Preparation, characterization, and application of activated carbon from low-cost material for the adsorption of tetracycline antibiotic from aqueous solutions
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ABSTRACT

In this study, a new zinc chloride (ZnCl₂) impregnated activated carbon (Zn-AC) was prepared from oak charcoals as low-cost material and used as adsorbent for tetracycline (TC) adsorption. The Zn-AC was characterized using field emission-scanning electron microscope, powder X-ray diffraction, and CHNS-O analyses. Specific surface area of the adsorbent was also measured using the Brunauer, Emmett and Teller (BET) isotherm model. The TC adsorption onto the Zn-AC was investigated as a function of solution pH, adsorbent dosage, and inorganic cations (Li⁺, K⁺, Mg²⁺, Ca²⁺, Ni²⁺, and Fe³⁺) and anions (HCO₃⁻, NO₃⁻ and SO₄²⁻) that could interfere in the adsorption of TC. The adsorbate solution pH had no considerable effect on TC adsorption. The adsorption of TC onto the adsorbent was relatively fast and reached the equilibrium after about 120 min. The results showed that all studied cations and anions decreased TC adsorption onto the Zn-AC, but this decrease in TC adsorption was strongly significant for Fe³⁺ and Ni²⁺ ions. The general order kinetic model and the Redlich–Peterson isotherm model provided the best fit to the experimental data. The maximum amount of TC adsorbed onto the Zn-AC (Q_{max}) is 282.06 mg g⁻¹, indicating this adsorbent is a good adsorbent for the removal of TC from aqueous solutions.
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INTRODUCTION

Traditionally, the chemical compounds that are used for eradicating or inhibiting the growth of microorganisms are defined as antibiotics. However, the term antibiotics also includes antibacterial, antiviral, antifungal, and antitumor compounds (Homem & Santos 2011). In recent years, pharmaceutical antibiotics, due to their great therapeutic values, are widely used in human therapy against a wide variety of microorganisms to prevent infections.
They were also used in livestock and farming industries as feed additive to treat diseases, improving the growth rate of animals (Zhao et al. 2012). The extensive and indiscriminate use of pharmaceutical antibiotics has attracted increasing concerns because they have been considered as a class of potent pollutants, which can cause adverse effects such as acute and chronic toxicity. Additionally, they affect aquatic photosynthetic organisms and, as a result, disrupt indigenous microbial populations (Zhao et al. 2011a; Gao et al. 2012). The emergence of new strains of bacteria, which are resistant to these antibiotics, is another major concern. This, in turn, may result in untreatable livestock diseases. Subsequently, possible transmission of such strains to humans may lead to untreatable human diseases (Zhang et al. 2011b; Gao et al. 2012; Babaei et al. 2016). Since a small portion of most antibiotics, including tetracycline (TC), could be metabolized or absorbed by the body of the treated human and animal, large fractions of these substances are excreted through urine and feces as unchanged parent compounds (Gao et al. 2012). Antibiotics can be released into the environment from many sources such as effluents from municipal wastewater treatment and pharmaceutical manufacturing plants because conventional water and wastewater treatment technologies cannot remove antibiotics completely (Kakavandi et al. 2016). The application of animals' manure and sewage sludge to agricultural fields as fertilizers is another major source releasing antibiotics into the environment through runoff, leaching, and other ways. Residues of these antibiotics discharged from the above-mentioned sources are frequently detected in soil, surface water, groundwater, and even drinking water (Zhang et al. 2011b; Moussavi et al. 2015).

Thus, to prevent and minimize the risks related to antibiotics, effluent-containing antibiotics must be treated by an appropriate technique. Several methods have been evaluated to remove antibiotics from aqueous solutions including conventional methods (biological process, filtration, coagulation, flocculation and sedimentation), advanced oxidation process (AOPs), membrane treatment, adsorption, and combined methods (Homen & Santos 2011; Jaafarzadeh et al. 2015). Each of these methods has different removal efficiency, capital costs investments, operating rates, advantages and disadvantages. Previous studies (Moussavi et al. 2013; Babaei et al. 2015; Zhang et al. 2015) have reported that physical-chemical methods, particularly adsorption, are appropriate and more efficient and cheaper than AOPs to remove recalcitrant and organic compounds. In the adsorption process, the pollutant is removed from the aqueous effluent and transferred to a solid surface, whereas in the oxidative process, some by products may be formed that could present a toxicity even higher than the parent pollutant (Shirmardi et al. 2013b; Vosoughi Niri et al. 2014; Yaghmaeian et al. 2014). The adsorption and removal of TC have been evaluated by several materials as adsorbent, including aluminum oxide (Chen & Huang 2010), montmorillonite (Zhao et al. 2012), kaolinite (Li et al. 2010), graphene oxide (Gao et al. 2012), soil and sediment (Zhang et al. 2011b), activated carbon (Choi et al. 2008), multi-walled carbon nanotubes (CNTs) (Zhang et al. 2011a; Babaei et al. 2016), and single walled carbon nanotubes (Ji et al. 2009). Activated carbon is an excellent adsorbent due to its porosity, large surface area, well-developed internal structure, and the presence of various functional groups on its surface. It has been extensively used for the removal of many organic and inorganic contaminants from polluted streams, which are biologically resistant (Liu et al. 2010; Kakavandi et al. 2014). In this context, there is a need to produce activated carbon from readily available materials such as agricultural by products and wood charcoals, which can be used for industrial-scale production of activated carbons, following either physical or chemical activation (Amin 2008). In this study, activated carbon was prepared from oak charcoal, as a low-cost and abundantly available precursor in Iran, by chemical activation using zinc chloride (ZnCl2) as activating agent. Then, the performance of ZnCl2 impregnated activated carbon (Zn-AC), as adsorbent, was investigated to adsorb and remove TC as a model of the most widely used antibiotics from aqueous solutions. The effects of basic variables such as pH of solution, adsorbent dosage, background electrolytes, contact time, and initial concentration on the adsorption of TC were evaluated. The kinetics and isotherms of adsorption of TC on the prepared activated carbon were also investigated by a nonlinear fitting of the models.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Reagents and solutions**

