Inhibition of Hemangiogenesis and Lymphangiogenesis after Normal-Risk Corneal Transplantation by Neutralizing VEGF Promotes Graft Survival
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PURPOSE. To evaluate the occurrence and time course of hem- and lymphangiogenesis after normal-risk corneal transplantation in the mouse model and to test whether pharmacologic strategies inhibiting both processes improve long-term graft survival.

METHODS. Normal-risk allogeneic (C57BL/6 to BALB/c) and syngeneic (BALB/c to BALB/c) corneal transplantations were performed and occurrence and time course of hem- and lymphangiogenesis after keratoplasty was observed, by using double immunofluorescence of corneal flatmounts (with CD31 as a panendothelial and LYVE-1 as a lymphatic vascular endothelium–specific marker). A molecular trap designed to eliminate VEGF-A (VEGF TrapR1R2; 12.5 mg/kg) was tested for its ability to inhibit both processes after keratoplasty and to promote long-term graft survival (intraperitoneal injections on the day of surgery and 3, 7, and 14 days later).

RESULTS. No blood or lymph vessels were detectable immediately after normal-risk transplantation in either donor or host cornea, but hem- and lymphangiogenesis were clearly visible at day 3 after transplantation. Both vessel types reached donor tissue at 1 week after allografting and similarly after syngeneic grafting. Early postoperative trapping of VEGF-A significantly reduced both hem- and lymphangiogenesis and significantly improved long-term graft survival (78% vs. 40%; P < 0.05).

CONCLUSIONS. There is concurrent, VEGF-A-dependent hem- and lymphangiogenesis after normal-risk keratoplasty within the preoperatively avascular recipient bed. Inhibition of hem- and lymphangiogenesis (afferent and efferent arm of an immune response) after normal-risk corneal transplantation improves long-term graft survival, establishing early postoperative hem- and lymphangiogenesis as novel risk factors for graft rejection even in low-risk eyes. (Invest Ophtalmol Vis Sci. 2004;45:2666–2673) DOI:10.1167/iovs.03-1380

Corneal transplantation is the oldest, most successful, and most commonly performed tissue transplantation, with nearly 40,000 transplantations a year alone in the United States.1 When corneal grafts are placed into an avascular recipient bed (so-called normal-risk keratoplasty), 2-year graft survival rates approach 90% under cover of topical steroids, even without HLA-matching.2 This very successful outcome is attributable to corneal immune privilege (i.e., the phenomenon of suppressed corneal inflammation induced by an array of endogenous mechanisms that downregulate alloimmune and inflammatory responses in the cornea and its bed). These mechanisms include the lack of both afferent lymphatic and efferent blood vessels in the normal-risk recipient cornea, lack of MHC II antigen-presenting cells (APCs), FASL-expression on corneal epithelium and endothelium, and the anterior chamber associated immune privilege (ACAID) directed at graft antigens, for example (for review see Ref. 1). In contrast, survival rates of corneal grafts placed into vascularized, not immune-privileged recipient beds (so called high-risk keratoplasty) decrease significantly to below 50% (even with local and systemic immune suppression).3,4 Preexisting corneal stromal blood vessels have been identified as strong risk factors for immune rejection after corneal transplantation, both in the clinical setting and in the well-defined mouse model of corneal transplantation.5 Recently, in addition to blood vessels, biomicroscopically undetectable lymphatic vessels have been found in association with blood vessels in vascularized high-risk human corneas,6,7 and it is likely that corneal lymphatic vessels enable effective access of donor and host APCs and antigenic material to regional lymph nodes where accelerated sensitization to graft antigens occurs.8

But even in the normal-risk setting (with a preoperatively avascular recipient bed), mild corneal hemangiogenesis develops after keratoplasty9–11. Outgrowth of new blood vessels from the limbal arcade toward the graft can be observed within the first postoperative year in approximately 50% of patients undergoing normal-risk keratoplasty, and in 10% of patients these new blood vessels even reach the interface or invade donor tissue11 at corneal suture sites and then proceed centrally.3,11

