



Expand your research with confidence
BD Horizon™ Human T Cell Backbone Panel
Flexible and pre-optimized for easier panel design

LEARN MORE



The Journal of Immunology

RESEARCH ARTICLE | APRIL 01 2008

Adenoviral Expression of Suppressor of Cytokine Signaling-1 Reduces Adenovirus Vector-Induced Innate Immune Responses¹ ✓

Haruna Sakurai; ... et. al

J Immunol (2008) 180 (7): 4931–4938.

<https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.180.7.4931>

Related Content

Adenovirus Vector-Induced IL-6 Promotes Leaky Adenoviral Gene Expression, Leading to Acute Hepatotoxicity

J Immunol (January,2021)

SOCS1 Regulates the IFN but Not NFκB Pathway in TLR-Stimulated Human Monocytes and Macrophages

J Immunol (December,2008)

Repeated Administration of Adenoviral Vectors in Lungs of Human CD4 Transgenic Mice Treated with a Nondepleting CD4 Antibody

J Immunol (July,1999)

Adenoviral Expression of Suppressor of Cytokine Signaling-1 Reduces Adenovirus Vector-Induced Innate Immune Responses¹

Haruna Sakurai,*[†] Katsuhisa Tashiro,*[†] Kenji Kawabata,* Tomoko Yamaguchi,*[†]
Fuminori Sakurai,* Shinsaku Nakagawa,[†] and Hiroyuki Mizuguchi^{2*†}

Adenovirus (Ad) vectors are among the most commonly used viral vectors in gene therapy clinical trials. However, the application of Ad vectors has been limited to local injection in many cases, because the systemic administration of Ad vectors triggers innate immune responses such as inflammatory cytokine production and tissue damage. To overcome this limitation, it will be necessary to develop safer Ad vectors less likely to induce the innate immune response. In the present study, we demonstrated that a suppressor of cytokine signaling-1 (SOCS1)-expressing Ad vector, Ad-SOCS1, reduces the innate immune response induced by Ad vectors. RAW264.7-SOCS1, a macrophage-like cell line that stably expresses SOCS1, was shown to produce lower levels of inflammatory cytokines after the transduction of Ad vectors. The systemic administration of Ad-SOCS1 into mice elicited the reduced production of inflammatory cytokines, as compared with that elicited by control Ad vectors, i.e., luciferase-expressing Ad vector, Ad-L2. Furthermore, the coadministration of Ad-L2 with Ad-SOCS1 attenuated inflammatory cytokine production and liver toxicity as compared with injection with Ad-L2 alone, and this was achieved without the suppression of luciferase production in various organs. The JAK/STAT pathway was involved in Ad vector-mediated cytokine production, which was impaired by the overexpression of SOCS1. These findings indicate that Ad-SOCS1 could be useful for reducing Ad vector-mediated innate immunity. *The Journal of Immunology*, 2008, 180: 4931–4938.

Adenovirus (Ad)³ vectors are known to be a highly promising gene transfer system for in vitro and in vivo application, and thus are widely used not only in clinical trials, but also for basic research. However, the application of Ad vectors has been limited to the local injection delivery system (e.g., intratumoral injection) due to the concomitant induction of both innate and adaptive immune responses (1–3). The adaptive immune response against Ad vectors occurs a few weeks after the injection, and is characterized by elevated amounts of anti-Ad Abs and the induction of CTLs against Ad proteins and/or transgene products, which leads to multiorgan damage, in particular in the liver (4–6). Clarification of the molecular mechanism in adaptive immunity has been achieved with Ad vectors, and certain vectors have been developed to overcome these limitations, e.g., the helper-dependent (gutted) Ad vector, which deletes all of the viral protein-coding sequences. The humoral virus-neutralizing Ab re-

sponses against the Ad capsid itself are the other limitations, preventing transgene expression upon the subsequent administration of vectors of the same serotype. Because hexons are mainly targeted by neutralizing Abs, hexon modification has been reported to allow for escape from neutralizing Abs (7). The Ad vectors belonging to types of the subgroup other than Ad type 5 or to species other than human (e.g., Ad types 11 and 35, and chimpanzee Ad) have been developed (8–12). As regards the innate immune response elicited by Ad vectors, it is characterized by an acute production of inflammatory cytokines/chemokines, such as IL-6 and IL-12; tissue damage occurs within several hours after the injection of Ad vectors. Splenic dendritic cells (DCs), especially conventional DCs (cDCs), are responsible for the Ad vector-mediated production of inflammatory cytokines/chemokines, leading to the activation of an innate immune response (2, 13–16). Unlike that of adaptive immunity, the molecular mechanism of innate immunity elicited by Ad vectors is not well-understood (2–4). Thus, it remains important to clarify the mechanism of the innate immune response by Ad vectors, as well to develop advanced Ad vectors that will produce less of an innate immune response.

The suppressor of cytokine signaling-1 (SOCS1) was initially identified as an intracellular negative-feedback molecule that inhibits the JAK-STAT activation initiated by various cytokines, including IFN- γ , IL-6, IL-4, and IL-12 (17–19). Although SOCS1-deficient (knockout (KO)) mice are normal at birth, they show stunted growth and die within 3 wk of age due to a syndrome characterized by severe lymphopenia, activation of peripheral T cells, fatty degeneration, and necrosis of the liver, as well as macrophage infiltration of the major organs (20, 21). These pathological alterations are reduced in IFN- γ -deficient SOCS1 KO mice (22, 23). SOCS1 in DCs negatively regulates antigenic peptide presentation and cytokine production in response to stimulation with cytokines and microbial products (24, 25). Moreover, the

*Laboratory of Gene Transfer and Regulation, National Institute of Biomedical Innovation, Ibaraki, Osaka, Japan; and [†]Graduate School of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Osaka University, Suita, Osaka, Japan

Received for publication December 4, 2007. Accepted for publication January 27, 2008.

The costs of publication of this article were defrayed in part by the payment of page charges. This article must therefore be hereby marked *advertisement* in accordance with 18 U.S.C. Section 1734 solely to indicate this fact.

