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PURPOSE. To demonstrate that the relationship between the functional organization of primary visual cortex (V1) and damage to the optic disc in humans with primary open-angle glaucoma (POAG) can be measured using a novel method for projecting scotomas onto the flattened cortical representation.

METHODS. Six subjects participated in this functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) experiment. Structural damage to the optic disc and the retinal nerve fiber layer (RNFL) was measured by three techniques: scanning laser polarimetry (GDx ECC; Carl Zeiss Meditec, Dublin, CA), confocal scanning laser ophthalmoscopy (HRT II; Heidelberg Engineering, Heidelberg, Germany), and optical coherence tomography (StratusOCT; Carl Zeiss Meditec, Inc.). Cortical activity for viewing the glaucomatous versus fellow eye was compared by alternately presenting each eye with a contrast-reversing checkerboard pattern. The resultant fMRI response was compared to interocular differences in RNFL or mean height contour for analogous regions of the visual field.

RESULTS. fMRI responses to visual stimulation were related to differences in RNFL thickness or mean height contour between eyes. The correlation between fMRI responses and measurements of optic disc damage for OCT (RNFL), HRT (mean height contour), and GDx (RNFL) were \( r = 0.90 \) (\( P = 0.02 \)), \( r = 0.84 \) (\( P = 0.04 \)), and \( r = 0.79 \) (\( P = 0.065 \)), respectively. The probability of observing all three correlations by chance was low (\( P = 0.0005 \)).

CONCLUSIONS. Cortical activity in human V1 was altered in these six POAG subjects in a manner consistent with damage to the optic disc. fMRI is a possible means for quantifying cortical neurodegeneration in POAG. (Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci. 2007;48:733–744) DOI:10.1167/iovs.06-0773

Glaucoma is the second leading cause of blindness worldwide, and will affect more than 3 million Americans by 2020. Although intraocular pressure (IOP) is a known leading risk factor for glaucoma, the pathophysiology of neurodegeneration in POAG is unknown. Glaucoma often causes vision loss in subjects with normal IOP, demonstrating that there are additional factors that contribute to the disease. Understanding brain changes in human glaucoma may provide insights into the pathobiology of the disease. Recently, it has been determined that the death of retinal ganglion cells adversely affects the optic nerve, the lateral geniculate nucleus (LGN) of the thalamus, and primary visual cortex (V1). Although multifocal visually evoked potentials (mfVEPs) and visual evoked potentials (VEPs) have been used successfully to measure glaucomatous neural activity objectively in vivo, the technique is restricted by the fact that signals cannot be accurately localized to specific brain regions. Evidence of glaucomatous damage in the brain has also been demonstrated in vivo using positron emission tomography (PET) and single photon emission computed tomography (SPECT). However, PET and SPECT have poor spatial resolution and are not practical for repeatedly monitoring glaucomatous progression, because they require radioisotopes.

Functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) has rapidly become the standard for inferring neuronal activity in human subjects. Increases in neuronal activity are accompanied by changes in blood oxygenation that give rise to changes in the MR signal. This blood oxygenation level-dependent (BOLD) signal serves as the basis for a majority of studies that measure brain function in vivo. To date, the effects of optic neuropathy on the occipital cortex of humans have been investigated in only one fMRI study, and the techniques used were not optimal. Unfortunately, the methodology used in that study resulted in poor response localization, and neuronal and behavioral responses were not compared. Despite these shortcomings, fMRI is better suited to measuring glaucomatous neuronal activity than are other brain imaging methods, because it is relatively noninvasive compared with methods that require isotope (PET and SPECT); (2) fMRI affords better spatial resolution and localization than mfVEP, PET, or SPECT; and (3) fMRI, unlike traditional T1-weighted MRI, has the ability to look at function-specific neuronal activity associated with the loss of retinal ganglion cell subtypes in glaucoma. For these reasons, fMRI is a potentially useful means of measuring posttretinal neurodegeneration in human glaucoma. However, it must first be determined whether fMRI measurements of glaucomatous neurodegeneration correlate with accepted measures of damage to the optic nerve.

Preliminary studies by our group suggest that the pattern of cortical activity in V1 may be correlated with the pattern of visual field loss measured with automated perimetry. Still, behavioral reports of visual function may not be as sensitive in some patients as structural measurements of the optic disc or assessment of the retinal nerve fiber layer (RNFL). Indeed, a majority of the retinal ganglion cells may already be dead by the time visual field defects are detected in some individuals by standard perimetric techniques. Consequently, this study was designed to quantify the relationship between damage to the optic disc, the thickness of the RNFL, and neuronal activity.
in V1 in patients with asymmetric glaucomatous visual field damage.

**METHODS**

**Subjects**

Six subjects with asymmetric primary open-angle glaucoma (POAG) with one glaucomatous eye and a less affected contralateral eye were included. Subjects were evaluated at the Hamilton Glaucoma Center, Department of Ophthalmology, University of California San Diego (UCSD) between July 2004 and August 2005. The subjects in this cross-sectional study were recruited from a longitudinal study designed to evaluate the optic nerve structure and visual function in glaucoma (Diagnistic Innovations in Glaucoma Study; DIGS). An experienced neuroradiologist reviewed the anatomic reference volumes for evidence of untoward disease along the retinocortical pathway, and found no evidence of tumors, compression of the optic nerve, or other diseases that could present as glaucoma. A summary of relevant subject data appears in Table 1.

