

Elevated Homocysteine as a Risk Factor for the Development of Diabetes in Women With a Previous History of Gestational Diabetes Mellitus

A 4-year prospective study

NAM H. CHO, MD, PHD¹
SOO LIM, MD²
HAK C. JANG, MD, PHD²

HAE K. PARK, MD, PHD³
BOYD E. METZGER, MD⁴

OBJECTIVE — To investigate the potential use of the plasma homocysteine level as a predictor of diabetes in women with a previous history of gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM).

RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODS — At 6 weeks' postpartum, baseline examination was performed in 177 GAD-negative subjects. Of these subjects, 7 who were diagnosed with diabetes at baseline were excluded from further evaluation, and 170 with normal or impaired glucose tolerance (IGT) at baseline were followed annually over 4 years. The follow-up examinations included 2-h 75-g oral glucose tolerance tests (OGTTs), lipid profiles, homocysteine levels, anthropometric measurements, history taking, diet, and lifestyle. During the OGTTs, insulin and glucose levels were assayed every 30 min. Plasma homocysteine levels were determined by ion-exchange chromatography.

RESULTS — Of the 170 women, 18 (10.6%) converted to diabetes during the 4-year follow-up period. Mean age, BMI, fasting insulin, and total cholesterol at baseline (6 weeks' postpartum test) were similar in the three study groups (i.e., normal, IGT, and diabetes). Fasting glucose levels, insulin-to-glucose ratios, and homocysteine levels were significantly higher in the diabetic group ($P < 0.05$). Higher glucose at the time of the diagnosis of GDM and higher homocysteine levels at baseline were independently associated with the onset of postpartum diabetes. These relationships were independent of age, BMI, and family history of diabetes.

CONCLUSIONS — This prospective study identified homocysteine level as a significant risk factor for development of diabetes in women with previous GDM.

Diabetes Care 28:2750–2755, 2005

Gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM) is defined as carbohydrate intolerance of variable severity first recognized in pregnancy (1). GDM may complicate as many as 3–8% of all pregnancies in North America (2). Although

the reported prevalence of GDM seems to be slightly lower in Asian countries (3,4), adverse outcomes are very similar in these two regions. Furthermore, women with GDM are at increased risk of later development of type 2 diabetes (5). Studies in

Western populations have found conversion rates from 3 to 38% within the 1st year postpartum (6–8). Although a limited number of such studies have been performed in Asian countries, studies in Hong Kong and Korea found a 20 and 38.3% prevalence, respectively, of impaired glucose metabolism in the early postpartum period (9,10).

More than 4 decades ago, Wilkerson, O'Sullivan, and Mahan (5,11) initiated studies on glucose intolerance during pregnancy in an effort to identify women at risk of subsequent development of diabetes. The following clinical characteristics are reported to be key risk factors for development of diabetes postpartum: insulin requirement during pregnancy, earlier diagnosis of GDM during pregnancy, family history of diabetes, recurrence of GDM, increasing parity, maternal age, prepregnancy obesity, weight gain during pregnancy, and a previous macrosomic infant (12,13). Metabolic factors that predict risk of diabetes after GDM have also been identified. A high fasting glucose level during pregnancy, impaired β -cell function, and the presence of islet cell antibodies are associated with the postpartum development of diabetes (10,14,15).

During the past 2 decades, hyperhomocysteinemia has emerged as a risk factor for cardiovascular diseases (16). Detrimental effects of homocysteine on endothelial function are well documented (17,18). In addition, high levels of plasma homocysteine are known to exert an adverse effect through a mechanism involving oxidative damage (18). However, its relationships to and role in the onset of diabetes are unclear. Meigs et al. (19) reported that hyperhomocysteinemia is associated with hyperinsulinemia, and they suggested that this may partially account for an increased risk of cardiovascular disease when associated with insulin resistance. Other studies have reported a negative correlation or no relation between homocysteine and the insulin level

From the ¹Department of Preventive Medicine, Ajou University School of Medicine, Suwon, Korea; the ²Department of Internal Medicine, Seoul National University College of Medicine, Seoul, Korea; the ³Department of Internal Medicine, Ilsin Christian Hospital, Pusan, Korea; and the ⁴Division of Endocrinology, Metabolism and Molecular Medicine, Northwestern University Feinberg School of Medicine, Chicago, Illinois.

Address correspondence and reprint requests to Nam H. Cho, MD, PhD, Department of Preventive Medicine, Ajou University School of Medicine, #5, Wonchon-Dong, Youngtong-Gu, Suwon, Korea. E-mail: chnaha@ajou.ac.kr.