Both hem- and lymphangiogenesis (i.e., the outgrowth of new blood vessels versus lymphatic vessels from preexisting vessels) are mediated by members of the VEGF growth factor family: VEGF (VEGF-A) induces hem- and lymphangiogenesis by binding to VEGF receptor (VEGFR)-1 and -2. VEGF-B reacts only with VEGFR1. The lymphangiogenic molecules VEGF-C and VEGF-D both bind to VEGFR2 and VEGFR3 (for review see Ref. 12). In tumor hemangiogenesis as well as in other condi-
tions of hypoxic and inflammatory hemangiogenesis, VEGF-A through VEGF-R2-ligation has emerged as the main growth factor that induces hemangiogenesis.12

Using the mouse model of normal-risk keratoplasty, the present study analyzed (1) whether hemangiogenesis accompanies hemangiogenesis after normal-risk keratoplasty, (2) the time course of blood and lymphatic vessel outgrowth after keratoplasty, (3) whether there is a difference in postkeratoplasty angiogenesis between syngeneic and allogeneic grafting, and (4) whether inhibition of hem- and lymphangiogenesis by a molecular trap designed to eliminate VEGF-A (VEGF TrapR1R2) promotes long-term graft survival in the normal-risk keratoplasty setting.

METHODS

Mice and Anesthesia

Six- to 8-week-old male C57BL/6 mice were used as donors, and same-aged male BALB/c mice (Taconic, Germantown, NY) as recipients in the mouse model of normal-risk keratoplasty. 13 For syngeneic transplantations, 6- to 8-week-old male BALB/c mice were used both as donors and as recipients. For the dose–response studies, 8-week-old male C57BL/6 mice were used. All animals were treated in accordance with the ARVO Statement for the Use of Animals in Ophthalmic and Vision Research. Mice were anesthetized using a mixture of ketamine and xylazine (120 mg/kg and 20 mg/kg body weight, respectively).

Dose–Response of VEGF TrapR1R2

To establish the minimum dose of VEGF TrapR1R2, a molecular trap for VEGF-A (described later), that would effectively suppress corneal neoangiogenesis for at least 1 week, five different doses of VEGF TrapR1R2 were tested in mice, which received three interrupted intrastromal sutures (100 nylon, 50 μm-diameter; Sharpont, Surgical Specialties Corp., Reading, PA; n = 5 mice per dosage). Gentamicin and ophthalmic ointment were applied immediately after surgery. After surgery (day 0), mice received a single subcutaneous injection of VEGF TrapR1R2 (2.5, 12.5, 6.25, 2.5 or 0.5 mg/kg) or human Fc (12.5 mg/kg; control). Corneas were harvested on day 9 after suture placement, after an intravenous administration of an endothelium-specific fluorescein-conjugated lectin (Lycopersicon esculentum Vector Laboratories, Burlingame, CA). The isolated corneas were flattened on glass slides, and images of lectin-labeled vessels were captured with a digital camera (Spot RT; Diagnostic Instruments, Inc., Sterling Heights, MI) at 100× magnification. The area covered by each vessel type was measured morphometrically on the flattened mountings, and the percentage of the total corneal area was calculated. Inhibitory scores of VEGF TrapR1R2 were compared with the control, using Student’s t-test. Dose–response curves were fitted to the model of minimum effective dose (MED) to maximum effective dose (MEDMAX). The MED was defined as the dose at which 50% inhibition was achieved. The MEDMAX was defined as the dose at which 100% inhibition was achieved.