¹ This work was supported by grants from the Ministry of Health, Labor, and Welfare of Japan. T.Y. is the Research Fellow of the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science.

² Address correspondence and reprint requests to Dr. Hiroyuki Mizuguchi, Laboratory of Gene Transfer and Regulation, National Institute of Biomedical Innovation, 7-6-8 Saito-Asagi, Ibaraki, Osaka 567-0085, Japan. E-mail address: mizuguch@nibio.go.jp

³ Abbreviations used in this paper: Ad, adenovirus; DC, dendritic cell; cDC, conventional DC; SOCS1, suppressor of cytokine signaling-1; GPT, glutamate pyruvate transaminase; VP, viral particle; DN, dominant negative; KO, knockout; pDC, plasmacytoid DC.

suppression of LPS-induced innate immunity by SOCS1 has been reported by several groups (26–28), indicating that SOCS1 negatively regulates both adaptive immunity and innate immunity.

In the present study, the Ad vector-mediated innate immune response was successfully reduced not only by injection of Ad-SOCS1 alone, but also by coinjection of transgene-expressing Ad vectors with Ad-SOCS1. We also found that JAK/STAT signaling is involved in the inflammatory cytokine production induced by Ad vectors. Thus, Ad-SOCS1 might be a useful tool for attenuating innate immunity in the context of systemic administration of transgene-expressing Ad vectors.

Materials and Methods

Animals and cell lines

C57BL/6 female mice aged 6–8 wk were obtained from Nippon SLC, and all animals were maintained under specific pathogen-free conditions. The mouse macrophage cell line, RAW264.7, were cultured in DMEM containing 10% FCS. RAW-STAT5DN cells (mutated RAW264.7 cells that stably express the dominant-negative (DN) mutant of STAT5) and RAW-neo cells were cultured in RPMI 1640 containing 10% FCS (both cell lines were donated by Dr. T. Naka, National Institute of Biomedical Innovation, Ibaraki, Osaka, Japan).

RAW264.7 transfectant cells

For the construction of the mouse SOCS1-expressing plasmid (pIRESneo-SOCS1), SOCS1 cDNA (provided by Dr. A. Yoshimura, Kyushu University, Fukuoka, Japan) was inserted into the multicloning site of pIRESneo (BD Clontech). RAW264.7 cells were transfected with pIRESneo-SOCS1 or pIRESneo (control plasmid) using Fugene 6 (Roche). Monoclonal RAW264.7-SOCS1 or RAW264.7-neo cells were obtained by geneticine (G418) selection. The expression of SOCS1 in the RAW264.7-SOCS1 cells was confirmed by Western blot analysis (see Fig. 2A).

Ad vectors

Two luciferase-expressing Ad vectors, Ad-L2 and AdRGD-L2, were constructed and generated as described previously (29). The CMV promoter-driven luciferase expression cassette, in which the luciferase gene was derived from the pGL3-Control (Promega), was inserted into the E1 deletion region of the Ad genome. Ad-L2 contains wild-type fibers, whereas AdRGD-L2 contains mutant fibers consisting of the (Arg-Gly-Asp) (RGD) peptide, which has high affinity for integrins, in the HI loop of the fiber knob. AdRGD-L2 exhibits efficient transduction, even in cells that do not express coxsackievirus and adenovirus receptor, CAR (29). Ad-SOCS1, the SOCS1-expressing Ad vector, was constructed by an improved *in vitro* ligation method, as described previously (30). Briefly, mSOCS1 cDNA was cloned into a multicloning site of pHMCMV10, which contains the CMV promoter/enhancer and intron A sequences flanked by the *I-CeuI* and *PI-SceI* sites, thus yielding pHMCMV10-mSOCS1. pAdHM4-SOCS1 was constructed by ligation of *I-CeuI/PI-SceI*-digested pAdHM4 and *I-CeuI/PI-SceI*-digested pHMCMV10-mSOCS1. To generate the virus, pAdHM4-SOCS1 was digested with *PacI* and purified by phenol-chloroform extraction and ethanol precipitation. Linearized DNAs were transfected into 293 cells with SuperFect (Qiagen) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The viruses were amplified in 293 cells. Before virus purification, cell lysates were centrifuged to remove cell debris and were digested 30 min at 37°C with 200 μ g/ml DNase I and 200 μ g/ml RNase A in the presence of 10 mM MgCl₂. Viruses were purified by CsCl₂ step gradient ultracentrifugation followed by CsCl₂ linear gradient ultracentrifugation. The purified viruses were dialyzed against a solution containing 10 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.5), 1 mM MgCl₂, and 10% glycerol and were stored at –80°C. Viral particle (VP) and biological titers were determined by a spectrophotometrical method (31) and by using an Adeno-X Rapid Titer kit (BD Clontech), respectively. The ratios of the biological-to-particle titer were 1:8, 1:8, 1:12, 1:7, and 1:11 for Ad-L2, AdRGD-L2, Ad-SOCS1, Ad-GFP1, and Ad-null, respectively.

Ad vector-mediated transduction *in vivo*

Ad vectors (5 \times 10¹⁰ VP/mouse) were injected into C57BL/6 mice via the tail vein. The heart, lung, liver, kidney, spleen, and peripheral blood were subsequently isolated at the appropriate times. The organs were then homogenized with a hand-held homogenizer in lysis buffer (0.05% Triton X-100, 2 mM EDTA, 0.1 M Tris-HCl (pH 7.8)). After the samples were frozen and thawed, the homogenates were centrifuged at 15,000 \times g at 4°C




FIGURE 1. SOCS1 expression in Ad vector-transduced RAW264.7 cells. Total mRNA samples were isolated from RAW264.7 cells 6 h after the transduction of Ad-L2 or AdRGD-L2 (10,000 VP/cell). After the reverse transcriptase reaction, SOCS1 and IL-6 mRNA levels were measured by semiquantitative RT-PCR assay.

for 10 min, and the supernatants were collected. Luciferase production in the supernatants was determined by using a luciferase assay system (PicaGene 5500; Toyo Ink). The protein content was measured with a BCA protein assay (Pierce) using BSA as a standard.

