

3. Heart Protection Study Collaborative Group: MRC/BHF Heart Protection Study of cholesterol-lowering with simvastatin in 5,963 people with diabetes: a randomised placebo-controlled trial. *Lancet* 361: 2005–2016, 2003
4. Colhoun HM, Betteridge DJ, Durrington PN, Hitman GA, Neil HA, Livingstone SJ, Thomason MJ, Mackness MI, Charlton-Menys V, Fuller JH: Primary prevention of cardiovascular disease with atorvastatin in type 2 diabetes in the Collaborative Atorvastatin Diabetes Study (CARDS): multicentre randomised placebo-controlled trial. *Lancet* 364:685–696, 2004

Does the Metabolic Syndrome Exist

Response to Grundy

A key question in the debate concerning the metabolic syndrome (1,2) is whether the risk accompanying it is more than the sum of its parts. Grundy (1) asserts that “risk factors are multiplicative, i.e., risk for ASCVD [atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease] from risk factors rises geometrically, not linearly, as the number of risk factors increases. Therefore, total risk is more than a summation of the individual factors.” The study by Yusuf et al. (3) is offered to support this statement, but this study is a standardized case-control study of 27,098 participants in 52 countries representing several major ethnic groups to assess the relation between BMI, waist and hip circumferences, and waist-to-hip ratio to myocardial infarction overall and for each group. The metabolic syndrome is not even mentioned in the article.

In the same issue of *Diabetes Care*, Sunderström et al. (4) published a study evaluating the risk factors for cardiovascular death in >2,000 individuals followed for 30 years after being studied at age 50. More than 1,000 of them were reexamined at age 70 and followed for 9 more years. Sunderström et al. showed that the “metabolic syndrome did not predict cardiovascular mortality independently of its individual components at any age” and concluded that “the metabolic syndrome might be viewed as a clinically handy summary measure of nontraditional risk factors rather than as a strong biological entity.” Thus, this evidence suggests that the answer to the key question posed above is that the risk of the metabolic syndrome for cardiovascular

events is no more than the sum of its parts. Whether the metabolic syndrome serves an important function to alert physicians and patients of the importance of addressing these risk factors is a separate issue.

MAYER B. DAVIDSON, MD

From the Clinical Center for Research Excellence, Charles R. Drew University, Los Angeles, California.
Address correspondence to Mayer B. Davidson, MD, Clinical Center for Research Excellence, Charles R. Drew University, 1731 E. 120th St., Los Angeles, CA 90059. E-mail: mayerdavidson@cdrewu.edu.

DOI: 10.2337/dc-06-1592

© 2006 by the American Diabetes Association.

.....

References

1. Grundy SM: Does the metabolic syndrome exist? (Commentary). *Diabetes Care* 29:1689–1692, 2006
2. Kahn R: The metabolic syndrome (emperor) wears no clothes (Commentary). *Diabetes Care* 29:1693–1696, 2006
3. Yusuf S, Hawken S, Ounpuu S, Bautista L, Franzosi MG, Commerford P, Lang CC, Rumboldt Z, Onen CL, Lisheng L, Tanomsup S, Wangai P Jr, Razak F, Sharma AM, Anand SS, the INTERHEART Study Investigators: Obesity and the risk of myocardial infarction in 27,000 participants from 52 countries: a case-control study. *Lancet* 366:1640–1649, 2005
4. Sunderström J, Vallhagen E, Risérus U, Byberg L, Zethelius B, Berne C, Lind L, Ingelsson E: Risk associated with the metabolic syndrome versus the sum of its individual components. *Diabetes Care* 29: 1673–1674, 2006

Does the Metabolic Syndrome Exist?

