In Vivo Imaging of Foveal Sparing in Geographic Atrophy Secondary to Age-Related Macular Degeneration
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PURPOSE. To investigate morphologic alterations in geographic atrophy caused by age-related macular degeneration (AMD) in the presence of foveal sparing using high-resolution in vivo imaging.

METHODS. Simultaneous spectral domain optical coherence tomography (SD-OCT, 870 nm, 40,000 A-scans/s) and confocal scanning laser ophthalmoscopy (cSLO; fundus autofluorescence; excitation, 488 nm; emission, 500–700 nm) were performed in 18 eyes with geographic atrophy and foveal sparing using a combined instrument. Anatomic layers were evaluated, and retinal thickness in the fovea and the peripheral macula were measured and compared with those in controls of similar age.

RESULTS. Fundus autofluorescence imaging showed an inhomogeneously reduced signal at the residual foveal island. SD-OCT scans disclosed mitigation of the foveal pit in the absence of extracellular fluid accumulation and an increased mean central retinal thickness of 248 ± 28 μm compared with 225 ± 12 μm in control eyes (P = 0.005). No difference in retinal thickness in the peripheral macula was observed (245 ± 16 vs. 253 ± 11 μm; P = 0.6). Subanalysis revealed masked appearance of swelling and widening of visible structures at the central outer nuclear layer (153 ± 22 μm vs. 127 ± 12 μm; P = 0.003). Below the external limiting membrane, a broad band of irregular high reflectivity was detected instead of the normal three separate reflective bands.

CONCLUSIONS. Thickening at the foveal site may reflect a preapoptotic stage of neuronal cellular elements indicating imminent atrophy. Limited structure-function correlation found in our study suggests that future therapeutic intervention may be beneficial in only a subset of AMD patients with foveal sparing.

Geographic atrophy (GA) represents the atrophic late-stage manifestation of dry age-related macular degeneration (AMD). It is responsible for approximately 35% of all cases with late AMD.1,2 Despite the recent breakthrough by anti–vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) therapy for the active neovascular form, there is to date no proven treatment to prevent, halt, or slow down the GA disease process. Compared with neovascular AMD, GA tends to manifest in older patients; the incidence of GA has recently been found to be four times higher than for choroidal neovascularization in the population older than 85 years of age.1 Given the increase in life expectancy and in AMD prevalence in general, it is expected that the absolute number and the proportion of patients with advanced atrophic AMD will increase in the future.

GA is characterized by the development of areas of outer retinal atrophy that slowly enlarge over time at a median rate of 1.5 to 2.1 mm² per year.5–7 Atrophic areas of the retina are associated with a corresponding absolute scotoma (complete loss of retinal sensitivity). Therefore, atrophy enlargement is associated with progressive visual loss.6,9 Typically, atrophic patches initially occur in the parafoveal retina. Over time, several atrophic areas may coalesce, and new atrophic areas may occur. This can result in a horseshoe configuration of atrophy. In advanced stages, atrophic areas may form a ring of the atrophy surrounding the fovea. On clinical examination, the fovea itself may remain uninvolved by the atrophic process until late in the course of the disease, a phenomenon referred to as foveal sparing.10,11 When the fovea finally becomes involved, a dramatic loss in visual acuity occurs. After involvement of the central macula, little benefit can be expected from any future therapeutic intervention aiming to slow down or halt the natural course of the disease.3,8 Overall, the exact pathophysiological mechanisms underlying the atrophic process, including the apparent relatively slower spread toward the fovea, are not yet understood. To identify rational therapeutic targets and to evaluate therapeutic interventions, we need a better understanding of the progression of GA.

