Visual Arrestin 1 Contributes to Cone Photoreceptor Survival and Light Adaptation
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PURPOSE. To evaluate morphologic and functional contributions of Arrestin 1 (Arr1) and Arrestin 4 (Arr4) in cone photoreceptors, the authors examined the phenotypes of visual arrestin knockout mice (Arr1-/-, Arr4-/-, Arr1-/-Arr4-/- [Arr-DKO]) reared in darkness.

METHODS. Retinal rods and cones were evaluated in wild-type (WT), Arr1-/-, Arr4-/-, and Arr-DKO mice using quantitative morphologic analysis, immunohistot, immunohistochemistry, TUNEL, and electroretinographic (ERG) techniques.

RESULTS. Compared with either Arr4-/- or WT, Arr1-/- and Arr-DKO mice had increased apoptotic nuclei in their retinal outer nuclear layer (ONL) at postnatal day (P) 22. By P60, cone density was significantly diminished, but the ONL appeared normal. After 1 minute of background illumination, cone ERG b-wave amplitudes were similar in WT and all Arr KO mice. However, by 3 minutes and continuing through 15 minutes of light adaptation, the cone b-wave amplitudes of WT and Arr4-/- mice increased significantly over those of the Arr1-/- and Arr-DKO mice, which demonstrated no cone b-wave amplitude increase. In contrast, ERG flicker analysis after the 15-minute light adaptation period demonstrated no loss in amplitude for either Arr1-/- or Arr4-/- mice, whereas Arr-DKO had significantly lower amplitudes. When Arr1 expression was restored in Arr1-/- mice (+p48Arr1-/-), normal cone density and light-adapted ERG b-wave amplitudes were observed.

CONCLUSIONS. In the adult dark-reared Arr1-/- and Arr-DKO mice, viable cones diminish over time. Arr1 expression is essential for cone photoreceptor survival and light adaptation; whereas either Arr1 or Arr4 is necessary for maintaining normal flicker responses. (Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci. 2010;51:2372–2380) DOI:10.1167/iovs.09-4895

Arr1 knockout mice (Arr1-/-) reared in cyclic light (164 lux) for at least 100 days or exposed to constant bright light (1250–1640 lux) for 1 week develop rod degeneration typified by the loss of photoreceptor nuclei and a decrease in outer nuclear layer (ONL) thickness.10 Partial rescue of this light-dependent degeneration in Arr1-/- mouse rods and its recovery function occurs in transgenic mice when either Arr1 (p48)19 or Arr420 is expressed on the Arr1 null background.

While investigating the cone function of visual arrestins in retinas of Arr1-/- mice, we observed a light-independent cone dystrophy phenotype depicted by an increase in apoptosis and cone photoreceptor cell loss (Brown BM, et al. IOVS 2007;48:ARVO E-Abstract 4644). An earlier report using Drosophi1a also described a light-independent photoreceptor degeneration that was accelerated by light when Arr1 was absent.23 Other mouse cone-specific degenerations have been reported, including knockout of the genes CNG3,22 Rpe65,23 Lrat,23 and Gnat2 (Cpfl3).24

In addition to a light-independent cone dystrophy phenotype in retinas of Arr1-/- mice, we observed a photopic ERG phenotype associated with cone light adaptation. A documented result of cone light adaptation, characterized by a b-wave amplitude increase of a dark-adapted subject during the first 15 minutes of exposure to a rod-saturating background light, has been observed in human, monkey, rat, and mouse.25–33 When cone b-wave responses to a bright light stimuli were measured over 15-minute exposure to a rod-saturating background light, we observed that wild-type (WT) and Arr4-/- mice exhibited similar b-wave amplitude increases though Arr1-/- and Arr-DKO did not. Before our discovery of Arr1 expression in cones, Arr1-/- was used as a model for a functionally rodless mouse.34 In their detailed study, Lyubarsky et al.34 reported no differences in the cone b-wave amplitudes of WT and Arr1-/- mice; however, they did not evaluate whether any progressive changes took place over their light-adapting period.
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In this report, we present three observations related to Arr1 and Arr4 expression and function in mouse cones: light-independent cone degeneration, photopic ERG b-wave phenotype related to light adaptation resulting from the loss of Arr1 expression, and photopic ERG flicker phenotype when both visual arrestins were absent.

