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ABSTRACT
A biosorption column and a settling tank were operated for 6 months with combined municipal and industrial wastewaters (1 m³/hr) to study the effect of dissolved oxygen (DO) levels and Fe³⁺ dosage on removal efficiency of dissolved and suspended organics prior to biological treatment. High DO (>0.4 mg/L) were found to be detrimental for soluble chemical oxygen demand (COD) removals and iron dosing (up to 20 ppm) did not improve the overall performance. The system performed significantly better at high loading rate (>20 kg COD·m⁻³·d⁻¹) where suspended solids and COD removals were greater than 80% and 60%, respectively. This is a significant improvement compared to the conventional primary sedimentation tank, and the process is a promising alternative for the pre-treatment of industrial wastewater.
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INTRODUCTION
Conventional wastewater treatment plants include a primary sedimentation tank (PST) to remove suspended solids and organics. These units operate typically at 2–3 hours' hydraulic residence time (HRT) and suffer from low efficiencies. This results in high aeration costs in the subsequent biological tanks to degrade the organic matter. A possible alternative to PST is the A/B (adsorption/bio-oxidation) process, which comprises a high rate activated sludge process (A-stage), which removes chemical oxygen demand (COD) primarily by bioflocculation, adsorption, bioaccumulation
and settling, followed by biological nutrient removal (BNR) (B-stage). In the A-stage, COD removal by activated sludge is preceded by rapid physicochemical adsorption of organic matter on active sites of bioflocs (biosorption) and intracellular storage depending on the pH, dissolved oxygen (DO), residence time, organic loading, type of organics (particulate, colloidal or soluble) and microorganisms (Lim et al. 2015). In some circumstances, up to 60% of incoming COD can be removed by the intracellular mechanism versus 40% by the surface sorption mechanism at 15 minutes’ contact time. Table 1 lists typical performances of A-stage processes obtained with municipal wastewaters.

The advantages realized by operating the A/B process are the overall reduction of the total biological reactor and clarifier volumes, reduced aeration requirement, and the redirection of more sewage carbon to anaerobic digestion for biogas generation. The main objective of an A-stage is to produce large amounts of raw waste sludge that can be converted to biogas by anaerobic digestion and reduce the organic load on the subsequent BNR process. As a result of reducing the organic load and providing a more stable influent to the BNR process, the aeration capacity and tank volume of the B-stage can be reduced. The A-stage can also be a buffer against shock loads and inhibitory industrial inputs to the B-stage biological process.

Biosorption has been reported to be suitable for wastewaters containing high suspended solids and colloids concentrations. The main parameters to consider are the solid residence time (SRT), HRT, aeration control, velocity gradient inside the contact tank, settling time, concentration of mixed liquor suspended solids (MLSS), sludge recycle ratio and temperature. Under low SRT and HRT, the COD removal is due to adsorption and bio-floculation and the degradation of organic compounds by metabolism is avoided. Biodegradation of organic matter typically represents less than 10% of the incoming COD load (Guellil et al. 2001; Haider et al. 2003; Hernández Leal et al. 2010). Furthermore, coagulant dosage to the influent of the A-stage may enhance the removal of the carbon by precipitation onto bioflocs.

Biosorption does not exceed 10–15 minutes to reach equilibrium (Guellil et al. 2001). Yu et al. (2014) reported that biosorption of the colloidal fraction in batch tests reached equilibrium after 10 minutes, while 45 minutes were required for the soluble fraction. Under optimal conditions and with municipal wastewater, COD removal can reach 70–80% (30% of it is soluble chemical oxygen demand (SCOD)) and about 80 to 95% of total suspended solids (TSS) can be removed (Zhao et al. 2000).

Biosorption sludge contains aggregates of microorganisms, adsorbed organic matter and extracellular polymeric substances (EPS). EPS are highly charged polymers (proteins, polysaccharides, lipids, glycolipids and glycoproteins) which are excreted by microorganisms or produced by cell lysis and hydrolysis. The main mechanisms include charge neutralization, hydrophobic interactions and bridging (Vogelaar et al. 2005). The effectiveness of bridging depends on the molecular weight of EPS, the charge of the polymer and the particle, the ionic strength and the mixing. Divalent cations may improve the biosorption efficiency of activated sludge due to the negative charge of EPS (Keiding & Nielsen 1997). These authors showed that small particles in wastewater have a negative surface charge density, and a change in the repulsive forces due to calcium concentration and ionic strength can cause floc disintegration.

