

Prognostic Value of the Insertion/Deletion Polymorphism of the ACE Gene in Type 2 Diabetic Subjects

Results from the Non-Insulin-Dependent Diabetes, Hypertension, Microalbuminuria or Proteinuria, Cardiovascular Events, and Ramipril (DIABHYCAR), Diabete de type 2, Nephropathie et Genetique (DIAB2NEPHROGENE), and Survie, Diabete de type 2 et Genetique (SURDIAGENE) studies

SAMY HADJADJ, MD, PHD^{1,2}
FRÉDÉRIC FUMERON, PHD^{3,4}
RONAN ROUSSEL, MD, PHD^{3,4,5}
PIERRE-JEAN SAULNIER, MD⁶
YVES GALLOIS, PHARM.D., PHD⁷
AMOS ANKOTCHE, MD⁵
FLORENCE TRAVERT, MD^{3,4,5,8}
CHARBEL ABI KHALIL, MD^{3,4,5}

AURÉLIE MIOT, MSc¹
FRANÇOIS ALHENC-GELAS, MD, PHD⁹
MICHEL LIEVRE, MD, PHD¹⁰
MICHEL MARRE, MD, PHD^{3,4,5}
ON BEHALF OF THE DIABHYCAR,
DIAB2NEPHROGENE, AND
SURDIAGENE STUDY GROUPS

the association between the ACE I allele and renal outcome was not replicated. In DIAB2NEPHROGENE, no association was found with nephropathy.

CONCLUSIONS — We were not able to demonstrate the manifest usefulness of the ACE insertion/deletion polymorphism for the prognosis of type 2 diabetic subjects.

Diabetes Care 31:1847–1852, 2008

OBJECTIVE — We tested whether determination of the ACE insertion/deletion polymorphism is useful for renal and cardiovascular prognoses of type 2 diabetic subjects.

RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODS — The French participants (3,126 of 4,912) in the Non-Insulin-Dependent Diabetes, Hypertension, Microalbuminuria or Proteinuria, Cardiovascular Events, and Ramipril (DIABHYCAR) trial were studied for their prognosis over 4 years according to their ACE insertion/deletion polymorphism. We used two cohorts of French type 2 diabetic patients for replication: a 3-year follow-up study ($n = 917$; Survie, Diabete de type 2 et Genetique [SURDIAGENE] study) and a case-control study on diabetic nephropathy ($n = 1,277$; Diabete de type 2, Nephropathie et Genetique [DIAB2NEPHROGENE] study). We investigated the effect of the insertion/deletion polymorphism on the primary outcome in the DIABHYCAR trial (defined as the first of the following events to occur: cardiovascular death, nonfatal myocardial infarction, stroke, heart failure leading to hospital admission, or end-stage renal failure) and its components.

RESULTS — In DIABHYCAR, the primary outcome and most of its components were not affected by the ACE insertion/deletion genotype. Only renal outcome was favored by the I allele ($P = 0.03$). The risk of myocardial infarction was not affected by ACE genotype, but the probability of fatal outcome increased with the number of D alleles ($P < 0.03$). In SURDIAGENE,

The reduced life expectancy of diabetic subjects is due mostly to renal and cardiovascular outcomes (1). Renal risk threatens type 1 diabetic subjects, whereas cardiovascular risk is typical of type 2 diabetes. However, these two risks are intimately linked, as microalbuminuria is predictive of diabetic nephropathy in type 1 diabetes (2) and of cardiovascular death principally in type 2 diabetes (3). Microalbuminuria, a marker of early renal involvement, indicates generalized vascular leakage and endothelial dysfunction (4), likely to promote cardiovascular events.

ACE regulates microcirculation within the kidney and myocardium by generating angiotensin 2, a vasoconstrictor peptide with profibrotic and procoagulant properties, and by breaking down kinins, which have the opposite properties (5). Pharmacological inhibition of ACE protects against renal and cardiovascular risks in diabetes: it protects against nephropathy (6) and limits progression to end-stage renal failure (ESRF) (7) mostly in type 1 diabetes, whereas it reduces cardiovascular risk (mainly by protecting against coronary heart disease) in type 2 diabetes (8). Interestingly, the doses of ACE inhibitors required to reduce cardiovascular risk are higher than those re-

