

Psychological Characteristics of Frequent Short-Notice Cancellers of Diabetes Medical and Education Appointments

KATIE WEINGER, EDD, RN^{1,2}
SHEILA J. McMURRICH, BA¹
JOYCE P. YI, PHC¹

SUSAN LIN, BS¹
MYRIEL RODRIGUEZ, BA¹

Failure to attend scheduled medical appointments increases the cost of medical care (1) and may impact successful diabetes management (2). Short-notice cancellations of medical or educator appointments (no-shows and cancellations made within 24 h of an appointment) cannot be easily filled, resulting in lost revenue without a corresponding reduction in labor and facilities costs. Short-notice cancellations also impact the quality of overall patient care. Such cancellations reduce the number of appointments available to all patients, thus some patients needing more prompt medical attention may be placed on a waitlist. Furthermore, less frequent attendance at a diabetes clinic has been associated with poorer glycemic control (3). Finally, health professionals may develop negative attitudes toward those patients who frequently cancel appointments (4), which may undermine the clinician-patient relationship.

Although previous studies have attempted to identify demographic characteristics of patients who either did not schedule, cancelled, or did not attend appointments (2,5–12), we focused on psychological characteristics of patients with short-notice cancellations and the impact of these cancellations on successful diabetes management and cost of care. Specifically, in a prospective study, we asked whether short-notice cancellers differed in risk appraisal, coping processes, atti-

tudes toward diabetes, and diabetes self-management from those who never cancelled.

RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODS

We followed 134 diabetes patients attending a diabetes specialty clinic (mean age 49 ± 15 years, 63% type 1, duration of diabetes 19 ± 13 years, education 15 ± 3 years, 60% female) for 1 year. After giving informed written consent, patients completed a battery of psychological tests consisting of the Problem Areas in Diabetes scale (13,14), Self-Management Questionnaire (15), Self-Care Inventory-R (16,17), Brief Symptom Index (18), Coping Styles Questionnaire (19), Rosenberg Self-Esteem Questionnaire (20,21), Self-Mastery Scale (22,23), and Life Orientation Test (23). HbA_{1c} (A1C) levels were obtained through chart review. The Joslin Diabetes Center uses the high-performance lipid chromatography ion capture method (Tosoh Medics, San Francisco, CA; reference range 4.0–6.0%). We then tracked clinic appointments scheduled, attended, and cancelled and A1C levels for 1 year. Medical appointments with physicians or nurse practitioners (MD/NP) were tracked separately from individual educator appointments. We did not include group appointments. Patients received standard appointment reminders including confirmation letters and telephone reminders. We first examined the frequency

of cancellations within the total sample and then separately for MD/NP and educator appointments. We used Student's *t* tests to examine differences in demographic and clinical variables and for other variables, estimated odds ratios (ORs) using simple and multivariate logistic regression for cancellers versus noncancellers. Cancellers were defined as those who gave short-notice cancellation for more than one appointment or no-showed for any appointment. Noncancellers were defined as those who attended all scheduled appointments, cancelled with >1 day notice, or had only one short-notice cancellation during the year.

RESULTS— A total of 134 patients scheduled 751 total appointments (483 medical and 268 educator) and actually attended 478 visits (yearly average of 3.6 ± 3.2 visits). Of the 273 cancelled/missed appointments, 155 (57%) were long-notice cancellations and were more easily filled. Long-notice cancellations will not be discussed further. Estimated lost revenue (charges) for 64 short-notice cancellations of MD/NP appointments was \$7,040 and for 54 short-notice cancellations of educator appointments was \$4,050 (amounts in 2004 dollars). These calculations do not include the cost of attempting to fill cancelled appointments; therefore, the total cost may be even higher. A total of 77 patients (57%) attended all scheduled appointments, 31 (23%) cancelled one appointment, and 26 (20%) cancelled two or more appointments. Of the total short-notice cancellations, 31% were no-shows. Short-notice cancellations were more common for educator appointments than for MD/NP appointments (20 vs. 13%, $P < 0.05$).

Type of diabetes was not associated with cancellations. Cancellers (both MD/NP and educator) were similar to noncancellers in demographic characteristics. Cancellers and noncancellers also had similar A1C levels at baseline (7.8 ± 1.3 vs. $7.9 \pm 1.9\%$) and 1 year (7.7 ± 1.2 vs. $7.4 \pm 1.1\%$). Cancellers scheduled more appointments (8.8 vs. 4.5, $P <$

From the ¹Section on Behavioral and Mental Health Research, Joslin Diabetes Center, Boston, Massachusetts; and the ²Department of Psychiatry, Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts.

Address correspondence and reprint requests to Katie Weinger, Joslin Diabetes Center, 1 Joslin Place, Boston, MA 02215. E-mail: katie.weinger@joslin.harvard.edu.

Received for publication 22 December 2004 and accepted in revised form 10 April 2005.

A table elsewhere in this issue shows conventional and Système International (SI) units and conversion factors for many substances.

© 2005 by the American Diabetes Association.