Received for publication 6 March 2005 and accepted in revised form 3 August 2005.

Abbreviations: AUC, area under the curve; GDM, gestational diabetes mellitus; HOMA, homeostasis model assessment; IGT, impaired glucose tolerance; NGT, normal glucose tolerance; OGTT, oral glucose tolerance test; ROC, receiver operating characteristic.

A table elsewhere in this issue shows conventional and Système International (SI) units and conversion factors for many substances.

© 2005 by the American Diabetes Association.

The costs of publication of this article were defrayed in part by the payment of page charges. This article must therefore be hereby marked "advertisement" in accordance with 18 U.S.C. Section 1734 solely to indicate this fact.

or insulin resistance syndrome (20,21). To the best of our knowledge, no study has previously examined homocysteine levels as a risk factor for the development of diabetes. Therefore, in this prospective study, we investigated the relationship between homocysteine and the development of diabetes in women with a previous history of GDM.

RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODS

The study subjects were recruited at three university hospitals, in Seoul, Pusan, and Suwon, Korea, that used the same procedures for the detection and diagnosis of GDM. During 24–28 weeks of pregnancy, a 50-g glucose challenge test was performed, followed by 3-h oral glucose tolerance test (OGTT) if plasma glucose 1 h later was ≥ 7.2 mmol/l. National Diabetes Data Group criteria were used to diagnose GDM (22). From August 1995 to May 1997, 275 subjects were recruited during pregnancy for a follow-up study. Subjects with one or more of the following were excluded from analysis: GDM during a previous pregnancy; positive for GAD antibody; a medical condition that might influence the homocysteine level (e.g., chronic renal failure, hypothyroidism, a history of breast or ovarian cancer); taking any of vitamin B₁₂, folate, metformin, fibrate, methotrexate, phenytoin, or theophylline; and current and ex-smokers (16,23). All subjects provided informed consent. The study protocol was approved by the ethical committee of the institutional review board of Ajou University School of Medicine.

Of the initial 275 subjects, 177 were eligible to participate in the postpartum follow-up analysis. Examinations were performed at baseline (6 weeks' postpartum) and annually thereafter. At baseline and follow-up examination, study subjects were stratified into three groups by 75-g OGTT based on 1998 World Health Organization classification (24): normal glucose tolerance (NGT), defined as fasting plasma glucose < 7.0 mmol/l (126 mg/dl) and 2-h post glucose load < 7.8 mmol/l (140 mg/dl); impaired glucose tolerance (IGT), defined as fasting plasma glucose < 7.0 mmol/l (126 mg/dl) and 2-h post glucose load ≥ 7.8 to < 11.1 mmol/l (140 to ~ 200 mg/dl); and diabetes, defined as fasting plasma glucose ≥ 7.0 mmol/l (126 mg/dl) or 2-h post glucose load ≥ 11.1 mmol/l (200 mg/dl), or both. We did not include impaired fasting glucose as a separate category. When an

individual met criteria for the diagnosis of diabetes, she was regarded as an event. Subjects with normal or IGT were followed up continuously. Seven subjects with diabetes at the initial postpartum test were excluded from further follow-up testing.

At baseline and annual follow-up evaluations, lifestyle, dietary intake, family history of diseases, medical histories, and educational levels were determined for all participants by trained interviewers using a standardized questionnaire. A face-to-face interview method was used.

Anthropometric assessments and blood pressure measurement

To calculate BMI, height and weight measurements were taken barefoot in light clothing. Body fat percent was measured using a bioelectrical impedance method (body composition analyzer; Girus, Seoul, Korea). After subjects remained supine for 10 min, blood pressure measurements were taken three times with a 5-min rest period between measurements.

Laboratory assessments

Plasma glucose was measured using a glucose oxidase method (YSI 2300-STAT; Yellow Springs Instrument, Yellow Springs, OH) immediately after blood was drawn. Serum insulin was measured using radioimmunoassay kits (Linco Research, St. Louis, MO). Blood samples were sent to the central laboratory immediately for analysis of lipid profiles. Total cholesterol and triglyceride concentrations were determined enzymatically using a Beckman analyzer (Beckman Instruments, Brea, CA). HDL cholesterol levels were determined using a Sigma direct EZ-HDL assay. LDL cholesterol was calculated from total cholesterol, triglycerides, and HDL cholesterol results, using the Friedewald equation (25). GAD antibodies were measured by radioimmunoassay (RSR, Cardiff, Wales, U.K.). Creatinine clearance was estimated using the Cockcroft-Gault formula (26). Plasma total homocysteine concentration was measured by ion-exchange chromatography using a modification of Anderson's method (27). The intra-assay coefficient of variation of this method is 5.3%.