Cytokine measurement

RAW264.7 cells (1 \times 10⁵ cells) seeded on 24-well plates were pretreated with IFN- γ (200 ng/ml) overnight and the cells were then washed with medium. After treatment with JAK2 inhibitor II (50 μ M; Calbiochem) for 1 h, the cells were stimulated with 10,000 VP/cell of Ad vector for 24 h, and the cultured medium was then collected. RAW264.7-SOCS1, RAW264.7-neo, RAW-STAT5DN, and RAW-neo cells (5–10 \times 10⁴ cells) were seeded on 24-well plates and pretreated with IFN- γ (200 ng/ml) overnight and the cells were then washed with medium. The cells were then stimulated with 10,000 VP/cell of Ad vector for 24 h, and the cultured medium was collected. Blood samples were collected via the inferior vena cava or the fundus oculi at the indicated times after the *i.v.* injection of Ad vectors, followed by placement of the samples on ice for 2–3 h for serum collection. The levels of IL-6, IL-12p40, and TNF- α in the culture medium and serum were measured using an ELISA kit (R&D Research Systems) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The concentrations of other cytokines and chemokines were measured with Bio-plex (Bio-Rad). Glutamate pyruvate transaminase (GPT) activity in the serum was measured using the Transaminase CII test (Wako).

Preparation of paraffin sections of liver

At 24 h following injection, mice were sacrificed and their livers were collected. Each liver was washed, fixed in 10% formalin, and embedded in paraffin. After sectioning, the tissue was dewaxed in ethanol, rehydrated,




FIGURE 2. Ad vector-mediated induction of cytokine production in RAW264.7-SOCS1 cells. The expression of SOCS1 in RAW264.7-SOCS1 cells was detected by Western blot analysis (A). IFN- γ -activated RAW264.7-neo cells and RAW264.7-SOCS1 cells were stimulated by Ad-L2 or AdRGD-L2 (10,000 VP/cell) for 24 h. The concentration of IL-6 and TNF- α in the cultured supernatants was measured by ELISA (B). All data are represented as the means \pm SD ($n = 3$).




FIGURE 3. Inflammatory cytokine production after the systemic administration of Ad-L2 or Ad-SOCS1 into mice. Ad-L2 or Ad-SOCS1 (5×10^{10} VP/mouse) was i.v. injected into mice. Six hours later, blood samples were collected from the inferior vena cava, and the concentrations of IL-6 and IL-12 in the serum was measured by ELISA (A). The concentrations of IL-1 β , IL-10, TNF- α , RANTES, and MCP-1 in serum of Ad vector-injected mice were measured by Bio-plex (B). All data are represented as the means \pm SD ($n = 3-4$).

and stained with H&E. This process was commissioned to Applied Medical Research Laboratory (Osaka, Japan).

Western blot analysis

The organs or cells were homogenized with lysis buffer (organ: PBS containing 1% Triton X-100 and 2 mM EDTA; cells: 1% Nonidet P-40, 1 mM EDTA, 25 mM Tris-HCl, 5 mM NaF, and 150 mM NaCl) containing protease inhibitor mixture (Sigma-Aldrich). After being frozen and thawed, the homogenates were centrifuged at $15,000 \times g$ at 4°C for 10 min, and the supernatants were collected. The lysates were subjected to SDS-PAGE on 15% polyacrylamide gel and were then transferred onto polyvinylidene fluoride membranes (Millipore). After the reaction was blocked with 5% skim milk in TBS containing 0.1% Tween 20 at room temperature for 1 h, the membranes were incubated with rabbit anti-SOCS1 Ab (diluted 1/20; Immuno-Biological Laboratories), mouse anti- α -tubulin Ab (diluted 1/200; Santa Cruz Biotechnology), or mouse anti- β actin Ab (diluted 1/5000; Sigma-Aldrich) at 4°C overnight, followed by reaction with HRP-conjugated anti-rabbit IgG or anti-mouse IgG (Cell Signaling Technology) at room temperature for 1 h. The band was visualized by ECL Plus Western blotting detection reagents (GE Healthcare) and the signals were read using a LAS-3000 imaging system (FUJI Film).

In vitro luciferase assay

RAW264.7 cells (1×10^5 cells) seeded on 24-well plates were pretreated with IFN- γ (200 ng/ml) for overnight and the cells were then washed with medium. After treatment with JAK2 inhibitor II (50 μM ; Calbiochem) for 1 h, the cells were stimulated with 10,000 VP/cell of the Ad vectors for

24 h and were then washed with PBS. Cells were incubated with 100 μl of cell lysis buffer (LC- β ; Toyo Ink) for 15 min and were then scraped off the plates using a cell scraper. After being frozen and thawed, the cell lysates were centrifuged at $15,000 \times g$ at 4°C for 10 min, and the supernatants were collected. Luciferase production in each supernatant was determined using a luciferase assay system (PicaGene 5500; Toyo Ink).

Flow cytometric analysis of transgene expression in Ad vector-transduced cDCs

Six hours following the i.v. injection of Ad-GFP1 (5×10^{10} VP/mouse), the mice were sacrificed and the spleens were collected. After the hemolysis, splenic cells were stained with PE-labeled monoclonal anti-mouse CD11c Ab (BD Biosciences) and allophycocyanin-labeled monoclonal anti-mouse B220 Ab (BD Biosciences) in the presence of anti-CD16/32 to block any nonspecific binding. The stained cells were washed and analyzed by FACSCanto (BD Biosciences), followed by analysis using CellQuest software (BD Biosciences).