Conical Transplantation in Mice

Orthotopic corneal allografting in the mouse model of normal-risk keratoplasty was performed as described previously.13 Donor corneas were excised by trephination using a 2.0 mm bore and cut with curved Vannas scissors. Untill grafting, corneal tissue was placed in chilled phosphate-buffered saline (PBS). Recipients were anesthetized, and the graft bed was prepared by trephining a 1.5-mm site in the central cornea of the right eye and discarding the excised cornea. The donor cornea was immediately applied to the bed and secured in place with eight interrupted sutures (11-0 nylon, 70-μm diameter needles; Arosurgical, Newport Beach, CA). Antibiotic ointment (Oxytetracycline; Pharmacia, Hauppauge, NY) was placed on the corneal surface and the eyelids were sutured with 8/0 suture (Sharpoint: Surgical Specialties Corp.). Recipients of grafts in which bleeding developed in the immediate postoperative period were discarded from further evaluation. All grafted eyes were examined after 72 hours, and grafts with technical difficulties (hyphema, cataract, infection, loss of anterior chamber) were excluded from further consideration. Tarsorrhaphy and corneal sutures were removed after 7 days, and grafts were then examined at least twice a week until week 8 after transplantation by slit lamp microscopy and scored for opacity as described previously.13 The survival experiment was performed twice and comprised 10 and 12 mice per experiment in both groups. Clinical scores of corneal grafts for opacity were as follows: 0, clear; +1, minimal, superficial (nonstromal) opacity; pupil margin and iris vessels readily visible through the cornea; +2, minimal, deep (stromal) opacity; pupil margins and iris vessels visible; +3, moderate stromal opacity; only pupil margin visible; +4, intense stromal opacity; only a portion of pupil margin visible; and +5, maximum stromal opacity; anterior chamber not visible. Grafts with opacity scores of +2 or greater after 2 weeks were considered to have been rejected.13 Syngeneic transplantations were performed and evaluated in a similar manner.

Immunohistochemistry and Morphometry of Angiogenesis and Lymphangiogenesis in the Cornea

Briefly, corneal flatmounts were rinsed in PBS, fixed in acetone, rinsed in PBS, blocked in 2% bovine serum albumin, stained with FITC-conjugated CD31/platelet–endothelial cell adhesion molecule (PECAM)-1 overnight (1:100; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA), washed, blocked, stained with LHVE-1 (1:500; a lymph endothelium-specific hyaluronic acid receptor),5,14 washed, blocked, and stained with Cy3 (1:100; Jackson Immunoresearch Laboratories, West Grove, PA), and analyzed by microscopy (Axioiophot; Carl Zeiss Meditec). Digital pictures of the flatmounts were taken with an image-analysis system (Spot; Diagnostic Instruments). Then, the area covered by CD31+/LHVE-1+/blood vessels and CD31+/LHVE-1+/lymph vessels was measured morphometrically on the flatmounts with NIH Image software (available by ftp at zippy.nimh.nih.gov/ or at http://rsb.info.nih.gov/nih-image; developed by Wayne Rasband, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD). The total corneal area was outlined, with the innermost vessel of the limbal arcade serving as the border. The total area of blood versus lymphatic neovascularization was then normalized to the total corneal area and the percentage of the cornea covered by each vessel type calculated.

Neutralization of VEGF-A with a Cytokine Trap: VEGF TrapR1R2

A newly designed molecular trap for VEGF-A, VEGF TrapR1R2, comprising the receptor binding domains of VEGF receptor 1 and 2 coupled to a human Fc fragment (Regeneron Pharmaceuticals Inc., Tarrytown, NY) was used in the transplant survival experiment at a concentration of 12.5 mg/kg intraperitoneally (IP) at time of surgery (CHO hVEGR1 [lg domain 2], R2 [lg domain 3-Fc], and 5, 7, and 14 days after surgery.15 Human Fc-fragment given IP at same concentration and times was used in the control mice (sCHO h Fc).

Statistical Analysis

Statistical significance was analyzed by the Mann-Whitney test. Differences were considered significant at P < 0.05. Each experiment was performed at least twice with similar results. Graphs were drawn by computer (Prism, ver. 3.02; Graph Pad, San Diego, CA).