The accumulation of lipofuscin in postmitotic human retinal pigment epithelial (RPE) cells and the adverse effects of its toxic compounds on normal cell function have been studied largely in vitro with fluorescence microscopic techniques.12–17 Fundus autofluorescence (FAF) imaging with the confocal scanning laser ophthalmoscopy (cSLO) allows for visualization of the topographic distribution of lipofuscin over large retinal areas in vivo and, thus, for metabolic mapping of changes at the level of the retinal pigment epithelium.18–22 In healthy subjects, retinal blood vessels (absorption by blood) and the optic nerve head (absence of the retinal pigment epitheliump-related fluorophores) exhibit a very low FAF signal (Fig. 1).23 The dip of the signal in the fovea is explained by the absorption of luteal pigment (lutein and zeaxanthin in the neurosensory retina). In addition, a higher melanin granule density and a lower density of lipofuscin granules in central RPE cells may represent additional contributory factors.19 In patients with GA, FAF allows for accurate, noninvasive detection of atrophic
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patches that exhibit—because of the loss of retinal pigment epithelium and, therefore, fluorophores in lipofuscin granules—a markedly decreased signal. In retinal areas surrounding atrophy that show an increased signal, localized retinal sensitivity may be impaired.\(^{5,24}\) Furthermore, we have shown, in the context of the prospective, multicenter natural history FAM (Fundus Autofluorescence in Age-Related Macular Degeneration) study, that abnormal patterns of increased FAF surrounding atrophy may serve as a prognostic marker for the future rate of progression of atrophy.\(^{6,25}\) Not only do these observations underscore the pathophysiological role of excessive lipofuscin accumulation in GA, they demonstrate the clinical usefulness of FAF imaging with regard to monitoring patients with GA over time.

With the advent of spectral domain optical coherence tomography (SD-OCT), improved anteroposterior depth assessment for the in vivo visualization of microstructural alterations of the retina has become possible.\(^{26,27}\) In particular, the combination of cSLO and SD-OCT in one instrument, together with real-time eye tracking, allows for accurate orientation of OCT scans and, therefore, for three-dimensional mapping of pathologic changes at specific anatomic sites.\(^{26,28}\)

We have previously addressed high-resolution OCT findings in patients with GA in the perilesional, junctional, and actual atrophic zones.\(^{29}\) In this study, with the use of high-resolution combined cSLO and SD-OCT in vivo imaging, we investigated morphologic alterations of the foveal retina at various anatomic layers in the presence of clinically visible foveal sparing in patients.

**METHODS**

**Patients**

Patients were recruited from the outpatient clinic at the Department of Ophthalmology, University of Bonn. The study followed the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki. Informed consent was obtained from each patient after explanation of the nature and possible consequences of the study. Patients older than 50 years of age, with advanced atrophic AMD in at least one eye (unifocal or multifocal GA) and with a funduscopically visible residual foveal island, were enrolled. If both eyes met the inclusion criteria, both eyes were included. Exclusion criteria included any history of retinal surgery (including laser treatment), signs of diabetic retinopathy, history of retinal vascular occlusions, and any signs or history of hereditary retinal dystrophy. Fluorescein angiography was performed only if there were signs of neovascular AMD (extracellular fluid, hemorrhages, exudates, or fibrosis) in addition to well-delineated patches of GA. Such eyes were excluded from the study. Each patient underwent a routine ophthalmologic examination, including determination of best-corrected visual acuity using Snellen charts. Pupils were dilated with 1.0% tropicamide and 2.5% phenylephrine.

**In Vivo Imaging**

High-resolution in vivo imaging was carried out with a novel combined instrument (Spectralis HRA+OCT, Heidelberg Engineering, Heidelberg, Germany) that allows for simultaneous recording of cSLO and SD-OCT.\(^{23}\) Initially, cSLO images were obtained according to a standardized operation protocol, which included the acquisition of near-infrared reflectance (\(\lambda = 830\) nm) and FAF (\(\lambda = 480\) nm, emission approximately 500–700 nm) images.\(^{20}\) The size of the field of view encompassed \(30^\circ \times 30^\circ\) with an image resolution of \(768 \times 768\) pixels. Subsequently, simultaneous SD-OCT scans were obtained using a second independent pair of scanning mirrors, as recently described.\(^{28}\) Briefly, SD-OCT imaging is carried out with \(870\)-nm illumination wavelength, an acquisition speed of \(40,000\) A-scans, and a scan depth of \(1.8\) mm. The digital depth resolution is approximately \(3.5\) \(\mu\)m/pixel. Live B-scans can be acquired and observed simultaneously in real-time to the cSLO image. Because of the two independent pairs of scanning mirrors, eye movements are registered and automatically corrected, allowing for pixel-to-pixel correlation of cSLO and OCT findings. With the high-speed mode used in this study, the transversal range of the B-scan thus encompasses \(30^\circ\) and consists of \(768\) A-scans. In the high-speed mode, the vertical presentation of the OCT scan is magnified twice (as with other OCT instruments); therefore, morphologic alterations are presented disproportionately high in the vertical dimension. In each study eye, vertical and horizontal OCT scans were obtained. To assess the foveal morphology, several horizontal OCT scans were placed through the center of the macula with a lateral inferior-superior distance between scans of at least \(130\) \(\mu\)m.