**Inclusion–Exclusion Criteria**

All subjects underwent complete ophthalmic examination including slit lamp biomicroscopy, intraocular pressure measurement, dilated stereoscopic fundus examination, and stereophotographs of the optic nerve heads. Good-quality simultaneous stereoscopic photographs were obtained for all subjects. All subjects had open angles, a best corrected acuity of 20/40 or better, a spherical refraction within ±5.0 D, and cylinder correction within ±3.0 D. A family history of glaucoma was allowed. Informed consent was obtained from all subjects, and the UCSD Internal Review Board approved all methods pertaining to human subjects. The study adhered to the Declaration of Helsinki for research involving human subjects.

Subjects did not have a history of intraocular surgery (except for uncomplicated glaucoma or cataract surgery), secondary causes of elevated IOP (e.g., iridocyclitis, trauma), other intraocular eye disease, other diseases affecting the visual field (e.g., pituitary lesions, demyelinating diseases, HIV+ or AIDS, or diabetic retinopathy), medications known to affect visual field sensitivity, or problems other than glaucoma affecting color vision.

Evaluation of structural damage to the optic disc was based on assessment of simultaneous stereoscopic optic disc photographs (Stereo Camera Model 3-DX; Nidek Inc., Palo Alto, CA). Two experienced graders evaluated the photographs, and each grader was masked to the subject’s identity, the other test results, and the other grade. All grades between the two graders were resolved either by consensus or by adjudication by a third experienced grader.

All subjects presented with abnormal visual field results and abnormal appearance of the optic disc based on stereophotograph review in at least one eye. Abnormal visual fields were defined as a repeatable defect in at least two consecutive visits. Abnormal optic disk signals were defined as having an asymmetric vertical cup-to-disc ratio more than 0.2, rim thinning, notching, excavation, or nerve fiber layer defects. Visual fields were assessed using standard automated perimetry (SAP), with the 24-2 program and the Swedish Interactive Thresholds Algorithm (SITA) on the Humphrey Visual Field Analyzer (Carl Zeiss Meditec., Inc., Dublin, CA). Assessment of visual fields was based on the number of pattern deviation (PD) points that were significantly different from the normative database at P < 0.05 or worse. Subject fellow eyes had markedly fewer (χ² P < 0.0001) visual field locations outside normal limits relative to the glaucomatous eye.

Subjects were also screened for standard MRI exclusion criteria: no conditions or medications known to affect cerebral metabolism, no metal in the body that could not be removed, and no history of claustrophobia.

**Optic Nerve Head and RNFL Assessment**

Three instruments were used to measure optic disc topography, the neuroretinal rim, and the thickness of the RNFL. GDx with enhanced corneal compensation (ECC) (version 5.5; Carl Zeiss Meditec Inc.), the Heidelberg Retina Tomograph (HRT) II (Software version 1.5.4; Heidelberg Engineering, Heidelberg, Germany), and StratusOCT (software version 4.0.4; Carl Zeiss Meditec, Inc.).

**Scanning Laser Polarimetry: GDx ECC.** The sensitivity of scanning laser polarimetry ultimately depends on the strength of the retinal birefringence measurement relative to optical and digital noise. Sensitivity can be enhanced using a software algorithm (ECC) that measures the birefringence of the cornea and retina concurrently, as opposed to canceling out the corneal measurement with variable corneal compensation (VCC). This alternate method resulted in high-quality scans of all subjects. A baseline image, consisting of the mean of three scans, was used in the analysis.

The computerized export of the temporal-superior-nasal-inferior-temporal (TSNIT) plots on the GDx ECC printout includes the mean RNFL thickness from 64 polar sectors (5.625 deg/arc). The mean for each sector was computed along the −3.2 mm diameter measurement circle surrounding the optic nerve head. The mean RNFL thickness for the superior (0–180°) and inferior (181–360°) retinal region was computed separately by averaging the corresponding mean sectors (n = 32).

**Table 1. RNFL and Visual Field Data by Participant**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject</th>
<th>Age (y)</th>
<th>Eye</th>
<th>GDx ECC RNFL (μm)</th>
<th>HRT RNFL (μm)</th>
<th>MHC (μm)</th>
<th>OCT RNFL (μm)</th>
<th>SAP MD</th>
<th>SAP PSD</th>
<th>BOLD P*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>OD</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>−0.3</td>
<td>1.38</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>OD</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>−6.23</td>
<td>8.57</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>OD</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>−17.0</td>
<td>12.89</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>OD</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>−4.78</td>
<td>6.10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>OD</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>−4.91</td>
<td>1.97</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>OD</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>−8.69</td>
<td>6.24</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

G, glaucomatous eye; F, fellow eye.