From ¹Centre Hospitalier Universitaire Poitiers, Endocrinology, Diabetology, Poitiers, France; ²Institut National de la Santé et de la Recherche Médicale, U927, Poitiers, France; ³Institut National de la Santé et de la Recherche Médicale, U695, Paris, France; the ⁴Unité de Formation et de Recherche Médecine Xavier Bichat, Université Paris 7 Diderot, Paris, France; ⁵Endocrinologie-Diabetologie-Nutrition, Hôpital Bichat, Assistance Publique-Hôpitaux de Paris, Paris, France; ⁶Centre Hospitalier Universitaire Poitiers, France and Institut National de la Santé et de la Recherche Médicale, CIC 0802, Poitiers, France; ⁷Biochemistry, Centre Hospitalier Universitaire, Angers, France; the ⁸CIC Bichat, Assistance Publique-Hôpitaux de Paris, Paris, France; ⁹Institut National de la Santé et de la Recherche Médicale, U652, Paris, France; and the ¹⁰Faculté de Médecine Laënnec, Université Claude Bernard Lyon 1, Lyon, France.

Corresponding author: Samy Hadjadj, s.hadjadj@chu-poitiers.fr.

Received 30 October 2007 and accepted 21 May 2008.

Published ahead of print at <http://care.diabetesjournals.org> on 3 June 2008. DOI: 10.2337/dc07-2079.

M.M. and M.L. received funds from sanofi aventis for urinary albumin assays and data management for the DIABHYCAR study.

Details on the DIABHYCAR trial committees are available from previous publications (refs. 9 and 18).

© 2008 by the American Diabetes Association. Readers may use this article as long as the work is properly cited, the use is educational and not for profit, and the work is not altered. See <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/3.0/> for details.

The costs of publication of this article were defrayed in part by the payment of page charges. This article must therefore be hereby marked "advertisement" in accordance with 18 U.S.C. Section 1734 solely to indicate this fact.

quired to decrease microalbuminuria (9) and renal risk (10).

The ACE gene is an excellent candidate for determining prognosis for cardiovascular and renal risks: a single insertion/deletion polymorphism in intron 16 (rs1799752) of a 287-bp Alu sequence accounts for half of the interindividual variance of the circulating and cellular activities of this enzyme. ACE activity is highest in subjects homozygous for the D allele (DD genotype), lowest in those homozygous for the I allele (II genotype), and intermediate in heterozygotes (ID genotype). Although its prognostic value for myocardial infarction is controversial in the general population (11,12), its impact for renal prognosis is well established in type 1 diabetes (13–16). Clinical trials in type 1 diabetes have suggested that patients with the II genotype display a better renal response to ACE inhibition than other patients (17).

We therefore wondered whether genotyping ACE for its insertion/deletion polymorphism would markedly contribute to individualization of renal and cardiovascular prognoses of type 2 diabetic subjects with raised urinary albumin concentrations in a substudy of the Non-Insulin-Dependent Diabetes, Hypertension, Microalbuminuria or Proteinuria, Cardiovascular Events, and Ramipril (DIABHYCAR) trial (9), a clinical trial comparing a low dose of ramipril with placebo. We assessed the impact of the ACE insertion/deletion genotype on the principal outcome, a composite of cardiovascular death, nonfatal myocardial infarction, stroke, heart failure leading to hospitalization, and ESRF, and on each of its components. To replicate our initial findings, we tested the same hypothesis on two independent cohorts of French type 2 diabetic patients: a single-center follow-up study on cardiovascular and renal outcomes (the *Survie, Diabete de type 2 et Genetique* [SURDIAGENE] study), and a multicenter case-control study on diabetic nephropathy (the *Diabete de type 2, Nephropathie et Genetique* [DIAB2NEPHROGENE] study).

RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODS

Primary cohort

The DIABHYCAR study design and results have been reported elsewhere (9,18). Participants were selected on the basis of type 2 diabetes, treatment with oral antidiabetic agents on enrollment, high urinary albumin concentration (76%

microalbuminuric and 24% macroalbuminuric patients), age ≥ 50 years, and serum creatinine concentration ≤ 150 $\mu\text{mol/l}$. French patients were selected by their general practitioners, and $\geq 98\%$ were Caucasians. The tested drug was low-dose ramipril (1.25 mg/day). The primary end point was the combined incidence of cardiovascular death, nonfatal acute myocardial infarction, stroke, heart failure leading to hospitalization, and ESRF (defined as a requirement for dialysis or kidney transplant). These outcomes were also analyzed separately. All events were adjudicated by an independent clinical event committee (18). Ramipril proved to be ineffective (9).