**Fixation Testing**

In a subset of patients, the locus, behavior, and stability of fixation were tested with automated fundus-correlated microperimetry (software version 1.5.1; MPI Nidek Technologies, Padova, Italy) before in vivo imaging. Patients, whose pupils were dilated, were asked to fixate for 30 seconds on a \(2^\circ\) red fixation cross against a white background. The size of the cross was enlarged if the patient was not able to fixate. Data were recorded only while the eye tracking was active. Thus, no data were recorded during blinking or loss of tracking.

**Image Analysis**

For spatial assessment of the foveal structural changes, cSLO and SD-OCT scans were simultaneously studied side by side. The OCT scan nearest the foveal center was selected for each study eye. Retinal thickness measurements were carried out on these scans with the automated segmentation tool of the instrument (Heidelberg Eye Explorer; Heidelberg Engineering) in the presumed foveal center and at
RESULTS

Eighteen eyes of 15 patients (mean age, 79.9 years; range, 68–99 years) were enrolled in the study. There were 11 women and 4 men. Bilateral GA was presented in nine patients, of whom three had foveal sparing in both eyes (n = 6 study eyes) and six had non-foveal sparing GA in the fellow eye (n = 6 study eyes). The other six study patients had unilateral GA with signs of exudative AMD in the fellow eye (n = 6 study eyes). One patient with bilateral GA (with foveal sparing in the study eye and non-foveal sparing GA in the fellow eye) had a documented history of bilateral anterior ischemic optic neuropathy with visual acuities of hand movements. Excluding this patient, median visual acuity was 20/50 (range, 20/125–20/25).

Automated segmentation revealed a mean retinal thickness in the central fovea of 248 ± 28 μm (range, 206–318) compared with 225 ± 12 μm (range, 200–251) in controls (Figs. 3, 4). This difference was statistically significant (P = 0.005; Wilcoxon signed ranks test). By contrast, no significant difference was found at the peripheral macula, with a mean retinal thickness of 245 ± 16 μm (range, 221–279) for patients with AMD and 253 ± 11 μm (range, 237–276) for controls (P = 0.4). To determine which retinal layers primarily contributed to the overall increased thickness, we performed additional measurements above (inner portion, including the external