Diamantis et al. (2015) operated a biosorption step as pre-treatment to ultrafiltration at laboratory scale with diluted (<300 mg/L COD) and concentrated (≈400–700 mg/L COD) municipal wastewater to study the removal

### Table 1 | Typical parameters of A-stage processes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters (biosorption/settling) tanks</th>
<th>Chemical aid</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Influent COD (mg/L)</td>
<td>HRT (h)</td>
<td>SRT (day)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>400–700</td>
<td>0.9/1.8</td>
<td>0.3–0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>171 (COD) 107 (SS)</td>
<td>0.58/1.5</td>
<td>NR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45–500 (COD) 200–600 (SS)</td>
<td>0.5/1.5</td>
<td>1.1–2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>700</td>
<td>1/1</td>
<td>NR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NR</td>
<td>0.21–0.61/1.47–4.3</td>
<td>0.5–0.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NR: not reported.
of organics (particulate and soluble COD) and recovery of nutrients (total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN), ammonia and phosphorus). The HRT was 0.9 h and 1.8 h in the biosorption and sedimentation tanks, respectively, and the SRT in the system was 0.3–0.5 days. They found that removal of particulate COD was significantly higher when concentrated wastewater (400–700 mg/L COD, on average 524 mg/L) was used, while soluble COD removal was improved with iron supplementation (FeSO₄ at 20 mg Fe²⁺/L). The addition of coagulant is known to enhance the biosorption capacity by co-precipitating iron phosphate and soluble carbon onto bioflocs.

In this study, the objective of the A-stage pilot plant, with a capacity of 1 m³/hour, was to evaluate the removal of particulate and soluble organics from a combined municipal-industrial wastewater and to study the effect of DO, SRT, organic loading rate (OLR) and ferric chloride dosage. Conventional PSTs operate at 2–4 hours' HRT and achieve typically 30–35% biochemical oxygen demand (BOD) removals and 50–60% MLSS removals (Metcalf & Eddy 2014). There is therefore a need to study other technologies capable of removing more organics at similar residence time. Compared with municipal sewage, the combined municipal-industrial wastewater has an unknown composition and large fluctuation in water quality, and there is currently a lack of data on the applicability of A-stage treatment for high-strength industrial wastewater, especially at pilot scale. The objective was to determine the organics removals (MLSS, soluble and total COD) of the novel A-stage process operating at lower HRT than most conventional PST.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Combined municipal-industrial wastewater

Sewage and industrial wastewaters were collected from various local industries including petrochemical, chemical, electroplating, food processing and pharmaceuticals industries. The wastewater parameters were determined from a composite sample collected by auto samplers over a period of 24 hours.

A-stage pilot plant

The pilot plant comprised a sorption column (V = 0.5 m³; cylindrical; D = 0.4 m; water depth = 4 m) followed by a clarifier (V = 1.5 m³; circular; D = 1.1 m; Surface loading 1 m³/m²/hr). The simplified schematic diagram is depicted in Figure 1. The influent flow rate was fixed at 1 m³/hr and the overall hydraulic retention time was 2 hours.

A fraction of the settled solids was returned to the sorption column through the return activated sludge (RAS) line. The sludge volume of the RAS was estimated at 330 L, including the conical part (320 L) and the RAS pipe (10 L). The sludge concentrations in the sorption column were controlled by adjusting the RAS and waste activated sludge rates. The influent and effluent samples were composite samples collected automatically every hour over a period of 24 hours. The sorption column and RAS samples were grab samples from the sorption column and clarifier.

Figure 1 | Schematic diagram of the A-stage pilot plant.
bottom, respectively. The excess sludge’s total solids (TS), volatile solids (VS), total chemical oxygen demand (TCOD), SCOD, calorific value and the anaerobic biodegradability of the clarifier sludge samples were analyzed throughout the study.

**Effect of DO**

The pilot plant was operated for about 200 days. During the first 144 days, various DO levels were tested in the sorption column during tests 1 to 6. DO was controlled at 0.2, 0.4, 0.5, 0.7 and 1 mg/L through adjustment of the blower flow-rate with PID control. The experimental plan is shown in Table 2.