The high-performance liquid chromatograph (HPLC) grade TC with the purity of ≥88% was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich Chemical Co. (USA) and used without further purification. The chemical structure and some main characteristics of the TC are presented in Table 1. Methanol and acetonitrile (both HPLC grade), and oxalic acid dehydrate were purchased from Merck Company, Germany. Deionized water was used throughout the experiments to prepare
working solutions. All other chemicals used were analytical reagent grade and used without further purification.

**Preparation and characterization of the activated carbon**

Oak charcoals used as the precursor were purchased from the local natives of Lordegan County, Chaharmahal and Bakhtiari Province, Iran. To remove some ashes and impurities of the charcoals, they were washed several times with tap water; then were boiled in distilled water for 1 h, and finally were oven-dried at 110 °C overnight. Afterwards, they were ground and sieved to discrete particles with the size of ≤500 μm. Then, a 100 g of the grounded and dried char and a 50 g ZnCl₂ were added to 150 mL distilled water. The weight ratio of ZnCl₂ to precursor (char) was 0.5:1. The mixture was heated and refluxed at 100 °C for 1 h under continuous magnetic stirring. The impregnated charcoals were further dried overnight in an oven at 120 °C, stored in a desiccator. The ZnCl₂ impregnated charcoals were transferred into a stainless steel vertical tubular reactor placed in a tube furnace and the carbonization of the pre-treated samples was carried out by ramping the temperature from 30 to 700 °C with the heating rate of 10 °C min⁻¹, and the samples were held at the final temperature for 1 h. The reactor was continuously purged with a constant N₂ (99.996%) flow rate of 150 cm³ min⁻¹. After the samples were cooled down at 50 cm³ min⁻¹ of N₂, to complete the chemical activation process, inorganics of the Zn-AC adsorbent were leached with a 6 mol L⁻¹ HCl as described elsewhere (Ribas et al. 2014). After acidification, the obtained samples of activated carbon (Zn-AC) were thoroughly washed with hot and cold distilled water, respectively, until the pH of the washing solutions reached 6–7. Finally, the samples were dried at 110 °C overnight in an oven, and were stored in plastic containers for subsequent uses. The same procedure has already been applied for the production of activated carbon (Ribas et al. 2014).

The Zn-AC was characterized using field emission scanning electron microscope (FESEM, model Mira 3-XMU) to observe surface morphologies of the adsorbent. The elemental composition of the adsorbent was determined using a CHNS/O analyzer. The Zn-AC was also characterized by X-ray diffraction (XRD) using a PHILIPS-PW1840 X-ray diffractometer, operating at 40 kV and 30 mA with Cu Kα radiation (λ = 0.15406 nm). Measurements were done with scanning step width of 0.02° and time per step of 0.4 s, over the 2θ range of 10–90°. The specific surface area of the adsorbent was measured using the Brunauer, Emmett and Teller (BET) method.

**Adsorption experiments**

To investigate the adsorption of TC onto the Zn-AC, batch adsorption experiments were carried out using a series of 100 mL brown volumetric flasks containing 50 mL TC solution of known concentration. This type of flask was used to prevent possible light degradation of TC. The flasks were then transferred to a thermostatic reciprocating shaker and agitated at 250 rpm at 293 K. A series of adsorption experiments were performed to evaluate the effects of operational parameters such as solution pH, contact time, initial concentration of TC, adsorbent dosage, and effect of inorganic cations and anions that could interfere in the adsorption of TC. All experiments were carried out in duplicate and the average value was reported. In addition, the adsorption kinetics and isotherms were analyzed. As mentioned previously, for each working day, a fresh standard stock solution of TC was prepared by weighting and dissolving the required amounts of TC in the deionized water. The experimental solutions with different initial concentrations were obtained by diluting the stock solution in the required proportions.

**Effect of solution pH**

The first step in this work was to study the effect of pH on TC adsorption. To determine the optimum pH at which maximum adsorption could be achieved, the initial pH of the solutions (50 mL, initial concentration 50 and 100 mg L⁻¹) was adjusted from 3 to 11 using 0.1 M HCl or
NaOH. The adsorbent dosage and the agitation time were fixed at 1 g L⁻¹ and 4 h, respectively. In addition, another set of brown flasks containing the same concentrations of TC without the adsorbent were used as blanks to investigate whether pH of the adsorbate solution would have some effects on TC adsorption.

