

This would represent one way of formally testing whether leukocytes were binding directly to platelet–UL–VWF strings in vivo.

An alternative hypothesis to explain elevated recruitment of leukocytes may be that the binding of platelets to UL–VWF strings over the surface of the endothelium serves to further activate the endothelium and induce the expression of cell surface adhesion molecules to which circulating leukocytes bind. This may be a more favorable possibility given the elevated numbers of macrophages in the lesions of *Adamts13*^{-/-}/*ApoE*^{-/-} double-deficient mice (compared with *ApoE*^{-/-} littermates), suggesting that the bound leukocytes are interacting with and transmigrating across the endothelium. Thus, in the absence of ADAMTS13, endothelial-bound UL–VWF strings mediate platelet recruitment to the arterial surface, which as a result deliver certain platelet chemokines and growth factors that provide an additional proinflammatory stimulus to the arterial wall (see figure). Testing such a hypothesis will, however, require careful experimental design.

A further question that arises from the studies of Gandhi et al is the dependency of ADAMTS13 concentration on the proinflammatory effects of UL–VWF strings. The authors compared *Adamts13*^{+/+} with *Adamts13*^{-/-} mice (and not *Adamts13*^{+/-} mice) on the *ApoE*^{-/-} background. Consequently, it remains unclear whether there is a linear relationship between ADAMTS13 concentration and VWF string-dependent leukocyte adhesion, or whether there is a threshold effect in which even very low ADAMTS13 concentrations can protect against the observed recruitment of leukocytes. In ADAMTS13-deficient mice, platelet-decorated UL–VWF strings can be visualized over the activated endothelium by intravital microscopy, whereas such strings seem to appear only transiently in *Adamts13*^{+/-} or *Adamts13*^{+/+} mice. A recent study by de Maeyer et al reported platelet–UL–VWF string survival time over the activated endothelium of ~ 5 seconds in *Adamts13*^{+/+}, whereas this was ~ 13 seconds in *Adamts13*^{-/-} mice.⁸ These results might suggest that even a small increase in lifetime of a platelet–UL–VWF string could potentially translate into a proinflammatory stimulus. Whether changes of platelet–UL–VWF string lifetime occur as a function of ADAMTS13 plasma concentration across the normal range in humans will be key to determining whether the proinflammatory ef-

fects of VWF strings are pertinent to the pathogenesis of human vascular diseases.

Although there is increasing evidence that ADAMTS13 plasma concentration is inversely correlated with the risk of both myocardial infarction and stroke,⁹ these data really measure the risk of an occlusive coronary or cerebral thrombotic event rather than the development of the vascular disease that might precipitate such clinical sequelae. Is there any clinical evidence, therefore, to support the involvement of VWF strings in atherogenesis or vascular disease? In a study by Srámek et al examining atherosclerotic plaque development in normal individuals and in patients with type 3 von Willebrand disease, the authors reported no difference in the plaque score between these groups, suggesting that plaque development occurs independently of VWF strings in humans.¹⁰ However, it must be considered that human atherosclerosis is a far more complex and multifactorial disease than the monogenic *ApoE*^{-/-} mouse model of atherosclerosis, which makes isolating the contribution of VWF strings to the progression of this disease in humans very difficult. Consequently, further studies will be necessary to better define any involvement of endothelial UL–VWF strings in human disease. This work by Gandhi et al provides an excellent setting to understand how modulation of VWF function by ADAMTS13 over the endothelial surface may influence the proinflammatory conditions in different vascular diseases.¹ This will also provide further clues as to how ADAMTS13 may impact on the vasculature in a manner distinct from its com-

paratively well-described role in modulating primary hemostasis.

Conflict-of-interest disclosure: The authors declare no competing financial interests. ■