* Significance of the BOLD signal for voxels within the cortical representation of the scotoma.
Confocal Scanning Laser Ophthalmoscopy: HRT II. RNFL thickness is automatically computed by measuring the height of the retinal rim along a contour line relative to the reference plane, which is located roughly 50 μm below the retinal surface. The HRT exports the mean RNFL thickness along the contour line in 64 polar sectors (5.625 deg/arc). Mean RNFL thickness was automatically exported and used to compute the superior (0–180°) and inferior (181–360°) retinal regions by averaging across the superior and inferior sectors, respectively. Mean height contour along the disc margin was also included in the analysis. For the HRT, mean height contour was also used because it was the best predictor of POAG in a recent multivariate analysis incorporating HRT parameters obtained at baseline.27

Optical Coherence Tomography: StratusOCT. RNFL measurements were obtained using the OCT RNFL Fast Scan Pattern. The StratusOCT’s RNFL Thickness Average protocol provides RNFL thickness estimates for 12 equally spaced 30° polar sectors. The mean RNFL thickness for the superior (15–165°) and inferior (195–345°) retinal regions were computed by averaging the five sectors that resided completely above or below the horizontal midline. Two sectors corresponding to the extreme nasal and temporal retinal region were excluded from the analysis because they straddled the midline.

Quality Assessment. Images and photographs are evaluated for quality, reliability, and/or clarity. Images were consistently focused and evenly illuminated with a centered optic disc. For the GDx ECC, baseline images had anterior segment retardations of 15 μm or less. For the HRT II, operators used stereophotographs to assist in drawing the contour line, as they have been shown to improve inter-observer agreement.30 The mean topography image had a standard deviation of less than 50 μm. For the Stratus OCT, adequate signal strength (7 or higher) and minimal evidence of algorithm failures was required.

General fMRI Methodology

BOLD fMRI was used to infer neuronal activity. FMRI images were acquired at the Center for Functional Magnetic Resonance Imaging at UCSD with a scanner (3.0-Tesla HD ExciteSigna; General Electric, Milwaukee, WI) with an eight-channel brain coil. Visual stimuli were presented using fiber optic goggles (Avotec Inc., Stuart, FL). The general specifications of the visual presentation system follow: field of view = 30° H (horizontal) × 23° V (vertical); focus ≥6 D; maximum luminance: 28.9 cd/m²; resolution, 1024 H × 768 V, and refresh rate 60 Hz. Visual stimuli were generated by computer (Psychophysics Toolbox31,52 of MatLab. Mathworks, Natick, MA; PowerBook G4 computer; Apple, Cupertino, CA).

Each subject participated in three 1-hour scanning sessions. An anatomic scan was obtained (FSPGR, 1 × 1 × 1-mm resolution), which served as a reference volume for each subject. For each session, eight functional scans were acquired using a low-bandwidth EPI pulse sequence lasting 260 seconds (130 temporal frames, TR = 2 seconds, TE = 30 ms, flip angle = 90°, 24 coronal slices of 3-mm thickness and 3 × 3-mm resolution, field of view [FOV] = 20 cm). The first 10 temporal frames (20 seconds) were discarded to avoid magnetic saturation effects. Each session ended with another anatomic scan that was used to align functional data across multiple scanning sessions to a subject’s reference volume. The occipital pole was flattened initially, and V1 was reflufttened after the visual areas (V1, V2, V3) were defined using traditional retinotopy. Cortical flattening techniques and methods for projecting functional data onto the flattened representation have been described in detail elsewhere.35

fMRI Stimuli

The first scanning session for each subject mapped the visual world in retinotopic coordinates on a flattened representation of the cortex using standard stimuli. During a given scan, subjects viewed an expanding ring, a rotating wedge, or a meridian-mapping stimulus composed of alternating hourglass and bowtie patterns. Stimuli were composed from contrast-reversing checkerboards (100% contrast at 8 Hz). The angular velocity of the rotating wedge was 9 deg/s. The speed of the expanding ring was 0.2 deg/s. The spatial frequency of the meridian-mapping stimuli was 0.5 cyc/deg (each square subtending 1° × 1° of visual angle). Stimuli were presented at the center of the screen on a mean gray background, and subjects fixated a target (0.25° × 0.25°) at one corner of the screen while an arc was presented. Each flickering arc was presented alternately with a gray screen every 20 seconds. Four scans measured responses in the superior or inferior quadrants. The stimuli were presented for six 40-second cycles (after discounting the first half cycle, to avoid magnetic saturation effects). Each of these retinotopy stimuli was repeated twice for a total of six functional scans during the first session. The stimulus period (20 seconds on/off) and the temporal frequency of the contrast-reversing checkerboard (8 Hz) were selected from values known to elicit a maximum BOLD response from V1.34–38

The second scanning session measured the cortical representation of a 16° isopter in the affected left or right glaucomatous hemifield. Stimuli were made from arcs that extended through the superior (Fig. 1A) or inferior quadrants. Arcs were composed of contrast-reversing checkerboard patterns with a radius of 16° and a width of 2.7°. Each square of the 16° isopter stimuli spanned 7.5° of polar angle. Subjects fixated a target (0.25° × 0.25°) at one corner of the screen while an arc was presented. Each flickering arc was presented alternately with a gray screen every 20 seconds. Four scans measured responses in the superior or inferior quadrants, yielding a total of eight scans. Responses were projected onto the flattened representation of V1 and averaged.