Effect of contact time and kinetic studies

The experiments for investigating the effect of contact time and adsorption kinetics were carried out by adding 1 g L⁻¹ of the adsorbent into the initial TC concentrations of 25, 50, and 100 mg L⁻¹. The contact time ranged from 10 to 420 min, and when the predetermined time elapsed, the sample was withdrawn and filtered using a syringe membrane filter with the pore size of 0.22 μm. The filtrate was kept in a refrigerator below 4 °C before the measurement of the residual TC concentration. It is worth noting that the final pH of the adsorbate solution was close to the initial pH (4.7). For kinetics studies, nonlinear forms of the pseudo-first-order, pseudo-second-order, general-order kinetic models, and intra-particle diffusion models were used to evaluate the kinetic data. These models are given by Equations (1)–(4), respectively (Weber & Morris 1963; Ho 2006; Liu & Liu 2008; Cardoso et al. 2011b; Machado et al. 2012).

\[
q_t = q_e \cdot [1 - \exp(-k_f \cdot t)]
\]

(1)

\[
q_t = \frac{k_f \times q_e^2 \times t}{1 + q_e \times k_f \times t}
\]

(2)

\[
q_t = \frac{q_e}{[k_N(q_e)^n-1 \times t \times (n - 1) + 1]^{1/n}}
\]

(3)

\[
q_t = k_{id} \sqrt{t} + C
\]

(4)

where \(t\) is the contact time (min); \(q_t\) is the amount of adsorbate adsorbed at time \(t\) (mg g⁻¹); \(q_e\) is the amount of adsorbate adsorbed at the equilibrium (mg g⁻¹); \(k_f\) is the pseudo-first-order rate constant (min⁻¹); \(k_n\) is the pseudo-second-order rate constant (g mg⁻¹ min⁻¹); \(k_N\) is the general order rate constant [min⁻¹ × (g mg⁻¹)ⁿ⁻¹]; \(n\) is the order of kinetic adsorption (could be an integral or a fractional number); \(k_{id}\) is the intra-particle diffusion rate constant (mg g⁻¹ h⁻⁰.⁵); and \(C\) is a constant related to the thickness of boundary layer (mg g⁻¹) (Machado et al. 2012; dos Santos et al. 2014).

Equilibrium studies

To obtain the adsorption isotherms, 1 g L⁻¹ of the Zn-AC were added to TC solutions with the concentration ranging from 10 mg L⁻¹ to 250 mg L⁻¹, using a contact time of 4 h and original pH of solution (4.0–5.0). In this work, nonlinear equations of the Langmuir (Langmuir 1918), Freundlich (Freundlich 1906), Liu (Liu et al. 2003), and Redlich–Peterson (Redlich & Peterson 1959) isotherm models, as respectively shown in Equations (5)–(8), were used to fit the experimental data. These models are widely used to fit the adsorption of various contaminants on carbon based adsorbent such as activated carbon (Saucier et al. 2003), biochars (Mohan et al. 2011), and CNTs (Shirmardi et al. 2013).

\[
q_e = \frac{Q_{max} \times K_L \times C_e}{1 + K_L \times C_e}
\]

(5)

\[
q_e = K_F \times C_e^{1/n_F}
\]

(6)

\[
q_e = \frac{Q_{max} \times (K_g \times C_e)^n_F}{1 + (K_g \times C_e)^n_L}
\]

(7)

\[
q_e = \frac{K_{RP} \times C_e}{1 + a_{RP} \times C_e^g}
\]

(8)

where \(q_e\) is the amount of adsorbate (TC) adsorbed at the equilibrium (mg g⁻¹); \(C_e\) is the equilibrium concentration of the adsorbate (mg L⁻¹); \(Q_{max}\) is the maximum adsorption capacity of the adsorbent (mg g⁻¹); \(K_F\) is the Freundlich equilibrium constant [mg g⁻¹ × (mg L⁻¹)⁻¹]; \(K_g\) is the Liu equilibrium constant (L mg⁻¹); \(n_F\) and \(n_L\) are the dimensionless exponents of Freundlich and Liu models, respectively. \(K_{RP}\) and \(a_{RP}\) are Redlich–Peterson constants with the respective units of L g⁻¹ and (mg L⁻¹)⁻¹, and \(g\) is the Redlich–Peterson exponent (dimensionless) whose value should be ≤1.

Effect of Zn-AC dosage

The Zn-AC at different dosages (0.25, 0.5, 0.75, 1, 1.25, 1.5, 2, 3 and 3.5 g L⁻¹) were added to the flasks containing three initial TC concentrations of 50, 75, and 100 mg L⁻¹. The other variables such as contact time and solution pH were 4 h and original pH of the solutions, respectively.
Effect of anions and cations on the adsorption

In order to study the effects of different anions and cations on the adsorption of TC, 3 g L\(^{-1}\) of the Zn-AC were added to the solutions containing 1 mM sodium salts of nitrate, bicarbonate, sulfate anions or chloride salts of cations such as potassium, magnesium, nickel (II), lithium, and iron (III) ions. In addition, the initial TC concentration and pH of the solutions were 100 mg L\(^{-1}\) and original pH, respectively. Other parameters were kept constant. One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) test was used to compare the results of this run.

TC determination

At the end of each run, after filtering, the residual concentration of TC in the solution was analyzed by a HPLC instrument, model Knauer with UV-Vis detector, operating at the wavelength of 360 nm. The instrument was equipped with an Eurospher column (5 μm 4.6 mm \(\times\) 250 mm) as well as an ultimate variable wavelength UV detection 2,500. The column temperature, the injection volume of sample, and the flow rate were 35 °C, 100 μL, and 1 mL min\(^{-1}\), respectively. The mobile phase used for elution was a mixture of oxalic acid (0.01 M): methanol: acetonitrile with a volumetric ratio of 70:10:20. The residual concentration of TC in the solutions was calculated from the areas under the curves extrapolated automatically by the software. The retention time of TC was about 6.7 min. Similar procedure for TC determination was used elsewhere (Alavi et al. 2015).