**Solid residence time**

The SRT was controlled by withdrawing sludge in the recirculation line. To adjust the amount of sludge withdrawn, the opening time of the automatic sludge discharge valve was adjusted to 5–10 min every hour. The SRT in the A-stage process was calculated as follows:

\[
\text{SRT} = \frac{\text{mass MLSS in the process (g MLSS)} \times \text{daily MLSS removal in effluent and}}{\text{WS automatic and manual removal (g MLSS/day)}} = \frac{V_{\text{sorption}} \cdot MLSS_{\text{sorption}} + V_{\text{RAS}} \cdot MLSS_{\text{RAS}}}{Q_{\text{Eff}} \cdot 24 \cdot MLSS_{\text{Eff}} + WS_{\text{auto}} \cdot Q_{\text{RAS}} \cdot 24 \cdot MLSS_{\text{RAS}} + WS_{\text{manual}} \cdot MLSS_{\text{RAS}}}
\]

**Ferric chloride dosage**

From day 145 to day 210, the addition of coagulant was considered to further enhance the sorption capacity by entrapment of dissolved organic matter in iron phosphate precipitates. In this study, ferric chloride (FeCl₃, 38%) was used as the coagulant. The dosage rates were adjusted at 5 ppm (Test 7), 10 ppm (Test 8) and 20 ppm (Test 9) as Fe³⁺ in order to determine the optimum value, while keeping a constant DO of 0.4 mg/L (Table 2). In test 10, 20 ppm Fe³⁺ was tested together with a DO of 0.7 mg/L.

**Analytical methods**

**Influent, sorption column and effluent samples**

The MLSS, mixed liquor volatile suspended solids (MLVSS), SCOD and TCOD of the influent, sorption column and clarifier effluent were measured in triplicate as described in Standard Methods (APHA 2012). Their coefficient of variation (COV) for 10 identical samples was 4%, 3.1%, 1.9% and 1.6%, respectively. The BOD, TKN, NH₃-N, total phosphorus (TP) and PO₄-P of the influent, sorption column and clarifier effluent were measured in triplicate as described in Standard Methods (APHA 2012).

**Clarifier sludge samples**

The TS, VS, SCOD and TCOD of the clarifier sludge samples were measured in triplicate as described in

**Table 2** Summary of conditions tested on the A-stage process

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test</th>
<th>Days</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>No. of runs</th>
<th>Experimental conditions</th>
<th>Influent</th>
<th>Reactor MLSS</th>
<th>RAS MLSS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SS mg/L</td>
<td>T-COD mg/L</td>
<td>S-COD mg/L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>14–71</td>
<td>4-9-13 to 18-10-13</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.95 0.20 0.21 10.8 0.52 0.0</td>
<td>1,155 1,553 527 5,272 20,069</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>74–96</td>
<td>6-11-13 to 20-11-13</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10.3 0.40 0.47 18.2 0.21 0.0</td>
<td>1,338 1,873 565 3,733 10,533</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>110–112</td>
<td>4-12-13 to 6-12-13</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.03 0.70 0.49 18.2 0.20 0.0</td>
<td>3,032 3,026 262 2,464 8,994</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>117–119</td>
<td>11-12-13 to 13-12-13</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.04 1.00 0.49 16.0 0.16 0.0</td>
<td>2,883 3,214 462 3,276 7,866</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>124–126</td>
<td>18-12-13 to 20-12-13</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.95 0.50 0.29 9.8 0.31 0.0</td>
<td>846 1,425 726 2,092 21,872</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>132–144</td>
<td>26-12-13 to 8-1-14</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.06 0.40 0.50 14.1 0.24 0.0</td>
<td>687 1,375 304 3,555 8,873</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>151–160</td>
<td>15-1-14 to 24-1-14</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.04 0.40 0.50 12.7 0.22 5.0</td>
<td>3,725 2,266 712 3,304 7,942</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>186–194</td>
<td>20-2-14 to 28-2-14</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.13 0.40 0.49 15.8 0.32 10.0</td>
<td>2,559 2,082 748 3,800 10,057</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>201–203</td>
<td>5-3-14 to 7-3-14</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.13 0.40 0.50 13.0 0.21 20.0</td>
<td>2,148 2,649 619 3,114 8,126</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>208–210</td>
<td>12-3-14 to 14-3-14</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.10 0.70 0.50 13.4 0.26 20.0</td>
<td>1,876 2,296 680 4,559 8,112</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>34</td>
<td>1.04 14.20 0.26</td>
<td>2,025 2,176 561 3,675 11,244</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Standard Methods (APHA 2012). Their COV for 10 identical samples was 2.7%, 3.8%, 1.9% and 1.6%, respectively.