Mathematical models

Various mathematical models were applied, and areas under the curves (AUCs) for glucose and insulin were calculated using the trapezoidal method. Using the fasting insulin and glucose values ob-

tained from the OGTTs, pancreatic β -cell function, insulin resistance, and insulin sensitivity were calculated using the homeostasis model assessment (HOMA), HOMA2, and QUICKI (quantitative insulin-sensitivity check index) models (28–30).

Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses were conducted using SPSS for Windows version 11.0 (SPSS, Chicago, IL). Data are the means \pm SD. Significant differences between groups were evaluated using the *t* test and the χ^2 test. Differences were considered statistically significant at $P < 0.05$. The contribution of homocysteine to development of diabetes was evaluated using a survival curve. The hazard ratios of potential risk factors were determined using the Cox proportional hazard model, with postpartum diabetes status as the dependent variable and various factors related with GDM, including homocysteine, as independent variables.

RESULTS — During the 4-year postpartum follow-up (mean duration 2.94 ± 1.18 years), 18 of 170 participants (10.6%) converted to diabetes. Of these 18 subjects, 9 had NGT and 9 IGT at baseline. At the last examination, 43 of the 170 subjects (25.3%) had IGT (20 NGT and 23 IGT at baseline). Table 1 presents anthropometric and biomedical characteristics of the 170 subjects grouped according to their final glucose tolerance status at the end of follow-up. Comparisons are based on “prepregnancy” historical information and measurements that were made at the time of the OGTT that were diagnostic of GDM. The putative risk factors for postpartum diabetes (prepregnancy obesity, early diagnosis of GDM, and high glucose level) were more apparent in the subjects who later developed diabetes. The proportion of subjects requiring insulin treatment of GDM was larger in the diabetic group than in the other groups, with borderline significance. In terms of antepartum insulin and glucose responses after a 100-g OGTT, the highest glucose response occurred in the diabetic group, followed in order by the IGT and NGT groups. Conversely, insulin response was lowest in the diabetic group, followed in order by the IGT and NGT groups (data not shown).

Table 2 shows anthropometric and biomedical characteristics at baseline for the three groups according to their final classification (i.e., NGT, IGT, and diabe-

Table 1—Historical information and anthropometric and metabolic findings at diagnosis of GDM in subjects grouped according to status at last postpartum follow-up (NGT, IGT, or diabetic)

	NGT	IGT	Diabetic	P†
n	109	43	18	—
Age (years)	30.6 ± 4.3	32.1 ± 3.7	30.0 ± 3.0	NS
Prepregnancy BMI (kg/m ²)	22.0 ± 3.3	22.4 ± 2.3	24.0 ± 3.2	A,B
Family history of diabetes	33.9%	30.2%	44.4%	NS
Family history of hypertension	40.4%	41.9%	16.7%	NS
Prepregnancy education ≥9 years	47.7%	48.8%	44.4%	NS
Prepregnancy regular exercise ≥3 times/week	31.2%	30.2%	36.0%	NS
Number of children ≥3	32.1%	55.8%	33.3%	NS
Weight gain from first prenatal visit to diagnosis of GDM	3.38 ± 1.83	3.18 ± 2.07	2.04 ± 2.06	A
Gestational age at the time of GDM diagnosis (weeks)	26.2 ± 3.0	26.8 ± 3.3	23.9 ± 4.6	A,B
Fasting glucose (mmol/l)*	4.8 ± 0.6	5.0 ± 0.6	5.8 ± 1.2	A,B
Fasting insulin (pmol/l)*	84.7 ± 38.9	86.8 ± 33.3	111.8 ± 27.1	A,B
AUC glucose (mmol/l per 3 h)*	25.8 ± 2.2	26.5 ± 3.0	29.4 ± 4.2	A,B
AUC insulin (pmol/l per 3 h)*	1,104.3 ± 972.3	1,002.9 ± 946.6	645.9 ± 175.7	NS
1-h insulin (pmol/l)/glucose (mmol/l) increment*	64.1 ± 83.7	46.0 ± 60.5	40.9 ± 72.6	NS
Fasting insulin/glucose*	17.6 ± 70.1	17.3 ± 54.6	19.3 ± 22.8	A,B
HOMA of insulin resistance*	2.7 ± 1.3	2.8 ± 1.1	4.2 ± 1.6	A,B
HOMA2%B*	138.5 ± 50.1	136.0 ± 46.8	124.2 ± 34.2	NS
HOMA2%S*	91.6 ± 67.6	78.0 ± 45.1	53.0 ± 27.6	A
QUICKI*	0.34 ± 0.04	0.33 ± 0.03	0.31 ± 0.02	A,B
Insulin treatment for GDM	20.8%	21.4%	47.1%	0.056