REFERENCES

- Gandhi C, Khan MM, Lentz SR, Chauhan AK. ADAMTS13 reduces vascular inflammation and the development of early atherosclerosis in mice. *Blood*. 2012;119(10):2385–2391.
- Springer TA. Biology and physics of von Willebrand factor concatamers. *J Thromb Haemost*. 2011;9(suppl 1):130–143.
- Crawley JT, de Groot R, Xiang Y, Luken BM, Lane DA. Unraveling the scissile bond: how ADAMTS13 recognizes and cleaves von Willebrand factor. *Blood*. 2011;118(12):3212–3221.
- Zhang X, Halvorsen K, Zhang CZ, Wong WP, Springer TA. Mechanoenzymatic cleavage of the ultralarge vascular protein von Willebrand factor. *Science*. 2009;324(5932):1330–1334.
- Dong JF, Moake JL, Nolasco L, et al. ADAMTS-13 rapidly cleaves newly secreted ultralarge von Willebrand factor multimers on the endothelial surface under flowing conditions. *Blood*. 2002;100(12):4033–4039.
- Chauhan AK, Kisucka J, Brill A, Walsh MT, Scheiflinger F, Wagner DD. ADAMTS13: a new link between thrombosis and inflammation. *J Exp Med*. 2008;205(9):2065–2074.
- Bernardo A, Ball C, Nolasco L, Choi H, Moake JL, Dong JF. Platelets adhered to endothelial cell-bound ultralarge von Willebrand factor strings support leukocyte tethering and rolling under high shear stress. *J Thromb Haemost*. 2005;3(3):562–570.
- De Maeyer B, De Meyer SF, Feys HB, et al. The distal carboxyterminal domains of murine ADAMTS13 influence proteolysis of platelet-decorated VWF strings in vivo. *J Thromb Haemost*. 2010;8(10):2305–2312.
- Andersson HM, Siegerink B, Luken BM, et al. High VWF, low ADAMTS13 and oral contraceptives increase the risk of ischemic stroke and myocardial infarction in young women. *Blood*. 2012;119(6):1555–1560.
- Sramek A, Bucciarelli P, Federici AB, et al. Patients with type 3 severe von Willebrand disease are not protected against atherosclerosis: results from a multicenter study in 47 patients. *Circulation*. 2004;109(6):740–744.

● ● ● THROMBOSIS & HEMOSTASIS

Comment on Olson et al, page 2187

Killing 2 proteinases with 1 (dual-acting) stone

Sriram Krishnaswamy CHILDREN'S HOSPITAL OF PHILADELPHIA

In this issue of *Blood*, Olson et al establish the biochemical basis for the specificity and selectivity of a dual-action anticoagulant with the designed feature of rapid neutralization, making it extremely attractive for use in anticoagulated patients if surgery is warranted.¹

The endogenous inhibitory and anticoagulant reactions of antithrombin III, tissue factor pathway inhibitor, and activated protein

C highlight the effectiveness of targeting multiple steps in the blood coagulation cascade to limit the clotting response.² Olson et al apply

an analogous concept to inhibit both thrombin function and its formation with a bifunctional inhibitor targeting thrombin and factor Xa, the proteinase required for prothrombin activation. Although their approach mirrors the multireactant targeting strategy of endogenous regulation, the twist here is that the bifunctional EP217609 molecule inhibits its 2 targets by different mechanisms.

EP217609 contains a fondaparinux-like component fused through a spacer bearing a biotin moiety to a derivative of N- α -(2-naphthylsulfonylglycyl)-4-amidinophenylalanine piperidine (NAPAP). The fondaparinux-like functionality is intended to bind endogenous antithrombin III with high affinity and enhance the suicide inactivation of factor Xa.³ On the other hand, the NAPAP analog is intended to reversibly bind to the active site of thrombin and inhibit function.⁴ The biotin moiety provides a potential handle for the neutralization of the inhibitory properties of EP217609 by abstraction through high-affinity ligation with avidin. Olson et al's design draws on the established efficacy of heparin pentasaccharide derivatives as anticoagulants without many of the drawbacks of unfractionated heparin but also seeks to resolve the problem of "thrombin-rebound" associated with the cessation of heparin and pentasaccharide therapy.⁵

The cleverest of intentions in designing novel inhibitors can be laid to waste by the complexities of coagulation proteinase enzymology. For example, there is no reason to imagine that specificity, selectivity, or other beneficial features of the individual functional groups will be preserved in the fusion construct. There is also the question of whether the NAPAP-like moiety can effectively inhibit thrombin when EP217609 circulates bound to antithrombin III. Despite these qualifications, the approach seemingly works! A prior version of such a fusion-lacking biotin has shown to be a superior anticoagulant in comparison to its components in animal models of arterial and venous thrombosis and also to prevent "thrombin rebound."⁶ A phase 1 study has reported EP217609 to be well tolerated in healthy subjects with neutralization of its anticoagulant effects after the administration of avidin.⁷ The drug is now in phase 2 clinical trials for cardiopulmonary bypass.