The genetic substudy of DIABHYCAR was conducted in the French participants only for logistic reasons. All gave written consent on a form separate from the trial consent form. As preliminary data had suggested that an ACE insertion/deletion polymorphism might be associated with the risk of myocardial infarction (11) and diabetic nephropathy (19), this analysis was planned prospectively (20). By assuming a D allele frequency of 60% and a composite event rate of 20% over the study period (20), the sample size of the French subgroup (18) gave an a priori power of 94% for detecting a 25% difference in the risk of morbid events among patients with the DD genotype and those with the ID or II genotype. The study design was approved by the Angers University Ethics Committee.

Replication cohorts

SURDIAGENE was a prospective single-center follow-up study of type 2 diabetic patients regularly being seen at the Diabetes Department at Poitiers University Hospital, in 2001–2007, designed to identify the genetic determinants of microvascular and macrovascular diabetic complications in type 2 diabetes. The main exclusion criteria were residence outside the Poitiers area and/or evidence of nondiabetic kidney disease. Clinical events corresponding to the primary end point of the DIABHYCAR study were recorded prospectively from patients' hospital records and interviews with general practitioners.

DIAB2NEPHROGENE was a multicenter case-control study (15 diabetes and 5 nephrology centers in France between 2001 and 2004 [additional information can be found in an online appendix at <http://dx.doi.org/10.2337/dc07-2079>]) designed to assess the genetic determinants of diabetic nephropathy in type 2 diabetes.

Case patients were type 2 diabetic patients with high urinary albumin concentrations (≥ 20 mg/l or 30 mg/24 h on two of three sterile urine collections) and retinopathy. Control subjects were type 2 diabetic patients of the same geographic origin with urinary albumin concentrations < 20 mg/l or 30 mg/24 h on two of three sterile urine collections who were not receiving ACE inhibitors and/or angiotensin receptor blockers and who had retinopathy and/or known diabetes duration ≥ 20 years.

The DIAB2NEPHROGENE and SURDIAGENE studies were approved by the Poitiers University Ethics Committee. All participants gave written informed consent.

Biological determinations

DNA extraction and genotyping methods have been described elsewhere (13). A1C was determined centrally using a high-performance liquid chromatography method: a DIAMAT analyzer (normal values 4.0–5.6%; Bio-Rad, Richmond, CA) in the DIABHYCAR study and ADAMS A1C HA-8160 analyzer (normal values 4.0–6.0%; Menarini, Florence, Italy), in the DIAB2NEPHROGENE and SURDIAGENE studies. Urinary albumin was measured by nephelometry. Serum creatinine, lipid (total and HDL cholesterol, triglycerides, and calculated LDL cholesterol), and highly sensitive C-reactive protein concentrations were determined centrally in the fasting state using a colorimetric method, running on an automated analyzer (Kone Optima; Thermo Clinical LabSystems, Vantaa, Finland), and a nephelometric method (N High Sensitivity CRP; Dade Behring, Marburg, Germany), respectively.

Statistical analysis

In the DIABHYCAR and SURDIAGENE studies, we considered the time to occurrence of a predefined combined primary end point and of each of its components during the study. For the combined end point, we considered only the event that occurred first. A Kaplan-Meier survival curve was constructed to assess the effect of ACE genotype on the occurrence of end points with time to the event as the outcome variable and censoring at the end of the study. A multivariate Cox proportional hazards model was used to analyze the effect of ACE genotype and other covariates on study outcomes. We also used χ^2 and χ^2 for trend tests, ANOVA after log-transformation if required, and mul-

Table 1—Baseline clinical and biological characteristics according to the ACE insertion/deletion polymorphism in the DIABHYCAR trial population