Data are means ± SD unless otherwise indicated. Weight gain from first prenatal visit to diagnosis of GDM = mean difference between first prenatal visit and OGTT. *Values of fasting glucose and insulin measured at the time of GDM diagnosis were used; †ANOVA with post hoc test was used (A, B, and C mean significant difference between two groups: A = diabetes vs. NGT, B = diabetes vs. IGT, C = IGT vs. NGT, $P < 0.05$ in all cases) and χ^2 test for categorical data. HOMA2%B, percent β -cell function calculated using the HOMA2 computer model; HOMA2%S, percent insulin sensitivity calculated using the HOMA2 computer model; QUICKI, quantitative insulin sensitivity check index.

tes). Fasting glucose and homocysteine levels were significantly higher in the subsequently diabetic group than in the IGT or NGT groups ($P < 0.05$). The insulin-to-glucose ratio and β -cell function determined by HOMA2 were significantly lower in the diabetes group than in the NGT group ($P < 0.05$).

In the correlation analysis, there was a positive correlation between the last follow-up homocysteine level and fasting insulin levels in the diabetic and IGT groups ($r = 0.424$, $P = 0.007$). We performed additional analyses of the relationships between the homocysteine level and postpartum diabetes. Using the optimal cutoff point of the homocysteine levels at baseline by receiver operating characteristic (ROC) analysis, high (>6.38 mmol/l) or low (<6.38 mmol/l) Kaplan-Meier survival analysis was performed. Subjects with a high homocysteine level at baseline had a diabetes-free survival rate of 73.0% at 4 years postpartum, whereas the low group had a 93.7% diabetes-free survival rate. Using the Cox proportional hazard model, we investigated the independent risk of homocysteine level at baseline for

the onset of diabetes during the postpartum follow-up (Table 3). In the model, we included those variables found to have a significant impact on the onset of diabetes after GDM (6,13,14,31): older age (>30 years), prepregnant BMI >23 kg/m², a family history of diabetes, fasting glucose level at the time of GDM diagnosis >5.3 mmol/l, and gestational age at diagnosis of GDM <26 weeks. The cutoff values for gestational age at diagnosis of GDM, fasting glucose at diagnosis of GDM (5.3 mmol/l), and homocysteine level at baseline (6.38 mmol/l) were obtained by ROC analyses. Furthermore, when the ROC analysis was made for each of the variables, fasting glucose at diagnosis of GDM had a higher area under the ROC curve value than homocysteine level at baseline, and gestational age at diagnosis of GDM had the lowest value. Consequently, fasting glucose level at diagnosis of GDM was the best predictor for future diabetes. High homocysteine level (>6.38 mmol/l) at baseline also had relatively high sensitivity and specificity (Table 4). Of these putative variables, we found that high fasting glucose level at the time of GDM

diagnosis and high homocysteine level at baseline were independently and significantly associated with the onset of diabetes during the 4-year postpartum period, and early diagnosis of GDM during pregnancy showed borderline significance (Table 3). Those subjects with a fasting plasma glucose value >5.3 mmol/l had a four times (95% CI 1.4–11.4) higher risk of developing diabetes during the postpartum period than those with values <5.3 mmol/l. When those subjects who converted to diabetes at the early postpartum test (within 6 weeks) were included in the analysis, the hazard ratio for a high glucose level increased from 4.0 to 6.0 ($P < 0.001$). Those subjects who had a higher homocysteine level at baseline showed a 3.6 times (95% CI 1.06–11.9) higher risk of developing diabetes during the 4 years of follow-up than subjects with a lower homocysteine level.

CONCLUSIONS— In this 4-year prospective study, we identified three key risk factors for the onset of diabetes after GDM: high fasting glucose level at the time of GDM diagnosis, high homocys-

Table 2—Comparison of anthropometric and biomedical characteristics at baseline (6 weeks' postpartum) according to the last glucose metabolism status