Results

Ad vector-mediated inflammatory cytokine production in macrophages was suppressed by the overexpression of SOCS1

Transduction of Ad vectors into immune cells such as macrophages and DCs induces the production of inflammatory cytokines (14), although the molecular mechanism is not well-understood. Recently, we performed a DNA microarray analysis of the liver

and spleen of Ad vector-injected mice and found that SOCS1 was up-regulated in the liver of Ad vector-injected mice (our unpublished data). Because SOCS1 has been shown to negatively regulate the immune response of macrophages in pathological stimulation (28, 32), we hypothesized that SOCS1 might suppress Ad vector-mediated inflammatory cytokine production in immune cells. Initially, we examined the induction of SOCS1 mRNA expression after the transduction of Ad vectors into immune cells. We selected a mouse macrophage-like cell line, RAW264.7, as a model of immune cells, because this cell line is commonly used in studies of innate immune responses to pathogens (28, 33). We used the luciferase-expressing Ad vector containing the RGD peptide in the HI loop of the fiber knob (AdRGD-L2) (29) as well as the luciferase-expressing conventional Ad vector (Ad-L2) for in vitro analysis. AdRGD-L2 transduces well even into macrophages that do not express coxsackievirus and adenovirus receptor, CAR, via interaction between the RGD peptide and α_v integrin on the cells. AdRGD-L2 induces a stronger immune response than does the conventional Ad vector when immune cells lacking CAR expression are treated with the same dose of Ad vectors. Six hours after the transduction of AdRGD-L2, the up-regulation of IL-6 mRNA was detected in RAW264.7 cells, indicating that the transduction of AdRGD-L2 elicited the innate immune response in RAW264.7 cells (Fig. 1). The up-regulation of SOCS1 mRNA was also detected. However, no up-regulation of either gene was detected in RAW264.7 cells transduced with Ad-L2 most likely because Ad-L2 inefficiently transduces RAW264.7 cells lacking CAR expression. These results suggest that the immune signal activated by Ad vectors might induce SOCS1 to negatively regulate the immune response.

To examine the suppressive effect of SOCS1 on Ad vector-mediated inflammatory cytokine production in RAW264.7 cells, we next established RAW264.7 cells that constitutively express SOCS1 (RAW264.7-SOCS1) (Fig. 2A) and observed Ad vector-mediated cytokine production in these cells (Fig. 2B). The RAW264.7 cells were pretreated with IFN- γ , because no cytokine production was detected by ELISA in the absence of IFN- γ . After the 24 h transduction of Ad vectors in IFN- γ -pretreated RAW264.7 cells, the levels of IL-6 and TNF- α were significantly reduced by the overexpression of SOCS1 (Fig. 2B). It has been reported that although SOCS1 suppresses IL-6 production in RAW264.7 cells treated with LPS, it does not suppress the production of other cytokines, including TNF- α (28). These results suggest that SOCS1 inhibits Ad vector-mediated inflammatory cytokine production in RAW264.7 cells and that the immune signal activated by Ad vector transduction in RAW264.7 cells might differ from that activated by LPS.

SOCS1-expressing Ad vector suppresses Ad vector-mediated innate immune response

In animals, the systemic administration of Ad vectors results in the initiation of a strong innate immune response and inflammation (2), and this toxicity limits the utility of Ad vectors for gene therapy. Because SOCS1 suppresses the inflammatory cytokine production by Ad vectors in vitro, we expected that SOCS1 might suppress the in vivo innate immune response triggered by Ad vectors. To test this hypothesis, we injected the SOCS1-expressing Ad vector, Ad-SOCS1, i.v. into mice, and the serum levels of IL-6 and IL-12 at 6 h postinjection were examined. We could not detect any type I IFNs at early time points (1–6 h) after the injection (data not shown). The complete elimination of IL-6 was observed in the serum of Ad-SOCS1-injected mice 6 h postinjection, while only a partial elimination of IL-12 was observed in the serum of Ad-SOCS1-injected mice (Fig. 3A). The Ad vector-mediated induction




FIGURE 4. Transgene expression in the liver and spleen by the systemic administration of Ad vectors. Ad-SOCS1-mediated SOCS1 expression in the liver and the spleen was examined at 1, 2, 3, and 6 h after i.v. injection of Ad vectors, as determined by Western blotting (A). Luciferase production in the liver and the spleen was examined at 1, 2, 3, and 6 h after i.v. injection of Ad-L2, as determined by luciferase assay (B).

of MCP-1, RANTES, and TNF- α was also suppressed by the expression of SOCS1; however, the production of IL-1 β was not inhibited (Fig. 3B). We did not detect any induction of IL-10 in the serum of Ad vector-injected mice at 6 h postinjection.

We previously demonstrated that cytokines are mainly produced in the spleen after the systemic injection of Ad vectors (13). Because the suppressive effect of SOCS1 in Ad vector-mediated cytokine production in vivo was observed 6 h after the injection, Ad vector-mediated SOCS1 expression must occur before the 6 h postinjection time point. Thus, we performed a Western blot analysis to examine the expression of SOCS1 in the liver and the spleen of Ad vector-injected mice at early time points (Fig. 4A). The liver and the spleen were selected because Ad vector-mediated transgene expression mainly occurs in the liver (34), while Ad vector-induced cytokine production mainly occurs in the spleen (13). We also examined the luciferase production of Ad-L2-injected mice at time points earlier than 6 h postinjection, because luciferase assay is much more sensitive than is Western blot analysis. SOCS1 expression was almost negligible until 3 h postinjection by Western blot analysis (Fig. 4A); however, luciferase production by Ad-L2 was detected even 1 h after the injection (Fig. 4B), indicating that Ad vector-mediated SOCS1 expression could potentially occur at least 1 h after the injection although expression levels of SOCS1 were below the Western blot detection limit. A faint band showing SOCS1 expression was also detected in the spleen at 6 h following Ad-L2 injection (Fig. 4A). We previously revealed that splenic cDCs mainly produce inflammatory cytokines in response to the systemic injection of Ad vectors (13). It is possible that Ad-SOCS1 mediates SOCS1 expression in splenic cDCs and reduces cytokine production via the systemic injection of Ad vectors. To confirm this possibility, we examined the cell type of splenic cells transduced with Ad vectors in the spleens of Ad vector-injected mice. GFP-expressing Ad vectors, Ad-GFP1,

Table I. The percentage of GFP-positive cells in various types of splenic cells in Ad-GFP1-injected mice^a