	ACE genotype			P value: II vs. ID vs. DD
	ACE II	ACE ID	ACE DD	
n	549	1,463	1,114	
Randomization group (placebo/ramipril)	272 (50)/277 (50)	723 (49)/740 (51)	582 (52)/532 (48)	0.327
Age (years)	65.3 ± 8.3	65.7 ± 8.4	65.7 ± 8.2	0.621
Sex (male/female)	395 (72)/154 (28)	1,061 (73)/402 (27)	828 (74)/286 (26)	0.481
Known diabetes duration (years)	10.1 ± 7.6	10.3 ± 7.7	10.2 ± 7.8	0.802
Systolic blood pressure (mmHg)	145 ± 15	145 ± 14	144 ± 14	0.331
Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg)	82 ± 8	82 ± 8	82 ± 9	0.601
BMI (kg/m ²)	29.6 ± 4.6	29.3 ± 4.6	29.3 ± 5.0	0.460
Baseline urinary albumin (mg/l)	81 (42–227)	75 (40–175)	75 (39–183)	0.281
Smokers (yes/no)†	80 (17)/401 (83)	201 (16)/1,054 (84)	168 (18)/789 (82)	0.481
Serum creatinine (μmol/l)	88 ± 19	90 ± 21	89 ± 20	0.224
A1C (%)	8.0 ± 1.8	7.9 ± 1.7	7.8 ± 1.8	0.016
Total cholesterol (mmol/l)	5.9 ± 1.1	5.8 ± 1.1	5.8 ± 1.1	0.239
LDL cholesterol (mmol/l)	3.6 ± 0.9	3.5 ± 0.9	3.5 ± 0.9	0.601
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l)	1.3 ± 0.3	1.3 ± 0.4	1.3 ± 0.4	0.940
Total triglycerides (mmol/l)	1.9 (1.3–2.8)	1.8 (1.3–2.7)	1.8 (1.3–2.6)	0.564
C-reactive protein (mg/l)	2.9 (1.4–6.6)	3.1 (1.4–6.5)	3.2 (1.5–7.0)	0.438
Personal history of myocardial infarction (yes/no)	39 (7)/510 (93)	85 (6)/1,378 (94)	47 (4)/1,067 (96)	0.0108
Personal history of stroke (yes/no)	17 (3)/532 (97)	59 (4)/1,404 (96)	45 (4)/1,069 (96)	0.4212

Data are expressed as means ± SD, *medians (25th–75th percentile), or n (%). †Missing data for 433 patients.

tivariate regression analysis. All statistical analyses were performed with Statview 5 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC).

RESULTS

DIABHYCAR trial

Baseline characteristics are presented according to the ACE insertion/deletion polymorphism in Table 1. The ACE insertion/deletion polymorphism was associ-

ated with a personal history of myocardial infarction (χ^2 6.53; $P = 0.038$), with the I allele being more frequent among participants with previous myocardial infarction than among others (49.4 vs. 40.6%, $P = 0.01$). This association persisted ($P = 0.0076$) in multiple logistic regression analysis after sex, systolic blood pressure, serum creatinine concentration, urinary albumin concentration, and HDL cholesterol concentration were considered and

also after forcing age, A1C, and smoking into the model.

During follow-up (median 4 years; range 0–6 years), a primary outcome occurred in 495 participants (Table 2). The occurrence of the primary combined outcome did not differ among ACE genotypes (supplemental Fig. 1A, available in an online appendix), with no interaction with treatment group (ramipril or placebo). No association was found regarding the inci-

Table 2—Incidence of the combined primary end point and of each of its various components, and all-cause death during the DIABHYCAR trial according to the ACE insertion/deletion polymorphism

Study end point	All patients	ACE genotype			P value (log-rank): II vs. ID vs. DD
		ACE II	ACE ID	ACE DD	
n enrolled	3,126	549	1,463	1,114	
Primary combined end point	495/3.78 (3.45–4.10)	97/4.20 (3.38–5.02)	230/3.76 (3.28–4.23)	168/3.60 (3.06–4.23)	0.489
Cardiovascular death	208/1.52 (1.31–1.72)	48/1.99 (1.43–2.55)	84/1.30 (1.02–1.58)	76/1.56 (1.22–1.91)	0.060
Myocardial infarction (fatal and nonfatal)	95/0.69 (0.55–0.83)	18/0.74 (0.40–1.09)	49/0.76 (0.55–0.97)	28/0.58 (0.36–0.79)	0.493
Stroke (fatal and nonfatal)	157/1.17 (0.98–1.35)	32/1.36 (0.89–1.82)	73/1.15 (0.89–1.42)	52/1.09 (0.79–1.38)	0.615
Heart failure requiring hospitalization	136/1.00 (0.84–1.17)	21/0.89 (0.51–1.27)	67/1.06 (0.80–1.31)	48/0.99 (0.71–1.26)	0.769
ESRF	18/0.13 (0.07–0.19)	7/0.29 (0.07–0.51)	8/0.12 (0.04–0.21)	3/0.06 (0.01–0.13)	0.034
Death (all-cause)	455/3.13 (3.01–3.61)	88/3.68 (2.92–4.43)	191/2.95 (2.53–3.36)	176/3.61 (3.09–4.14)	0.071

Data are expressed as n or number of events per 100 patient-years (95% CI). Primary combined end point: time to cardiovascular death, nonfatal myocardial infarction, nonfatal stroke, nonfatal heart failure requiring hospitalization, or ESRF.