The third scanning session compared viewing through the glaucomatous and fellow eyes. Subjects fixated a target in one corner of the screen while a full-field contrast-reversing checkerboard pattern (Fig. 1B) was presented to the quadrant of visual space with the greatest visual loss. Each square of the scotoma-mapping stimulus subtended 1° × 1° of visual angle. Subjects viewed the `scotoma-mapping” stimulus through each eye in alternating epochs of 20 seconds. The shape of the fixation target indicated which eye should be open.

**Figure 1.** Visual stimuli for fMRI experiments. Visual stimuli were used to obtain retinotopic maps of the visual world on the flattened cortex. Subjects fixated on a stationary target, while contrast-reversing checkerboard patterns (100% contrast, 8 Hz) were presented in the periphery. Standard retinotopic stimuli were used, including expanding rings, rotating wedges, and meridian-mapping stimuli (not pictured). (A) Sixteen-degree isopter stimuli. In addition to the standard retinotopy stimuli, the 16° isopter in the hemifield with the greatest visual loss was mapped. A 16° arc subtended the superior or inferior quadrant of the hemifield containing the scotoma. Each arc was presented alternately with a period of no stimulation every half cycle. (B) Scotoma-mapping stimulus. A full-field contrast-reversing checkerboard pattern was presented to the quadrant of visual space with the scotoma. Subjects viewed the stimulus through the right or left eye in alternating half cycles.
Eye movements were monitored via an infrared camera in the visual presentation system (iView dark-pupil eye tracking software; SMI, Teltow, Germany). Eye traces were processed according to previously developed protocols. Deviations in eye position beyond 3° of visual angle were flagged. Analysis revealed that the direction of fixation breaks was spatially distributed and not associated with viewing through the glaucomatous or fellow eye ($\chi^2$, all $P > 0.10$). It is important to note that fixation losses only add noise to the fMRI signal. Thus, it is unlikely that fixation losses could account for a correlation between optic disc damage and cortical activity.

**Projecting Patterns of Visual Field Loss onto the Cortex**

Responses to the retinotopy stimuli were fitted with templates, which were then used to project regions of visual space onto the flattened representation of cortex. For each subject, the quadrant with the most damage was determined based on the number of SAP test locations that deviated statistically from the normative database (PD greater than 95% confidence limits). The quadrant encompassing that scotoma (Fig. 2 left, bold line) was then projected onto V1 (see Fig. 6E, black line). The projected region of interest (ROI) on the cortex was used to restrict further analysis of the BOLD signal.

Templates were derived from a conformal mapping method developed by Schwartz. Templates were composed of four components representing the 16° isopter, the horizontal meridian, the superior vertical meridian, and the inferior vertical meridian (Fig. 6). Six parameters describe the template; the overall size ($k$), the position ($dx$, $dy$), the rotation ($da$), the foveal representation ($a$), and the width ($b$).

Templates were fit to the fMRI activity map by adjusting the parameters to maximize the image intensity (i.e., the line integral) under the projected curves. Parameter values from the best-fitting template were obtained by using a nonlinear optimization technique. Templates were then fit to the data using a two-stage optimization routine. In the first stage, each individual model parameter was optimized to fit the template to all activity maps simultaneously. In the second stage, the best-fitting template was generated by simultaneously fitting all parameters to the activity maps. The $k$ parameter was excluded from the final optimization because the fit would converge to a single point over a location of maximum amplitude.

The optimized fits for one subject (patient 2) are superimposed on the grayscale activity maps in Figures 6A–D. Colored lines show the fits to response elicited by the 16° isopter and meridian-mapping stimuli. Figure 6E shows the best-fitting template for all stimuli superimposed on the responses to the scotoma-mapping stimulus. Once the best-fitting template was generated for a given subject, the visual quadrant with the scotoma could be projected onto the flattened cortex (black line, Fig. 6E). Further analysis of the BOLD signal was restricted to voxels within the projected ROI.

**Comparing Optic Nerve and fMRI Data**

BOLD responses to the scotoma-mapping stimulus were compared to the difference scores from the optic nerve assessment (GDx$\text{DIF}$, HRT$\text{DIF}$, and OCT$\text{DIF}$). Visual fields were used to define the borders of the scotoma that was projected onto the flattened cortical surface (see the Discussion section). Difference scores were computed for each subject as follows. First, the visual quadrant with the most extensive loss of visual function was determined with SAP based on the number of PD plot points with triggered probability values. Then, the mean RNFL thickness of the glaucomatous eye was subtracted from that of the fellow eye for the corresponding retinal region (i.e., the retinal region corresponding to the superior or inferior hemifield). For example, a difference score for the inferior retinal region would be computed for a subject with superior nasal visual field loss. However, because mean height contour increases with decreasing thickness of the RNFL, the fellow eye was subtracted from the glaucomatous eye to yield an analogous difference score for mean height contour.

The resultant difference scores were then compared to fMRI responses from corresponding regions of visual cortex. Increasingly different values imply a greater deviation from normal vision due to glaucoma. The difference scores were compared with the mean projected amplitudes from the scotoma-mapping experiments, which indicate the difference between viewing through the glaucomatous and fellow eyes.

**RESULTS**

**fMRI Data from a Single Subject**

The results of SAP are presented for patient 2 (Fig. 2). The SAP results specify that this subject had severe visual loss in the right eye, particularly in the superior visual field. The bold line superimposed on the data for the right eye describes the scotoma selected by the experimenter. The complementary visual quadrant for the left eye is relatively normal.