**METHODS**

**Animals**

All mice were dark reared and treated according to the guidelines established by the Institute for Laboratory Animal Research (Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals), as approved by the ARVO Statement for the Use of Animals in Ophthalmic and Vision Research, and were approved by the appropriate animal committees of the University of Southern California. Arrestin mice were created in our laboratory, the details of which have been published. The original Arr1+/− and p48+/− mice were generously provided by Jeannie Chen (University of Southern California). All WT and visual arrestins knockout (Arr KO) mice used in this study were on a mixed C57/Bl6J:129SVJ background and resulted from breeding homozygous F2 littermates from the crossing of the original Arr1+/− and Arr4+/− mice: Arr1+/−;Arr4+/− (designated colony control WT), Arr1+/−Arr4+/− (Arr1+/−), Arr1+/−Arr4−/− (Arr1−/−), and Arr1−/−Arr4−/− (Arr-DKO). The p48+/− mice were produced by interbreeding a +p48 transgenic mouse with the Arr1−/− mice used in this study. The F1 offspring with the transgene are designated +p48+/−, whereas those without the transgene are designated −p48−/−. Rod α-transducin (Tu−/−) mice were generously provided by Janice Lem (Tufts University).

**Polymerase Chain Reaction Genotype Analysis**

PCR analysis of genomic DNA, isolated from tail clips, used specific primers for each visual arrestin to identify the genotype of all breeders and their offspring. Because the mice were derived from the 129SVJ background, the genomic DNA of all breeders was also tested to verify that the rd1 mutation was not present with primers specific for β-phosphodiesterase. Additional details of the visual arrestins knockout characterization and PCR conditions for the listed primer pairs have been published (http://www.cell.com/neuron-supplemental/ S0886-623X(08)00,528-X).

**SDS-PAGE and Immunoblot Analysis**

Standard procedures for protein analysis using polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis with sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS-PAGE), followed by immunoblot analysis, were performed as previously described. Thirty micrograms of retinal homogenate (50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.6, 10 mM EDTA, 4 mM MgCl2, 40 µg/mL leupeptin, pepstatin, and aprotinin; and 0.5 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride) were mixed with Laemmli buffer, boiled, resolved on 11.5% SDS-PAGE, and either stained with Coomassie blue or transferred to polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF) membranes. The membranes were blocked with 1% bovine serum albumin, incubated in primary antibody overnight and horseradish peroxidase (HRP)-conjugated secondary antibody, and visualized by enhanced chemiluminescence (ECL) detection. Specific primary polyclonal antibodies (pAbs) were used at the following dilutions: 1:50,000, anti-rabbit Arrestin 1 pAb C10C10 AA288-295 (RERRGIALD), developed and characterized in our laboratory from previously published data of the bovine S-antigen monoclonal antibody (mAb) C10C10,38 and 1:10,000, anti-rabbit pAb mouse cone arrestin-Luminaire Juniors (mCAR-LUMI) AA369-381 (CEEFMQHNSQTS) at the carboxyl terminus of the mouse cone arrestin (Arr4) protein. The secondary antibody was 1:10,000, HRP-conjugated anti-rabbit (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA).

**Retinal Tissue Preparation**

Dark-reared mice were killed by CO2 asphyxiation, and an orientation mark was made on the right eye at the limbus. The eye was enucleated and the cornea was removed, leaving the orientation mark. The eyecup was fixed for 3 hours at 4°C with 4% paraformaldehyde in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS), washed 2 × 15 minutes with PBS, and incubated in 30% sucrose overnight at 4°C. The lens was removed, and the eyecup was embedded in optimal cutting temperature compound (Tissue-Tek 4583; Sakura Finetek USA., Inc., Torrance, CA) and frozen in liquid nitrogen. Midsagittal 7-µm-thick frozen sections were cut through the optic nerve (Cryocut 1800 cryostat; Leica, Wetzlar, Germany) and mounted on slides.