Table 3—Baseline clinical and biological characteristics according to the ACE insertion/deletion polymorphism in the SURDIAGENE population

	ACE genotype			P value: II vs. ID vs. DD
	ACE II	ACE ID	ACE DD	
n	118	448	351	
Age (years)	66.1 ± 10.4	65.2 ± 10.6	64.9 ± 10.4	0.604
Sex (male/female)	68 (58)/50 (42)	258 (58)/190 (42)	184 (52)/167 (48)	0.310
Known diabetes duration (years)	15.2 ± 9.7	15.1 ± 10.1	15.4 ± 10.0	0.909
Systolic blood pressure (mmHg)	131 ± 16	135 ± 18	135 ± 19	0.103
Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg)	72 ± 10	73 ± 11	73 ± 11	0.709
BMI (kg/m ²)	31.0 ± 6.8	31.0 ± 5.8	31.1 ± 6.0	0.923
Baseline urinary albumin (mg/l)	22 (8–95)	23 (8–129)	25 (8–135)	0.841
Smokers (yes/no)*	10 (8)/107 (92)	52 (12)/389 (88)	30 (8)/317 (92)	0.288
Serum creatinine (μmol/l)	81.5 (72–100)	84.5 (70–104)	84 (70–102)	0.488
A1C (%)	7.8 ± 1.5	7.9 ± 1.5	7.9 ± 1.5	0.673
Personal history of myocardial infarction (yes/no)	11 (9)/107 (91)	62 (14)/386 (86)	60 (17)/291 (83)	0.099
Personal history of stroke (yes/no)	4 (3)/114 (97)	21 (5)/427 (95)	19 (5)/332 (95)	0.665
ESRD†	2 (2)/116 (98)	6 (1)/442 (99)	7 (2)/344 (98)	0.774

Data are expressed as means ± SD, medians (25th–75th percentile), or n (%). *Missing data for 12 patients. †Percent calculated among nephropathic patients.

dence of cardiovascular death, myocardial infarction, stroke, and heart failure leading to hospitalization (supplemental Fig. 1B–E). However, the ACE genotype affected the risk of ESRF (supplemental Fig. 1F) with fewer occurrences of ESRF among patients with the ID or the DD than the II genotype ($P = 0.034$). Results were not affected by multivariate adjustment (supplemental Table 1, available in the online appendix); a higher risk for ESRF persisted for the II genotype ($P = 0.018$).

Eleven of the 95 myocardial infarctions occurring during the trial were fatal: 1 of the 18 patients with the II genotype, 3 of the 49 patients with the ID genotype, and 7 of the

28 patients with the DD genotype (χ^2 for trend $P = 0.024$). D allele frequency was 0.85 in those with fatal myocardial infarctions and 0.52 in those with nonfatal myocardial infarctions ($P = 0.005$). The deleterious effect of the DD genotype on postmyocardial infarction mortality risk persisted in multivariate regression analysis (adjusted relative risk vs. ID or II genotype 9.62 [95% CI 2.11–43.9]) (supplemental Table 2, available in the online appendix).

Updated power calculation. Given the observed incidence of the primary outcome of 15.8% (495 events in 3,126 subjects), the study had 80% power for detecting a 25% difference between the event rates in the 1,114 patients with the

DD genotype and the 2,012 patients with the II or ID genotype.

SURDIAGENE cohort

The baseline characteristics of the 917 participants according to the ACE insertion/deletion polymorphism are presented in Table 3. No association was found between the ACE insertion/deletion polymorphism and diabetic nephropathy or personal history of myocardial infarction.

Median follow-up was 3 years (range 0–6 years). A primary event occurred in 191 participants, and 108 patients died (Table 4). The occurrence rate of the primary combined end point did not differ

Table 4—Incidence of the combined primary end point and of each of its various components, and all-cause death according to the ACE insertion/deletion polymorphism in the SURDIAGENE cohort

Study end point	All patients	ACE genotype			P value (log-rank): II vs. ID vs. DD
		ACE II	ACE ID	ACE DD	
n enrolled	917	118	448	351	
Primary combined end point	187/7.28 (6.28–8.29)	26/8.32 (5.26–11.39)	90/7.11 (5.70–8.53)	71/7.18 (5.57–8.78)	0.769
Cardiovascular death	73/2.68 (2.07–3.28)	15/4.57 (2.31–6.83)	29/2.14 (1.37–2.91)	29/2.77 (1.77–3.77)	0.057
Myocardial infarction (fatal and nonfatal)	31/1.15 (0.75–1.55)	5/1.53 (0.19–2.86)	14/1.04 (0.50–1.59)	12/1.16 (0.50–1.81)	0.771
Stroke (fatal and nonfatal)	25/0.92 (0.56–1.28)	3/0.91 (0.11–1.94)	11/0.81 (0.33–1.30)	11/1.06 (0.43–1.68)	0.842
Heart failure requiring hospitalization	70/2.63 (2.02–3.23)	11/3.45 (1.44–5.45)	29/2.18 (1.40–2.97)	30/2.95 (1.91–3.99)	0.358
ESRF	26/0.97 (0.60–1.34)	2/0.61 (0.03–1.46)	15/1.13 (0.56–1.70)	9/0.87 (0.30–1.43)	0.633
Death (all-cause)	108/3.93 (3.20–4.65)	20/6.04 (3.47–8.61)	45/3.29 (2.34–4.23)	43/4.08 (2.89–5.28)	0.125

