

# Diabetes-Related Symptom Distress in Association With Glucose Metabolism and Comorbidity

## The Hoorn Study

MARCEL C. ADRIAANSE, PHD<sup>1</sup>  
FRANS POWWER, PHD<sup>2,3</sup>  
JACQUELINE M. DEKKER, PHD<sup>2</sup>  
GIEL NIJPELS, MD, PHD<sup>2,4</sup>

COEN D. STEHOUWER, MD, PHD<sup>5</sup>  
ROBERT J. HEINE, MD, PHD<sup>2,6</sup>  
FRANK J. SNOEK, PHD<sup>2,3</sup>

**OBJECTIVE** — The purpose of this study was to determine the associations between diabetes-related symptom distress, glucose metabolism status, and comorbidities of type 2 diabetes.

**RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODS** — This was a cross-sectional sample of 281 individuals with normal glucose metabolism (NGM), 181 individuals with impaired glucose metabolism (IGM), and 107 subjects with type 2 diabetes. We used the revised type 2 Diabetes Symptom Checklist (DSC-R) to assess diabetes-related symptom distress.

**RESULTS** — The total symptom distress score (range 0–100) was relatively low for diabetic subjects (mean  $\pm$  SD 8.4  $\pm$  9.4), although it was significantly different from that for subjects with IGM (6.5  $\pm$  7.1) and NGM (6.1  $\pm$  7.9) ( $F = 3.1$ , 2 d.f.,  $P = 0.046$ ). Ischemic heart disease was associated with elevated DSC-R scores on three subscales, whereas depression showed higher symptom distress levels across all DSC-R domains.

**CONCLUSIONS** — Worsening glucose metabolism is associated with increasing diabetes-related symptom distress. This relationship is attenuated by ischemic heart disease and particularly by depression.

*Diabetes Care* 31:2268–2270, 2008

Type 2 diabetes can seriously affect patients' health-related quality of life (1), and symptom distress has been recognized as an important patient-reported outcome (2,3). So far, empirical data about symptom distress in relation to glucose metabolism status and comorbidities are sparse, especially among subjects with impaired glucose metabolism (IGM) (pre-diabetes). Therefore, we analyzed cross-sectional data of the 2000–2001 follow-up examination from the Hoorn Study, a population-based cohort

study, to compare levels of diabetes-related symptom distress among groups with different glucose metabolism status (i.e., normal glucose metabolism [NGM], impaired glucose metabolism [IGM], and diabetes) and to examine the moderating effect of complications and comorbidities, including depression.

### RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODS

The total study sample consisted of 569 subjects, 280 men and 289 women, with a mean  $\pm$  SD age of

69.8  $\pm$  6.4 years. Details of the 2000–2001 follow-up examination from the Hoorn Study have been described before (4). All subjects gave written informed consent. Information about age and sex was assessed by means of a questionnaire. BMI and blood pressure were measured using standard methods. Hypertension was defined as a diastolic blood pressure  $\geq 90$  mmHg and/or a systolic blood pressure  $\geq 140$  mmHg and/or taking antihypertensive medication. Glucose metabolism status was based on an oral glucose tolerance test using the World Health Organization 1999 diagnostic criteria (5). Ischemic heart disease was determined from a 12-lead electrocardiogram. Prevalent cardiovascular disease was assessed by the Rose questionnaire (6).

Neuropathy was defined as the presence of impaired foot sensitivity, assessed with Semmes-Weinstein monofilaments. Diabetic retinopathy was defined as Wisconsin grade  $\geq 1.5$  (7), based on retinal photography. Microalbuminuria was defined as an albumin-to-creatinine ratio of  $>2.0$  mg/mmol (8). Depression was assessed using the 20-item Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression Scale (CES-D) (score  $\geq 16$ ) (9). Diabetes-related symptom distress was measured with the revised version of the revised type 2 Diabetes Symptom Checklist (DSC-R) (10).

$\chi^2$  tests (percent) and ANOVA were performed to study differences in characteristics, comorbidities, and DSC-R variables for NGM, IGM, and diabetes. Because previous studies suggest that depression is associated with increased levels of symptom distress (11,12), we included depression as a covariate in the ANOVA to sort out the potential interaction between the DSC-R total scores and NGM, IGM, and diabetes. Mann-Whitney  $U$  tests were performed for between-group comparisons regarding DSC-R, i.e., 1) diabetes versus IGM and 2) diabetes versus NGM. Student's  $t$  tests were used to determine the association between co-

From the <sup>1</sup>Institute of Health Sciences, Faculty of Earth and Life Sciences, VU University Amsterdam, Amsterdam, the Netherlands; the <sup>2</sup>EMGO Institute, VU University Medical Centre, Amsterdam, the Netherlands; the <sup>3</sup>Department of Medical Psychology, VU University Medical Centre, Amsterdam, the Netherlands; the <sup>4</sup>Department of General Practice, VU University Medical Centre, Amsterdam, the Netherlands; the <sup>5</sup>Department of Internal Medicine, Academic Hospital Maastricht, Maastricht, the Netherlands; and the <sup>6</sup>Department of Endocrinology, VU University Medical Centre, Amsterdam, the Netherlands.