The TC removal, and amount of TC adsorbed at time \(t\) \((q_t,\text{mg g}^{-1})\) and at equilibrium \((q_e,\text{mg g}^{-1})\) were calculated through the following equations (Equations (9)–(11)), respectively (Shirmardi et al. 2013a, 2013b):  

TC removal \(\%_t = \frac{(C_o - C_t)}{C_o} \times 100\) \hspace{1cm} (9)  

\[q_t = \frac{(C_o - C_t) \times V}{m}\] \hspace{1cm} (10)  

\[q_e = \frac{(C_o - C_e) \times V}{m}\] \hspace{1cm} (11)  

where \(C_o\) and \(C_e\) (mg L\(^{-1}\)) are the initial and equilibrium concentrations of TC in the solution; \(m\) (g) is the mass of Zn-AC; \(V\) (L) is the volume of the solution; \(C_t\) (mg L\(^{-1}\)) and \(q_t\) (mg g\(^{-1}\)) are the concentration of TC at time \(t\) and the amount of TC adsorbed onto the Zn-AC at time \(t\), respectively.

Statistical evaluation of kinetic and isotherm parameters

In this work, the kinetic and equilibrium data were fitted by employing a nonlinear method, with successive interactions calculated by the Levenberg–Marquardt method. Interactions were also calculated using the Simplex method based on the nonlinear fitting facilities of the OriginPro 2015 software. The suitability of the models was evaluated using a determination coefficient \((R^2)\), an adjusted determination coefficient \((R^2_{adj})\), and a standard deviation (SD). The SD is an indication of the difference between the theoretical value of \(q\) predicted by the model and the actual \(q\) measured experimentally. The respective mathematical expressions of \(R^2\), \(R^2_{adj}\), and SD are respectively given below by Equations (12)–(14).

\[R^2 = \frac{\sum^n_i (q_i,exp - q_i,model)^2}{\sum^n_i (q_i,exp - \bar{q}_i)^2}\] \hspace{1cm} (12)  

\[R^2_{adj} = 1 - (1 - R^2) \times \left(\frac{n - 1}{n - p - 1}\right)\] \hspace{1cm} (13)  

\[SD = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n - p} \times \sum^n_i (q_i,exp - q_i,model)^2}\] \hspace{1cm} (14)  

In these equations, \(q_i,exp\) represents individual value of \(q\) measured experimentally; \(q_i,model\) represents individual value of \(q\) predicted by the fitted model; \(\bar{q}_i\) represents the average value of \(q\) measured experimentally; \(n\) is the number of experiments performed; \(p\) represents the number of parameters of the fitted model (Saucier et al. 2015b; Shirmardi et al. 2016).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Characterization of the Zn-AC

The FESEM images of the prepared Zn-AC at different magnifications are shown in Figure 1. It can be seen from
Figure 1 that the Zn-AC has macro-pores with different size and round shape, and the external surface of ZnCl₂ treated activated carbon (Zn-AC) is full of cavities. Although, the reason for the formation of the cavities on the chemically activated carbon is not clear, it seems that the cavities resulted from the evaporation of ZnCl₂ used for activation during carbonization, leaving the space previously occupied by ZnCl₂ as the activating agent (Kula et al. 2013; Timur et al. 2014). Since few numbers of macro-pores are present on the outer surface of an activated carbon, the great development of macro-pores is very important, because it has been reported in the literature (Pastor-Villegas et al. 2006) that macro-pore structure can serve as a passage for an adsorbate to reach meso and micro-pores of the activated carbon adsorbent. The pores and cavities of the Zn-AC provide good possibility for TC to be adsorbed, as demonstrated in the next sections. The amount of C, H, N, S, and O elements in the composition of the activated carbon is presented in Table 2. The specific surface area of the Zn-AC calculated according to BET method was found to be 224 m² g⁻¹. The XRD pattern of the Zn-AC is shown in Figure 2. The characteristic peaks of activated carbon observed at 2θ = 24° and 2θ = 42° correspond to the reflections of the (002) and (100) planes, respectively, confirming that the Zn-AC activated carbon is in an amorphous state (Jache et al. 2012; Shang et al. 2015).

Effect of solution pH

It is well known that the solution pH is a very influential factor governing the adsorption because solution pH can