RESULTS

Dose–Response of Angiogenesis Inhibition by VEGF TrapR1R2

As shown in Figure 1, VEGF TrapR1R2, at doses of 25 or 12.5 mg/kg, completely inhibited suture-induced inflammatory corneal neovascularization. In contrast, doses of 6.25 and 2.5 mg/kg produced ~50% and ~20% inhibition of corneal neovascularization, respectively, whereas the lowest dose tested, 0.5 mg/kg, had a negligible effect (<5% inhibition). Therefore,
FIGURE 1. Dose-response of the antiangiogenic effect of VEGF TrapR1R2. Immediately after placement of intrastromal corneal sutures, mice received human Fc protein (control: A) or 25 (B), 12.5 (C), 6.25 (D), 2.5 (E), or 0.5 (F) mg/kg VEGF TrapR1R2. The dose of 12.5 mg/kg was the lowest that provided complete inhibition of suture-induced corneal neovascularization (as measured in lectin-stained corneal flatmounts 9 days after suture placement; the limbal vascular arcade is located at the bottom of each image). Magnification, ×100.

FIGURE 2. Early, combined induction of hem- and lymphangiogenesis after normal-risk allogeneic keratoplasty. There was neither biomicroscopically (A) nor immunohistochemically (B/C: CD31⁺ blood vessels: green; LYVE-1⁺ lymphatic vessels: red) detectable hem- or lymphangiogenesis immediately after normal-risk allogeneic keratoplasty (B: corneal flatmount; C: detail from B). By day 3 after surgery (D-F), corneal blood vessels (Bl) grew into the avascular recipient beds. Immunostaining revealed new blood vessels to be accompanied by lymphatic vessels (E, F: red vessels). Both vessel types penetrated approximately 30% to 50% from the limbus to the graft bed. One week after normal-risk keratoplasty (G-I) both vessel types had already reached donor tissue and spread along the interface (H, I), but these vessels rarely invade donor tissue. Li, limbal vascular arcade; IF, interface.
for subsequent experiments, a dose of 12.5 mg/kg VEGF TrapR1R2 was chosen.

**Rapid and Parallel Onset of Hemangiogenesis and Lymphangiogenesis after Normal-Risk Allogeneic Corneal Transplantation**

To determine whether the mild and temporary hemangiogenesis occurring after normal-risk keratoplasty is accompanied by lymphatic vessel outgrowth from the limbus into the normally alymphatic cornea, we studied the time course of ingrowth of both vessel types at days 0, 3, 7, 14, 21, and 28 after allogeneic keratoplasty (only accepted grafts). Immediately after surgery, blood, and lymphatic vessels were not detectable either in the host or in donor tissue using biomicroscopy and immunohistochemistry on corneal flatmounts. Immediately after surgery, blood, and lymphatic vessels were not detectable either in the host or in donor tissue using biomicroscopy and immunohistochemistry on corneal flatmounts (Fig. 2). But, at day 3 after allografting, both methods revealed new blood vessels growing into the cornea already one third to one half the way toward the graft interface. By day 7, these vessels had usually reached the donor tissue, but they rarely invaded the donor tissue itself. Analyzing flatmounts stained with LYVE-1 as a lymphatic vessel-specific marker showed that CD31⁺/LYVE-1⁻ blood vessels were regularly accompanied by LYVE-1⁺/CD31⁻ lymphatic vessels (Fig. 2). Both vessel types reached the interface simultaneously at day 7. Thereafter, coincident with suture removal, both vessel types started to regress (if no immune rejection occurred; data not shown).