**Immunohistochemistry**

The details of our immunohistochemistry (IHC) protocol have been published. Briefly, frozen retinal tissue sections were dried for 30 minutes and washed 2 × 5 minutes in PBS. The slides were blocked in 10% normal goat serum and 0.2% Triton X-100 in PBS in a humidified chamber at room temperature (RT) for 30 minutes and then incubated with 100 µL diluted primary antibody in 2% normal goat serum and 0.2% Triton X-100 in PBS in the chamber at 4°C overnight. The slides were washed 3 × 15 minutes with 100 µL PBS at RT with gentle shaking, and then 100 µL fluorescence-labeled secondary antibody (Vector Laboratories, Burlingame, CA) was diluted in 2% normal goat serum and 0.2% Triton X-100 in PBS, added to the slides, and incubated for 1 hour at RT in the chamber. The slides were washed 3 × 15 minutes with 100 mL PBS at RT and vacuum-dried. Coverslips were applied over mounting medium containing DAPI (Vector Laboratories) to stain the nuclei of all cells, and nail polish was used to eliminate evaporation. The slides were then photographed with a computerized digital camera (SPOT SP401-115, software version 3.5; Diagnostic
Instruments, Inc., Sterling Heights, MI) or a fluorescence microscope (DMF, Leica Microsystems). Selected slides were also viewed on a confocal microscope (LSM 510; Zeiss MicroImaging, Thornwood, NY). Primary and secondary antibodies were used at the following dilutions: 1:1,000, pAb mCar-LUMIj; 1:20,000, mAb S-antigen D9F2, AA360–369 (PEDPTAKE, generously provided by Larry Donoso [Wills Eye Hospital]); 1:500, Alexa Fluor 488 (green) conjugated goat anti-rabbit or Alexa Fluor 568 (red) conjugated goat anti-mouse (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA).

Quantitative Morphometric Analysis
To measure the number of nuclear layers in the ONL, frozen retinal tissue sections were stained with Harris hematoxylin and cosin (Fisher Scientific, Pittsburgh, PA), placed in mounting medium (VectorMount; Fisher Scientific, Pittsburgh, PA), placed in mounting medium (Vectamount; Vector Scientific, incubated, and quantified with a graphic tablet (Wacom Technology, Vancouver, WA) and imaging software (AxioVision LE Rel. 4.1; Carl Zeiss Inc., Oberkochen, Germany).

To quantitate the number of cones, frozen retinal sections were stained immunohistochemically with pAb mCAR-LUMIj and the appropriate secondary fluorescent antibody and DAPI to stain the nuclei of all cells. Apoptotic nuclei in three adjacent midsagittal whole retina sections cut through the optic nerve along the vertical meridian were counted and averaged. Two-way ANOVA with Bonferroni posttests was performed on data from at least four mice of each strain (WT, Arr1+/−, Arr4+/−, Arr-DKO), using a statistical program (Prism 5; GraphPad).

Electroretinography
Photopic cone ERG responses were recorded from mice in the presence of a steady, white, rod-suppressing, background light, as previously described. A bifurcated glass fiber optic delivered both maximum intensity (log 2.01 cd · s/m²) 10-µs bright flashes from a visual stimulator (model PS33; Grass Instruments, Braintree, MA) and the background light (200 cd/m²), with spectral peaks at 485, 530, and 543 nm and minimal transmission below 400 nm, to a level 1 cm from the cornea. Responses were captured on an electrovisual diagnostic system (Nicolet; Thermoe Scientific, Pittsburgh, PA), at a half-amplitude bandwidth of 0.01 to 100 Hz. During and after the recording sessions, anesthetized mice were maintained at physiologic temperature on a 37°C water-filled heating pad connected to a heat therapy pump (Gaymar; Harvard Apparatus, Hollister, MA).