**Scanning Laser Polarimetry.** Excerpts from the GDx ECC printout are displayed in Figure 3 for all six subjects. The retardation image and statistical deviation map from the GDx ECC printout explains the pattern of visual field loss for the example subject (Fig. 3, patient 2). In the retardation maps (top panels), colored pixels indicate the thickness of the RNFL. Yellow and red represent thicker areas, and cool colors (blue and cyan) represent thinner areas. Straight lines define the boundaries of four areas (superior, inferior, nasal, and temporal) in the standard GDx ECC printout. The outer two rings define the borders of the measurement circle. The RNFL for the inferior region of this subject’s right eye is thinner relative to the left eye. In the deviation map (bottom panels), colored pixels superimposed on a grayscale retardation image indicate the statistical deviation in RNFL thickness from the normative database. Each superpixel represents the average of 16 individual pixels from the raw data. Only superpixels that are statistically different from the age-matched database receive color. The pattern of superpixels reveals a pronounced decrease in the thickness of the RNFL for the inferior-nasal retinal region of the right eye compared with that of the left eye. This loss of
retinal nerve fibers is consistent with the visual field loss observed on SAP (Fig. 2).

Confocal Scanning Laser Ophthalmoscopy. Excerpts from the HRT II printout are displayed in Figure 4 for all six subjects. The HRT II revealed a similar difference in RNFL thickness and mean height contour between the two eyes of the example subject (Fig. 4, patient 2). The results of the Moorfield's Regression Analysis, which compares the subject's rim area (adjusted relative to disc area) to a normative database internal to the HRT, are presented here for illustrative purposes.
only (top panels). The reflectance image of the fundus is artificially colored to portray the topography of the retinal surface. The contour line (green circle) is superimposed on the fundus image along with the boundaries for six polar sectors (white lines). Green checks indicate that the rim area for a given sector is within normal limits (<95th percentile). Yellow exclamation points indicate that the rim area is borderline (95th–99th percentile). Red Xs indicate that the rim area is outside normal limits (>99.9th percentile). There is some evidence of RNFL deterioration in the inferior sectors of the right eye compared with left eye for each of the eight sectors in the contour plot (bottom panels). In these two plots, pie-shaped icons denote area and position of eight sectors. The black lines indicate the height of the contour line around the circumference of the neuroretinal rim (mean height contour). The dark green line indicates the retinal height along the contour line for the normative database. Three zones are colored using the scheme outlined to indicate the relationship between this subject’s retinal height and that of the normative database. For the example subject, there is a notable decrease in RNFL thickness (i.e., increased mean height contour) for the inferior sectors of the right eye relative to that for the left eye.

**Optical Coherence Tomography.** Excerpts from the StratusOCT’s RNFL Thickness Average Analysis are presented in Figure 5 for all subjects. The digitized image of the parapapillary retina is color-coded to indicate the log reflection intensity of the retinal layers (top panels). Yellow and red denote layers with high reflectance, and cool colors (blue and cyan) denote layers with relatively lower reflectance. The white lines were hand drawn to illustrate the RNFL (Fig. 5, patient 2). The regions surrounding the optic disc are denoted (T, temporal; S, superior; N, nasal; I, inferior). The line graph (bottom) depicts the RNFL thickness for each eye in micrometers as a function of polar angle. Right and left eyes are represented by the solid and dashed lines, respectively. For patient 2, the greatest difference in RNFL thickness between the two eyes is for the

---

**Figure 4.** Confocal scanning laser ophthalmoscopy. Mean height contour and RNFL thickness were measured using the HRT II (Heidelberg Engineering). Top panels for each patient show the mean reflectance images that portray the topography of the retinal surface. The contour line (green) and the six polar sectors from the Moorfields Regression Analysis (white lines) are superimposed on the reflectance images. Green checks, yellow exclamation points, and red Xs: the rim-to-disc area ratio relative to the normative database. **Bottom panels** for each patient show RNFL measurements relative to the normative database. **Pie-shaped icons:** area and position of 45° sectors from the HRT printout. **Black lines:** RNFL thickness; green line: median contour line for the normative database. The colored zones (red, yellow, green) relate the RNFL thickness values to the normative database.
inferior region, where a loss of fibers in the right eye could be expected based on the results of SAP.

**Functional Magnetic Resonance Imaging.** fMRI responses to retinotopic mapping stimuli for the example subject appear in Figure 6. The log reflection intensity is indicated by the color scheme (patient 2). Bluish pixels have less intensity than do reddish pixels. Bottom panels for each patient show RNFL thickness as a function of polar angle. Solid lines: right eyes; dashed lines: left eyes.

**Figure 5.** Optical coherence tomography. Mean RNFL thickness was measured using the StratusOCT (Carl Zeiss Meditec, Inc.). Top panels for each patient show a single raw scan of the parapapillary retina for each eye. The log reflection intensity is indicated by the color scheme (patient 2). Bluish pixels have less intensity than do reddish pixels. Bottom panels for each patient show RNFL thickness as a function of polar angle. Solid lines: right eyes; dashed lines: left eyes.

meridian-mapping stimuli are depicted in Figures 6B–D. The superior (Fig. 6B) and inferior (Fig. 6C) vertical meridians were fit independently, and the best-fitting components from the template are superimposed on the data (light and dark green, respectively). To fit responses to stimulation of the horizontal meridian, the sign of the BOLD response to the meridian-mapping stimulus was simply reversed (Fig. 6D).

