

Absence of Sexual Dimorphism in the Symptomatic Responses to Hypoglycemia in Adults With and Without Type 1 Diabetes

JACQUELINE GEDDES, MRCP(UK)¹
 RODERICK E. WARREN, MRCP(UK)¹
 ANDREW J. SOMMERFIELD, MRCP(UK)¹
 VINCENT McAULAY, MD¹

MARK W.J. STRACHAN, MD¹
 KATE V. ALLEN, MRCP(UK)¹
 IAN J. DEARY, PHD²
 BRIAN M. FRIER, MD¹

Insulin-induced hypoglycemia provokes counterregulatory hormonal responses, the magnitude of which is lower in women with and without type 1 diabetes than in their male counterparts (1–5), although the glycemic thresholds at which these responses are triggered are similar in both sexes (6,7). In nondiabetic adults and people with type 1 diabetes, antecedent hypoglycemia and exercise had less effect on the magnitude of the counterregulatory hormonal responses in women than in men (8,9). It has therefore been suggested that exposure to recurrent episodes of hypoglycemia could abolish the difference in counterregulatory responses between the sexes, explaining the absence of a difference in the overall frequency of severe hypoglycemia observed in the Diabetes Control and Complications Trial (10).

Detection of the symptoms of hypoglycemia alerts the person with diabetes to the decline in blood glucose, prompting corrective action to be taken before the effects of neuroglycopenia become disabling. Symptoms of hypoglycemia are generated as part of the established hierarchy of responses (11,12) and are idiosyncratic and age specific (13). Sex differences have not been reported, but in small studies with few subjects, a putative difference may not be discernible (1,14). The most common hypoglycemia symptoms have been classified into subgroups

in physiological studies (15,16) and by statistical methodology (17–20) using factor analysis. The subgroups include autonomic symptoms, such as hunger, pounding heart, sweating, or shaking, arising from central autonomic neural activation via hypothalamic centers (21), predominantly of the sympathetic division; neuroglycopenic symptoms, such as drowsiness, confusion, odd behavior, speech difficulty, or incoordination, caused by the direct effect of glucose deprivation on the brain; and nonspecific symptoms, including headache and malaise. The symptoms of hypoglycemia can be measured using the Edinburgh Hypoglycemia Score (17–19). The symptoms of hypoglycemia are generated independently of the counterregulatory hormonal responses, although falling blood glucose triggers both. To ascertain whether sexual dimorphism occurs in the hypoglycemia symptomatic response, symptom scores were analyzed in 160 subjects in whom controlled hypoglycemia had been induced.

RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODS

The Edinburgh Hypoglycemia Symptom Scores (19) of 160 adult subjects (age range 18–45 years) were obtained from eight separate studies, six of which have been published (22–27) and were performed in our laboratory. Hypoglycemia was induced in an

identical manner in all studies using a modified hyperinsulinemic glucose clamp technique, with a duration of hypoglycemia of 1 h. All studies achieved a blood glucose nadir of 2.5 mmol/l, with one exception in which the target was 2.6 mmol/l (22). The symptom score recorded at the midpoint of the induced hypoglycemia ($t = 30$ min) in all studies was analyzed. The diabetic subjects ($n = 72$, 31 women) all had type 1 diabetes for at least 1 year (median 6.9 years, range 1.1–30.9), had reasonable glycemic control (mean \pm SD, HbA_{1c} [A1C] $7.9 \pm 2.0\%$), and had normal awareness of hypoglycemia, evaluated using a validated seven-point scale (28) (Table 1). The nondiabetic subjects ($n = 88$, 45 women) were taking no medication other than the contraceptive pill. All adult female participants were of childbearing age, with 42 (55%) using the contraceptive pill; none were postmenopausal (Table 1).

A general linear model of assessment (repeated-measures ANOVA) was used, with order of session (euglycemia-hypoglycemia or hypoglycemia-euglycemia), sex (male or female), and type of subject (nondiabetic volunteer or type 1 diabetic subjects) as between-subject factors and condition (euglycemia or hypoglycemia) as a within-subject factor (repeated measure). All analyses were performed using SPSS version 12.0 for Windows.