Mice were dark-reared, dark-adapted overnight, and anesthetized by intraperitoneal injection of ketamine (100 mg/kg body weight) and xylazine (10 mg/kg body weight). Pupils were dilated with topical phenylephrine (2.5%) and tropicamide (0.5%), and corneas were anesthetized with topical 0.5% proparacaine. Mice were placed in lateral recumbence with the visual axis of the right eye centered under the cornea. A reference 27-gauge subdermal platinum needle electrode was positioned in the lower eyelid, and a similar ground needle electrode was placed in the ipsilateral ear. The background light was turned on, and, beginning at 1 minute of light adaptation and continuing every 2 minutes afterward, retinal responses to 20 maximum intensity flashes delivered at 2 Hz were recorded and averaged. After 15 minutes of recording, the mice were maintained with the background light for 1 additional minute, at which time they were subjected to a 10-sweep, 10-Hz flicker stimulus, that was recorded and averaged. Responses from at least six mice from each genotype were averaged. Responses from at least six mice from each genotype were averaged.
The 48-kDa Arr1 protein, immunoreactive with the Arr1-specific pAb mCar-LUMIj, is expressed in the WT and Arr1−/− retinas but is absent in the Arr4−/− and Arr-DKO mice (Fig. 1, right). In Figure 2, IHC analysis of frozen retinal sections from each genotype exposed to light shows the same visual arrestin expression pattern as in Figure 1. WT retinas (Fig. 2A) have both visual arrestins, Arr4 (green) and Arr1 (red). Arr1−/− retinas (Fig. 2B) have only Arr4 expression (green), whereas Arr4−/− retinas (Fig. 2C) have only Arr1 expression (red). The retinas of Arr-DKO mice (Fig. 2D) express neither visual arrestin.

In the light-exposed WT mice, Arr4 immunoreactive protein (green) is expressed throughout the cone photoreceptor, whereas most of the Arr1 protein (red) appears in the outer segment (OS), with some in the cone photoreceptor pedicles in the outer plexiform layer (OPL). This demonstrates an overlap in expression of the two visual arrestins (yellow) in the cones of these two layers of the light-exposed WT retina (Fig. 2A).

Light-Independent Cone Dystrophy in Arr1−/− Mice

The number of cones (green) in the P60 Arr1−/− retina (Fig. 2B) is lower than in the WT retina (Fig. 2A). DAPI staining (blue) of the nuclei in the ONL shows that all four genotypes (Figs. 2A–D) have similar number of rows of nuclei, suggesting that overall total photoreceptor cell number is maintained in the dark-reared mice. Quantitative spider plot analysis supports this initial observation: retinal sections through the optic nerve, showing the number of layers of nuclei in the ONL of WT, Arr1−/−, and p48Arr−/− mice, indicate there is no statistically significant loss of photoreceptor outer nuclear layers in either the superior or the inferior retina of these dark-reared P60 mice (P > 0.05; Fig. 3A).

Figure 3B illustrates quantitative calculations of cone cell numbers from adjacent sections of the retinas of these mice and shows a significant reduction (24.2%; P < 0.001) in the number of cones in both the superior and the inferior regions of the Arr1−/− compared with WT mice, except in the extreme peripheral superior region (P > 0.05). By restoring Arr1 protein expression to photoreceptors of the Arr4−/− mice (p48Arr−/−), cone numbers appeared normal in the superior region but were reduced in the inferior region of the retina (Fig. 3B).

At P22, positive TUNEL staining resulted in fewer apoptotic nuclei in WT and Arr4−/− mice than in Arr1−/− and Arr-DKO mice (Figs. 4A–D). Figure 4E shows quantitative TUNEL data analysis averaged from three sequential midsagittal retinal sections from the retinas of at least four mice in each group and shows a highly significant difference (P < 0.001) in the number of TUNEL-positive nuclei per retinal section when comparing WT mice with both Arr1−/− and Arr-DKO mice. Figure 4E also highlights that exposing the mice to 4 hours of room light (1400 lux) before killing increased the number of TUNEL-positive nuclei seen in Arr1−/− and Arr-DKO mice.

Electroretinography

Representative photopic ERG responses of WT and visual arrestin knockout (Arr KO) mice (P35–60) to bright light (log 2.01 cd · s/m²) flashes (stimulus) administered after 1 minute (left tracings) or 15 minutes (right tracings) of light adaptation to a rod-saturating background light (200 cd/m²) are presented in Figure 5A.

Averaged b-wave amplitudes recorded from WT and Arr KO mice every 2 minutes from 1 to 15 minutes during light adaptation are graphed in Figure 5B. The photopic b-wave amplitudes for these four genotypes are similar at 1 minute. For both WT and Arr4−/− mice, the amplitudes continued to increase...
from approximately 200 μV at 1 minute to approximately 500 μV at 15 minutes. In contrast, amplitudes of both the Arr1−/− and the Arr-DKO mice did not increase appreciably. Figure 5C shows b-wave responses of the p48Arr−/− mice (+p48Arr−/−, dark blue square) and their negative littermate controls (−p48Arr−/−, light blue triangle). It shows that +p48Arr−/− retinas expressing the Arr1 protein delivered from the expression of the transgene are rescued in their ability to light adapt compared with retinas without Arr1 expression in the −p48Arr−/−. As seen in Figure 5D, rod α-transducin mice (Tα−/−, magenta triangle) light adapted in a manner similar to that of WT mice (black square).