Foveal Sparing

Instead of the symmetric annulus of decreased signal intensities present in healthy subjects, FAF imaging showed an irregularly reduced signal at the residual foveal island in all patients (Figs. 1, 2). SD-OCT scans revealed an overall increased retinal thickness with mitigation of the normal foveal pit. As described previously, the following bands can be disclosed below the hyporeflective band of the outer nuclear layer by SD-OCT at the fovea in healthy eyes: (1) a thin, hyperreflective band presumably corresponding to the external limiting membrane; (2) a slightly thicker hyperreflective band presumably corresponding to the interface of the inner and outer segments of the photoreceptor layer; (3) a thin, only occasionally visible, hyperreflective band presumably corresponding to the outer segment-retinal pigment epithelium complex; and (4) a broad hyperreflective band thought to correspond to the retinal pigment epithelium/Bruch’s membrane complex. Compared with this normal configuration, an irregular and broad band of high reflectivity was seen in the study eyes at the site of the three outer hyperreflective bands (bands 2, 3, and 4); consequently, details were not longer visible. The external limiting membrane (band 1) was still detectable in all but four eyes. At the outer nuclear layer, SD-OCT imaging showed the marked appearance of swelling and widening of visible structures. Next to the foveal center, the inner retinal layers disclosed, in the presence of foveal sparing, a convex shape rather than the normal concave configuration. The inner retinal layers appeared to be distorted and to have a tendency toward perifoveal atrophy. At the site of atrophy, marked retinal thinning and absence of the characteristic morphology of outer retinal layers were present.
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Automated segmentation revealed a mean retinal thickness in the central fovea of 248 ± 28 μm (range, 206–318) compared with 225 ± 12 μm (range, 200–251) in controls (Figs. 3, 4). This difference was statistically significant (P = 0.005; Wilcoxon signed ranks test). By contrast, no significant difference was found at the peripheral macula, with a mean retinal thickness of 245 ± 16 μm (range, 221–279) for patients with AMD and 253 ± 11 μm (range, 237–276) for controls (P = 0.4). To determine which retinal layers primarily contributed to the overall increased thickness, we performed additional measurements above (inner portion, including the external
limiting membrane) and below (outer portion) the presumed external limiting membrane, where this line was delineable. For the inner portion, thickness was $153 \pm 22 \mu m$ (range, 119–190) for patients with AMD and $127 \pm 12 \mu m$ (range, 102–148) for controls, respectively ($P = 0.003$). By contrast, no significant changes were noted for the retinal thickness of the outer portion ($P = 0.8$).

Location and stability of fixation were documented using the automated fundus-correlated microperimeter (MP1 Nidek Technologies) in seven representative eyes (Fig. 5). All but two showed stable fixation at the residual foveal island with fixation within $2^\circ$ from the center of the target during 86% to 100% of the test time. By contrast, two eyes each were found to have an eccentric preferred retinal locus (PRL) at the edge of the central atrophy. Fixation was rated as relatively instable, with 43% and 71% of test time within $2^\circ$ from this locus. Both eyes had clinical visible foveal sparing, marked irregular FAF intensities in the central macula, and typical SD-OCT findings with thickening and disappearance of the foveal pit, as described. Visual acuities were 20/50 and 20/80. When asked, patients were able to spot a target in the center over the residual island but obviously used an eccentric PRL for other tasks. Indeed,
During the examination, these patients occasionally fixated within the clinical visible area of foveal sparing but rapidly switched back to the eccentric PRL.

**DISCUSSION**

This study demonstrates that in vivo examination by novel combined cSLO and SD-OCT imaging techniques in patients with GA reveals complex microstructural alterations in the central retina in the presence of advanced, surrounding atrophy. Performed separately, cSLO and OCT imaging offered new insights into retinal diseases in the past. This study indicates that the simultaneous application of both techniques and the use of the eminent advantages of each imaging modality in combination may add to our understanding of retinal disorders and their pathologic mechanisms.

The phenomenon of foveal sparing despite progressive spread of atrophy is well known in GA and has attracted particular attention. In patients with foveal sparing, Sunness et al. have reported that measurement of central visual acuity hardly reflects the actual visual function of the patient, such as reading speed, and that central visual acuity correlates poorly with total GA size at this stage. Furthermore, a typical clinical observation is that a patient may be able to spot a single letter on the test chart and have a good central visual acuity score but may be unable to read larger words or to recognize faces. By investigating the behavior of fixation with fundus-controlled testing, we could confirm that some patients were able to spot the fixation cross at the residual foveal island, though their PRL was already displaced at the edge of atrophy. In the past, authors have explained these observations by the small size of the residual foveal island and the resultant limited extent of the central visual field. A full face or words would, therefore, not fit in the spared fovea surrounded by atrophy. However, until today, structural changes in the presence of foveal sparing have not been investigated because of the lack of adequate imaging technologies. The structural alterations observed in this study suggest that the reduced foveal function and the selection of an eccentric PRL in some patients may not be just a matter of the size of the residual island. Even if some function of the fovea is left, the marked morphologic involvement of cell layers may already cause severe dysfunction. On the contrary, we observed limited structure-function correlation in other patients. A subset of patients exhibited preserved fixation and central visual acuity in presence of marked morphologic alterations.