Cell Type	% of GFP ⁺ Cells	
	Mock	Ad-GFP1
cDCs (CD11c ^{high} , B220 ⁻)	0.17 ± 0.29	13.02 ± 1.67*
Plasmacytoid DC (pDCs) (CD11c ^{med} , B220 ⁺)	0.03 ± 0.06	2.66 ± 1.11*
B cell (CD11c ⁻ , B220 ⁺)	0.00 ± 0.00	0.12 ± 0.13
Others	0.00 ± 0.00	1.64 ± 0.39


^a Ad-GFP1 (5 × 10¹⁰ VP/mouse) was i.v. injected into mice. After 6 h postinjection, the splenic cells were collected and stained with PE-labeled monoclonal anti-mouse CD11c Ab and allophycocyanin-labeled monoclonal anti-mouse B220 Ab. The cells were then subjected to flow cytometric analysis. All data are represented as the means ± SD (n = 5). *, p < 0.01 vs Mock.

were i.v. injected into the mice, and FACS analysis was performed. At 6 h postinjection of Ad-GFP1, 13.2% of the splenic cDCs expressed GFP (Table I), suggesting that Ad vector-mediated SOCS1 expression in splenic cDCs does suppress the Ad vector-mediated innate immune response *in vivo*.

We next hypothesized that the coinjection of Ad-L2 with Ad-SOCS1 might inhibit the innate immune response while retaining

luciferase production. To examine this possibility, we injected mixed Ad vectors which were created by mixing Ad-L2 and Ad-SOCS1 at a VP ratio of 1:9, and the production of inflammatory cytokines was measured. We used Ad-null, a transgene-deficient Ad vector, as a control vector. At 6 h after the injection of the mixed Ad vectors, IL-6 levels were completely diminished when Ad-L2 was coinjected with Ad-SOCS1, whereas the levels of IL-12 were only partially reduced (Fig. 5A). These data are similar to those obtained with Ad-SOCS1-only-injected mice (Fig. 3A). Importantly, luciferase production in the organs was maintained at high levels, even with the coinjection of Ad-SOCS1; these results were similar to those observed in the control group, in which mice were injected with Ad-null and Ad-L2, although in the kidney and spleen, luciferase production was somewhat reduced (Fig. 5B). We also examined the suppressive effects of SOCS1 in Ad vector-induced liver damage, because liver damage is to some extent caused by the Ad vector-induced innate immune response (13). Therefore, GPT activity in the serum was measured. Coinjection of Ad-L2 with Ad-null led to high levels of serum GPT activity at 24 h postinjection, while that of Ad-L2 with Ad-SOCS1 did not induce significant GPT activity (Fig. 5C). Histological analysis showed severe damages, including cell death, in the livers from Ad-L2 with Ad-null-coinjected mice, whereas less liver damage

FIGURE 5. Inflammatory cytokine production, luciferase production, and liver toxicity after the systemic coinjection of Ad-L2 and Ad-SOCS1 into mice. Ad-L2/Ad-null or Ad-L2/Ad-SOCS1 were i.v. coinjected into mice (Ad-L2: Ad-SOCS1 = 1:9; total 5 × 10¹⁰ VP/mouse). Blood samples were collected from the fundus oculi at 6 (A) or 24 h (C) after injection. The heart, lungs, kidneys, liver, and spleen were harvested at 24 h (B and D) following the injection. A, The concentrations of IL-6 and IL-12 in the serum were measured by ELISA. B, Luciferase production in each organ was measured using a luciferase assay system. C, GPT levels in the serum were measured using a Transaminase CII kit. D, Paraffin sections of the livers were prepared, and each section was stained with H&E. The arrowheads indicate dead cells. All data are represented as the means ± SD (n = 3).






FIGURE 6. JAK2 inhibitor II suppresses the Ad vector-mediated cytokine production in RAW264.7 cells. RAW264.7 cells pretreated with IFN- γ were incubated with JAK2 inhibitor II (50 μ M) for 1 h and the cells were stimulated by Ad vectors for 24 h. *A*, The concentrations of IL-6 and TNF- α in the cultured supernatants were measured by ELISA. *B*, Luciferase production in the cells was examined using a luciferase assay system. All data are represented as the means \pm SD ($n = 3$).

was detected in the livers from Ad-L2 with Ad-SOCS1-coinjected mice (Fig. 5D). These results indicate that Ad-SOCS1 suppresses not only the innate immune response itself induces, but also that induced by the coinjected Ad vector.

Involvement of JAK-STAT signaling in macrophage activation

To date, the molecular mechanism of the Ad vector-mediated innate immune response has remained unclear. JAK-STAT signaling is expected to participate in the Ad vector-induced innate immune response, because JAK proteins are the target of SOCS1 (18, 19). We examined cytokine production in Ad vector-transduced RAW264.7 cells under the condition that JAK2 autophosphorylation was inhibited by JAK2 inhibitor II, which is known to be the tyrosine kinase inhibitor specific for JAK2 (35) (Fig. 6A). IFN- γ -stimulated RAW264.7 cells were transduced by Ad vectors with or without preincubation with JAK2 inhibitor II, and then inflammatory cytokine levels in the cultured medium were measured. The levels of IL-6 and TNF- α were significantly reduced with the inhibition of JAK2 autophosphorylation by JAK2 inhibitor II (Fig. 6A). Reduced cytokine production in Ad vector-transduced RAW264.7 cells with the inhibition of JAK2 autophosphorylation was also observed at the mRNA level (data not shown). Luciferase production in the cells was unchanged with the inhibition of JAK2 autophosphorylation (Fig. 6B), indicating that Ad vector-mediated immune cell activation does not affect the transduction efficiency of Ad vectors. These results suggest that JAK2 is indeed involved in the innate immune response by Ad vectors.