**Difference in Postkeratoplasty Hem- and Lymphangiogenesis between Syngeneic and Allogeneic Corneal Transplantation**

To determine whether the simultaneous induction of hem- and lymphangiogenesis after normal-risk keratoplasty is primarily an effect of the surgical trauma, suturing, and wound-healing processes or is secondary to early immunologic rejection reactions, we compared the speed and extent of both hem- and lymphangiogenesis occurring after keratoplasty between allogeneic (C57BL/6 to BALB/c) and syngeneic grafts (BALB/c to BALB/c) at days 3, 7, 14, 21, and 28 after transplantation (Fig. 3). In both groups, blood and lymphatic vessels grew out after keratoplasty and by day 3 reached approximately one third to one half of the limbus-interface distance. At day 7 after syngeneic and allogeneic grafting, both vessel types had reached the interface, before they started to regress. Furthermore, there was no significant difference in the hem- and
lymphovascularized area, comparing syngeneic and allogeneic grafts at 3 days (allogeneic with hemovascularized area [HA] 25.2% ± 4.1% and lymphovascularized area [LA] 22.2% ± 9.4% vs. syngeneic HA: 23% ± 2.7% and LA 19.4% ± 7.2%) and 7 days (allogeneic HA: 53.8% ± 11.2% and LA: 37.9% ± 6.2% vs. syngeneic HA: 55.9% ± 8.2% and LA: 38% ± 22.7%) after surgery (n = 8 mice per group per time point).

**Effect of Neutralization of VEGF-A after Normal-Risk Keratoplasty on Postoperative Hemangiogenesis and Lymphangiogenesis**

To determine the extent to which combined hem- and lymphangiogenesis occurring after keratoplasty depends on VEGF-A, we analyzed the effect of pharmacological neutralization of VEGF-A using a novel cytokine trap (VEGF TrapR1R2). Mice received either intraperitoneal injections of VEGF TrapR1R2 (12.5 mg/kg) at surgery and 3 days later. Control animals received the Fc-protein in the same dosage. At day 3 and 7 after surgery, the extent of hem- and lymphangiogenesis was compared between these two groups (n = 6 mice per group per time point). At days 3 and 7 after surgery, the hemovascularized area was significantly smaller in trap-treated mice (day 3: 15.8% ± 4.0%; day 7: 25.2% ± 13.3%) compared with mice receiving only the Fc-fragment (day 3: 25.8% ± 4.4%; day 7: 48.3% ± 12.8%; P < 0.0001; Fig. 4). This was also true of the lymphovascularized area comparing Trap- (9.5 ± 9.4%) and Fc-treated mice on day 3 (21.5% ± 9.3%; P < 0.0001). At day 7, the lymphovascularized area was smaller, but not significantly different in the Trap-group (28.7% ± 20.3%) compared with the Fc-group (51.5% ± 23.8%; P = 0.06). In contrast to results obtained in corneal injury models (Cao et al., manuscript submitted) neither hem- nor lymphangiogenesis was completely inhibited by the VEGF TrapR1R2 after corneal transplantation. However, the number of lymphatic vessels reaching the graft-host interface (10.6 ± 0.6 vs. 1.3 ± 1.5 vessels) and the number of hours that the interface was filled with draining lymphatic vessels were much more in the Fc-treated than in the Trap-treated group at day 7 (3 ± 2 vs. 0.2 ± 0.3 hours; not significant due to small sample size). This may indicate that lymphovascularized area per se is less decisive for host sensitization than the contact area with donor tissue (described later).

**Effect on Graft Survival of Partial Inhibition of Early Postoperative Hem- and Lymphangiogenesis by Trapping VEGF-A after Normal-Risk Surgery**

Because hem- and lymphangiogenesis that occurred after normal-risk keratoplasty peaked around day 7, and regressed thereafter, and because both vascular processes could be significantly inhibited by early postoperative neutralization of
Inhibition of hem- and lymphangiogenesis after keratoplasty interferes with the development of both an afferent (lymphatic vessels) and an efferent pathway (blood vessels) for a subsequent immune response.\(^7\) In addition, trapping of VEGF-A may impede the recruitment of APCs to the graft bed.

