of the three digital features by cloud model, each object is evaluated by getting the indicator value. And a membership matrix is obtained by using the cloud generator. When calculating the membership of each level, the level corresponding to the maximum membership is selected as the comprehensive evaluation result of the object to be evaluated (Figure 4).

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

This section introduces the calculation process of eco-environmental impact assessment based on the data of Dari County. Use the three digital feature expected values (Ex), entropy (En), and superentropy (He) of the normal cloud model to characterize the qualitative concepts of each index. According to Equations (1)–(3), the corresponding range of levels of each index in Table 2 is represented as a normal cloud, as shown in Table 4.

According to the evaluation standards of each index, the forward cloud generator model is used to obtain the standard normal cloud model parameters of each index by calculating Ex, En, and He of each index corresponding to different evaluation levels (Table 5). Then N (N = 1,000) cloud droplets are generated, and the normal membership cloud maps of each evaluation index are obtained. The abscissa represents the actual value of grassland coverage, and the ordinate represents the degree of correlation corresponding to each security level. A certain degree of association may correspond to different index values, which reflects the fuzzy uncertainty between the index value and the level to be determined (Figure 5).

The cloud certainty of each index is calculated through forward cloud generator to form a membership matrix based on the preliminary calculating results, as shown in Table 6.

The weight of each index in the ecological environment impact assessment is calculated by the above-mentioned
AHP method. The result is $W = [0.1178, 0.0393, 0.0797, 0.1392, 0.0304, 0.0696, 0.3647, 0.1591]$.

The relationship of comprehensive membership (B), which reflects the ecological environment assessment level of Dari County, index weight (W) and index membership (R) is shown as Equation (11).

$$B = W \times R$$

(11)

The four levels' comprehensive membership of ecological environment assessment in Dari County is calculated based on the formula. According to the maximum value determination method, the evaluation level belongs to 'general' (Table 7). Although the ecological environment of Dari County has been in a 'general' state, land desertification, which is one of the most serious ecological and environmental problems, cannot be underestimated. The assessment result of Dari County carried by cloud model is basically consistent with actual conditions, indicating that the assessment model has certain rationality and reliability.

### CONCLUSIONS

This paper evaluates the ecological and environmental impacts in Dari County. The evaluation results intuitively reflect the ecological environment. The following conclusions are mainly drawn.

- As can be seen in the evaluation results, the ecological environment in Dari County is in a ‘general’ state. It urgently needs to be improved to reach a sustainable development state.
- As can be seen in the PSR index system, the land degradation rate in Dari County is particularly serious. In future work, the prevention of ‘black soil beach’ and the improvement of vegetation coverage, such as forest rate, in Dari County should be paid more attention, the problems of soil erosion, land salinization should be concerned, and the pressure on the local ecosystem due to human activities should be effectively controlled.
Problems in ecological environment affect many aspects such as water resources and human activities, and the characteristics of the ecological environment are quite different in different regions. Therefore, no unified understanding of ecological environment impact assessment is accepted by scholars at home and abroad. This paper analyzes the ecological environment characteristics and actual conditions of Dari County, which is in the source region of the Yellow River, constructs an evaluation system based on the PSR concept framework and builds an ecological environment impact assessment model based on the cloud model. The results provide a preparation for further study on the ecological environment of the source Yellow River region. However, there are also some deficiencies in this paper. The ecological and hydrological impact on the local ecological environment is mainly considered in this paper, and the impact of human activities, such as rodent harm, overgrazing, and other factors have not been discussed in the evaluation system.
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