RESULTS—No significant order effects were observed. Scores for the autonomic, neuroglycopenic, and nonspecific symptoms were all significantly higher during hypoglycemia than euglycemia ($P < 0.0005$). No statistical differences existed in age, duration of diabetes, or A1C between the sexes in either cohort. The nondiabetic subjects had higher autonomic symptom scores than the type 1 diabetic subjects, and the difference was statistically significant ($P = 0.011$, $F = 0.176$). No significant relationship was demonstrated between diabetes duration and autonomic symptom scores in people

From the ¹Department of Diabetes, The Royal Infirmary of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, U.K., and the ²Department of Psychology, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, U.K.

Address correspondence and reprint requests to Professor Brian M. Frier, Consultant Physician and Honorary Professor of Diabetes, Department of Diabetes, The Royal Infirmary of Edinburgh, 51 Little France Crescent, Edinburgh, EH16 4SA, U.K. E-mail: brian.frier@luht.scot.nhs.uk.

Received for publication 27 October 2005 and accepted in revised form 30 March 2006.

A table elsewhere in this issue shows conventional and Système International (SI) units and conversion factors for many substances.

DOI: 10.2337/dc05-2069

© 2006 by the American Diabetes Association.

The costs of publication of this article were defrayed in part by the payment of page charges. This article must therefore be hereby marked "advertisement" in accordance with 18 U.S.C. Section 1734 solely to indicate this fact.




Figure 1—Differences in autonomic symptom scores using the Edinburgh Hypoglycemia Score (19) in people with type 1 diabetes compared with nondiabetic healthy volunteers. ■, men; □, women.

with type 1 diabetes ($r = -0.02$, $P = 0.870$). No differences were observed in the autonomic symptom scores between sexes in either cohort ($P = 0.196$, $F = 1.683$; type 1 diabetes $P = 0.33$, healthy volunteers $P = 0.17$) (Fig. 1). No statistical difference in neuroglycopenic symptom scores was found between the nondiabetic and type 1 diabetic subjects, nor was any effect of sex evident).

CONCLUSIONS— Although sexual dimorphism in counterregulatory responses to hypoglycemia has been demonstrated in several studies (1–5), in the present study, no sex differences were observed in either the autonomic or the neuroglycopenic symptom scores during hypoglycemia, regardless of whether the

subjects had type 1 diabetes. The symptoms of hypoglycemia are generated independently of, and at a lower blood glucose than, counterregulatory hormonal secretion (11,12). Autonomic symptoms arise via sympathetic activation, which provokes neural stimulation of end organs (such as sweat glands). People with tetraplegia, who have a preganglionic sympathectomy secondary to cervical cord transection, have neither peripheral autonomic activation nor epinephrine secretion and in response to insulin-induced hypoglycemia do not experience autonomic symptoms (29,30). People who have undergone bilateral adrenalectomy or splanchnicectomy do not secrete epinephrine in response to hypoglycemia, but their autonomic nervous system is

otherwise intact, and they experience a normal autonomic symptomatic response (31,32). This demonstrates that the autonomic symptoms are generated by direct autonomic innervation. The rise in circulating plasma epinephrine following stimulation of the adrenal glands can augment the intensity of a few symptoms, such as “pounding heart” (33), but epinephrine secretion does not generate these symptoms (34). Sweating is a cholinergic sympathetic response (15). Neuroglycopenic symptoms are not generated through the influence of hormonal secretion.

Thus, while there is an apparent sexual dimorphism in the magnitude of counterregulatory hormonal responses to hypoglycemia in humans that is manifested by physiological differences in hemodynamic responses (2,7), this sex difference does not appear to be replicated in the symptomatic response, which is generated separately. This absence of sexual dimorphism in the symptomatology and perception of hypoglycemia may explain the lack of a demonstrable sex difference in the overall rate of severe hypoglycemia in the Diabetes Control and Complications Trial (10). In conclusion, the present study suggests that the symptoms of hypoglycemia do not differ between men and women with and without type 1 diabetes.

Acknowledgments— Research funding for the original hypoglycemia studies was received from the U.K. Department for Transport, the Juvenile Diabetes Research Foundation, and Eli Lilly.