Table 2 | Quantitative results of CHNS-O analysis of the Zn-AC

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>H</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>S</th>
<th>O*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Weight (%) dry basis</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>11.45</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*By difference.
affect the surface charge of an adsorbent as well as the speciation of an adsorbate and degree of ionization. Figure 3 shows the effect of solution pH on the adsorption of TC onto the Zn-AC. The solution pH has no significant effect on TC adsorption onto the Zn-AC except for solution pH higher than 9. The adsorption percentage remains almost unchanged and there is no observable change in the adsorption of TC onto the Zn-AC as the solution pH is increased from 3 to 9. However, TC adsorption percentage decreases relatively considerably as the pH is increased from 9 to 11. For the initial TC concentration of 50 mg L\(^{-1}\), the removal percentage decreases from 62 to 52% when the solution pH is increased from 3 to 11 (Figure 3). The relationship between the solution pH and TC adsorption can be related to both the surface charges and properties of the adsorbent and the pH-dependent speciation of TC antibiotic. Changing the solution pH cannot only affect the protonation-deprotonation transition of functional groups on an adsorbent but also can result in a change in chemical speciation of organic compounds (Zhang et al. 2010, 2011a). TC (symbolized as H\(_2\)L) is an amphoteric molecule having multiple ionizable functional groups (such as amino, phenol, and alcohol), depending on the solution pH. TC has three pKa values (3.30, 7.68 and 9.68), thus it exists as cation (H\(_3\)L\(^+\)) due to the protonation of dimethyl-ammonium group when solution pH is below 3.3. At pH between 3.3 and 7.68, due to the loss of a proton from the phenolic diketone moiety, TC exists as a zwitterion (H\(_2\)L\(^0\)). At solution pH greater than 7.68, TC exists as anions (HL\(^-\) and L\(^-\)) because of the loss of protons from the tri-carbonyl system and phenolic diketone moiety (Kang et al. 2011; Zhang et al. 2011a). At pH between 3.3 and 7.7, the most dominant adsorption mechanism is probably the non-electrostatic π-π dispersion interaction between bulk π systems on the Zn-AC surface and TC molecules contained both benzene rings and double bonds (C=C, C=O), or hydrophobic interaction between the Zn-AC and TC (Zhang et al. 2011a). In this pH range, TC exists as zwitterion, nearly all TC molecules carry no net electrical charge, and there is almost no electrostatic attraction or repulsion between TC molecules and the adsorbent. Thus, the increase of pH from 3 to 9 has no remarkable influence on the adsorption affinity of TC onto the Zn-AC. At pH above 9, the reduction in the adsorption of TC is due to the fact that with higher solution pH, the surface of the Zn-AC is negatively charged; therefore, a repulsive electrostatic interaction is established between the surface of the adsorbent and TC species (HL\(^-\) and L\(^-\)), which reduces the TC adsorption (Rivera-Utrilla et al. 2013). It should be noted that the pH of the solutions was not fixed for the rest of our experiments since the pH of the working solutions prepared was in the range of 4–5, in which TC molecules are dominantly present as zwitterion.

**Kinetic studies**

In the treatment of aqueous effluents by adsorption process, it is important to evaluate adsorption kinetics because some valuable pieces of information on the reaction pathways as well as on the possible mechanisms involved in the adsorption process can be obtained from adsorption kinetics (Cardoso et al. 2011b). The kinetic plots for the adsorption of TC onto the Zn-AC are shown in Figure 4(a)–4(f). It is found from Figure 4 that the adsorption of TC is faster during the initial stages so that for the initial concentrations of 25 and 50 mg L\(^{-1}\), 90% of the total removal occurs during the first 30 min of contact time. For the initial concentration of 100 mg L\(^{-1}\), 84% of the total removal takes place during
the first 30 min of the adsorption. The adsorption then becomes slow and finally reaches equilibrium at approximately 120 min for all the studied concentrations. The fitting parameters of the kinetic models are presented in Table 3. In addition to the adjusted $R^2$ values, the SD values were also used to explain the suitability of the fitted model since the experimental data were fitted to the nonlinear kinetic models. A higher SD value is an indication showing that a higher deviation exists between $q$ value calculated theoretically by the model and $q$ value measured experimentally. It has been reported in the literature (Prola et al. 2015) that the number of parameters in nonlinear models could have influence on the fitted curves. Therefore, due to this fact, we took into consideration the number of parameters of each model ($p$ term in Equation (15)) for calculating the SD values and evaluating the kinetic models.

Figure 4 | Kinetic curves for the adsorption of TC onto the Zn-AC at 293 K: (a) $C_0 = 25$ mg L$^{-1}$; (b) $C_0 = 50$ mg L$^{-1}$; (c) $C_0 = 100$ mg L$^{-1}$; (d), (e), and (f) are intra-particle diffusion model for $C_0 = 25$, 50, and 100 mg L$^{-1}$, respectively. Conditions: original pH; adsorbent dosage 1 g L$^{-1}$. Error bars represent the SD of two replicate experiments.
The minimum SD value was used to divide the SD value of each model (SD ratio); and, subsequently, the SD ratio value was utilized to compare the fitness of each individual model. For the initial TC concentrations of 25, 50, and 100 mg L\(^{-1}\), the lowest SD values were obtained for the general order kinetic model. In this work, the SD value for the pseudo-first-order kinetic model varies from 0.497 to 1.70 for the evaluated concentrations. Whereas the SD values of the pseudo-second-order and general order kinetic models are in the range of 0.33 to 0.593 and 0.317 to 0.343, respectively (Table 3). For TC concentration of 25 mg L\(^{-1}\), the SD ratio values of the pseudo-first-order, pseudo-second-order, and general order kinetic models are 1.57, 1.018, and 1, respectively, while the corresponding values for TC concentration in the range of 0.33 to 0.593 and 0.317 to 0.343, respectively (Table 3).