Data are n and number of events per 100 patient-years (95% CI). Primary combined end point: time to cardiovascular death, nonfatal myocardial infarction, nonfatal stroke, nonfatal heart failure requiring hospitalization, or ESRF.

among the *ACE* genotypes. No association was found for the incidence of myocardial infarction, stroke, heart failure leading to hospitalization, and ESRF. However, cardiovascular death occurred more frequently in patients with the II genotype compared with patients with the ID or DD genotypes ($P = 0.057$).

Eight of the 31 patients having a myocardial infarction during the follow-up period died: none of the 5 patients with the II genotype, 3 of the 14 patients with the ID genotype, and 5 of the 12 patients with the DD genotype (χ^2 for trend $P = 0.0631$). D allele frequency was 0.81 in those having fatal myocardial infarctions and 0.54 in those having nonfatal myocardial infarctions ($P = 0.057$). The deleterious effect of the DD genotype on postmyocardial infarction mortality risk was not significant (relative risk vs. ID or II genotype 2.16 [95% CI 0.60–7.79]).

DIAB2NEPHROGENE study

We considered a total of 863 case patients and 414 control subjects. Their baseline characteristics are summarized according to the *ACE* insertion/deletion polymorphisms in supplemental Table 3. No association was found between the *ACE* insertion/deletion polymorphism and diabetic nephropathy or ESRF. The *ACE* insertion/deletion polymorphism was not significantly associated with a personal history of myocardial infarction (data not shown).

CONCLUSIONS— The data from our large-scale trial suggest that the *ACE* genotype in type 2 diabetic patients with a high cardiovascular and renal risk is of little value for the determination of prognosis. We found that the *ACE* insertion/deletion genotype had no impact on the occurrence of a composite end point including cardiovascular death, myocardial infarction, stroke, heart failure leading to hospitalization, and ESRF. The lack of impact of the *ACE* insertion/deletion polymorphism on the global cardiorenal prognosis of type 2 diabetic subjects was replicated in two additional cohorts. These are the first prospective follow-up studies on the impact of the *ACE* insertion/deletion polymorphism on cardiovascular and renal outcomes in people with type 2 diabetes.

French participants in the DIABHYCAR trial were enrolled by their general practitioners. This ensured that the DIABHYCAR cohort was representative, as >90% of French individuals with type 2

diabetes are treated by their general practitioners. In contrast, the participants in the other two studies were recruited from hospitals (SURDIAGENE and DIAB2NEPHROGENE) and more frequently had cardiovascular diseases. This difference in the prevalence of complications between hospital-based and population-based studies has been reported before (21).

The *ACE* insertion/deletion polymorphism appeared ideal to introduce some genetic components into the calculation of cardiovascular and renal risks, particularly in type 2 diabetes. However, the present results do not support this possibility. Thus, the widespread use of this polymorphism for individualizing cardiovascular and renal prognoses in type 2 diabetes seems ruled out by our findings.

The association between the *ACE* I allele and previous myocardial infarction in DIABHYCAR participants went against our hypothesis, although it was not replicated in the other two cohorts. For myocardial infarction, conflicting data have been obtained in case-control studies (11,12). However, we must consider the possibility that a selection bias might have been operating in DIABHYCAR, in which the I allele was unexpectedly related to a history of myocardial infarction. This finding did not fit with the so-called mendelian randomization principle. In DIABHYCAR and SURDIAGENE, death after myocardial infarction was related to the D allele. Only small numbers of events were recorded, but *ACE* D allele frequency was higher in those dying after myocardial infarction (79 vs. 55%); this difference was significant ($P = 0.005$) when the two studies were pooled. This result is consistent with an autopsy study conducted in Belfast: individuals who died of coronary heart disease displayed the DD genotype more frequently than the ID or II genotypes (22). Thus, we can speculate that type 2 diabetic patients with the highest cardiovascular risk, favored by the DD genotype, were not included in the DIABHYCAR trial because of premature death. This may account for the statistically significant but not clinically valuable association between the *ACE* D allele and myocardial infarction reported in a meta-analysis of several cross-sectional studies (12). However, these are only secondary end points, and this analysis should be considered as exploratory only.