The costs of publication of this article were defrayed in part by the payment of page charges. This article must therefore be hereby marked "advertisement" in accordance with 18 U.S.C. Section 1734 solely to indicate this fact.

Table 1—Characteristics of patients who canceled versus patients who did not cancel diabetes appointments

	MD/NP appointments			Educator appointments		
	Noncancellers (n = 111)	Cancellers* (n = 23)	OR (95% CI)	Noncancellers (n = 116)	Cancellers* (n = 18)	OR (95% CI)
Actual visits (1 visit)	2.3 ± 1.5	2.4 ± 1.9	1.1 (0.8–1.4)	0.9 ± 2.1	3.3 ± 3.4	1.3 (1.1–1.5)†
Diabetes-related distress (10 points)	30.0 ± 19.8	44.4 ± 22.5	1.4 (1.1–1.7)‡	32.0 ± 20.8	37.0 ± 22.1	1.1 (0.9–1.4)
Self-care frustration (10 points)	31.5 ± 22.6	45.4 ± 25.4	1.3 (1.1–1.5)§	33.0 ± 24.0	39.7 ± 20.5	1.1 (0.9–1.4)
Self-esteem (10 points)	77.7 ± 18.6	67.1 ± 20.6	0.8 (0.6–1.0)§	76.0 ± 19.2	74.8 ± 20.6	1.0 (0.8–1.2)
Optimism (10 points)	64.2 ± 17.3	54.0 ± 12.0	0.7 (0.5–0.9)§	62.4 ± 16.9	63.2 ± 17.5	1.0 (0.8–1.4)
Depression T score (10 points)	51.6 ± 10.0	58.7 ± 10.9	1.9 (1.2–3.0)‡	52.9 ± 10.6	52.2 ± 10.1	0.9 (0.6–1.5)
Anxiety T score (10 points)	52.5 ± 10.4	56.6 ± 9.9	1.4 (0.9–2.2)	53.2 ± 10.5	52.7 ± 10.0	1.0 (0.6–1.6)
Self Mastery Scale (10 points)	67.8 ± 16.7	58.6 ± 21.6	0.8 (0.6–1.0)§	65.9 ± 17.9	68.3 ± 18.2	1.1 (0.8–1.4)
Global severity (10 points)	54.2 ± 9.5	58.9 ± 9.4	1.7 (1.0–2.7)§	55.2 ± 9.7	54.1 ± 9.5	0.9 (0.5–1.5)
Adherence to self-care recommendations (10 points)	79.8 ± 11.7	73.4 ± 13.3	0.7 (0.5–1.0)§	78.8 ± 12.3	77.5 ± 11.7	0.9 (0.6–1.4)
Self-control coping style (10 points)	56.6 ± 15.7	49.8 ± 15.5	0.8 (0.6–1.1)	56.8 ± 14.9	46.3 ± 18.5	0.7 (0.5–0.9)§
Emotional coping style (10 points)	41.4 ± 20.9	46.1 ± 20.3	1.1 (0.9–1.4)	42.9 ± 21.1	37.4 ± 19.2	0.9 (0.7–1.1)

Data are means ± SD. CIs (P values) are associated with simple logistic regression. *Cancellers are defined as those patients with >1 short-notice cancellation or any no-show, whereas noncancellers had ≤1 short-notice cancellation; †P < 0.001; ‡P < 0.01; §P < 0.05

0.001) and actually attended more appointments (4.5 vs. 3.2, $P < 0.05$) than noncancellers. For educator appointments, only self-controlled coping styles were associated with frequent cancellations. A different picture emerged for those who frequently cancelled MD/NP appointments. Those with lower pragmatic/stoic coping style, more anxiety, lower self-esteem, more diabetes-related distress, more depressive symptoms, lower optimistic attitude, more frustration with self-care, and lower self-care adherence were more likely to frequently cancel MD/NP appointments (Table 1). However, the final logistic regression model included only frustration with self-care (OR = 1.2, $P = 0.05$) and optimism (OR = 0.7, $P = 0.03$), with 73% concordance. Interestingly, the Problem Areas in Diabetes scale alone accurately predicted 68% of cancellers/noncancellers (OR = 1.4, $P = 0.004$).

CONCLUSIONS— Surprisingly, missing appointments did not reduce the number of appointments attended. In this prospective study, cancellers actually attended more appointments than noncancellers. Furthermore, the average A1C for both groups was <8%. Although the magnitude of the associations is small, the most important predictors of patients who cancelled MD/NP appointments were frustration with self-care and lack of optimism. Diabetes-related emotional stress may also be an important factor.

Characteristics such as frustration and distress may be easily screened and responsive to intervention. Interestingly, for educator appointments, the two groups did not substantially differ, suggesting that short-notice cancellations may be related to perceived importance rather than psychological factors. Stressing the importance of educator appointments may reduce short-notice cancellations. Guse et al. (24) found that providing patients with exit interviews or debriefings after appointments improved attendance at subsequent visits, while others found mailing detailed information about upcoming appointments and following up with a phone call improved attendance (25). Thus, providing patients with information that explains why attendance at appointments is important to their health may help improve attendance. In addition to a reminder system, provision and communication of clear rules, and psychological counseling or support may help reduce cancellations and therefore cost of care.