References

- Amiel S, Maran A, Powne J, Umpleby A, Macdonald L: Gender differences in counterregulation to hypoglycaemia. *Diabetologia* 36:460–464, 1993

Table 1—Baseline characteristics for diabetic and nondiabetic subjects

	Healthy volunteers			Type 1 diabetic subjects		
	Men	Women	P	Men	Women	P
n	45	43	—	31	40	—
Age (years)	30.49 ± 6.67	28.84 ± 5.19	0.20	28.90 ± 6.21	27.45 ± 6.57	0.34
Duration of diabetes (years)	—	—	—	6.75 ± 5.64	8.56 ± 6.49	0.22
A1C (%)	—	—	—	7.99 ± 1.03	7.53 ± 0.84	0.07
Autonomic symptom scores	17.93 ± 8.58	15.49 ± 7.77	0.17	13.07 ± 7.62	14.84 ± 7.59	0.33
Neuroglycopenic symptom scores	15.12 ± 8.88	13.47 ± 8.80	0.38	13.66 ± 6.97	14.58 ± 7.69	0.60
Nonspecific symptom scores	4.72 ± 4.07	3.98 ± 3.22	0.34	4.95 ± 3.57	5.81 ± 4.26	0.37

Mean ± SD levels for autonomic, neuroglycopenic, and nonspecific symptom scores during experimental hypoglycemia. No significant differences were present between men and women with type 1 diabetes for age, duration of diabetes, or A1C.