A biochemical methane potential (BMP) assay was conducted on the clarifier sludge samples in triplicate using the Automatic Methane Potential Test System (AMPTS model 2) from Bioprocess Control (Sweden) in 500 mL bottles. For the assays, 100 mL of excess sludge, 200 mL of degassed acclimated inoculum and 50 mL Owens’ biomedium (Owens et al. 1979) were added to the bottles and purged with N2 gas for five minutes to create an absolute anaerobic environment. Two blanks containing the inoculum and the biomedium were run in parallel, and the methane produced was subtracted from the methane produced in the bottles containing excess sludge. All bottles were incubated at 35°C and mixed automatically (1 min on and 30 seconds off). The methane volumes were automatically recorded by the AMPTS. The composition of biogas was analyzed with gas chromatography as previously reported (Tian et al. 2014). Calorific value was analyzed with an IKA bomb calorimeter (Model C200). The sample was freeze-dried at −80°C for 24 hours prior to analysis (Trzcinski et al. 2016).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Influent characteristics and process performance

Influent MLSS is normally less than 700 mg/L in municipal wastewaters. The influent MLSS in this study ranged from 360 to 5,370 mg/L and its average was 1,690 mg/L, demonstrating the industrial nature of the influent. The exact ratio of municipal and industrial wastewater was variable and unknown. The influent characteristics varied over a wide range as shown in Table 3. Oil and grease and extremely high suspended solids were frequently found in the influent. Typical effluent characteristics are shown in Table 3 during a baseline test at 0.2 mg/L DO.

The incoming SCOD and TCOD were very high, in the range 300–840 mg/L and 750–4,120 mg/L, respectively. The SCOD to TCOD ratio was below 35% in the influent, and it increased to above 60% in the effluent, indicating that most of the effluent was soluble and particulate COD were effectively removed in the clarifier sludge despite the high fluctuations of raw wastewater. The A-stage could also remove some phosphorus as shown by a decrease in TP (Table 3), while the removal of TKN, NH3-N and PO4-P were not consistent. The BOD concentration decreased from 775 mg/L to 479 mg/L on average, which shows the potential of the A-stage to remove organics at a high rate despite the fluctuations in the influent, which will considerably reduce the aeration costs in the subsequent biological stage. The BOD concentration in the clarifier effluent was in the range 102–1,636 mg/L, which indicates that there would still be sufficient biodegradable matter for nutrient removal in the B-stage.

Effect of DO

A low DO environment can lead to growth of filamentous bacteria that would affect the settling (Li et al. 2010). Yu et al. (2014) showed that the biosorption capacity of sludge decreased when it was mixed with anaerobic sludge. Air must therefore be provided during biosorption in order to reanimate the sludge and maintain its adsorption capacity. Higher DO would require greater air supply, and therefore higher operating costs. It is therefore important to investigate its impact on the process.

Figure 2 shows the incoming MLSS, TCOD and SCOD, effluent MLSS, TCOD, SCOD and MLSS, TCOD and

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter (influent)</th>
<th>#samples</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Min</th>
<th>Max</th>
<th>Average ± standard deviation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>8.53</td>
<td>7.09 ± 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCOD</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>750</td>
<td>4,120</td>
<td>1,790 ± 830</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCOD</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>840</td>
<td>490 ± 130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BOD</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>1,225</td>
<td>775 ± 510</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MLSS</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>360</td>
<td>5,370</td>
<td>1,690 ± 1,240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MLVSS</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>3,115</td>
<td>770 ± 590</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TKN</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>40.3</td>
<td>184</td>
<td>73.2 ± 29.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH3-N</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>29.4</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>47.7 ± 12.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TP</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>75.7</td>
<td>20.8 ± 12.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PO4-P</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>6 ± 2.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter (sorption column)</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Min</th>
<th>Max</th>
<th>Average ± standard deviation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MLSS</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>17,950</td>
<td>5,300 ± 3,420</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MLVSS</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>8,570</td>
<td>2,640 ± 1,625</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3 | Influent characteristics, mixed liquor properties in the sorption column and effluent parameters
Figure 2 | Evolution of MLSS (top), TCOD (middle) and SCOD (bottom) and the respective removal efficiency during continuous operation of the A-stage process (tests 1 to 6). Error bars indicate standard deviation. The error bars were omitted when smaller than the marker.
SCOD removal percentages at various DO tested during tests 1 to 6.