Because STAT proteins are activated by JAK in various types of cytokine signaling (36), we investigated the involvement of STAT in Ad vector-activated signaling. We speculated that STAT5 might




FIGURE 7. Ad vector-mediated induction of cytokine production and luciferase production in RAW264.7-STAT5DN cells. IFN- γ -activated RAW-neo cells and RAW-STAT5DN cells were stimulated by Ad-L2 or AdRGD-L2 (10,000 VP/cell) for 24 h. The concentration of IL-6 in the cultured supernatants was measured by ELISA (*A*). All data are represented as the means \pm SD ($n = 3$).

be a molecule downstream of Ad vector-activated JAK2, because JAK2/STAT5 signaling is reported to be involved in IL-6 production triggered by LPS (28). To examine the involvement of STAT5 in cytokine production induced by Ad vectors, RAW264.7 cells expressing a STAT5 DN mutant (RAW-STAT5DN) were transduced by Ad vectors. When STAT5DN was overexpressed, IL-6 production induced by Ad vectors was significantly eliminated (Fig. 7). These findings indicate that the JAK2/STAT5 pathway is involved in the cytokine production by Ad vectors.

Discussion

The major limitation of the use of Ad vectors as gene therapy vectors is the innate immune response thereby elicited, which causes inflammatory cytokine production and tissue damage; however, the precise mechanism of this induction remains to be clarified. In this study, we found that SOCS1 suppresses the *in vitro* and *in vivo* production of inflammatory cytokines by Ad vectors. Furthermore, coinjection with Ad-SOCS1 enabled a successful reduction in the innate immune response *in vivo* while retaining the transduction efficiency of the transgene. Thus, Ad-SOCS1 is expected to be useful for attenuating the innate immune response induced by the systemic administration of Ad vectors.

Because SOCS1 is known to be a negative regulator of LPS-induced innate immunity as well as being a cytokine signal (17–19, 28, 33), we speculated that SOCS1 might suppress Ad vector-induced inflammatory cytokine production. However, we expected that the Ad-SOCS1-mediated expression of SOCS1 might occur too late for the successful inhibition of cytokine production, because it appeared that Ad vector-induced acute inflammatory cytokine production would take place earlier than Ad-SOCS1-mediated SOCS1 expression. However, a substantial reduction in cytokine production was observed when Ad-SOCS1 was used for the injection (Fig. 3). We and other groups have previously reported that the spleen is responsible for the Ad vector-induced production of inflammatory cytokines (13, 16). To determine whether Ad-SOCS1-mediated SOCS1 expression occurs before the induction of cytokine production, we examined the time course of luciferase (and SOCS1) expression in the spleens of Ad-L2-injected mice. We found that Ad vector-mediated luciferase expression in the spleen starts within 1 h postinjection (Fig. 4B). Interestingly, at 1 h after the injection of Ad-L2, luciferase production in the spleen was greater than that in the liver, whereas luciferase production in the liver was 1 order of magnitude higher than in the spleen 6 h after the injection (Fig. 4B). The transduction of Ad vectors in immune cells might occur earlier than that in other cells, resulting in the prevention of acute inflammatory cytokine production. A previous report also indicated that splenic cDCs

produce inflammatory cytokines via the transduction of Ad vectors in vivo (13, 14). Our results showed that ~13% of the splenic cDCs collected from Ad-GFP1-injected mice expressed GFP (Table I). Although we were unable to detect GFP expression in the remaining 85% of the splenic cDCs by FACS analysis, a small amount of SOCS1 was expressed in the splenic cDCs of Ad-SOCS1-injected mice, which could suffice for the suppression of Ad vector-induced inflammatory cytokine production. Taken together, these results indicate that Ad-SOCS1-mediated SOCS1 expression in splenic cDCs inhibits inflammatory cytokine production. Even though the Ad vector-mediated induction of IL-6 was completely eliminated by Ad-SOCS1 (Figs. 3A and 5A), only partial suppression of the induction of other cytokines (IL-1 β , IL-12, and TNF- α) and chemokines (RANTES and MCP-1) by Ad-SOCS1 was detected (Fig. 3). These findings suggest that there are several signal pathways for the production of cytokines/chemokines by Ad vectors, and that differences in these pathways are due to their respective cytokine/chemokine dependence. Although SOCS1 was reported to negatively regulate the adaptive immunity by suppressing Ag presentation in DCs, no suppression of anti-Ad Ab production by Ad-SOCS1 was observed. It might be due to the partial suppression of inflammatory cytokine production other than IL-6.

The molecular mechanism of immune cell activation following the transduction of Ad vectors remains to be elucidated (2–4). Because we observed a reduction in cytokine production in Ad vector-transduced RAW264.7 cells by the overexpression of SOCS1, we predicted that the JAK/STAT signal might be involved in Ad vector-activated immune signaling. The activation of JAK2 leads to the production of IL-6 in LPS stimulation which is impaired by the overexpression of SOCS1 (28, 33). In this study, we found that the inhibition of JAK2 autophosphorylation by JAK2 inhibitor II results in a reduction in the Ad vector-mediated production of IL-6 and TNF- α (Fig. 6A). Moreover, the overexpression of the DN mutant of STAT5 resulted in the elimination of Ad vector-mediated IL-6 production in RAW264.7 cells (Fig. 7). These results suggest that the JAK2/STAT5 pathway is involved in the Ad vector-mediated activation of immune cells, which is inhibited by SOCS1.

We previously demonstrated that Ad vector-induced IL-6 plays an important role in the liver toxicity induced by the systemic administration of Ad vectors (13). Ad vector-mediated liver damage was avoided by coinjection of Ad-L2 with Ad-SOCS1 (Fig. 5, C and D). The liver damage caused by the effects of Ad vectors has been reported as occurring via two major pathways: 1) the activation of infiltrating lymphocytes by cytokines such as IL-6 (13), and 2) the activation and the cell death of Kupffer cells by Ad vectors (37, 38). In the first scenario, Ad-induced cytokine/chemokine production (especially that of IL-6) recruits immune effector cells (neutrophils, monocytes/macrophages, and NK cells) into Ad-transduced cells (mainly in the liver), resulting in acute hepatic toxicity. Because coinjection with Ad-SOCS1 eliminated IL-6 production, the activation of lymphocytes infiltrating into the liver might have been inhibited, resulting in the absence of liver damage. Moreover, our results indicate that Ad vector-induced IL-6 and TNF- α production in RAW264.7 cells was impaired by the overexpression of SOCS1 (Fig. 2B). These results suggest that SOCS1 also prevents Kupffer cells from undergoing Ad vector-induced activation and cell death.