The widening of visible structures at the outer nuclear layer noted herein may represent a morphologic correlate of an early apoptotic stage. Incipient photoreceptor apoptosis in postmortem studies is morphologically characterized by extension of the cellular volume and disintegration of nuclei. This would be in line with the increased retinal thickness in the outer nuclear layer seen in this study in the absence of extracellular fluid accumulation. Furthermore, it might be conceivable that the increased reflectivity at the outer retina may relate to the development of incipient atrophy, though the precise substance for this reflectivity change is unknown. Because bands 2, 3, and 4 were not delineable, it was not possible to disclose to
which extent layers above Bruch’s membrane were affected and to reveal the exact anteroposterior location of the hyper-reflective material. Another explanation for the extension and thickening of the outer nuclear layer might be mechanical stress. It is conceivable that the normal configuration of photoreceptors is partially loosened by the disappearance of outer retinal material around the foveal center. Hereby, photoreceptor segments might be torn away toward eccentricity leading to widening of their nuclei.

The reason for the relatively slower spread of atrophy toward the foveal center remains unclear. Several authors have considered a preferential vulnerability of the rod system and relative stability of the cone system in AMD. This hypothesis is underscored by histologic and clinical data.24-34, 35 Scotopic sensitivity loss is more severely affected than photopic sensitivity over areas with increased FAF.55 Furthermore, retinal function of patients with GA decreases greatly under dim light conditions, and high luminance levels are required for reading.56 Another well-documented observation is that visual loss is usually perceived by the patient as gradual, even when considerable decreases in visual acuity occur and when foveal vision and fixation are lost.10 This perception has been explained by a possible transitional period during which a patient uses foveal and extrafoveal site for fixation. It may be hypothesized that cones and rods are both affected by severe functional impairment of the underlying corresponding retinal pigment epithelium related to Bruch’s membrane and chorioicapillaris alterations. The time interval from early involvement and dysfunction to cell death may be longer for cones than for rods. This hypothesis, which is underscored by the structural changes revealed in this study, would help to explain not only the clinically apparent slow GA spread toward the fovea and the slow involvement of foveal areas in atrophy but also the relative foveal cone dysfunction in the presence of clinical visible foveal sparing.

Foveal preservation has been regarded as a promising target for future therapies. The results of this study suggest that loss of the normal foveal configuration and distinct morphologic changes within the foveal retina may already occur in what clinically appears to be foveal sparing. If a programmed cascade of foveal cell death is already in action at this stage, intervention would have to be administered at earlier disease stages to rescue foveal photoreceptors. In this case, the spatial assessment of the central macula with combined SD-SLO imaging technology may be helpful to select patients who may be more likely to benefit from a future treatment. Furthermore, the structural alterations may serve as a clinical marker for the response to treatment, such as if remodeling is achieved with normalization of the foveal contour.

One limitation of the study is the cross-sectional analysis and the lack of longitudinal observations. Therefore, we cannot exclude that foveal changes, such as thickness or alterations in the OCT signal, occurred before the development of foveal sparing of atrophy. However, until now, we had not seen any of the typical changes described in patients with extrafoveal GA patches of lesser extent. We did not perform fluorescein angiography in all study patients. This was performed only if any sign for corneal neovascularization was noted. Therefore, we cannot exclude that some patients could have had neovascularization before atrophy developed. However, all patients had the appearance of pure GA by stereo biomicroscopy. In addition, there were no signs of fibrosis or sub-RPE material by cSLO and OCT imaging as they are commonly encountered in patients with disciform scars.

In summary, this study reveals previously unknown distinct structural foveal alterations by using combined high-resolution cSLO and OCT imaging in patients with GA clinically sparing the foveal retina. Notably, the loss of the normal foveal configuration occurred despite relatively preserved central visual acuity and in the absence of any cystoid spaces. These findings may suggest an already pre-apoptotic stage of foveal neuronal cellular elements and the development of incipient atrophy before cell death. This may be important for therapeutic interventions aiming to slow the progression of atrophy. Longitudinal observations correlating function and morphology are warranted to better understand the dynamic process and evolution of foveal atrophy in patients with GA caused by AMD.
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