

# Population Comparison of Two Clinical Approaches to the Metabolic Syndrome

## Implications of the new International Diabetes Federation consensus definition

ROBERT J. ADAMS, MD<sup>1</sup>  
SARAH APPLETON, BSC<sup>1</sup>  
DAVID H. WILSON, PHD<sup>1</sup>  
ANNE W. TAYLOR, MPH<sup>2</sup>

ELEONORA DAL GRANDE<sup>2</sup>  
CATHERINE CHITTLEBOROUGH, MPH<sup>2</sup>  
TIFFANY GILL, BAPPS<sup>2</sup>  
RICHARD RUFFIN, MD<sup>1</sup>

In 2005, the International Diabetes Federation (IDF) released a consensus clinical definition of the metabolic syndrome for worldwide use that included central obesity as a prerequisite (1). The IDF definition varied from the earlier Third Report of the U.S. National Cholesterol Education Program Adult Treatment Panel (ATP III) panel definition with broader criteria for waist circumference, HDL, and fasting plasma glucose (2). The impact of these changes to the prevalence of the metabolic syndrome, in particular the use of differing values for defining central obesity, has not been studied. Our aim was to compare these two definitions for the distribution and prevalence of the metabolic syndrome in a representative biomedical population study of predominantly European adults from Adelaide, South Australia (population 1.2 million) (3).

### RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODS

Individuals aged  $\geq 18$  years from households selected at random from the electronic white pages directory were eligible. Of the eligible sample of 8,213, a total of 5,850 (71%) completed the initial interview. Of these, 4,060 (69%) attended the clinic for the biomedical examination (3). Respondents completed surveys and underwent clinic

assessment, including measurement of blood pressure height, weight, waist, and fasting glucose and lipid levels (3). The study was approved by the institutional ethics committees of the North West Adelaide Health Service, and all subjects gave written informed consent.

### Risk factors definitions

**Metabolic syndrome.** In the IDF definition (1) of the metabolic syndrome, subjects are required to have central obesity (waist circumference  $\geq 94$  cm for men and  $\geq 80$  cm for women) plus any two of the following: triglyceride level  $\geq 1.7$  mmol/l, HDL cholesterol level  $< 0.9$  mmol/l in men or  $< 1.1$  mmol/l in women, blood pressure of at least 130 mmHg systolic or 85 mmHg diastolic, and fasting glucose level  $\geq 5.6$  mmol/l. The ATP III criteria (2) require three or more of the following: triglyceride level  $\geq 1.7$  mmol/l, HDL cholesterol level  $< 1.0$  mmol/l in men or  $< 1.3$  mmol/l in women, blood pressure of at least 130/85 mmHg, fasting glucose level  $\geq 6.1$  mmol/l, or waist circumference  $> 102$  cm for men or  $> 88$  cm for women.

**Recreational physical activity.** Recreational physical activity was calculated as the number of times activity was undertaken by average time per session by (self-perceived) intensity (4) and categorized

as sedentary, low, moderate, and high exercise. High blood pressure was systolic  $\geq 140$  mmHg and/or diastolic  $\geq 90$  mmHg (5). High cholesterol was total cholesterol of at least 6.21 mmol/l (6). Coronary heart disease was assessed by self-reported myocardial infarction or angina, stroke as self-reported stroke or cerebrovascular event, diabetes as self-reported or clinic determined (fasting blood glucose  $\geq 7.0$  mmol/l), and hypertension as self-reported, measured, or on medication.

### Statistical analysis

Data were weighted to the 1999 Estimated Residential Population and 2001 Census for South Australia by region, age-group, sex, and probability of selection in the household, in order to provide population-representative estimates (7,8). Data were analyzed with SPSS (version 12.0). Multiple logistic regression models were developed to describe associations with the two metabolic syndrome definitions.

**RESULTS**— The demographic and anthropometric characteristics of the sample population have been previously described (3,9). The metabolic syndrome was found in 22.8% using the IDF definition (men 26.4%, women 15.7%) and in 15.0% with the ATP III definition (men 19.4%, women 14.4%). Differences between the two approaches increased with age. In those  $\geq 55$  years, hypertension was found in 54.5% of men and 53.0% of women. Most people with recorded high blood pressure were not taking medication (601 of 1,092), but of those who were, 66.2% (325 of 491) recorded elevated blood pressure in the clinic.