Variables	NGT	IGT	Diabetic	P*
n	109	43	18	—
BMI (kg/m ²)	23.5 ± 3.2	23.8 ± 2.0	24.4 ± 2.9	NS
Fat (%)	30.4 ± 4.3	31.1 ± 5.4	30.0 ± 5.0	NS
Systolic blood pressure (mmHg)	110.0 ± 11.3	108.7 ± 11.1	115.4 ± 10.4	B
Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg)	69.5 ± 9.0	69.8 ± 8.7	73.5 ± 7.5	NS
Fasting glucose (mmol/l)	5.0 ± 0.5	5.3 ± 0.5	5.6 ± 0.8	A,B
Fasting insulin (pmol/l)	57.6 ± 25.0	56.9 ± 18.8	57.6 ± 22.2	NS
AUC glucose (mmol/l per 2 h)	22.6 ± 4.0	25.4 ± 4.4	27.1 ± 5.6	A,C
AUC insulin (pmol/l per 2 h)	706.3 ± 388.2	693.8 ± 315.3	670.2 ± 502.1	NS
Insulin/glucose	11.4 ± 4.7	10.8 ± 3.2	10.3 ± 3.9	A,B
ΔInsulin/Δglucose	65.3 ± 43.7	53.6 ± 34.0	37.4 ± 28.1	A
HOMA of insulin resistance	1.9 ± 0.9	1.9 ± 0.8	2.1 ± 0.9	NS
HOMA2%B	98.6 ± 30.5	89.6 ± 19.8	81.2 ± 26.6	A
HOMA2%S	115.1 ± 63.0	108.4 ± 53.2	111.7 ± 63.8	NS
QUICKI	0.36 ± 0.03	0.35 ± 0.03	0.35 ± 0.03	NS
HbA _{1c} (%)	5.2 ± 0.5	5.3 ± 0.4	5.4 ± 0.4	NS
Total cholesterol (mmol/l)	5.4 ± 0.9	5.7 ± 0.8	5.7 ± 1.0	NS
Triglyceride (mmol/l)	3.0 ± 1.7	4.6 ± 3.1	4.4 ± 2.4	A
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l)	1.5 ± 0.4	1.4 ± 0.4	1.6 ± 0.4	B
LDL cholesterol (mmol/l)	3.3 ± 0.8	3.3 ± 0.9	3.2 ± 0.9	NS
Homocysteine (mmol/l)	6.1 (5.8–6.5)	5.9 (5.4–6.5)	7.4 (6.4–8.3)	A,B

Data are means ± SE or geometric mean (95% CI). *ANOVA with post hoc test was used (A, B, and C mean significant difference between two groups: A = diabetes vs. NGT, B = diabetes vs. IGT, C = IGT vs. NGT, $P < 0.05$ in all cases). ΔInsulin/Δglucose = (30-min insulin – fasting insulin)/(30-min glucose – fasting glucose); QUICKI, quantitative insulin sensitivity check index; HOMA2%B, percent β-cell function calculated using the HOMA2 computer model; HOMA2%S, percent insulin sensitivity calculated using the HOMA2 computer model; LDL cholesterol = total cholesterol – (HDL cholesterol + triglyceride/2.2).

teine level in the early postpartum period, and early diagnosis of GDM. Of these, high glucose level during pregnancy is the best predictor of postpartum diabetes. This finding is consistent with studies conducted in other ethnic groups (14,31). Moreover, when those subjects who converted to diabetes within 6 weeks' postpartum were included in the analysis, high glucose level at pregnancy had a higher impact on development of diabetes. Thus, the strong association between high glucose level during pregnancy and the postpartum onset of diabetes may reflect a marked deterioration in maternal hyperglycemia in a subset of women whose hyperglycemia persisted postpartum.

The association between postpartum diabetes and early gestational age at GDM diagnosis has also been reported in previous studies (7,14). Furthermore, our study revealed that diabetic subjects had higher glucose and lower insulin responses during the antepartum period compared with the IGT and NGT groups. Although the lower AUC for insulin in the diabetic group was not statistically significant, the trend is similar to findings of a previous report (14) indicating that subjects destined to manifest early postpartum diabetes were relatively insulinopenic throughout pregnancy. These findings show that impaired insulin response had already manifested during pregnancy in the diabetic group and that this reduced re-

sponse continued throughout the follow-up period. These results suggest heterogeneity of insulin response in the three groups (i.e., the subjects who converted to diabetes had been insulinopenic during pregnancy). In contrast, those who were classified as IGT or NGT showed relatively lower glucose and higher insulin response during pregnancy. This fact supports the appropriateness of our study design, specifically, by defining diabetes as an event in the survival analysis.