In a second twist that runs counter to the typical course of anticoagulant development, it is only now that proper expertise has been applied to establish the biochemical basis for the

apparent efficacy of EP217609. Olson et al, leading investigators in proteinase, serpin, and heparin enzymology, present a thorough study of EP217609 and related compounds to provide the quantitative basis for its selectivity and the function of the components within the fusion construct. Unexpectedly, they find that incorporation of the NAPAP analog into the fusion increases its affinity for thrombin into the 30pM range, yielding an inhibitor that ranks among the highest affinity inhibitors known for this proteinase. High selectivity for thrombin is evident from its 1000-fold weaker binding constant for the next ranked proteinase of relevance to hemostasis. The fondaparinux-like functionality is also preserved, allowing EP217609 to bind antithrombin with nM affinity and act with similar selectivity to accelerate the inhibition of Xa over other proteinase targets. Importantly, they establish only modest deleterious linkage effects, within a 5-fold range, of ligation at one site in affecting the functionality of the second and vice versa. Finally, they also establish that the basis for inhibitor neutralization by avidin results from an approximately 100-fold decrease in thrombin inhibition and an approximately 30-fold decrease in its binding to antithrombin III. This study highlights the power of enzymology, done expertly, in establishing the basis for the

selectivity of this novel class of dual-action anticoagulants and provides the mechanistic foundation to show the way forward for their development as therapeutics.

Conflict-of-interest disclosure: The author declares no competing financial interests. ■

REFERENCES

1. Olson ST, Swanson R, Petitou M. Specificity and selectivity profile of EP217609: a new neutralizable dual-action anticoagulant that targets thrombin and factor Xa. *Blood*. 2012;119(10):2187-2195.
2. Mann KG. Thrombin formation. *Chest*. 2003;124(3S):4S-10S.
3. Olson ST, Björk I, Sheffer R, Craig PA, Shore JD, Choay J. Role of the antithrombin-binding pentasaccharide in heparin acceleration of antithrombin-proteinase reactions. Resolution of the antithrombin conformational change contribution to heparin rate enhancement. *J Biol Chem*. 1992;267(18):12528-12538.
4. Stürzebecher J, Prasa D, Hauptmann J, Vieweg H, Wikström P. Synthesis and structure-activity relationships of potent thrombin inhibitors: piperazines of 3-amidinophenylalanine. *J Med Chem*. 1997;40(19):3091-3099.
5. Lefkowitz J, Topol EJ. Direct thrombin inhibitors in cardiovascular medicine. *Circulation*. 1994;90(3):1522-1536.
6. Vogel GM, Meuleman DG, Van Dinther TG, Buijsman R, Princen AW, Smit MJ. Antithrombotic properties of a direct thrombin inhibitor with a prolonged half-life and AT-mediated factor Xa inhibitory activity. *J Thromb Haemost*. 2003;1(9):1945-1954.
7. Neuhart E, Gueret P, Krezel C, Fuseau E, Petitou M. Neutralizable parenteral anticoagulation: EP217609 and its specific antidote, avidin, in healthy subjects. A new paradigm? *J Thromb Haemost*. 2011;9(suppl 2):106.

● ● ● TRANSPLANTATION

Comment on Kanda et al, page 2409

Related or unrelated donor

John A. Hansen FRED HUTCHINSON CANCER RESEARCH CENTER

In this issue of *Blood*, Kanda et al have analyzed data from the Japanese Hematopoietic Cell Transplant Registry to address an important question about the risks associated with hematopoietic cell grafts from HLA 1-antigen mismatched related donors contrasted with 8 of 8 HLA allele matched unrelated donors.¹

The study reported by Kanda and colleagues addressed the question of whether a serologically defined 1-antigen HLA-A, B, or DRB mismatched related donor should be preferred to an "8/8" HLA-A, B, C, DR allele MUD. The study population consisted of 327 1-HLA antigen mismatched related donor transplant recipients and 452 8/8-HLA allele matched unrelated donor transplant recipients accessed through the Japanese Transplant Registry Unified Management Program (TRUMP), which includes data from the

Japan Society for Hematopoietic Cell Transplantation (JSHCT) and the Japan Marrow Donor Program (JMDP). Patients underwent transplantation for the treatment of acute myeloid leukemia, acute lymphoblastic leukemia, chronic myeloid leukemia, or myelodysplasia between January 2001 and December 2008. Patients received T cell-replete marrow or blood stem cells and 90% to 97% received a conventional cyclosporine- or Tacrolimus-based regimen for GVHD prophylaxis. The related and unrelated cases differed for certain