Acknowledgments— This research was funded by a Harvard Medical School Scholars in Medicine Fellowship and National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases grant no. R01-60115.

References

- Moore CG, Wilson-Witherspoon P, Probst JC: Time and money: effects of non-

shows at a family practice residency clinic. *Fam Med* 33:522–527, 2001

- Griffin SJ: Lost to follow-up: the problem of defaulters from diabetes clinics. *Diabet Med* 15 (Suppl. 3):S14–S24, 1998
- Jacobson AM, Adler AG, Derby L, Anderson BJ, Wolfsdorf JI: Clinic attendance and glycemic control: study of contrasting groups of patients with IDDM. *Diabetes Care* 14:599–601, 1991
- Husain-Gambles M, Neal RD, Dempsey O, Lawlor DA, Hodgson J: Missed appointments in primary care: questionnaire and focus group study of health professionals. *Br J Gen Pract* 54:108–113, 2004
- Kruse GR, Rohland BM, Wu X: Factors associated with missed first appointments at a psychiatric clinic. *Psychiatr Serv* 53: 1173–1176, 2002
- George A, Rubin G: Non-attendance in general practice: a systematic review and its implications for access to primary health care. *Fam Pract* 20:178–184, 2003
- Campbell B, Staley D, Matas M: Who misses appointments? An empirical analysis. *Can J Psychiatry* 36:223–225, 1991
- Dyer PH, Lloyd CE, Lancashire RJ, Bain SC, Barnett AH: Factors associated with clinic non-attendance in adults with type 1 diabetes mellitus. *Diabet Med* 15:339–343, 1998
- Hamilton W, Round A, Sharp D: Patient, hospital, and general practitioner characteristics associated with non-attendance: a cohort study. *Br J Gen Pract* 52:317–319, 2002
- Karter AJ, Parker MM, Moffet HH, Ahmed AT, Ferrara A, Liu JY, Selby JV: Missed appointments and poor glycemic control: an opportunity to identify high-risk diabetic

- patients. *Med Care* 42:110–115, 2004
11. Neal RD, Lawlor DA, Allgar V, Colledge M, Ali S, Hassey A, Portz C, Wilson A: Missed appointments in general practice: retrospective data analysis from four practices. *Br J Gen Pract* 51:830–832, 2001
 12. Frankel S, Farrow A, West R: Non-attendance or non-invitation? A case-control study of failed outpatient appointments. *BMJ* 298:1343–1345, 1989
 13. Welch GW, Jacobson AM, Polonsky WH: The problem areas in diabetes scale: an evaluation of its clinical utility. *Diabetes Care* 20:760–766, 1997
 14. Polonsky WH, Anderson BJ, Lohrer PA, Welch G, Jacobson AM, Aponte JE, Schwartz CE: Assessment of diabetes-related distress. *Diabetes Care* 18:754–760, 1995
 15. Weinger K, Jacobson AM: Psychosocial and quality of life correlates of glycemic control during intensive treatment of type 1 diabetes. *Patient Educ Couns* 42:123–131, 2001
 16. Greco P, LaGreca A, Ireland S, Wick P, Freeman C, Agramonte R, Gutt M, Skyler J: Assessing adherence in IDDM: a comparison of two methods. *Diabetes* 40: 657, 1990
 17. Weigner K, Butler HA, Welch GW, La Greca AM: Measuring diabetes self-care: a psychometric analysis of the Self-Care Inventory-revised with adults. *Diabetes Care* 28:1346–1352
 18. Derogatis LR: *BSI 18: Brief Symptom Inventory. Administration, Scoring and Procedures Manual*. Minneapolis, MN, National Computer Systems, 2000
 19. Peyrot MF, McMurry JF Jr: Stress buffering and glycemic control. The role of coping styles. *Diabetes Care* 15:842–846, 1992
 20. Rosenberg M: *Society and the Adolescent Self-Image*. Princeton, NJ, Princeton University Press, 1965
 21. Rosenberg M: *Conceiving the Self*. New York, Basic Books, 1979
 22. Pearlin LI, Schooler C: The structure of coping. *J Health Soc Behav* 19:2–21, 1978
 23. Scheier MF, Carver CS, Bridges MW: Distinguishing optimism from neuroticism (and trait anxiety, self-mastery, and self-esteem): a reevaluation of the Life Orientation Test. *J Pers Soc Psychol* 67:1063–1078, 1994
 24. Guse CE, Richardson L, Carle M, Schmidt K: The effect of exit-interview patient education on no-show rates at a family practice residency clinic. *J Am Board Fam Pract* 16:399–404, 2003
 25. Hardy KJ, O'Brien SV, Furlong NJ: Information given to patients before appointments and its effect on non-attendance rate. *BMJ* 323:1298–1300, 2001