In this study, we found that high homocysteine level in the early postpartum period is an additional prognostic factor for postpartum diabetes, and that it has the same weighting as high fasting glucose level. In general, hyperhomocysteinemia

Table 3—Cox proportional hazard model to identify risk factors for diabetes development after GDM

Variables	β	SE	P	RR	95% CI of RR
Age >30 years	0.708	0.556	0.203	2.030	0.682–6.03
Gestational age at diagnosis of GDM <26 weeks	0.875	0.514	0.089	2.399	0.875–6.577
Prepregnancy BMI >23 kg/m ²	−0.250	0.540	0.644	0.779	0.270–2.246
Positive family history of diabetes	0.534	0.502	0.287	1.706	0.638–4.566
Higher fasting glucose level at diagnosis of GDM (>5.3 mmol/l)	1.387	0.534	0.009	4.004	1.405–11.409
Higher homocysteine level at baseline (6 weeks' postpartum [>6.38 mmol/l])	1.268	0.618	0.040	3.555	1.059–11.934

RR, relative risk.

Table 4—Sensitivity, specificity, and positive and negative predictive value of the variables found to be significantly related to diabetes

Variables	Sensitivity	Specificity	Predictive value		Area under the ROC curve
			Positive	Negative	
Gestational age at diagnosis of GDM <26 weeks	0.611	0.560	0.142	0.923	0.657
Higher fasting glucose level at diagnosis of GDM (>5.3 mmol/l)	0.667	0.783	0.267	0.952	0.809
Higher homocysteine level at baseline (6 weeks' postpartum [>6.38 mmol/l])	0.778	0.632	0.200	0.960	0.707

is a well-known risk factor for cardiovascular disease in both normal and diabetic subjects (16); however, few studies have investigated the relationship between homocysteine level and diabetes, and their results are inconsistent. Several studies have reported a higher level of homocysteine in patients with type 1 or type 2 diabetes than in normal subjects (32,33). Furthermore, a European study reported an independent association between homocysteine level and glucose utilization (determined with a hyperinsulinemic-euglycemic clamp) (34). However, it is unclear whether hyperhomocysteinemia precedes the development of diabetes or results from it. We observed a positive correlation between homocysteine level and fasting insulin concentration in our insulin-resistant diabetic and IGT subjects with high levels of insulin. These findings are consistent with those of others (19,35). However, this pattern would not be expected with more severe insulin deficiency or in type 1 diabetes. In a streptozotocin rat model of diabetes, insulin has been shown to have a direct role in regulating the metabolism of homocysteine. The level of hepatic cystathionine β -synthase, a transmethylation enzyme that metabolizes conversion of homocysteine to cystathionine (36), was elevated and plasma homocysteine reduced in untreated, insulin-deficient diabetic rats, and the defects were normalized by treatment with insulin. Furthermore, cystathionine β -synthase mRNA levels were markedly elevated in streptozotocin-induced diabetic rat livers and reduced by insulin administration (37). Considering that insulin resistance may be an expression of diffuse arterial endothelial dysfunction, which contributes to atherosclerosis directly or indirectly (38), it is not surprising that these two risk factors are associated with cardiovascular disease. Furthermore, we observed a positive correlation between the baseline homocysteine level and AUC glucose at the time of GDM diagnosis. We did not measure homocysteine when the diagnosis of GDM was made, but, based on

this correlation, one can speculate that homocysteine was also elevated during pregnancy in those with the most severe glucose intolerance, who are also at highest risk for postpartum diabetes.

The limitations of this study is the lack of folate or vitamin B₁₂ values, which are directly or indirectly associated with homocysteine level (39). However, subjects who had taken vitamins or folate were excluded, and thus their effect on homocysteine level should be limited. Although homocysteine levels could be influenced by mutation frequencies of the methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase gene, the main methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase polymorphism is not associated with increased maternal homocysteine during pregnancy (40) or with homocysteine levels in Koreans in general (41). Therefore, it appears unlikely that this mutation could have influenced our results.

This is the first prospective study that has attempted to determine the association between homocysteine and the onset of diabetes in women with previous GDM. Our results suggest that early postpartum hyperhomocysteinemia in a GDM mother is another risk factor for the development of diabetes during the postpartum period. Thus, the measurement of homocysteine level at 6 weeks' postpartum would be helpful to identify women with a previous history of GDM at high risk of developing diabetes. Finally, our study underscores the need to assess the metabolic effects of elevated plasma homocysteine levels beyond the detrimental effects on the vascular endothelium.

Acknowledgments— This research was supported by Korea Science and Engineering Foundation Grant R01-2000-000-00096-0.

We express sincere thanks to Joo Hwan Lee for statistical assistance.