Table 1 shows the prevalence of metabolic and lifestyle risk factors in men and women according to different categories of waist circumference, as this reflects the main point of difference between the two metabolic syndrome definitions. Diabetes and hypertension were much less common in those without central adiposity.

In multiple regression models, risk of

From <sup>1</sup>The Health Observatory, University of Adelaide, Queen Elizabeth Hospital Campus, Woodville, South Australia; and the <sup>2</sup>Population Research and Outcome Studies Unit, South Australian Department of Health, Adelaide, South Australia.

Address correspondence and reprint requests to Dr. Robert J. Adams, University of Adelaide, Department of Medicine, Queen Elizabeth Hospital Campus, Woodville Rd., Woodville South, Australia SA5011. E-mail: robert.adams@nwahs.sa.gov.au.

Received and accepted for publication 20 July 2005.

**Abbreviations:** ATP III, Third Report of the U.S. National Cholesterol Education Program Adult Treatment Panel; IDF, International Diabetes Federation.

A table elsewhere in this issue shows conventional and Système International (SI) units and conversion factors for many substances.

© 2005 by the American Diabetes Association.

The costs of publication of this article were defrayed in part by the payment of page charges. This article must therefore be hereby marked "advertisement" in accordance with 18 U.S.C. Section 1734 solely to indicate this fact.

Table 1—Percentage of men and women with metabolic and lifestyle factors and associated vascular diseases, according to age and within different categories of waist circumference

	Men					Women				
	Age (years)					Age (years)				
	<40	40–54	55–69	≥70	Total	<40	40–54	55–69	≥70	Total
Metabolic syndrome										
IDF definition	11.0	36.5	45.7	35.5	26.4	5.0	20.4	30.7	45.5	19.4
ATP III definition	7.3	21.1	29.5	15.1	15.7	5.0	17.3	23.6	24.8	14.4
CHD or stroke	0.4	2.2	14.9	32.6	6.9	0.0	2.0	7.2	24.9	5.4
Diabetes	1.3	6.1	19.2	15.8	7.2	1.3	4.8	9.1	16.6	5.8
Hypertension	12.5	32.5	49.7	61.5	29.5	5.0	20.3	40.2	68.3	24.4

  

n (%)	Waist circumference (cm)			Waist circumference (cm)		
	≤93	94–101	≥102	≤79	80–87	≥88
	852 (43.9)	456 (24.5)	680 (31.6)	787 (39.0)	442 (21.3)	842 (40.7)
Metabolic syndrome						
IDF definition	0	33.8	54.6	0.0	16.5	39.2
ATP III definition	1.4	6.7	42.4	0.1	3.4	33.8
Additive lifestyle risk factors*						
Two	16.5	20.8	25.7	15.7	22.0	23.8
Three or more	4.6	6.8	9.7	3.4	4.2	8.5
Lifestyle risk factors						
Smoking	32.4	23.1	23.9	28.3	20.0	15.9
High alcohol	7.5	6.8	7.5	6.8	3.7	3.6
Sedentary	22.8	25.7	29.5	25.5	27.0	37.3
Vascular diseases						
CHD or stroke†	3.2	8.6	10.7	1.6	5.2	9.3
Diabetes	2.7	7.0	12.9	1.4	3.6	11.3
Hypertension	14.2	32.0	46.9	8.3	24.7	39.1

\*Current smoking, intermediate to high alcohol consumption, no physical activity (sedentary), high blood pressure (systolic >140 mmHg and/or diastolic >90 mmHg), and total cholesterol of at least 6.21 mmol/L. †Coronary heart disease (CHD) or stroke = self-reported doctor-diagnosed myocardial infarction, angina, or stroke.

the metabolic syndrome tended to increase with age. For the IDF definition, in both men and women, after adjusting for income, education, ethnicity, employment status, government benefit status, and smoking, sedentary behavior was significantly associated with the metabolic syndrome (odds ratio [OR] for men 1.6 [95% CI 1.1–2.4], women 3.8 [1.8–7.9]). In women, lower levels of physical activity were also significantly associated with the metabolic syndrome (OR low 2.3 [1.1–4.8], moderate 2.0 [0.9–4.3]). In men but not in women, lower socioeconomic status, as assessed by lower education levels (OR for graduating high school or less 1.6 [1.1–2.5] or for receiving government benefits 1.8 [1.2–2.4]), was associated with the syndrome. For the ATP III criteria, low and sedentary recreational physical activity was associated in both men and women, with no association with any of the socioeconomic variables.