Another important finding is that transgene (luciferase) expression by coinjected Ad vector is not disturbed by Ad-SOCS1 (Fig. 5B). We addressed the suppression of cytokine production by coinjecting Ad-SOCS1 with only a ninth the amount of Ad-L2 (Fig. 5A). The optimum ratio of Ad-L2 to Ad-SOCS1 should be iden-

tified in the future. Our findings suggest that Ad-SOCS1 should be a useful tool for attenuating innate immunity in the context of systemic administration of transgene-expressing Ad vectors.

Our present study offers a new strategy for reducing the Ad vector-mediated innate immune response. The results of the present study also provide new insights into the cellular biological mechanism of the cytokine production induced by Ad vectors. SOCS1-expressing Ad vectors thus possess great potential for the development of safe gene therapies.

Acknowledgments

We thank Dr. E. Mukai (National Institute of Biomedical Innovation, Ibaraki, Osaka, Japan) and Dr. N. Koizumi (Showa Pharmaceutical University, Tokyo, Japan) for helpful discussion. We thank Dr. S. Nakamura (Research Center for Animal Life Science, Shiga University of Medical Science, Shiga, Japan) for technical supports with pathological analysis.

Disclosures

The authors have no financial conflict of interest.

References

- Nazir, S. A., and J. P. Metcalf. 2005. Innate immune response to adenovirus. *J. Investig. Med.* 53: 292–304.
- Sakurai, H., K. Kawabata, F. Sakurai, S. Nakagawa, and H. Mizuguchi. Innate immune response induced by gene delivery vectors. *Int. J. Pharm. In press.*
- Muruve, D. A. 2004. The innate immune response to adenovirus vectors. *Hum. Gene Ther.* 15: 1157–1166.
- Liu, Q., and D. A. Muruve. 2003. Molecular basis of the inflammatory response to adenovirus vectors. *Gene Ther.* 10: 935–940.
- Jooss, K., and N. Chirmule. 2003. Immunity to adenovirus and adeno-associated viral vectors: implications for gene therapy. *Gene Ther.* 10: 955–963.
- Chen, D., B. Murphy, R. Sung, and J. S. Bromberg. 2003. Adaptive and innate immune responses to gene transfer vectors: role of cytokines and chemokines in vector function. *Gene Ther.* 10: 991–998.
- Roberts, D. M., A. Nanda, M. J. Havenga, P. Abbink, D. M. Lynch, B. A. Ewald, J. Liu, A. R. Thorner, P. E. Swanson, D. A. Gorgone, et al. 2006. Hexon-chimeric adenovirus serotype 5 vectors circumvent pre-existing anti-vector immunity. *Nature* 441: 239–243.
- Palmer, D. J., and P. Ng. 2005. Helper-dependent adenoviral vectors for gene therapy. *Hum. Gene Ther.* 16: 1–16.
- Sakurai, F., H. Mizuguchi, and T. Hayakawa. 2003. Efficient gene transfer into human CD34⁺ cells by an adenovirus type 35 vector. *Gene Ther.* 10: 1041–1048.
- Sakurai, F., K. Kawabata, and H. Mizuguchi. 2007. Adenovirus vectors composed of subgroup B adenoviruses. *Curr. Gene Ther.* 7: 229–238.
- Vogels, R., D. Zuijdgheest, R. van Rijnsoever, E. Hartkoorn, I. Damen, M. P. de Bethune, S. Kostense, G. Penders, N. Helmus, W. Koudstaal, et al. 2003. Replication-deficient human adenovirus type 35 vectors for gene transfer and vaccination: efficient human cell infection and bypass of preexisting adenovirus immunity. *J. Virol.* 77: 8263–8271.
- Farina, S. F., G. P. Gao, Z. Q. Xiang, J. J. Rux, R. M. Burnett, M. R. Alvira, J. Marsh, H. C. Ertl, and J. M. Wilson. 2001. Replication-defective vector based on a chimpanzee adenovirus. *J. Virol.* 75: 11603–11613.
- Koizumi, N., T. Yamaguchi, K. Kawabata, F. Sakurai, S. T., Y. Watanabe, T. Hayakawa, and H. Mizuguchi. 2007. Fiber-modified adenovirus vectors decrease liver toxicity through reduced interleukin 6 production. *J. Immunol.* 178: 1767–1773.
- Yamaguchi, T., K. Kawabata, N. Koizumi, F. Sakurai, K. Nakashima, H. Sakurai, T. Sasaki, N. Okada, K. Yamanishi, and H. Mizuguchi. 2007. Involvement of MyD88 and TLR9 in the innate immune response elicited by replication-incompetent adenovirus vectors. *J. Immunol. Hum. Gene Ther.* 18: 753–762.
- Basner-Tschakarjan, E., E. Gaffal, M. O'Keefe, D. Tormo, A. Limmer, H. Wagner, H. Hochrein, and T. Tuting. 2006. Adenovirus efficiently transduces plasmacytoid dendritic cells resulting in TLR9-dependent maturation and IFN- α production. *J. Gene Med.* 8: 1300–1306.
- De Geest, B., J. Snoeys, S. Van Linthout, J. Lievens, and D. Collen. 2005. Elimination of innate immune responses and liver inflammation by PEGylation of adenoviral vectors and methylprednisolone. *Hum. Gene Ther.* 16: 1439–1451.
- Starr, R., T. A. Willson, E. M. Viney, L. J. Murray, J. R. Rayner, B. J. Jenkins, T. J. Gonda, W. S. Alexander, D. Metcalf, N. A. Nicola, and D. J. Hilton. 1997. A family of cytokine-inducible inhibitors of signalling. *Nature* 387: 917–921.
- Endo, T. A., M. Masuhara, M. Yokouchi, R. Suzuki, H. Sakamoto, K. Mitsui, A. Matsumoto, S. Tanimura, M. Ohtsubo, H. Misawa, et al. 1997. A new protein containing an SH2 domain that inhibits JAK kinases. *Nature* 387: 921–924.
- Naka, T., M. Narazaki, M. Hirata, T. Matsumoto, S. Minamoto, A. Aono, N. Nishimoto, T. Kajita, T. Taga, K. Yoshizaki, et al. 1997. Structure and function of a new STAT-induced STAT inhibitor. *Nature* 387: 924–929.
- Starr, R., D. Metcalf, A. G. Elefanty, M. Brysha, T. A. Willson, N. A. Nicola, D. J. Hilton, and W. S. Alexander. 1998. Liver degeneration and lymphoid deficiencies in mice lacking suppressor of cytokine signaling-1. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 95: 14395–14399.