The final best-fitting template for this subject is superimposed on the BOLD responses to the scotoma-mapping stimulus (Fig. 6E). The phase of the BOLD response in relation to the temporal phase of monocular viewing is indicated by the color of the pixels. Yellowish pixels correspond to voxels where viewing through the glaucomatous eye resulted in a larger amplitude signal than viewing through the fellow eye. That is to say, fMRI responses were in phase with the glaucomatous eye. Bluish pixels correspond to voxels that were in phase with
the fellow eye. The template is accompanied by the ROI representing the quadrant of visual space with the most visual loss (black line). Note that the ROI does not completely coincide with the boundaries of V1 that are defined by the template. This discrepancy occurs for two reasons. First, the ROI was selected to circumscribe the test locations of SAP, leaving a small gap between the ROI and the primary meridians. Because there were no test locations abutting the meridians, it was not possible to determine what visual sensitivity would be like there. Hence, the ROI was defined conservatively. Second, conformal mapping is prone to distortions that are more pronounced near the meridians, especially near the fovea. Despite this minor discrepancy, it is quite clear that the ROI is positioned well, and most of the voxels within this ROI are blue, indicating that BOLD responses in the ROI were in phase with viewing through the fellow eye. Furthermore, the mean projected amplitude for voxels within the ROI was significantly different from zero in the direction predicted by the loss of RNFL thickness in the subject’s right eye (t-test, P < 0.0001). Hence, the pattern of deterioration observed with three measures of optic disc structure is reflected by the pattern of BOLD activity in V1.

**FMRI Responses Correlate with Optic Disc Measurements**

BOLD responses to the scotoma-mapping stimulus are plotted for all six subjects in Figure 7A. ROIs in the current plot were defined as the region of cortex representing the full quadrant with the scotoma. Mean projected amplitudes for BOLD responses within the ROI were averaged over eight scans per subject. The sign of the BOLD response was normalized (multiplied by 1 or −1) across subjects, depending on which eye was glaucomatous. Error bars show the SEM. Positive numbers indicate that viewing through the fellow eye evoked a larger cortical response than viewing through the glaucomatous eye. Asterisks denote which mean responses were significantly different from zero. Viewing through the fellow eye elicited a significantly greater BOLD response in V1 for four of the six subjects (all P < 0.01).

BOLD responses to the scotoma-mapping stimulus were compared to structural measurements of the optic disc in each subject (Figs. 7B–D). In each panel, the mean projected amplitude of the BOLD response is plotted as a function of the interocular difference in (1) RNFL thickness measured with GDx ECC and OCT or (2) mean height contour measured with HRT II. There was a nearly significant correlation between each subject’s GDx_DIF score and the amplitude of their BOLD response (r = 0.79; P = 0.063). The correlation between the BOLD responses and the HRT DIF scores for mean height contour was statistically significant (r = 0.84, P = 0.04), but the correlation with RNFL thickness was not (r = 0.72, P = 0.11). The correlation for the OCT DIF scores was statistically significant (r = 0.90, P = 0.02). Moreover, for all three structural tests, there appears to be a consistent trend suggesting that BOLD responses to visual stimulation are related to differences in RNFL thickness or mean height contour between eyes.

It should be noted that there was relatively low power to detect an association for GDx ECC and HRT RNFL thickness (0.60 and 0.49 for GDx ECC and the HRT, respectively) because of the small number of subjects enrolled in the study (n = 6). Consequently, a statistical bootstrapping method was used to determine whether the correlations observed between BOLD responses and measurements of the optic disc were real or spurious.41,42 Statistical bootstrapping is a computer-driven simulation technique for studying the statistics of a population without the need to have the infinite population available. Typically, bootstrapping involves taking random samples (with replacement) from the original dataset and studying how some quantity of interest varies. This technique effectively increases the small sample size of the present study and provides a better estimate of the underlying distribution than traditional approaches. First, the mean projected amplitude of the BOLD...
response for each subject was randomly paired with the difference scores (i.e., GDx_DIF, HRTDIF, and OCTDIF) from another subject. For each sample of random pairings, the correlation coefficients between the BOLD responses and all three difference scores were computed. This process was repeated 10,000 times. To determine the statistical significance (i.e., probability) of each original correlation, the number of randomly generated correlations that exceeded the observed correlation was divided by the total number of random correlations ($n = 10,000$). The bootstrapping approach reveals that the BOLD responses correlated significantly with the optic disc measurements of the GDx ($r = 0.008$), the HRT (RNFL, $P = 0.01$; mean height contour, $P = 0.01$), and the OCT ($P = 0.002$). The probability of observing all three original correlations simultaneously was also computed. Accordingly, the number of instances in which the randomly generated sample populations resulted in correlations that concurrently exceeded the observed values for all three structural tests was counted. Then, that number was divided by the total number of randomly generated sample populations ($n = 10,000$) to arrive at a probability. The bootstrapping approach shows that observing all three correlation values by chance was extremely rare ($P = 0.0003$). The results are provided in Table 2. The simulations on the data from these six subjects imply that there is a real correlation between the optic disc measurements and BOLD responses to the scotoma-mapping stimulus.