The results obtained by the fitted models clearly show that the general order kinetic model better explains the adsorption of TC onto the Zn-AC because this model exhibits the lowest SD ratio values compared to the other kinetic models. Additionally, this model shows the highest \(R^2_{\text{adj}}\) values, as well as the \(q_e\) values predicted by the general order kinetic model are relatively closer to the \(q_e\) values measured experimentally. It should be taken into account that the general order kinetic model has different orders (n) when the adsorbate concentration is changed (see Table 3); thus, it is difficult to compare the parameters of the kinetic model. Therefore, the initial sorption rate (\(h_0\)) is a useful tool to evaluate the kinetics of a given model using the following equation (Equation (15)).

\[
h_0 = KN \times q_e^n
\]

where \(h_0\) is the initial sorption rate (mg g\(^{-1}\) min\(^{-1}\)); \(K_N\) is the rate constant [min\(^{-1}\) (mg g\(^{-1}\))\(^n\)]; \(q_e\) is the amount of adsorbate adsorbed at equilibrium (mg g\(^{-1}\)), and \(n\) is the order of the kinetic model. It is worth noting that when \(n = 2\), this equation is the same as the initial sorption rate developed by Ho & Mckay (1988). The general order kinetic model provided the most confident initial sorption rates (\(h_0\)) because our experimental kinetic data were better described by this model. Based on the general order kinetic model, the order of an adsorption process should follow the same logic as in a chemical reaction, where the order of reaction is experimentally measured (Machado et al. 2012) instead of being confined by a given model. The intra-particle diffusion model was also used to investigate the influence of mass transfer resistance on the binding of TC to the adsorbent, Zn-AC (see Table 3 and Figure 4(d)–4(f)). Intra-particle diffusion constant (\(k_{id}\)) in terms of mg g\(^{-1}\) h\(^{-0.5}\) can be obtained from the slope of the plot of \(q_t\) (the amount adsorbed at any time) versus the square root of time. The plots of \(q_t\) versus \(t^{0.5}\) are shown in Figure 4(d)–4(f) for the three initial TC concentrations. The plots have multi-linearity relationship indicating that the adsorption process involves more than one adsorption rate (Alencar et al. 2012). Each line can be attributed to each stage of the adsorption process. Accordingly, the process in which TC molecules diffuse through the solution to the external surface of the adsorbent can be referred to the first linear section, which is the fastest sorption stage, can be regarded as external surface adsorption or instantaneous adsorption (Ribas et al. 2014). The second stage is a delayed process, and can be attributed to the intra-particle diffusion (dos Santos et al. 2014). The third portion is obtained after the equilibrium and describes diffusion through smaller pores (dos Santos et al. 2014). The kinetic studies reveal that the

<p>| Table 3 | Kinetic parameters obtained from the nonlinear models for the adsorption of TC onto the Zn-AC |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Kinetic model</th>
<th>TC concentration mg L(^{-1})</th>
<th>Pseudo-first-order</th>
<th>Pseudo-second-order</th>
<th>General order</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>25</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pseudo-first-order</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(K_i) (min(^{-1}))</td>
<td>0.1521</td>
<td>0.1137</td>
<td>0.1116</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(q_e) (mg g(^{-1}))</td>
<td>24.09</td>
<td>32.25</td>
<td>37.65</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(h_0) (mg g(^{-1}) min(^{-1}))</td>
<td>3.664</td>
<td>3.667</td>
<td>4.202</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD (mg g(^{-1}))</td>
<td>0.4974</td>
<td>0.8158</td>
<td>1.706</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(R^2_{\text{adj}})</td>
<td>0.9936</td>
<td>0.9907</td>
<td>0.9705</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pseudo-second-order</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(K_i) (mg g(^{-1}) min(^{-1}))</td>
<td>0.01610</td>
<td>0.007122</td>
<td>0.005620</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(q_e) (mg g(^{-1}))</td>
<td>24.74</td>
<td>33.49</td>
<td>39.29</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(h_0) (mg g(^{-1}) min(^{-1}))</td>
<td>9.849</td>
<td>7.991</td>
<td>8.674</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD (mg g(^{-1}))</td>
<td>0.3227</td>
<td>0.3784</td>
<td>0.5930</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(R^2_{\text{adj}})</td>
<td>0.9973</td>
<td>0.9980</td>
<td>0.9964</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General order</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(K_N) [min(^{-1}) (mg g(^{-1}))(^n)]</td>
<td>0.02948</td>
<td>0.01568</td>
<td>3.042 \times 10^{-4}</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(q_e) (mg g(^{-1}))</td>
<td>24.51</td>
<td>33.04</td>
<td>41.59</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(n)</td>
<td>1.728</td>
<td>1.719</td>
<td>2.918</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(h_0) (mg g(^{-1}) min(^{-1}))</td>
<td>7.412</td>
<td>6.395</td>
<td>16.13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD (mg g(^{-1}))</td>
<td>0.3171</td>
<td>0.3332</td>
<td>0.3434</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(R^2_{\text{adj}})</td>
<td>0.9974</td>
<td>0.9985</td>
<td>0.9988</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intra-particle diffusion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(k_{id}) (mg g(^{-1}) h(^{-0.5}))</td>
<td>1.646</td>
<td>3.465</td>
<td>3.410</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(R^2)</td>
<td>0.9962</td>
<td>0.9860</td>
<td>0.9938</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(a\)Second zone.
minimum contact time to reach the equilibrium for the adsorption of TC onto the Zn-AC is about 120 min. For the rest of our experiments, the contact time was fixed at 240 min to ensure that the equilibrium would be attained between the adsorbate even at higher concentrations and the Zn-AC adsorbent (Cardoso et al. 2014b).