Response to Davidson

In response to Davidson (1), who questions whether the metabolic syndrome conveys more risk for cardiovascular disease (CVD) than that contained in its risk components, I suggest that this debate is partly confused by ambiguity over the issues of prediction versus causation. The argument based on prediction contends that among the diagnostic components of the syndrome (elevated blood pressure, low levels of HDL cholesterol, high triglycerides, elevated glucose, and abdominal obesity), most of the predictive power is contained in blood pressure and HDL cholesterol. Therefore, in epidemiological studies, the short-term risk for CVD for the syndrome as a whole, assessed by current diagnostic criteria, does not substantially exceed the risk contained in two of its risk factors. Consequently, from a predictive perspective, the metabolism syndrome is really nothing more than a higher blood pressure and a lower HDL. The argument from causation holds that these predictors of risk do not necessarily reflect the true causes of the risk. Indeed, there are other types of data to indicate that several risk factors of the syndrome, such as elevations in VLDL, a prothrombotic state and a proinflammatory state also contribute to risk. It is possible to say that for causation, robust predictors are confounded by other risk factors, some of which are not routinely measured. In fact, there is still uncertainty as to whether a low HDL cholesterol is truly a direct cause of CVD or is only a marker for risk. Further, clinical trials indicate that reducing blood pressure does not fully reverse the risk predicted by a higher blood pressure; hence risk associated with a higher blood pressure must be confounded by other factors. The clinical implications of this distinction between prediction and causation are considerable. At present, it cannot be assumed that treatments directed toward the predictors will produce the expected reduction in risk; rather, it is important to identify the true causes of CVD associated with the metabolic syndrome so that they can be better targeted for therapy.

A second line of debate is whether the risk factors of the metabolic syndrome, or indeed for all CVD, are additive or synergistic in their effect on CVD risk. Synergism in effect is referred to as multiplicative risk. Several epidemiological studies and the risk algorithms developed from these studies support the synergism associated with multiple risk factors. If multiple risk factors are synergistic in their effects, as epidemiology indicates, then the risk associated with multiple risk factors is greater than what would be obtained by simple addition of their individual effects. Finally, the metabolic syndrome is a progressive condition. It typically worsens with advancing age. Hence, risk is compounded by aging. This means that risk predicted at any one time underestimates the long-term risk associated with the syndrome.

These multiple lines of evidence support my contention that the CVD risk accompanying the metabolic syndrome

cannot be causally explained by the factors contained in its current diagnostic criteria. The latter serves to identify the presence of higher risk condition but does not necessarily represent the sole targets of therapy for the condition.

SCOTT M. GRUNDY, MD, PHD^{1,2,3}

From the ¹Center for Human Nutrition, University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center, Dallas, Texas; the ²Department of Clinical Nutrition and Internal Medicine, University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center, Dallas, Texas; and the ³Department of Internal Medicine, University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center, Dallas, Texas.

Address correspondence to Scott M. Grundy, MD, PhD, Center for Human Nutrition and Departments of Clinical Nutrition and Internal Medicine, University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center, 5323 Harry Hines Blvd., Y3.206, Dallas, TX 75390-9052. E-mail: scott.grundy@utsouthwestern.edu.

DOI: 10.2337/dc-06-1725

© 2006 by the American Diabetes Association.

.....
References

1. Davidson MB: Does the metabolic syndrome exist? (Letter). *Diabetes Care* 29: 2565, 2006

The Metabolic Syndrome (Emperor) Wears No Clothes

Response to Kahn

Kahn (1) pointed out that metabolic syndrome is associated with many uncertainties and inconsistencies, which could easily misdirect care, mislead patients, and lead to unnecessary health care costs. The risk of cardiovascular disease (CVD) associated with the syndrome is no greater than that explained by the presence of its components, and it is possible to create an almost infinite number of scenarios in which individuals who do not meet the diagnostic criteria for metabolic syndrome would be at greater risk of CVD than would those who do, as noted by Reaven (2).

What if, among the diagnostic components of metabolic syndrome, waist circumference, which is one of the anthropometric markers of obesity, was substituted by high-sensitivity C-reactive protein (CRP)? CRP is a sensitive marker of subclinical systemic inflammation and positively relates to leptin (3) and insulin resistance (4) and negatively relates to adiponectin (5), even in people with nor-

mal BMI (excluding those with diabetes). Reaven (2) pointed out that only about one-third of the most insulin-resistant individuals were actually obese, and the degree of correlation between insulin-mediated glucose uptake and BMI, waist circumference, and visceral obesity were almost equal. Neither abdominal obesity nor metabolic syndrome, as defined by the National Cholesterol Education Program Adult Treatment Panel III criteria, were a significant independent risk factor for CVD in multiple regression analyses (6,7), while very low levels of CRP were useful for risk prediction among women with calculated 10-year Framingham risks <10% (8). Previously, we propose a CRP value of 0.65 mg/l as the cut point for metabolic syndrome (9) instead of ethnic-specific controversial cut points of waist circumference. Whether the new clothes fit the emperor should be revealed by re-analyses of existing epidemiological studies including CRP data.