DISCUSSION

Few studies have been undertaken to investigate the effects of optic neuropathy on the functional organization of LGN or V1 in vivo.9–17,19–22 One study compared visual field defects to MRI responses in V1.22 but the results do not generalize easily to POAG because a heterogeneous population of optic neuropathies was used. The current report is the first to quantify the relationship between measurements of the optic disc and cortical responses in human POAG. Moreover, the results from this study suggest that the ROI template-fitting technique can be used to measure postretinal neurodegeneration in human glaucoma.

Using Visual Fields to Define Cortical ROIs

There are several reasons why visual fields were chosen over structural scans to define the ROIs in V1. First, the field of view in the MRI scanner was limited to one quadrant. Therefore, it was not possible to compare optic disc measurements for the superior or inferior retinal region to the entire cortical representation of superior or inferior visual space. Second, the relationship between visual fields and optic nerve head topography is not exact. Although certain visual field zones correspond to structural sectors with a high probability, there is considerable variability in this mapping between subjects.13–14 It would be difficult to determine which portion of the structural scan corresponds to a particular region of cortex. Because of this correspondence problem, the entire superior or inferior retinal region was compared to responses from one cortical quadrant. Third, there is no conformal mapping procedure for the optic nerve head. This problem is closely related to the previous issue. The conformal mapping between visual space and the cortical surface is made possible by a logarithmic transformation. Even if the correspondence between visual fields and optic nerve head structure could be reliably determined, the mapping between the latter and the cortex would
Sources of Potential Error in Template Fitting

Potential sources of error in the template fitting approach have been discussed in detail elsewhere.\textsuperscript{33} Computational flattening of the occipital pole, hemodynamic blurring of the BOLD response, and biases introduced by cortical magnification in V1 are potential sources of error. However, these sources do not introduce systematic biases into template fitting.\textsuperscript{35}

The cortical representation of the fovea is known to be larger than that of the periphery. As a result, fits to the 16° isopter could theoretically be biased toward the fovea. Nonetheless, it was previously demonstrated that increasing the width of annular stimuli with comparable eccentricities does not affect estimates of peak activity in the template fitting protocol.\textsuperscript{35}

Minor distortions are inherent in the cortical flattening technique because the surface of the brain is not topologically equivalent to a plane. Distortions were minimized by flattening the smallest region of cortex possible. A ≥10% distortion was observed with a roughly equal amount of compression and expansion.\textsuperscript{53} Hence, it is unlikely that this method of cortical flattening would introduce a systematic bias in the estimates of V1 topography in subjects with glaucoma. Rather, it would merely increase the variability of the measurement.

Variability Related to Small Sample Sizes

Despite the small sample size and limited power to detect a correlation between cortical responses and RNFL thickness, consistent trends were reported with relatively strong associations (r = 0.72–0.90). Some of these correlations (GDx ECC and HRT RNFL) were just shy of statistical significance, and thus a statistical bootstrapping procedure was also used. This process is accurate\textsuperscript{42} and reduces the assumptions made regarding the population distribution.\textsuperscript{41} The results of the bootstrapping procedure provide additional evidence for a real correlation between cortical responses and optic disc measurements for all three tests.

The relationship between BOLD response and OCT, GDx ECC, and HRT RNFL measures is consistent with other studies evaluating the discriminating ability of these instruments and their association with visual field damage. For example, although there are often no significant differences among the best OCT, GDx, and RNFL parameters, the OCT has been found to have better (although not necessarily significantly better) discriminating ability between healthy and glaucoma eyes, and a stronger correlation with visual field indices than HRT and GDx.\textsuperscript{45} In addition, it has been reported in a few studies that mean height contour has significantly better discriminating ability between healthy and glaucoma eyes.\textsuperscript{29,46,47} The mean height contour has been reported in a few studies that mean height contour has somewhat better discriminating ability than HRT and GDx measures.

Visual Field Perimetry Versus Optic Disc Measurements

Preliminary results from our group suggest a correlation between the visual fields of subjects with POAG and cortical responses to the scotoma-mapping stimulus.\textsuperscript{25} Three visual function tests were used: SAP, short-wavelength automated perimetry (SWAP), and frequency doubling technology perimetry (FDT). For all three visual function tests, the amplitude of the fMRI response correlated significantly with the difference in PD between eyes. The correlations between cortical activity and SAP (r = 0.91) or SWAP (r = 0.82) were similar to the correlations between cortical activity and optic disc measurements (0.72–0.90). The correlations were similar despite the fact that visual function tests measure the output of the entire visual system, which is downstream from neural processing in V1. Visual processing in the retina, in contrast, is at least two synapses farther upstream. Optic disc imaging can only estimate the loss of visual function at the retina. Therefore, loss of function further along the visual pathway is not necessarily represented as completely by the RNFL as it would be in perimetry, which requires full system response.