Corresponding author: Marcel C. Adriaanse, marcel.adriaanse@falw.vu.nl.

Received 13 June 2008 and accepted 15 August 2008.

Published ahead of print at <http://care.diabetesjournals.org> on 26 August 2008. DOI: 10.2337/dc08-1074.

© 2008 by the American Diabetes Association. Readers may use this article as long as the work is properly cited, the use is educational and not for profit, and the work is not altered. See <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/3.0/> for details.

The costs of publication of this article were defrayed in part by the payment of page charges. This article must therefore be hereby marked "advertisement" in accordance with 18 U.S.C. Section 1734 solely to indicate this fact.

**Table 1—Mean scores for diabetes-related symptom distress total and subscale scores in subjects with and without comorbidity among all participants**

	DSC-R (0–100) score for comorbidity*											
	Ischemic heart disease†		Prevalent cardiovascular disease‡		Neuropathy		Retinopathy		Microalbuminuria		Depression	
	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes
<i>n</i>	345	202	465	100	215	203	192	24	468	81	440	68
Hyperglycemia	6.1	8.1	7.1	6.6	5.7	8.4§	7.0	10.4	7.0	7.4	5.9	14.2
Hypoglycemia	5.1	5.3	5.3	5.3	4.3	5.5	5.2	9.4	5.5	4.4	3.8	15.3
Neuropathic pain	4.2	7.0	5.4	4.7	4.6	5.4	5.6	11.2§	5.1	6.0	3.9	12.7
Sensibility	4.7	5.8	5.0	5.4	4.1	5.4	5.6	5.0	5.0	5.0	3.9	10.5
Fatigue	11.5	14.1	13.1	11.1	10.9	12.6	12.8	14.1	12.6	12.7	9.4	31.2
Cognitive distress	6.2	8.6	7.3	7.3	6.1	7.9	6.9	12.8§	7.3	6.6	5.1	20.6
Cardiovascular	5.4	6.7	6.0	6.5	5.4	5.8	4.6	9.6	6.2	5.3	4.6	15.4
Ophthalmological	4.7	6.6†	5.3	6.2	5.5	5.3	6.5	9.0	5.5	5.1	4.3	11.8
DSC-R total score	5.9	7.7	6.7	6.6	5.7	6.9	6.7	10.0	6.7	6.5	5.1	16.0

\*Numbers do not total exactly because of missing values, particularly for retinopathy. †Based on electrocardiogram recording. ‡Assessed by the Rose questionnaire. § $P < 0.05$ ; || $P < 0.01$ .

morbidities and DSC-R scores in subjects with and without comorbidity.  $P < 0.05$  was considered statistical significant. All analyses were performed using SPSS, version 11.5 for Microsoft Windows.

**RESULTS**— ANOVA showed that worsening glucose metabolism, represented by NGM (mean  $\pm$  SD  $6.1 \pm 7.9$ ), IGM ( $6.5 \pm 7.1$ ), and diabetes ( $8.4 \pm 9.4$ ), was associated with increasing DSC-R total scores ( $F = 3.1$ , 2 d.f.,  $P = 0.046$ ). In addition, we included depression (CES-D score) as a covariate in the ANOVA to sort out the potential interaction. Virtually the same DSC-R scores were found for the subjects with NGM ( $6.0 \pm 7.7$ ), IGM ( $6.1 \pm 7.0$ ), and diabetes ( $8.5 \pm 9.7$ ), although scores were not statistically significant ( $F = 0.99$ , 2 d.f.,  $P = 0.245$ ). Mann-Whitney  $U$  tests revealed that diabetic patients reported a significantly greater burden of neuropathic pain ( $P = 0.033$ ), sensibility symptoms ( $P = 0.004$ ), and total symptom distress ( $P = 0.005$ ) than subjects with NGM but not those with IGM (supplemental Table A1, available in an online appendix at <http://dx.doi.org/10.2337/dc08-1074>).

Subjects with ischemic heart disease had a significantly higher total DSC-R score compared with subjects with non-ischemic heart disease. Most strikingly, both the DSC-R score total and all subscale scores appeared to be  $\sim 3$ -fold higher for subjects with depression (CES-D score  $\geq 16$ ) than for those with-

out depression at all three stages of glucose metabolism (Table 1).