We and others have shown through follow-up and experimental studies (14–16) that the *ACE* II genotype protects

against renal failure in type 1 diabetes. The association of the II genotype with ESRF in DIABHYCAR, contradicting this hypothesis, was not replicated in the SURDIAGENE cohort. Thus, the possibility of a chance finding is likely. As previously suggested for Caucasians (23), our results do not support the theory that renal involvement is related to the *ACE* insertion/deletion polymorphism in type 2 diabetes, contrary to type 1 diabetes.

In summary, we were not able to identify the *ACE* insertion/deletion polymorphism as a marker for the cardiovascular and renal prognosis of patients with type 2 diabetes, even if an effect of modest amplitude could have been missed owing to insufficient statistical power. Although of great interest for pathophysiological studies, this genetic variant does not seem ready for use in routine clinical practice.

Acknowledgments— The DIABHYCAR trial was supported by grants from sanofi aventis (Paris), Programme Hospitalier de Recherche Clinique (PHRC)-Angers 1996 (French Ministry of Health), and the Association Française des Diabétiques (AFD) (Research Grant 2004). The DIAB2NEPHROGENE and SURDIAGENE studies were supported by grants from PHRC-Poitiers 2004, and AFD (Research Grant 2003). The Association Diabète Risque Vasculaire (Paris, France) and Groupe d'Etude des Maladies Métaboliques et Systémiques (Poitiers, France) supported the present studies.

We thank all of the patients and physicians who took part in this study, Vanessa Laneuze, Cecile Demer (Poitiers), and Françoise DeFrance (Paris) for secretarial help and Julie Sappa of Alex Edelman & Associates for checking our English. S. Ragot and J. Guilhot (CIC Poitiers), E. Rogeon, F. Abriat, and the staff of the Diabetes Department at Poitiers are acknowledged for their help with data collection and monitoring and S. Leroux and G. Mauco (Institut National de la Santé et de la Recherche Médicale ERM 324 and Biochimie—Poitiers) for biological determinations.

References

1. Booth GL, Kapral MK, Fung K, Tu JV: Relation between age and cardiovascular disease in men and women with diabetes compared with non-diabetic people: a population-based retrospective cohort study. *Lancet* 368:29–36, 2006
2. Viberti GC, Hill RD, Jarrett RJ, Argyropoulos A, Mahmud U, Keen H: Microalbuminuria as a predictor of clinical nephropathy in insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus. *Lancet* 1:1430–1432, 1982
3. Mogensen CE: Microalbuminuria predicts clinical proteinuria and early mortal-