21. Naka, T., T. Matsumoto, M. Narazaki, M. Fujimoto, Y. Morita, Y. Ohsawa, H. Saito, T. Nagasawa, Y. Uchiyama, and T. Kishimoto. 1998. Accelerated apoptosis of lymphocytes by augmented induction of Bax in SSI-1 (STAT-induced STAT inhibitor-1) deficient mice. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 95: 15577–15582.
22. Marine, J. C., D. J. Topham, C. McKay, D. Wang, E. Parganas, D. Stravopodis, A. Yoshimura, and J. N. Ihle. 1999. SOCS1 deficiency causes a lymphocyte-dependent perinatal lethality. *Cell* 98: 609–616.
23. Alexander, W. S., R. Starr, J. E. Fenner, C. L. Scott, E. Handman, N. S. Sprigg, J. E. Corbin, A. L. Cornish, R. Darwiche, C. M. Owczarek, et al. 1999. SOCS1 is a critical inhibitor of interferon γ signaling and prevents the potentially fatal neonatal actions of this cytokine. *Cell* 98: 597–608.
24. Shen, L., K. Evel-Kabler, R. Strube, and S. Y. Chen. 2004. Silencing of SOCS1 enhances antigen presentation by dendritic cells and antigen-specific anti-tumor immunity. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 22: 1546–1553.
25. Hanada, T., H. Yoshida, S. Kato, K. Tanaka, K. Masutani, J. Tsukada, Y. Nomura, H. Mimata, M. Kubo, and A. Yoshimura. 2003. Suppressor of cytokine signaling-1 is essential for suppressing dendritic cell activation and systemic autoimmunity. *Immunity* 19: 437–450.
26. Kinjyo, I., T. Hanada, K. Inagaki-Ohara, H. Mori, D. Aki, M. Ohishi, H. Yoshida, M. Kubo, and A. Yoshimura. 2002. SOCS1/JAB is a negative regulator of LPS-induced macrophage activation. *Immunity* 17: 583–591.
27. Nakagawa, R., T. Naka, H. Tsutsui, M. Fujimoto, A. Kimura, T. Abe, E. Seki, S. Sato, O. Takeuchi, K. Takeda, et al. 2002. SOCS-1 participates in negative regulation of LPS responses. *Immunity* 17: 677–687.
28. Kimura, A., T. Naka, T. Muta, O. Takeuchi, S. Akira, I. Kawase, and T. Kishimoto. 2005. Suppressor of cytokine signaling-1 selectively inhibits LPS-induced IL-6 production by regulating JAK-STAT. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 102: 17089–17094.
29. Mizuguchi, H., N. Koizumi, T. Hosono, N. Utoguchi, Y. Watanabe, M. A. Kay, and T. Hayakawa. 2001. A simplified system for constructing recombinant adenoviral vectors containing heterologous peptides in the HI loop of their fiber knob. *Gene Ther.* 8: 730–735.
30. Mizuguchi, H., and M. A. Kay. 1999. A simple method for constructing E1- and E1/E4-deleted recombinant adenoviral vectors. *Hum. Gene Ther.* 10: 2013–2017.
31. Maizel, J. V., Jr., D. O. White, and M. D. Scharff. 1968. The polypeptides of adenovirus. I. Evidence for multiple protein components in the virion and a comparison of types 2, 7A, and 12. *Virology* 36: 115–125.
32. Yoshimura, A., H. M. Ohishi, D. Aki, and T. Hanada. 2004. Regulation of TLR signaling and inflammation by SOCS family proteins. *J. Leukocyte Biol.* 75: 422–427.
33. Okugawa, S., Y. Ota, T. Kitazawa, K. Nakayama, S. Yanagimoto, K. Tsukada, M. Kawada, and S. Kimura. 2003. Janus kinase 2 is involved in lipopolysaccharide-induced activation of macrophages. *Am. J. Physiol.* 285: C399–C408.
34. Sakurai, H., F. Sakurai, K. Kawabata, T. Sasaki, N. Koizumi, H. Huang, K. Tashiro, S. Kurachi, S. Nakagawa, and H. Mizuguchi. 2007. Comparison of gene expression efficiency and innate immune response induced by Ad vector and lipoplex. *J. Control Release* 117: 430–437.
35. Parker, A. L., S. N. Waddington, C. G. Nicol, D. M. Shayakhmetov, S. M. Buckley, L. Denby, G. Kembal-Cook, S. Ni, A. Lieber, J. H. McVey, et al. 2006. Multiple vitamin K-dependent coagulation zymogens promote adenovirus-mediated gene delivery to hepatocytes. *Blood* 108: 2554–2561.
36. Schindler, C., D. E. Levy, and T. Decker. 2007. JAK-STAT signaling: from interferons to cytokines. *J. Biol. Chem.* 282: 20059–20063.
37. Manickan, E., J. S. Smith, J. Tian, T. L. Eggerman, J. N. Lozier, J. Muller, and A. P. Byrnes. 2006. Rapid Kupffer cell death after intravenous injection of adenovirus vectors. *Mol. Ther.* 13: 108–117.
38. Lieber, A., C. Y. He, L. Meuse, D. Schowalter, I. Kirillova, B. Winther, and M. A. Kay. 1997. The role of Kupffer cell activation and viral gene expression in early liver toxicity after infusion of recombinant adenovirus vectors. *J. Virol.* 71: 8798–8807.