**CONCLUSIONS**— This is the first study to demonstrate the association between glucose metabolism status and the level of diabetes-related symptom distress using the DSC-R score. Worsening glucose metabolism is associated with increasing diabetes-related symptom distress. This relationship is attenuated by ischemic heart disease and by depression in particular. The results presented provide supportive evidence of the validity and reliability of the DSC-R.

The fact that subjects with depression reported significantly higher DSC-R levels compared with those without depression suggests that negative affect has a strong amplifying effect on diabetes symptom burden, representing higher illness intrusiveness. The association between diabetes symptoms and depressive mood could also be bidirectional, with diabetes symptoms contributing to the development of depressive symptoms (13). Yet, even after correction for depression we found that diabetic subjects report higher levels of diabetes symptom distress than subjects with NGM or IGM, underscoring the importance of glucose metabolism status.

In individuals screened for type 2 diabetes, relatively high levels of symptom distress may indicate comorbid depression and a need for antidepressant treatment. Likewise, in patients with established diabetes, high symptom distress

despite relatively good glycemic control may point to elevated levels of depression. New longitudinal research on this complex relationship is warranted to further understand underlying mechanisms and to develop effective therapeutic strategies.

The strengths of our study are the use of data from a population-based sample, the use of a standard measurement to determine glucose metabolism status (i.e., an oral glucose tolerance test), the availability of information on comorbidities, and the use of the validated DSC-R to determine diabetes-related symptom distress. There are also limitations. This present study has a cross-sectional design. Further prospective research should help to clarify the course of symptom distress over time across different stages of glucose metabolism. In addition, determining the impact of different treatment strategies (i.e., diet, blood glucose-lowering drugs, and insulin) on the DSC-R levels among diabetes patients was beyond the scope of this study. However, given the increasing importance of patient-reported outcomes, future researchers should carefully explore the impact of diabetes medication on symptom distress as a measure of health-related quality of life.

## References

1. Rubin RR, Peyrot M: Quality of life and diabetes. *Diabetes Metab Res Rev* 15:205–218, 1999

2. Testa MA, Simonson DC: Health economic benefits and quality of life during improved glycemic control in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus: a randomized, controlled, double-blind trial. *JAMA* 280:1490–1496, 1998
3. Dueck AC, Sloan JA: Meeting on the FDA draft guidance on patient-reported outcomes. *Value Health* 10 (Suppl. 2):S64–S65, 2007
4. Snijder MB, Dekker JM, Visser M, Bouter LM, Stehouwer CD, Yudkin JS, Heine RJ, Nijpels G, Seidell JC: Trunk fat and leg fat have independent and opposite associations with fasting and postload glucose levels: the Hoorn study. *Diabetes Care* 27: 372–377, 2004
5. Alberti KG, Zimmet PZ: Definition, diagnosis and classification of diabetes mellitus and its complications. Part 1. Diagnosis and classification of diabetes mellitus provisional report of a WHO consultation. *Diabet Med* 15:539–553, 1998
6. Rose G, McCartney P, Reid DD: Self-administration of a questionnaire on chest pain and intermittent claudication. *Br J Prev Soc Med* 31:42–48, 1977
7. Klein R, Klein BE, Magli YL, Brothers RJ, Meuer SM, Moss SE, Davis MD: An alternative method of grading diabetic retinopathy. *Ophthalmology* 93:1183–1187, 1986
8. Jager A, Kostense PJ, Nijpels G, Heine RJ, Bouter LM, Stehouwer CD: Microalbuminuria is strongly associated with NIDDM and hypertension, but not with the insulin resistance syndrome: the Hoorn Study. *Diabetologia* 41:694–700, 1998
9. Radloff LS: The CES-D scale: a self-report depression scale for research in the general population. *Appl Psychol Meas* 1:385–401, 1977
10. Grootenhuys PA, Snoek FJ, Heine RJ, Bouter LM: Development of a type 2 diabetes symptom checklist: a measure of symptom severity. *Diabet Med* 11:253–261, 1994
11. Ciechanowski PS, Katon WJ, Russo JE, Hirsch IB: The relationship of depressive symptoms to symptom reporting, self-care and glucose control in diabetes. *Gen Hosp Psychiatry* 25:246–252, 2003
12. Adriaanse M, Dekker J, Spijkerman AMW, Twisk JWR, Nijpels G, van der Ploeg H, Heine RJ, Snoek FJ: Diabetes-related symptoms and negative mood in participants of a targeted population-screening program for type 2 diabetes: the Hoorn Screening Study. *Qual Life Res* 14: 1501–1509, 2005
13. Golden SH, Lazo M, Carnethon M, Bertoni AG, Schreiner PJ, Roux AV, Lee HB, Lyketos C: Examining a bidirectional association between depressive symptoms and diabetes. *JAMA* 299:2751–2759, 2008