Between 50 and 90% MLSS could be removed in the A-stage process, demonstrating the applicability of this compact treatment for industrial wastewater, which has not been shown before. Furthermore, at an influent MLSS greater than 2,000 mg/L, 80 to 90% of MLSS could be removed regardless of the DO level. Despite the high fluctuation and the presence of oil and grease, the A-stage process performs significantly better than conventional PST, which can only remove 50–55% of MLSS from raw sewage. This significant improvement was expected, because the adsorption properties of the activated sludge floc are favorable for wastewater with high concentrations of MLSS and colloidal particles (Zhao et al. 2000; Diamantis et al. 2013) and this was verified in this study with industrial wastewater. Entrapment of larger particles in the open structure of sludge flocs can also take place in carbon capture systems (Lim et al. 2013).

As can be seen from Figure 2 (bottom) the SCOD removal efficiency in the A-stage process was low, and Figure 3 shows that it decreased as DO in the sorption column was increased from 0.2 to 1 mg/L. When DO was 0.5 mg/L or higher, the SCOD removal percentage was systematically negative. These results are very different from previous studies on municipal wastewater, which reported 50% SCOD removal under optimum conditions (Zhao et al. 2000). The presence of oil and grease in the influent may have inhibited the adsorption of SCOD and entrapment of colloids in this study. High DO may also have caused hydrolysis of particulate COD to soluble COD, or the shear effect caused by vigorous aeration may have resulted in the breakage of bioflocs leading to the release of soluble components. From the results, DO greater than 0.4 mg/L is therefore not recommended.

**Effect of Fe$^{3+}$ dosage**

Raw data for MLSS, TCOD and SCOD from tests 7 to 10 with coagulant dosage are shown in Figure 4. The sorption column provided intimate contact between Fe$^{3+}$, influent MLSS and SCOD to investigate any benefit of Fe$^{3+}$ dosing using real industrial wastewaters. DO was maintained at 0.4 (except for test 10 at 0.7 mg DO/L), as it was observed that higher DO was detrimental for SCOD removals. The TCOD removals were in the range 40–76%.

However, TCOD and MLSS removals greater than 90% were observed on certain days in this study, which is not achievable by conventional PST. It has been reported that under optimal conditions TCOD removal in a laboratory scale A-stage can be 70–80% (50% of this is SCOD), while MLSS removals can be as high as 80 to 95% (Zhao et al. 2000). Diamantis et al. (2013) reported 80% COD removal in a bench scale A-stage treating municipal wastewater with a lower COD content (400–700 mg/L) than this study. However, previous studies in laboratories sometimes report only the best conditions, and should therefore be interpreted with caution. Wett et al. (2014) reported 40–85% COD removal from a full scale A-stage unit, which is similar to the pilot scale data in this study. Despite some occasional high removals, it seems therefore that the A-stage suffers from greater variability at larger scale, in particular when treating industrial wastewater containing oils and grease. SCOD removals obtained by other researchers at laboratory scale could not be replicated in this pilot-scale study with real municipal-industrial wastewaters.

The large variability obtained in this study may be due to the high oil and grease content from industrial and municipal wastewater in South East Asia, but also from the sludge recycle from the A-stage clarifier to the sorption column. Oil and grease can inhibit the adsorption of SCOD and colloids even when Fe$^{3+}$ was added, because it will adsorb onto bioflocs preferentially due to hydrophobicity. This is consistent with several literature reports suggesting a poor settling performance of primary sludge, and hence limiting the application of the AB process (Jenkins et al. 2003; GWRC 2010). Better results could be obtained with an oil and grease trap placed in front of the sorption column.

Figure 5 revealed that Fe$^{3+}$ dosage did not result in better removal. Increasing DO to 0.7 mg/L in Test 10 did not have any significant effect. SCOD removal efficiencies fluctuated highly from negative values to a maximum of
Figure 4 | Evolution of MLSS (top), TCOD (middle) and SCOD (bottom) and the respective removal efficiency during continuous operation of the A-stage process with Fe$^{3+}$ dosage (tests 7 to 10). Error bars indicate standard deviation. The error bars were omitted when smaller than the marker.
50% regardless of whether a coagulant was present or not. This may be due to the particular nature of the industrial wastewaters used in this study. The high inorganic content of the spent sludge (VS/TS was in the range 24%–61%) can explain why it was not effective for SCOD adsorption. Alternatively, possibly SCOD could not be removed by biosorption due to the nature of the organics, or due to the short SRT used in this study.