The relative importance of hem versus lymphangiogenesis after normal-risk keratoplasty for subsequent immune rejections remains unknown, because in this study both processes were equally inhibited by VEGF Trap\(\alpha_{1\beta2}\). On the one hand, blood vessels reaching the graft are essential for delivery of APCs and alloreactive T-lymphocytes to the graft. On the other hand, lymphatic vessels seem to facilitate escape of APCs to regional lymph nodes, enhancing allosensitization. However, studies demonstrating that removal of regional lymph nodes can promote complete survival of corneal allografts placed in high- and normal-risk settings,\(^{19,20}\) and a study demonstrating increased transport of donor APCs to regional lymph nodes in inflamed (and probably lymphovascularized) beds,\(^5\) suggest that afferent corneal lymphatics that promote sensitization may be equal, or even more important than efferent corneal blood vessels that provide an entry route for immune effector cells.

Corneal allograft survival in the normal-risk mouse model (C57BL/6 to BALB/c) is reduced from around 50% after 8 weeks to 0% after 2 weeks, if the recipient bed is prevascularized.\(^5,21\) We have demonstrated parallel outgrowth of both blood and lymphatic vessels in this model,\(^{16}\) implying that donor tissue has immediate access to draining host lymphatic vessels after high-risk grafting and is exposed to efferent host blood vessels. Because we demonstrated in the current study that 1 week after normal-risk keratoplasty both vessels types also reached donor tissue, the question arises of why the survival rates between C57BL/6 grafts placed into avascular, but neovascularizing versus already neovascularized graft beds are so different. One explanation concerns the possibility of a time-dependent window of opportunity during which recipient sensitization to donor alloantigens after keratoplasty leads to graft rejection. Whereas grafts placed in high-risk eyes induce donor-specific sensitization promptly (within 7 days),\(^5\) presumably because antigens have access to draining lymph nodes through preestablished lymphatics, by contrast, allografts placed in low-risk eyes do not generate sensitization until 2 to 4 weeks after grafting,\(^22\) probably reflecting the time needed for lymphangiogenesis to develop. Once the drainage system is established, graft-derived antigens reach the local lymph node, and activate donor-specific alloreactive T-cells, which can cause rejection. If, however, sensitized T cells disseminate only after 14 to 21 days, these effectors must compete with the regulatory T-cells of ACAID which begin to emerge at that time.\(^25\) Neutralization of VEGF-A at the time of surgery retards lymphangiogenesis in the graft bed, thus narrowing the window of opportunity during which recipient sensitization takes place and therefore may reflect a shift in the balance of the recipient alloimmune response toward acceptance (ACAID) rather than rejection. This idea is compatible with the observation that a temporary depletion of local macrophages by subconjunctival injection of clonodrate liposomes at the time of keratoplasty in low-risk eyes achieves permanent survival of most of these grafts.\(^{23,24}\) Other possible explanations include a role for the degree of antigen flow, the APC phenotype, and other related or unrelated differences between these graft types.

Inhibition of both hem- and lymphangiogenesis by neutralization of VEGF-A was incomplete in this study of keratoplasty, whereas the same dosage of VEGF Trap in a previous study completely inhibited both angiogenic processes after corneal suturing.\(^{16}\) This may suggest that the release of angiogenic factors after corneal transplantation is greater than after suture.

**Discussion**

Whereas preexisting corneal blood vessels have long been established as risk factors for immune rejection after corneal transplantation,\(^4,13\) the pathogenesis, potential association with lymphangiogenesis, and immunologic importance of mild hemangiogenesis after normal-risk keratoplasty have yet to be determined.\(^3,9-11\) Using the mouse model of normal-risk keratoplasty, we provide novel evidence (1) that normal-risk keratoplasty itself promotes parallel and rapid outgrowth of both blood and lymphatic vessels into the avascular recipient bed; (2) that because there was no significant difference between postoperative hem- and lymphangiogenesis comparing syngeneic and allogeneic corneal grafting, early postoperative release of hem- and lymphangiogenic growth factors seems to be triggered mainly by surgical trauma, wound-healing, and corneal suturing rather than immune rejection; (3) that neutralization of VEGF-A after surgery not only inhibited hem- and lymphangiogenesis, but promoted long-term corneal allograft survival. The results establish hem- and lymphangiogenesis occurring after normal-risk keratoplasty as novel risk factors for subsequent immune rejections.