For cone flicker analysis, light adaptation was continued to 16 minutes, at which time mice were subjected to a 10-sweep, 10-Hz flicker stimulus. Representative tracings are shown in Figure 6A. The average flicker response of the four genotypes and the p48 transgenic mice are summarized in Figure 6B. There is a significant decrease (P < 0.05) in the flicker response of Arr-DKO compared with WT. Unexpectedly, we observed a slight but significant increase (P < 0.05) in the Arr4−/− flicker response.

DISCUSSION

Lack of visual Arr1 expression in mice, or abnormal expression caused by a genetic defect in the Arr1 gene in humans, has a profound deleterious effect on rod electrophysiological function.3,18 Because of its increased susceptibility to light damage, the Arr1−/− mouse is a good animal model for the study of the light damage associated with autosomal recessive retinitis pigmentosa and Oguchi disease, a nonprogressive form of congenital stationary night blindness. Retinal damage reported in the Arr1 knockout mouse occurs when the mouse is subjected to a constant or cycling light environment, which leads to a constitutive signal flow arising from a defective opsin shut-off and abnormal recovery.18

Unexpectedly, in dark-reared Arr1−/− mice, we observed progressive light-independent cone dystrophy even when the rod population appeared healthy. By P60, the Arr1−/− mice had approximately 75% the cone cell numbers compared to WT mice at this age (Fig. 3B). This dystrophy was specific for Arr1 because Arr4−/− mice had normal cone cell numbers (data not shown).

At P22, retinas of dark-reared Arr1−/− mice had increased numbers of apoptotic (TUNEL-positive) nuclei in the ONL, and the number of apoptotic nuclei increased with 4-hour exposure to room light (Fig. 4E). It has been reported that by P12, healthy cone nuclei migrate to line up adjacent to the outer limiting membrane (OLM); however, in the rd1 mouse, this cone migration is delayed.15 In our study, the TUNEL-positive nuclei at P22 did not line up near the OLM but were scattered throughout the ONL (Figs. 4B–D), possibly because they were in a late stage of apoptosis and either had never lined up or had lost their orientation during the process of cell death. Although it is not possible to positively identify the increased TUNEL-positive nuclei observed in the P22 Arr1−/− mice as apoptotic cones, we did observe that by P60 25% of the cones were absent (Fig. 3B).

In transgenic +p48Arr−/− mice, the rhodopsin promoter restores the 48-kDa rod Arr1 protein expression to the rods; however, the promoter may be “leaky”,16 resulting in the potential restoration of Arr1 expression in cones. Arr1 transgene expression, however, was only partially successful in rescuing the cone dystrophy. The cone cell numbers of these mice were in a late stage of apoptosis and either had never lined up or had lost their orientation during the process of cell death. Although it is not possible to positively identify the increased TUNEL-positive nuclei observed in the P22 Arr1−/− mice as apoptotic cones, we did observe that by P60 25% of the cones were absent (Fig. 3B).
mice were indistinguishable from those of WT mice in the superior but not the inferior retina (Fig. 3B).

Given that Arr1 is not expressed in either rods or cones in Arr1<sup>−/−</sup> mice, why are cones not surviving in dark-reared mice? Compared with rods, cones have been shown to be particularly vulnerable to apoptotic cell death from calcium overload and oxidative stress, possibly because their metabolic rate of ATP demand and production is higher<sup>47−49</sup>. Stargardt macular dystrophy, associated with the accumulation of A2E resulting in subsequent oxidative stress, cones in the macula are selectively damaged.<sup>50</sup> In models of rd1, cone cell death has been attributed to oxidative damage resulting from increased oxygen levels after rod death in the outer retina and reduced levels of insulin.<sup>51,52</sup> If knocking out Arr1 results in increased reactive oxygen species, even in dark-reared mice, the result may be the light-independent cone dystrophy we observed (Fig. 3B). We are investigating oxidative stress in this model.