References

1. Metzger BE, Coustan DR: Summary and recommendations of the Fourth International Workshop-Conference on Gestational Diabetes Mellitus: the Organizing Committee. *Diabetes Care* 21 (Suppl. 2): B161–B167, 1998

2. Amankwah KS, Prentice RL, Fleury FJ: The incidence of gestational diabetes. *Obstet Gynecol* 49:497–498, 1977
3. Jang HC, Cho NH, Jung KB, Oh KS, Dooley SL, Metzger BE: Screening for gestational diabetes mellitus in Korea. *Int J Gynaecol Obstet* 51:115–122, 1995
4. Erem C, Cihanyurdu N, Deger O, Karahan C, Can G, Telatar M: Screening for gestational diabetes mellitus in northeastern Turkey (Trabzon City). *Eur J Epidemiol* 18:39–43, 2003
5. O'Sullivan JB, Mahan CM: Criteria for the oral glucose tolerance test in pregnancy. *Diabetes* 13:278–285, 1964
6. Metzger BE, Bybee DE, Freinkel N, Phelps RL, Radvany RM, Vaisrub N: Gestational diabetes mellitus: correlations between the phenotypic and genotypic characteristics of the mother and abnormal glucose tolerance during the first year postpartum. *Diabetes* 34 (Suppl. 2):111–115, 1985
7. Kjos SL, Buchanan TA, Greenspoon JS, Montoro M, Bernstein GS, Mestman JH: Gestational diabetes mellitus: the prevalence of glucose intolerance and diabetes mellitus in the first two months postpartum. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 163:93–98, 1990
8. Dacus JV, Meyer NL, Muram D, Stilson R, Phipps P, Sibai BM: Gestational diabetes: postpartum glucose tolerance testing. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 171:927–931, 1994
9. Lam KS, Li DF, Lauder IJ, Lee CP, Kung AW, Ma JT: Prediction of persistent carbohydrate intolerance in patients with gestational diabetes. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract* 12:181–186, 1991
10. Jang HC, Yim CH, Han KO, Yoon HK, Han IK, Kim MY, Yang JH, Cho NH: Gestational diabetes mellitus in Korea: prevalence and prediction of glucose intolerance at early postpartum. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract* 61:117–124, 2003
11. Wilkerson HL, O'Sullivan JB: A study of glucose tolerance and screening criteria in 752 unselected pregnancies. *Diabetes* 12: 313–318, 1963
12. Lavin JP Jr: Screening of high-risk and general populations for gestational diabetes: clinical application and cost analysis. *Diabetes* 34 (Suppl. 2):24–27, 1985