**CONCLUSIONS** — This representative urban adult population study has demonstrated a high prevalence of the metabolic syndrome using the new IDF definition. In men aged >40 years and women >70 years, the IDF definition, using a smaller waist circumference, categorized 15–20% more people as having the metabolic syndrome than the ATP III definition. As expected, the prevalence of the metabolic syndrome varies by age-group, economic status, and lifestyle factors such as physical activity and smoking. These findings are similar to previous reports in the literature (10,11).

The cross-sectional nature of the current data precludes causal inferences to be made concerning the relationships or predictive value of either definition for disease states such as diabetes. Also, there was a potential bias from survey nonresponse, although response rates in our

sample were higher than comparable biomedical population studies (10,12).

The IDF recommends “aggressive and uncompromising” management of those classified to reduce the risk of cardiovascular disease and diabetes (1). If this definition gains widespread acceptance, then substantially more people will receive management, including drug therapy. Whether the cost in monetary and other terms is justified requires close examination and research. Increasing recognition in the population of risk levels with a simple focus on waist size, along with more vigorous promotion of the value of exercise, are important first steps in addressing metabolic problems.

**References**

1. International Diabetes Federation: The IDF consensus worldwide definition of the metabolic syndrome [article online], 2005. Available from www.idf.org/webdata/

- docs/IDF\_metasyndrome\_definition.pdf. Accessed 1 July 2005
2. Expert Panel on Detection, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Cholesterol in Adults: Executive summary of the Third Report of the National Cholesterol Education Program (NCEP) Expert Panel on Detection, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Cholesterol in Adults (Adult Treatment Panel III). *JAMA* 285: 2486–2497, 2001
  3. Taylor A, Dal Grande E, Chittleborough C: *The North West Adelaide Health Study: Key Biomedical Findings, Policy Implications and Research Implications*. Adelaide, South Australia, SA Department of Human Services, 2002
  4. Australian Bureau of Statistics: Health risk factors. In *National Health Survey: Users Guide*. Chapter 5 [article online], 2003. Available from <http://www.abs.gov.au/Ausstats/abs@.nsl/66f306f503e529a5ca25697e0017661f/e29a33cd6710490bca256d32001ccalb!OpenDocument#EXERCISE>. Accessed 19 September 2005
  5. Chalmers J, MacMahon S, Mancia G, Whitworth J, Beilin L, Hansson L, Neal B, Rodgers A, Ni Mhurchu C, Clark T: 1999 World Health Organization-International Society of Hypertension Guidelines for the management of hypertension: guidelines sub-committee of the World Health Organization. *Clin Exp Hypertens* 21: 1009–1060, 1999
  6. National Cholesterol Education Program: Second report of the National Cholesterol Education Program (NCEP) Expert Panel on Detection, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Cholesterol in Adults (Adult Treatment Panel II). *Circulation* 89: 1333–1445, 1994
  7. Australian Bureau of Statistics: *Estimated Residential Population by Age and Sex*. Canberra, Australia, Australian Bureau of Statistics, 1999
  8. Australian Bureau of Statistics: *2001 Census Basic Community Profile and Snapshot: 405 Adelaide (Statistical Division)*, Australia, South Australia. Canberra, Australia, Australian Bureau of Statistics, 2001
  9. Gill T, Chittleborough C, Taylor A, Ruffin R, Wilson D, Phillips P: Body mass index, waist hip ratio, and waist circumference: which measure to classify obesity? *Soz-Präventivmed* 48:191–200, 2003
  10. Park Y-W, Zhu S, Palaniappan L, Heshka S, Carnethon MR, Heymsfield SD: The metabolic syndrome: prevalence and associated risk factor findings in the U.S. population from the Third National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey, 1988–1994. *Arch Intern Med* 163: 427–436, 2003
  11. Ford ES, Kohl HW, Mokdad AH, Ajani UA: Sedentary behavior, physical activity, and the metabolic syndrome among U.S. adults. *Obes Res* 13:608–614, 2005
  12. Dunstan DW, Zimmet PZ, Welborn TA, Cameron AJ, Shaw J, de Courten M, Jolley D, McCarty DJ: Australian Diabetes, Obesity and Lifestyle Study (AusDiab): the Australian Diabetes, Obesity and Lifestyle Study (AusDiab) methods and response rates. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract* 57:119–129, 2002