**Equilibrium studies**

Adsorption isotherms describe how the adsorbate molecules distribute between the liquid phase and the solid phase when the adsorption process reaches an equilibrium state. In other words, at a constant temperature, adsorption isotherms are used to describe the relationship between the amount of adsorbate adsorbed by the adsorbent \( q_e \) and the adsorbate concentration remaining in the solution after the system has reached the equilibrium state \( C_e \). In addition, some information about adsorption mechanism, the affinity of the adsorbate to the adsorbent, and surface properties can be obtained from the adsorption parameters of equilibrium models. In this study, the isotherms of adsorption of TC onto the Zn-AC were carried out at 293 K using the optimum experimental conditions described previously. Figure 5 shows the adsorption isotherms of TC onto the Zn-AC, and the other parameters predicted by the nonlinear adsorption models are presented in Table 4. Based on the SD values, it is clear that the Langmuir model do not describe the equilibrium data well since this model shows the highest SD value compared with the other three models. On the other hand, the Redlich–Peterson model presents the lowest SD value indicating this model provides the best fit to the experimental data; however, it should be stressed that the Freundlich and Liu models also fit the data well, but their SD values are slightly greater than the SD value of the Redlich–Peterson model (Table 4). The SD ratio was used to compare the studied isotherm models. The procedure used for calculating the SD ratio is described in the previous section (kinetic studies). The SD values of the Langmuir, Freundlich, and Liu models are 2.27, 1.06, and 1.09 times higher than the SD value obtained for the Redlich–Peterson model (Table 4). Overall, since the Redlich–Peterson model provides the highest adjusted R\(^2\) and the lowest SD values, this model best describes the equilibrium data. The maximum amount of TC adsorbed onto the Zn-AC \( (Q_{\text{max}}) \) predicted by the Liu model is 282.06 mg g\(^{-1}\) indicating this adsorbent is a good adsorbent for the removal of TC from aqueous solutions.

![Figure 5](https://iwaponline.com/wst/article-pdf/74/10/2349/457161/wst074102349.pdf)

Figure 5 | Isotherm curves for the adsorption of TC onto the Zn-AC at 293 K. Conditions: original pH, adsorbent dosage 1 g L\(^{-1}\), contact time between the adsorbent and the adsorbate 4 h. Error bars represent the SD of two replicate experiments.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Isotherm model</th>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Langmuir</td>
<td>( Q_{\text{max}} ) (mg g(^{-1}))</td>
<td>44.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( K_L ) (L mg(^{-1}))</td>
<td>1.411</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( R^2_{\text{adj}} )</td>
<td>0.8452</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SD (mg g(^{-1}))</td>
<td>5.946</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freundlich</td>
<td>( K_F ) [mg g(^{-1}) (mg L(^{-1}))(^{1/nF})]</td>
<td>21.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( n_F )</td>
<td>5.861</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( R^2_{\text{adj}} )</td>
<td>0.9664</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SD (mg g(^{-1}))</td>
<td>2.769</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liu</td>
<td>( Q_{\text{max}} ) (mg g(^{-1}))</td>
<td>282.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( K_L ) (L mg(^{-1}))</td>
<td>2.773 \times 10(^{-6})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( n_L )</td>
<td>0.1951</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( R^2_{\text{adj}} )</td>
<td>0.9643</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SD (mg g(^{-1}))</td>
<td>2.855</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Redlich–Peterson</td>
<td>( K_{\text{RP}} ) L g(^{-1})</td>
<td>284.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( a_{\text{RP}} ) (mg L(^{-1}))(^{\delta})</td>
<td>11.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( g )</td>
<td>0.8517</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( R^2_{\text{adj}} )</td>
<td>0.9698</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SD (mg g(^{-1}))</td>
<td>2.625</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Effect of adsorbent dosage**

Adsorbent dosage is another important parameter for determination of adsorption capacity. The effect of adsorbent
dosage was investigated for the initial TC concentrations of 50, 75, and 100 mg L$^{-1}$ by adding various amounts (in the range of 0.25–3.5 g L$^{-1}$) of the Zn-AC to the TC solutions. Figure 6 shows the removal percentage of TC as a function of the Zn-AC dosage. The percentage of TC removal increases with the increase of adsorbent dosage for the three initial concentrations evaluated. This increase could be attributed to the increase in the adsorbent surface area, which increases the number of adsorption sites available for adsorption, as already reported in several papers (Cardoso et al. 2014a; Babaei et al. 2016; Shirmardi et al. 2016).

On the other hand, for the three initial TC concentrations, by increasing the adsorbent dosage from 0.25 g L$^{-1}$ to 3.5 g L$^{-1}$, the amount of TC adsorbed onto the Zn-AC decreases remarkably (Figure 6). This phenomenon can be explained through two aspects. First, at a fixed concentration and volume of TC, the increase of the adsorbent dosage will lead to unsaturation of adsorption sites through the adsorption process; second, the particle aggregation, due to higher mass of the adsorbent, may result in a reduction in the adsorbent capacity. Therefore, such aggregation would lead to a decrease in the total surface area of the adsorbent and an increase in the diffusional path length (Royer et al. 2009). It is noteworthy that the removal percentages do not attain 100% with increasing the adsorbent dosage up to 3.5 g L$^{-1}$ (Figure 6) confirming the particle aggregation of the adsorbent hindered complete removal of TC. In this study, since a significant increase in the removal percentage was not observed for the adsorbent quantity greater than 3 g L$^{-1}$ for the three studied concentrations, the adsorbent dosage of 3 g L$^{-1}$ was selected as an optimum dosage for our next experiments.