- ity in maturity-onset diabetes. *N Engl J Med* 310:356–360, 1984
4. Stehouwer CD, Smulders YM: Microalbuminuria and risk for cardiovascular disease: analysis of potential mechanisms. *J Am Soc Nephrol* 17:2106–2111, 2006
 5. Erdos EG: Angiotensin I converting enzyme and the changes in our concepts through the years: Lewis K. Dahl Memorial Lecture. *Hypertension* 16:363–370, 1990
 6. Should all patients with type 1 diabetes mellitus and microalbuminuria receive angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors? A meta-analysis of individual patient data. *Ann Intern Med* 134:370–379, 2001
 7. Lewis EJ, Hunsicker LG, Bain RP, Rohde RD: The effect of angiotensin-converting-enzyme inhibition on diabetic nephropathy: the Collaborative Study Group. *N Engl J Med* 329:1456–1462, 1993
 8. Effects of ramipril on cardiovascular and microvascular outcomes in people with diabetes mellitus: results of the HOPE study and MICRO-HOPE substudy: Heart Outcomes Prevention Evaluation Study Investigators. *Lancet* 355:253–259, 2000
 9. Marre M, Lievre M, Chatellier G, Mann JF, Passa P, Menard J: Effects of low dose ramipril on cardiovascular and renal outcomes in patients with type 2 diabetes and raised excretion of urinary albumin: randomised, double blind, placebo controlled trial (the DIABHYCAR study). *BMJ* 328: 495, 2004
 10. Ruggenenti P, Perna A, Gherardi G, Garini G, Zoccali C, Salvadori M, Scolarì F, Schena FP, Remuzzi G: Renoprotective properties of ACE-inhibition in non-diabetic nephropathies with non-nephrotic proteinuria. *Lancet* 354:359–364, 1999
 11. Cambien F, Poirier O, Lecerf L, Evans A, Cambou JP, Arveiler D, Luc G, Bard JM, Bara L, Ricard S, Tiret L, Amouyel P, Alhenc-Gelas F, Soubrier F: Deletion Insertion in the gene for angiotensin converting enzyme is a potent risk factor for myocardial infarction. *Nature* 359: 641–644, 1992
 12. Keavney B, McKenzie C, Parish S, Palmer A, Clark S, Youngman L, Delépine M, Lathrop M, Peto R, Collins R, International Studies of Infarct Survival (ISIS) Collaborators: Large-scale test of hypothesised associations between the angiotensin-converting-enzyme insertion/deletion polymorphism and myocardial infarction in about 5000 cases and 6000 controls. *Lancet* 355:434–442, 2000
 13. Marre M, Jeunemaitre X, Gallois Y, Rodier M, Chatellier G, Sert C, Dusselier L, Kahal Z, Chaillous L, Halimi S, Muller A, Sackmann H, Bauduceau B, Bled F, Passa P, Alhenc-Gelas F: Contribution of genetic polymorphism in the renin-angiotensin system to the development of renal complications in insulin-dependent diabetes: Genetique de la Nephropathie Diabetique (GENEDIAB) study group. *J Clin Invest* 99:1585–1595, 1997
 14. Boright AP, Paterson AD, Mirea L, Bull SB, Mowjoodi A, Scherer SW, Zinman B: Genetic variation at the ACE gene is associated with persistent microalbuminuria and severe nephropathy in type 1 diabetes: the DCCT/EDIC Genetics Study. *Diabetes* 54:1238–1244, 2005
 15. Hadjadj S, Belloum R, Bouhanick B, Gallois Y, Guilloteau G, Chatellier G, Alhenc-Gelas F, Marre M: Prognostic value of angiotensin-I converting enzyme I/D polymorphism for nephropathy in type 1 diabetes mellitus: a prospective study. *J Am Soc Nephrol* 12:541–549, 2001
 16. Huang W, Gallois Y, Bouby N, Bruneval P, Heudes D, Belair MF, Krege JH, Mene-ton P, Marre M, Smithies O, Alhenc-Gelas F: Genetically increased angiotensin I-converting enzyme level and renal complications in the diabetic mouse. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 98:13330–13334, 2001
 17. Parving H-H, Jacobsen P, Tarnow L, Rossing P, Lecerf L, Poirier O, Cambien F: Effect of deletion polymorphism of angiotensin converting enzyme gene on progression of diabetic nephropathy during inhibition of angiotensin converting enzyme: observational follow-up study. *BMJ* 313:591–594, 1996
 18. Lièvre M, Marre M, Chatellier G, Plouin P-F, Réglier J-C, Richardson L, Bugnard F, Vasmant D, DIABHYCAR Study Group: The non-insulin-dependent diabetes, hypertension, microalbuminuria or proteinuria, cardiovascular events, and ramipril (DIABHYCAR) study: design, organization, and patient recruitment. *Control Clin Trials* 21:383–396, 2000
 19. Marre M, Bernadet P, Gallois Y, Savagner F, Guyene TT, Hallab M, Cambien F, Passa P, Alhenc-Gelas F: Relationships between angiotensin I converting enzyme gene polymorphism, plasma levels, and diabetic retinal and renal complications. *Diabetes* 43:384–388, 1994
 20. Passa P, Chatellier G: The DIAB-HYCAR Study. *Diabetologia* 39:1662–1667, 1996
 21. Marre M, Lievre M, Vasmant D, Gallois Y, Hadjadj S, Reglier JC, Chatellier G, Mann J, Viberti GC, Passa P: Determinants of elevated urinary albumin in the 4,937 type 2 diabetic subjects recruited for the DIABHYCAR study in Western Europe and North Africa. *Diabetes Care* 23 (Suppl. 2):B40–B48, 2000
 22. Evans AE, Poirier O, Kee F, Lecerf L, McCrum E, Falconer T, Crane J, O'Rourke DF, Cambien F: Polymorphisms of the angiotensin-converting-enzyme gene in subjects who die from coronary heart disease. *Q J Med* 87:211–214, 1994
 23. Hadjadj S, Gallois Y, Alhenc-Gelas F, Chatellier G, Marre M, Genes N, Lievre M, Mann J, Menard J, Vasmant D: Angiotensin-I-converting enzyme insertion/deletion polymorphism and high urinary albumin concentration in French Type 2 diabetes patients. *Diabet Med* 20:677–682, 2003