13. Pallardo F, Herranz L, Garcia-Ingelmo T, Grande C, Martin-Vaquero P, Janez M, Gonzalez A: Early postpartum metabolic assessment in women with prior gestational diabetes. *Diabetes Care* 22:1053–1058, 1999
14. Metzger BE, Cho NH, Roston SM, Radvany R: Prepregnancy weight and antepartum insulin secretion predict glucose tolerance five years after gestational diabetes mellitus. *Diabetes Care* 16:1598–1605, 1993
15. Fuchtenbusch M, Ferber K, Standl E, Ziegler AG: Prediction of type 1 diabetes postpartum in patients with gestational diabetes mellitus by combined islet cell autoantibody screening: a prospective multicenter study. *Diabetes* 46:1459–1467, 1997
16. Graham IM, Daly LE, Refsum HM, Robinson K, Brattstrom LE, Ueland PM, Palma-Reis RJ, Boers GH, Sheahan RG, Israelsson B, Uiterwaal CS, Meleady R, McMaster D, Verhoef P, Witteman J, Rubba P, Bellet H, Wautrecht JC, de Valk HW, Sales Luis AC, Parrot-Rouland FM, Tan KS, Higgins I, Garcon D, Andria G, et al.: Plasma homocysteine as a risk factor for vascular disease: the European Concerted Action Project. *JAMA* 277:1775–1781, 1997
17. Welch GN, Loscalzo J: Homocysteine and atherothrombosis. *N Engl J Med* 338:1042–1050, 1998
18. Starkebaum G, Harlan JM: Endothelial cell injury due to copper-catalyzed hydrogen peroxide generation from homocysteine. *J Clin Invest* 77:1370–1376, 1986
19. Meigs JB, Jacques PF, Selhub J, Singer DE, Nathan DM, Rifai N, D'Agostino RB Sr, Wilson PW, Framingham Offspring Study: Fasting plasma homocysteine levels in the insulin resistance syndrome: Framingham Offspring Study. *Diabetes Care* 24:1403–1410, 2001
20. Gillum R: Distribution of serum total homocysteine and its association with diabetes and cardiovascular risk factors of the insulin resistance syndrome in Mexican American men: the Third National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey. *Nutr J* 2:6, 2003
21. Bar-On H, Kidron M, Friedlander Y, Ben Yehuda A, Selhub J, Rosenberg IH, Friedman G: Plasma total homocysteine levels in subjects with hyperinsulinemia. *J Intern Med* 247:287–294, 2000
22. Classification and diagnosis of diabetes mellitus and other categories of glucose intolerance: National Diabetes Data Group. *Diabetes* 28:1039–1057, 1979
23. de Lorgeril M, Salen P, Paillard F, Lacan P, Richard G: Lipid-lowering drugs and homocysteine. *Lancet* 353:209–210, 1999
24. Alberti KG, Zimmet PZ: Definition, diagnosis and classification of diabetes mellitus and its complications: diagnosis and classification of diabetes mellitus: provisional report of a WHO consultation. *Diabet Med* 15:539–553, 1998
25. Friedewald WT, Levy RI, Fredrickson DS: Estimation of the concentration of low-density lipoprotein cholesterol in plasma, without use of the preparative ultracentrifuge. *Clin Chem* 18:499–502, 1972
26. Cockcroft DW, Gault MH: Prediction of creatinine clearance from serum creatinine. *Nephron* 16:31–41, 1976
27. Ueland PM, Refsum H, Stabler SP, Malinow MR, Andersson A, Allen RH: Total homocysteine in plasma or serum: methods and clinical applications. *Clin Chem* 39:1764–1779, 1993
28. Matthews DR, Hosker JP, Rudenski AS, Naylor BA, Treacher DF, Turner RC: Homeostasis model assessment: insulin resistance and beta-cell function from fasting plasma glucose and insulin concentrations in man. *Diabetologia* 28:412–419, 1985
29. Katz A, Nambi SS, Mather K, Baron AD, Follmann DA, Sullivan G, Quon MJ: Quantitative insulin sensitivity check index: a simple, accurate method for assessing insulin sensitivity in humans. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 85:2402–2410, 2000
30. Wallace TM, Levy JC, Matthews DR: Use and abuse of HOMA modeling. *Diabetes Care* 27:1487–1495, 2004
31. Schaefer-Graf UM, Buchanan TA, Xiang AH, Peters RK, Kjos SL: Clinical predictors for a high risk for the development of diabetes mellitus in the early puerperium in women with recent gestational diabetes mellitus. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 186:751–756, 2002
32. Hofmann MA, Kohl B, Zumbach MS, Borcea V, Bierhaus A, Henkels M, Amiral J, Fiehn W, Ziegler R, Wahl P, Nawroth PP: Hyperhomocyst(e)inemia and endothelial dysfunction in IDDM. *Diabetes Care* 20:1880–1886, 1997 [erratum *Diabetes Care* 21:678, 1998. Corrected and republished in *Diabetes Care* 1998]
33. Munshi MN, Stone A, Fink L, Fonseca V: Hyperhomocysteinemia following a methionine load in patients with non-insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus and macrovascular disease. *Metabolism* 45:133–135, 1996
34. Giltay EJ, Hoogeveen EK, Elbers JM, Gooren LJ, Asscheman H, Stehouwer CD: Insulin resistance is associated with elevated plasma total homocysteine levels in healthy, non-obese subjects. *Atherosclerosis* 139:197–198, 1998
35. Lim S, Kim MS, Park KS, Lee JH, An GH, Yim MJ, Song J, Pak YK, Lee HK: Correlation of plasma homocysteine and mitochondrial DNA content in peripheral blood in healthy women. *Atherosclerosis* 158:399–405, 2001
36. Jacobs RL, House JD, Brosnan ME, Brosnan JT: Effects of streptozotocin-induced diabetes and of insulin treatment on homocysteine metabolism in the rat. *Diabetes* 47:1967–1970, 1998
37. Ratnam S, Mackean KN, Jacobs RL, Brosnan ME, Draus JP, Brosnan JT: Hormonal regulation of cystathione beta-synthase expression in liver. *J Biol Chem* 277:42912–42918, 2002
38. Pinkney JH, Stehouwer CD, Coppack SW, Yudkin JS: Endothelial dysfunction: cause of the insulin resistance syndrome. *Diabetes* 46 (Suppl. 2):S9–S13, 1997
39. Walker MC, Smith GN, Perkins SL, Keely EJ, Garner PR: Changes in homocysteine levels during normal pregnancy. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 180:660–664, 1999
40. Powers RW, Dunbar MS, Gallaher MJ, Roberts JM: The 677 C-T methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase mutation does not predict increased maternal homocysteine during pregnancy. *Obstet Gynecol* 101:762–766, 2003
41. Kim CH, Hwang KY, Choi TM, Shin WY, Hong SY: The methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase gene polymorphism in Koreans with coronary artery disease. *Int J Cardiol* 78:13–17, 2001