**Effect of potential interferents**

The effects of inorganic cations (Li$^+$, K$^+$, Mg$^{2+}$, Ca$^{2+}$, Ni$^{2+}$ and Fe$^{3+}$) and anions (HCO$_3^-$, NO$_3^-$, and SO$_4^{2-}$) that could interfere in the adsorption of TC onto the Zn-AC were investigated using batch adsorption experiments, and the results are shown in Figure 7. The concentration of anions such as NO$_3^-$, HCO$_3^-$, and SO$_4^{2-}$ and most of cations such as Li$^+$, K$^+$, Mg$^{2+}$, Ca$^{2+}$, Ni$^{2+}$ and Fe$^{3+}$ in surface water is usually less than 1 mM, as reported in the literature (Hem 1985); therefore, 1 mM salts of these anions and cations were used to evaluate their effects on TC adsorption. We used the ANOVA test to compare the adsorption of TC in the presence of the anions and cations with TC adsorption in the absence of the tested anions and cations (sample containing only the adsorbent and 100 mg L$^{-1}$ TC). The ANOVA test results (Table 5) indicated that all tested anions and cations affected and decreased TC adsorption ($p<0.05$). It should be noted that the presence of Fe$^{3+}$ and Ni$^{2+}$ decreases TC adsorption significantly, so that the removal percentage decreases from 96.9% to 70.1% for Fe$^{3+}$ ion and from 96.9% to 74.8% for Ni$^{2+}$ ion (Figure 7). The decrease in the adsorption of TC due to the presence of other anions and cations is less than 10% (Figure 7); the decrease in the adsorption of TC in the presence of anions and cations could be considered as a competition between the ions and TC species to adsorb on active sites.
of the adsorbent. The higher decrease in the adsorption of TC onto the Zn-AC due to the presence of Fe$^{3+}$ and Ni$^{2+}$ may be attributed to the highest tendency of Fe$^{3+}$ and Ni$^{2+}$ to adhere to the negatively charged Zn-AC, which in turn reduces ion interaction sites (or available sites) on the surface of the Zn-AC for TC adsorption. The results of this study are in good agreement with the results of the other researchers. For instance, Zhao et al. (2011b) reported that in the presence of five types of cations (Li$^+$, Na$^+$, K$^+$, Ca$^{2+}$, and Mg$^{2+}$) the adsorption of TC on kaolinite decreased in accordance with the increase of atomic radius and valence of metal cations. They attributed the decrease in the adsorption to outer-sphere complexes formed between TC and kaolinite as well as the existence of competitor ions. Liu et al. (2012) investigated the removal of TC from water by Fe-Mn binary oxide reported that the presence of cations and anions such as Ca$^{2+}$, Mg$^{2+}$, CO$_3^{2-}$ and SO$_4^{2-}$ had no significant effect on the TC removal in their experimental conditions, while SiO$_2^{4-}$ and PO$_4^{3-}$ hindered the adsorption of TC. Zhao et al. (2011a) evaluated the adsorption of TC onto goethite in the presence of metal cations and humic substances. They stated that at the studied pH range, the presence of five background electrolyte cations (Li$^+$, Na$^+$, K$^+$, Ca$^{2+}$, and Mg$^{2+}$) with a concentration of 0.01 M had little effect on the TC adsorption. The adsorption of four pharmaceuticals (carbamazepine, diclofenac, ibuprofen, and ketoprofen) to silica as a function of ionic strength, anions, cations, and natural organic matter was investigated by Bui & Choi (2010). The authors reported that the tested anions did not significantly affect the adsorption of these pharmaceuticals to silica ($p > 0.05$). Their results also showed that the divalent cations did not significantly affect the adsorption of carbamazepine and diclofenac; however, divalent cations at low concentrations (1 mM) increased the adsorption of ibuprofen and ketoprofen. In contrast with the present study, in that study, the presence of Fe$^{3+}$ significantly increased the adsorption of the pharmaceuticals, but in our study, it significantly decreased the adsorption of TC. This difference may be ascribed to the different structure of both adsorbate and adsorbent used in the studies. Blank experiments were also run for all anions and cations at the same conditions to observe the changes in the initial concentration of TC because of possible reactions between TC and the tested anions and cations. The change in TC concentration in the blank samples was less than 2% for all cations and anions.

### CONCLUSIONS

The adsorption of TC antibiotic onto the Zn-AC as a low cost adsorbent was carried out by using a batch adsorption technique. The effects of different operational parameters such as pH of solution, adsorbent dosage, interferents cations and anions, contact time, and initial TC concentration were evaluated. The solution pH in the range of 3–9 had no considerable effect on TC adsorption. The adsorption of TC onto the adsorbent was relatively fast and reached the equilibrium after about 120 min. The results of ANOVA test showed that all the studied cations and anions affected and decreased the adsorption of TC onto the Zn-AC; however, this decrease in the removal percentage was strongly significant in the presence of Fe$^{3+}$ and Ni$^{2+}$ ions. The experimental data were fitted to three non-linear kinetic models, and the general order kinetic model best described the kinetic of TC adsorption. Equilibrium data were fitted to four known isotherm models, and the Redlich–Peterson model gave the best fit. The results of the present study indicate that Zn-AC can be a good alternative low cost adsorbent for the removal of TC and other pollutants from aqueous solutions.
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