Since the hydraulic retention time was maintained as constant, the OLR varied according to the influent COD concentration. As shown in Figure 6, the OLR to the process had an impact on the MLSS removal. This is similar to the Diamantis et al. (2013) results, where the COD removal increased at OLRs in the range 5–20 kg COD/m³.day with municipal wastewater. It appeared from this study with industrial wastewater that high OLR (25–60 kg COD/m³.day) were favorable to remove MLSS. Up to 90% MLSS and 83% TCOD could be removed at an OLR of 36 kg COD/m³.day. This represents a significant advance compared to the conventional PST, which can only achieve 50–55% MLSS removals and 30–40% BOD removals at 2–4 hours' HRT (Metcalf & Eddy 2014).

When the OLR was below 20 kg/m³.day the MLSS was generally greater than 60%, which makes the A-stage an interesting competing technology for the treatment of industrial wastewater compared to conventional primary clarifiers. At a low OLR, the activated sludge is prone to fragmentation due to an increase in water soluble EPS, while organic matter is desorbed from the floc and effluent quality deteriorates (Guellil et al. 2001). Another reason is the lower strength of the wastewater (potentially diluted with rainfall). This is attributed to the ionic strength and divalent cation concentration of the raw wastewater.

Considering the low HRT (2 hrs) and high OLR, it is evident that the removal mechanism is not biological degradation, but physical adsorption followed by settling. Indeed, biological degradation in high-rate activated sludge systems normally takes place at 2–3 kg COD.m⁻³.d⁻¹ (Tchobanoglous et al. 2003), which was not the case in this study. Furthermore, it was demonstrated that the pre-treatment of industrial wastewater can take place in a very compact A-stage system, and can therefore be applied where land space is a constraint.

Methane potential and calorific value

The proposed A-stage process configuration in this study considered the possibility of introducing sorption ahead of the aerobic process (B-stage) to concentrate organics from combined municipal-industrial wastewater and transfer carbon-rich biomass to the anaerobic digester, where high calorific value biomass can be recovered as methane. It is therefore important to confirm that the resulting sludge has a high calorific value and is indeed biodegradable and that the tested parameters, such as DO, did not affect the methane production rate in a negative way. It is also important to monitor its methane potential for designing a future full scale digester. During all phases of experimentation, the spent sludge (56 samples over 210 days) from the clarifier was analyzed for its methane potential (Figure 7) and calorific energy value (Supplementary material Figure A1, available with the online version of this paper). The methane yield was in the range 70–340 mL CH₄/g VS, with an average of 205 ± 56 mL CH₄/g VS. Based on a COD balance, a biodegradability of 30 ± 10% was found for this type of industrial sludge, which is not significantly
different than that of the sludge (23.7%) taken from the full scale PST on the same site.

Interestingly, in all the BMP tests methane production was completed in less than 2 weeks, which means that anaerobic digestion of this type of sludge could be carried out in a much smaller digester than conventional digesters treating thickened waste activated sludge at 30 days HRT. The process has therefore the potential to channel more carbon to the anaerobic digester due to better MLSS and TCOD removal than conventional PST, and results in a faster conversion to methane gas. At the end of the BMP test, the supernatant of the digested sludge was analyzed for SCOD, ammonia and phosphate (Supplementary material Figure A2, available with the online version of this paper). The average SCOD, NH3-N and PO43−P were 210 mg/L, 530 mg N/L and 25 mg P/L. High ammonia and phosphorus concentrations in the centrate were expected due to their release under anaerobic conditions.

Electricity consumption

The details of the electrical equipment used on the pilot plant are listed in Table 4. The average power consumption was 42.8 kWh/day, and the average power consumption per treated water volume was 1.82 kWh/m3. Despite satisfactory solids removal, in this study the methane gas from the A-stage sludge could cover at best 16% of the electricity requirements (assuming an electricity yield of 2.2 kWh/m3 CH4 found in Foladori et al. (2010)).

more than 60% MLSS removal could be achieved in spite of the high fluctuation in influent MLSS and COD concentrations, which is remarkable considering the high strength wastewater. The process is operating at an overall HRT of 2 hours and is therefore very compact in size, suitable for land scarce countries or for decentralized applications. Typically 60 to 95% MLSS could be removed in the process at DO levels in the range of 0.4–0.7 mg/L, and when the influent MLSS was greater than 2,000 mg/L. TCOD removals greater than 60% were demonstrated by the process, making it a promising alternative to conventional sedimentation tanks for the pre-treatment of industrial wastewaters. The spent sludge methane potential was on average 205 ± 56 mL CH4/g VS.

CONCLUSIONS

After six months’ operation of an A-stage pilot plant treating combined municipal-industrial wastewater, it was found that
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