2. Davis SN, Cherrington AD, Goldstein R, Jacobs J, Price L: Effects of insulin on the counterregulatory response to equivalent hypoglycemia in normal females. *Am J Physiol* 265:E680–E689, 1993
3. Diamond M, Jones T, Caprio S, Hallarman L, Meredith-Diamond M, Addabbo M, Tamborlane W, Sherwin R: Gender influences counterregulatory hormone responses to hypoglycemia. *Metabolism* 42:1568–1572, 1993
4. Johnston M, Bower M, Seckl J, Lightman S: Neurohypophysial secretion to insulin induced hypoglycaemia and its regulation by endogenous opioids in women. *Acta Endocrinol* 122:467–471, 1990
5. Davis SN, Fowler S, Costa F: Hypoglycemic counterregulatory responses differ between men and women with type 1 diabetes. *Diabetes* 49:65–72, 2000
6. Fannelli C, Pampanelli S, Epifano L, Rambolli AM, Di Vincenzo A, Modarelli F, Ciofetti M, Lepore M, Annibale B, Torlone E, et al.: Long term recovery from unawareness, deficient counterregulation, and lack of cognitive dysfunction during hypoglycaemia following institution of rational intensive therapy in IDDM. *Diabetologia* 37:1265–1276, 1994
7. Davis SN, Shavers C, Costa F: Differential gender responses to hypoglycemia are due to alterations in CNS drive and not glycemic thresholds. *Am J Physiol* 279:E1054–E1063, 2000
8. Galassetti P, Neill RA, Tate D, Ertl AC, Wasserman DH, Davis SN: Effect of antecedent prolonged exercise on subsequent counterregulatory responses to hypoglycemia. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 86:3516–3524, 2001
9. Galassetti P, Tate D, Neill RA, Morrey S, Wasserman DH, Davis SN: Effect of sex on counterregulatory responses to exercise after antecedent hypoglycemia in type 1 diabetes. *Am J Physiol* 287:16–24, 2004
10. The Diabetes Control and Complications Trial Research Group: Hypoglycemia in the Diabetes Control and Complications Trial. *Diabetes* 46:271–286, 1997
11. Mitrakou A, Ryan C, Veneman T, Mokan M, Jenssen T, Kiss I, Durrant J, Cryer P, Gerich J: Hierarchy of glycemic thresholds for counterregulatory hormone secretion, symptoms and cerebral dysfunction. *Am J Physiol* 260:E67–E74, 1991
12. Schwartz TW, Holst JJ, Fahrenkrug J, Lindkaer-Jensen S, Nielsen OV, Rehfeld JF, Schaffalitzky de Muckadell OB, Stadil IF: Vagal, cholinergic regulation of pancreatic polypeptide secretion. *J Clin Invest* 61:781–789, 1978
13. McAulay V, Deary IJ, Frier BM: Symptoms of hypoglycaemia in people with diabetes. *Diabet Med* 18:690–705, 2001
14. Sandoval DA, Ertl AC, Richardson A, Tate DB, Davis SN: Estrogen blunts neuroendocrine and metabolic responses to hypoglycemia. *Diabetes* 52:1749–1755, 2003
15. Corral RJ, Frier BM, Davidson NM, Hopkins WM, French EB: Cholinergic manifestations of the acute autonomic reaction to hypoglycaemia in man. *Clin Sci* 64:49–53, 1983
16. Towler DA, Havlin CE, Craft S, Cryer P: Mechanisms of awareness of hypoglycemia: perception of neurogenic (predominantly cholinergic) rather than neuroglycopenic symptoms. *Diabetes* 42:1791–1798, 1993
17. Hepburn DA, Deary IJ, Frier BM: Classification of the symptoms of hypoglycaemia in insulin-treated diabetic patients using factor analysis: relationship to hypoglycaemia unawareness. *Diabet Med* 9:70–75, 1992
18. Hepburn DA, Deary IJ, Frier BM, Patrick AW, Quinn JD, Fisher BM: Symptoms of acute insulin-induced hypoglycemia in humans with and without IDDM: factor analysis approach. *Diabetes Care* 14:949–957, 1991
19. Deary IJ, Hepburn DA, MacLeod KM, Frier BM: Partitioning the symptoms of hypoglycaemia using multi-sample confirmatory analysis. *Diabetologia* 36:771–777, 1993
20. McCrimmon RJ, Deary IJ, Gold AE, Hepburn DA, MacLeod KM, Ewing FME, Frier BM: Symptoms reported during experimental hypoglycaemia: effect of method of induction of hypoglycaemia and of diabetes per se. *Diabet Med* 20:507–509, 2003
21. Benzo CA: The hypothalamus and blood glucose regulation. *Life Sci* 32:2509–2515, 1983
22. Strachan MWJ, Deary IJ, Ewing FME, Ferguson SC, Young MJ, Frier BM: Acute hypoglycemia impairs the functioning of the central but not the peripheral nervous system. *Physiol Behav* 72:83–92, 2001
23. McAulay V, Deary IJ, Ferguson SC, Frier BM: Acute hypoglycemia in humans causes attentional dysfunction while non-verbal intelligence is preserved. *Diabetes Care* 24:1745–1750, 2001
24. McAulay V, Sommerfield AJ, Deary IJ, Frier BM: Attentional functioning is impaired during acute hypoglycaemia in people with type 1 diabetes. *Diabet Med* 23:26–31, 2006
25. Warren RE, Zammit NN, Deary IJ, Frier BM: Memory function during hypoglycaemia in diabetic patients with normal and impaired awareness of hypoglycaemia (Abstract). *Diabetologia* 48 (Suppl. 1):A294, 2005
26. Warren RE, Sommerfield AJ, Allen KV, Deary IJ, Frier BM: Acute hypoglycaemia does not interfere with memory consolidation of previous learned material in healthy adults (Abstract). *Diabetologia* 47 (Suppl. 1):A324, 2004
27. Sommerfield AJ, Deary IJ, McAulay V, Frier BM: Short-term, delayed, and working memory are impaired during hypoglycemia in individuals with type 1 diabetes. *Diabetes Care* 26:390–396, 2003
28. Gold AE, MacLeod KM, Frier BM: Frequency of severe hypoglycemia in patients with type 1 diabetes with impaired awareness of hypoglycemia. *Diabetes Care* 17:697–703, 1994
29. Frier BM, Corral RJM, Ratcliffe JG, Ashby JP, McClellon EJW: Autonomic neural control mechanisms of substrate and hormonal responses to acute hypoglycaemia in man. *Clin Endocrinol* 14:425–433, 1981
30. Mathias CJ, Frankel JL, Turner RC, Christensen NJ: Physiological responses to insulin hypoglycaemia in spinal man. *Paraplegia* 17:319–326, 1979
31. Altorfer RM, Ziegler WH, Froesch ER: Insulin hypoglycaemia in normal and adrenalectomized subjects: comparison of metabolic parameters and endocrine counter regulation. *Acta Endocrinologica* 98:413–419, 1981
32. French EB, Kilpatrick R: The role of adrenaline in hypoglycaemic reactions in man. *Clin Sci* 39:823–832, 1955
33. Kerr D, Diamond M, Tamborlane WV, Kerr S, Sherwin RS: Influence of counterregulatory hormones, independently of hypoglycaemia, on cognitive function, warning symptoms and glucose kinetics. *Clin Sci* 85:197–202, 1993
34. DeRosa MA, Cryer PE: Hypoglycemia and the sympathoadrenal system: neurogenic symptoms are largely the result of sympathetic neural, rather than adrenomedullary, activation. *Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab* 287:E32–E41, 2004