Based on our electrophysiological data (Fig. 6B), Arr1 contributes to a cone-driven, photopic ERG b-wave amplitude increase observed in WT and Arr4<sup>−/−</sup> mice during light adaptation. This b-wave increase is not present in Arr1<sup>−/−</sup> and Arr-DKO mice. This phenomenon is Arr1 specific because the cone b-wave amplitude of Arr1<sup>−/−</sup> mice response increased normally during light exposure. When the 48-kDa Arr1 protein was restored to Arr1<sup>−/−</sup> mice, in +p48<sup>Arr1+/−</sup>, the photopic b-wave increase was also restored (Fig. 6C).

It has been reported that functional rods may be necessary for the photopic ERG amplitude increase during light adaptation.<sup>26</sup> However, Peachey<sup>29</sup> studied the light adaptation of the human rod system as a possible cause of cone ERG amplitude increases and concluded that rods were not a major contributor to this cone light adaptation. Our investigations, using the rod Ta<sup>−/−</sup> mouse, support this conclusion. The rod Ta<sup>−/−</sup> mouse has normal rod morphology but lacks rod function and has been used as a model for functionally rodless mice<sup>54</sup>—however, the cone b-wave amplitude increase during light exposure was similar to that in WT mice (Fig 5D).

In rods, the movement of Ta, recoverin, and Arr1 within the photoreceptor have been implicated in light adaptation (i.e., the desensitization of rods in response to increased light intensity).<sup>6,7,53,54</sup> In the rods of a dark-adapted WT mouse, Arr1 expression is located predominantly in the inner segments and in the OPL containing the synaptic terminals. When an adapting background light is turned on, Arr1 slowly translocates from the OPL to the rod photoreceptor outer segments, arriving there after several minutes of constant illumination.<sup>55</sup> Prolonged illumination and light adaptation also upregulate Arr1 gene expression and its translocation.<sup>56</sup> Other investigators have seen a correlation between arrestin translocation and light adaptation. Lee<sup>57</sup> showed that mutant Drosophila, with defective phosphoinositide metabolism resulting in delayed visual arrestin translocation, did not light adapt to continuous light. The flies had normal amounts of visual arrestin, but the
Arr1 also translocates in cones with a time course similar to that in rods. Our ERG studies demonstrate a similar time course for light adaptation. In WT mice, photopic b-wave amplitude increased steadily after the background light was turned on. In Figure 5B, it can be seen that, by 7 minutes of continuous background light exposure, almost 80% of the b-wave amplitude increase has occurred.

We hypothesize that Arr1 translocates in cones in a manner similar to that of rods, suggesting a functional role in the synapse that is inhibitory to amplitudes or a function in the OS that is stimulatory to amplitudes. In a mouse retina, it may be essential that Arr1 be present in the cone photoreceptor OS or be translocated away from the cone pedicle synapse to allow for light adaptation. Given that abnormal cone light adaptation and flicker response are observed, we are exploring alternative physiological roles and binding partners of the Arr1 in the photoreceptor synapses (Huang S-P, et al. IOVS 2009;50:ARVO E-Abstract 5430).

In conclusion, Arr1, but not Arr4, expression protects cones from light-independent dystrophy. This study also demonstrates for the first time that Arr1 plays a significant role in cone light adaptation reflected in the photopic ERG amplitude increase as the mouse transitions from dark to light. The 10-Hz flicker responses from the Arr1−/−, Arr4−/−, and Arr-DKO mice show that the presence of either visual arrestin is essential in the traditional role of resetting and restoring cone physiology after a light event. The significant reduction in flicker response after the removal of both visual arrestins demonstrates that one visual arrestin will partially substitute for the other in cone recovery.

Mutations in the human gene encoding ARR1 cause either an inherited recessive form of stationary night blindness known as Oguchi disease,62,63 associated with abnormal ERGs of reduced a-wave amplitudes and absent scotopic b-waves, or retinitis pigmentosa with retinal degeneration.64 Based on this work, Arr1−/− mice provide a model for better understanding of these retinal conditions. Future studies should closely examine photopic ERG and flicker responses in these patients.
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