EIJI ODA, MD

From the Department of Internal Medicine, Niigata Prefectural Yoshida Hospital, Niigata, Japan.

Address correspondence to Eiji Oda, MD, Department of Internal Medicine, Niigata Prefectural Yoshida Hospital, Yoshida-Daibocho 32-14, Tsubame, Niigata, 959-0242, Japan. E-mail: ijie@venus.sannet.ne.jp.

DOI: 10.2337/dc-06-1453

© 2006 by the American Diabetes Association.

.....
References

1. Kahn R: The metabolic syndrome (emperor) wears no clothes (Commentary). *Diabetes Care* 29:1693–1696, 2006
2. Reaven GM: The metabolic syndrome: is this diagnosis necessary? *Am J Clin Nutr* 83:1237–1247, 2006
3. Shamsuzzaman AS, Winnicki M, Wolk R, Svatikova A, Phillips BG, Davison DE, Berger PB, Somers VK: Independent association between plasma leptin and C-reactive protein in healthy humans. *Circulation* 109:2181–2185, 2004
4. Bo S, Gambino R, Uberti B, Mangiameli MP, Colosso G, Repetti E, Gentile L, Cas-sader M, Pagano GF: Does C-reactive protein identify a subclinical metabolic disease in healthy subjects? *Eur J Clin Invest* 35:265–270, 2005
5. Matsushita K, Yatsuya H, Tamakoshi K, Wada K, Otsuka R, Zhang H, Sugiura K, Kondo T, Murohara T, Toyoshima H: Inverse association between adiponectin and C-reactive protein in substantially healthy Japanese men. *Atherosclerosis* 188: 184–189, 2006
6. Alexander CM, Landsman PB, Teutsch SM, Haffner SM: NCEP-defined meta-

bolic syndrome, diabetes, and prevalence of coronary heart disease among NHANES III participants age 50 years and older. *Diabetes* 52:1210–1214, 2003

7. McNeill AM, Rosamond WD, Girman CJ, Golden SH, Schmidt MI, East HE, Ballan-tyne CM, Heiss G: The metabolic syndrome and 11-year risk of incident cardiovascular disease in the Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities study. *Diabetes Care* 28:385–390, 2005
8. Ridker PM, Cook N: Clinical usefulness of very high and very low levels of C-reactive protein across the full range of Framing-ham Risk Scores. *Circulation* 109:1955–1959, 2004
9. Oda E, Oohara K, Abe A, Veeraveedu PT, Watanabe K, Kato K, Aizawa Y: The optimal cut-off point of C-reactive protein as an optional component of metabolic syndrome in Japan. *Circ J* 70:384–388, 2006

The Metabolic Syndrome (Emperor) Wears No Clothes

Response to Oda

The possible inclusion of C-reactive protein (CRP) in the metabolic syndrome algorithm highlights the problems of the construct itself. If the syndrome is supposed to be a predictive tool for future cardiovascular events, then we should indeed test the benefit of adding CRP, along with age, sex, race, adiponec-tin, homocysteine, etc. If the utility of the syndrome is to call attention to obesity, then there is no need for expensive laboratory tests. If the virtue is to predict diabetes, then a measure of glucose intoler-ance alone is better. If the syndrome is supposed to identify those with insulin resistance, then there are simpler ways to do so; however, measuring CRP might be helpful.

Dr. Oda (1) seems to have one purpose for the construct; others have different perspectives. The problem is that no one, not even the proponents themselves (2–4), have conveyed the exact utility of the syndrome and shown that it is better than or even equal to other approaches, and the critical issue, therefore, is why clinicians should even bother diagnosing metabolic syndrome in the first place.

RICHARD KAHN, PHD

From the American Diabetes Association, Alexan-dria, Virginia.

Address correspondence to Richard Kahn, PhD,