It should also be noted that correlations with the structural measurements in this report may be underestimated because cortical ROIs were not optimally defined (see the section on using visual fields to define cortical ROIs). Visual fields were used to define ROIs in the current experiment, which may also explain why BOLD amplitudes for two subjects were not significant (Fig. 7A). In the previous experiment comparing cortical responses to visual fields, ROIs were defined for each subject according to that individual’s scotoma. As a result, the cortical responses for each individual were significant. Despite these differences, the two studies suggest that there may be a relationship between the pattern and severity of visual function loss, the degree and distribution of fiber loss in the RNFL, and the pattern and amplitude of the BOLD response in V1.

Functional Organization of V1

The current report does not directly address whether V1 undergoes functional reorganization in response to glaucoma. For example, fMRI at 3 T does not have the ability to resolve ocular dominance columns within V1. High-resolution fMRI suggests a majority of the BOLD response originates from ocular dominance columns receiving input from the stimulated eye.\textsuperscript{49–52} However, a significant but minor portion of the BOLD response originates from ocular dominance columns receiving input from the nonstimulated eye.\textsuperscript{49–52} The response from the nonstimulated eye may stem from voxels that reside along the borders of ocular dominance columns or cerebral blood flow that extends across the borders of the ocular dominance columns. Responses may also originate from binocular cells that reside within the columns themselves. The strongest ocular dominance occurs in layer 4c, but other layers have a significant proportion of binocular cells.\textsuperscript{53} In addition, long-depth effects of glaucomatous damage on V1 topography.

Table 2. Correlations between BOLD Responses and Measurements of the Optic Disc

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>GDX ECC</th>
<th>HRT (RNFL)</th>
<th>HRT (MHC)</th>
<th>OCT</th>
<th>All Three Combined*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.0003</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Results from statistical bootstrapping.

* The probability of observing all three original correlation values simultaneously.

Certainly not be logarithmic. Hence, there is no formula to project regions of the optic nerve head onto the cortex. Despite these hindrances, there was evidence of a correlation between optic disc measurements and cortical responses. Continuing developments in conformal mapping and mathematical modeling of optic nerve head topography will allow future comparisons.
range lateral connections are not restricted to columns with the same ocular dominance.45, 54 And, feedback projections from layers 6 to 4 do not necessarily project to the same ocular dominance column.56 Based on results from high-resolution fMRI experiments, the scotoma-mapping experiment should elicit the greatest BOLD response from ocular dominance columns receiving input from the stimulated eye. In the current report, however, the optic disc in both eyes had been affected to some extent by glaucoma. Thus, it is difficult to quantify what proportion of the BOLD response originates from ocular dominance columns receiving input from the stimulated versus nonstimulated eye.

Although fMRI does not have the ability to distinguish between lamina at 3 T, evidence suggests that the BOLD response measured in this report originates predominantly from the input layers of V1. Concurrent extracellular electrophysiological recordings and fMRI measurements of neuronal activity in V1 indicate that the BOLD response originates from the lamina within input layer 4c.57 In addition, electrophysiological studies in the monkey have shown that the strongest ocular dominance is in layer 4c.55 Anatomic studies of glaucoma indicate that transynaptic degeneration primarily affects neurons in layer 4c.22 Hence, the BOLD response elicited in the scotoma-mapping experiment most likely originates from—but is not necessarily limited to—layer 4cβ.

It was not possible to measure directly the cortical neurodegeneration in V1, because the BOLD response depended on comparing monocular stimulation of the glaucomatous and fellow eye. This interocular comparison was the best means of comparing the retinotopy on the flattened representation of V1 to interocular differences in damage to the optic disc. Thus, BOLD responses were influenced by damage to the retinal ganglion cell layer in the glaucomatous eye.

Even though glaucomatous neurodegeneration in V1 could not be measured directly with the current paradigm, the results suggest a lack of radical functional reorganization in the cortex. Subjects with glaucoma with severe damage to the optic disc (e.g., patients 2 and 6) demonstrated predictable alterations in retinotopy in corresponding locations of the flattened cortex. These results support recent findings in the monkey, where V1 failed to reorganize after bilateral lesions of the retina.58 However, the study by Smirnakis et al.59 only measured functional activity from V1 after 7.5 months, which may still not have been enough time for the cortex to reorganize functionally. Indeed, fMRI studies of macular degeneration demonstrated radical functional reorganization of V1 in response to foveal damage.59–61 The results in the present study suggest that visual input from the fellow eye (or undamaged regions from both eyes) may be enough to maintain the functional organization of V1.

Future fMRI experiments are planned to assess changes in neuronal activity presumably caused by glaucomatous neurodegeneration in V1. Responses from healthy individuals will be compared to responses from patients with glaucoma who view the scotoma-mapping stimulus through their fellow eye only. Patients with no evidence of glaucomatous degeneration in the fellow eye will be selected. Changes in visually evoked neuronal activity are expected to be less for patients with glaucoma than in control subjects. Furthermore, the relative decrease in activation is expected to be proportional to the amount of damage to the glaucomatous eye.

**Summary**

The amplitude of cortical responses in V1 correlated with the difference in structural measurements of the optic disc between glaucomatous and fellow eyes. fMRI may be a useful tool for glaucoma research because it can be used to monitor postretinal neurodegeneration in human glaucoma.
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