The molecular trap (VEGF Trap\(\alpha_{1\beta2}\)) used in this study neutralized VEGF-A and PlGF with high affinity. Neutralization of VEGF-A has recently been shown to inhibit not only hem- and lymphangiogenesis, but also to interfere with recruitment of inflammatory cells into the cornea (Cao J, et al., manuscript submitted).\(^16\) This effect of VEGF neutralization has been attributed to inhibition of neutrophil and macrophage chemotaxis mediated by ligation of VEGFR1.\(^{17,18}\) Trapping of VEGF-A thereby exerts direct and indirect antiangiogenic effects. Therefore, the graft survival-promoting effect of VEGF-A neutralization can also be attributed to multiple mechanisms. First,
placement alone, and that the present dosing regimen is insufficient for complete suppression of angiogenesis in this context. Alternatively, because lymphangiogenesis is thought to be mediated mainly by VEGF-C and -D binding to their high-affinity receptor VEGFR3 on lymphatic vascular endothelium, 12,20–29 and because the VEGF TrapR1R2 used in this study does not bind VEGF-C and -D, 15 adding VEGFR3-signaling inhibitors to the treatment regimen may more completely inhibit lymphangiogenesis and further improve graft survival after normal-risk keratoplasty. The fact that pharmacological neutralization of VEGF-A, which is mainly thought of as a hemangiogenic growth factor, 12,20–29 significantly inhibited lymphangiogenesis, suggests a novel, important role for VEGF-A in generating lymphangiogenesis and in promoting sensitization to donor antigens. In line with this interpretation, an important role for VEGF-A in another transplant setting was recently demonstrated. 30 For human cardiac allografts a correlation between increased intragraft VEGF-levels, inflammatory cell influx and all grades of acute rejection was shown. 30 It has been reported that topically applied anti-VEGF antibodies reduced the degree of inflammation and hemangiogenesis in the rat model of high-risk keratoplasty (Lewis to Fisher rats), 31 and could improve short-term survival of grafts in this high-risk model. 31 The occurrence of lymphangiogenesis or the effect of inhibiting hem- and lymphangiogenesis on long-term survival were not analyzed in this study. 31

Our finding that there was no difference in early postoperative hem- and lymphangiogenesis after syngeneic versus allogeneic grafting suggests an important role of surgery and surgery-related wound healing in inducing these vascular responses, rather than immunologic mechanisms. This is in line with a previous study in humans in which the degree of postkeratoplasty hemangiogenesis was significantly lower in patients after nonmechanical excimer laser trephination (which induces less vigorous wound healing) than after mechanical trephination. 9 Taken together, the evidence suggests a novel role of surgery/wound healing itself in determining the immunologic fate of corneal grafts and a close association of immune and angiogenic responses in the cornea. 32

Thinking about translating the results obtained in our study to the clinical setting, one has to keep in mind that important differences exist between penetrating keratoplasty in humans and in the mouse model: continuous suturing in human low-risk patients versus interrupted sutures in mouse surgery, suture placement for over 1 year in patients compared with 1 week in mice and longer distances between interface and vessels at the limbus in patients compared with mice, for example. Therefore, because our results establish hem- and lymphangiogenesis as postkeratoplasty as novel risk factors for subsequent immune rejections even after normal-risk transplantation in the mouse model, it seems reasonable to determine whether this association also holds true for patients, whether there is postkeratoplasty lymphangiogenesis in humans, and when the association is confirmed in patients, to try to inhibit postkeratoplasty neovascularization and improve graft survival.
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