Exaggerated male pheromones in rats may increase predation cost
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Abstract

Male animals with more conspicuous visual and acoustic signals increase their mating success, but also increase the risk of being attacked by eavesdropping predators. In rodents, males with richer sex pheromones often have higher attractiveness to females, but whether or not the males are also at higher predation risk is poorly known. Here, we used 2 laboratory inbred strains of the rat Rattus norvegicus, Brown Norway (BN) and Lewis (LEW), and wild-captured rats as odor donors to assess the relationship between the pheromone levels in male rats and attractiveness to domestic cats Felis catus. LEW rats had significantly higher levels of male pheromones (e.g., 4-heptanone, 2-heptanone, and 9-hydroxy-2-nonanone) than BN rats. Simultaneously, wild-captured male rats were selectively assigned to 2 groups (HIGH or LOW) based on pheromone content as determined by gas chromatography–mass spectrometry (GC-MS). Binary choice tests were carried out during the night in the test room. We found that cats spent more time investigating male bedding and urine of LEW rats than the counterpart of BN rats. Likewise, cats also preferred bedding and urine odor of the HIGH wild rats compared with the counterparts of LOW wild rats. Adding synthetic analogs of the 3 pheromone ketones into the urine of either BN rats or LOW wild rats significantly increased their attractiveness to cats. Our data suggest that the rats with exaggerated male pheromones more strongly attracted predators and thus as a consequence may suffer from elevated predation risk.
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A long-standing evolutionary hypothesis proposes that trade-offs between sexual selection and nature selection often shape the sexual signals in wild animals (Zuk et al. 1993; Kotiaho 2001; Andersson and Simmons 2006; Hernandez-Jimenez and Rios-Cardenas 2012). Sexual selection tends to favor greater elaboration to benefit from attracting potential mates, whereas natural selection frequently favors less conspicuous traits for the bearer to avoid the cost of detection by predators and parasites (Zahavi 1975; Zuk et al. 1993; Kotiaho 2001). The cost or handicap of sexually selected traits and the negative effects on fitness of the trait bearer are of central importance to sexual selection theory, where the costs are defined as having a negative influence on the fitness, and in particular on the survival, of the trait bearer (Zahavi 1975; Kotiaho 2001). Growing evidence supports such a “benefit-cost trade-off” hypothesis. For example, high-quality male field crickets Teleogryllus commodus invest more energy in calling during early adulthood but die while still...
young (Hunt et al. 2004); sexual attractiveness in male guppies
_{Poecilia reticulata}_ is positively correlated with both degree of sexual
ornamentation and with mortality rate (Godin and Briggs 1996;
Brooks 2000).

Predation has the potential to act as an evolutionarily significant
cost on sexual traits (Kotiaho 2001). Predation-mediated natural se-
lection tends to favor individuals with less conspicuousness to re-
duce the risk of being attacked by a predator; on the contrary,
sexual selection promotes the evolution of conspicuous traits to
maximize mating success (Andersson and Simmons 2006;
Hernández-Jimenez and Rios-Cardenas 2012). Some experiments
have demonstrated that sexual selection favors the exaggeration of
male traits including pheromones, whereas the links between differ-
entiated male traits and predator attraction to them have been ex-
perimentally studied have focused mainly on visual and acoustic
signaling (Zuk and Kolluru 1998; Godin and McDonough 2003;
Roberts and Gosling 2003; Head et al. 2005; Andersson and
Simmons 2006; Cordes et al. 2014; Zhang and Zhang 2014). For
example, the more brightly colored individuals of paired size-
matched male guppies are preferentially captured by the blue Acara
cichlid fish _Aequidens pulcher_ and more attractive males of lesser
wax moths _Achroia grisella_ experience greater predation risks than
the less attractive males because ultrasonic calling for mates also at-
tracts predatory bats (Godin and McDonough 2003; Cordes et al.
2014).

Scent signals of prey including deer mice _Peromyscus maniculatus_,
house mice _Mus musculus_, brown rats _Rattus norvegicus_ and sev-
eral vole species and some reptiles have been demonstrated to at-
dress eavesdropping predators such as snakes and small carnivores,
whereas the costs of more conspicuous male pheromones versus less
conspicuous pheromones are rarely examined empirically (Cushing
2002; Ylönen et al. 2003; Amo et al. 2004; Svensson et al.
2004; Husak et al. 2006; Hughes et al. 2010, 2012). Rodents and
their mammal predators such as small carnivores rely primarily on
chemical signals to mediate intra-specific and inter-specific inter-
actions and thus can be used as ideal models to examine the cost of
male pheromones of rodents by predation pressure (Wyatt 2003).

Like house mice, the pheromones of brown rats _R. norvegicus_ have
been chemically characterized and identified and thus each compo-
nent of these pheromones can be quantified and manipulated
(Novotny et al. 1999; Zhang et al. 2008; Zhang and Zhang 2011,
2014). Therefore, it has been well demonstrated that high-quality
and sexier males usually produce stronger pheromones and thus at-
tract more female mates by using mice and rats (Novotny et al.
1990; Roberts and Gosling 2003; Zhang and Zhang 2014). Recently,
using 2 inbred laboratory strains of the brown rat, Lewis (LEW)
and Brown Norway (BN), we found that LEW male urine has con-
siderably higher levels of male pheromones (e.g., 4-hepta-
none, 2-heptanone, and 9-hydroxy-2-nonanone) than BN male urine
and that exaggerated male pheromones serve as a “sexual chemical
ornament” to attract female mates, independent of genetic compati-
bility (Bender et al. 1994; Zhang and Zhang 2011; Zhang 2012;
Zhang and Zhang 2014). Based on this, it is reasonable to further
use them as animal models to evaluate the possible costs of exagger-
ated male pheromones in rats.

Currently, we hypothesized here that the cost of the exaggerated
male pheromones could be reflected by facilitation of the detection
by predators according to the above-mentioned “benefit-cost trade-
off hypothesis”. We first used LEW and BN rats as odor (bedding
and urine) donors with respective rich and poor male pheromones to

Material and Methods

Subjects

In Experiment 1, 7 BN and 7 LEW male rats at the age of 8 weeks
were purchased from Vital River Laboratories, Beijing, China. The
housing room had a reversed 14:10 h light:dark photoperiod (light
on at 19:00) and was maintained at 25 ± 1°C (mean ± standard
error [SE]). The rats were housed in groups of 3–4 in plastic cages,
and they were singly housed for 2 weeks prior to use. Standard rat
chow and tap water were provided ad libitum.

In Experiment 2, 25 male and 18 female wild rats were captured
in the countryside around Chengdu City, Sichuan Province, China,
and then transported to our field station in the suburb of Beijing
City in May 2013 (Wang 2003). The rats were housed in groups of
3–4 in plastic cages, and were singly housed for 2 weeks prior to
use. Standard rat chow and tap water were provided ad libitum.

In total, 7 male and 2 female adult stray domestic cats at the age
of 2–5 years were trapped and then raised in stainless steel pet cages
(3 m × 2.5 m × 2.2 m). They were fed on thawed rat carcasses and
commercial cat chow with sufficient water supply. These cats were
tested after 1-month acclimation. Each cat was used once every 3
days, and was fasting on the testing day.

The housing room of wild rats and domestic cats were exposed
to natural photoperiods and kept at 25 ± 1°C. The behavioral tests
were carried out from October to November 2014.

Rat bedding and urine collection

Pine shaving bedding in rat cages were left unchanged for 1 week
and individually collected as rat odor materials. For urine collection,
each rat was placed in a clean rat cage (37 cm × 26 cm × 17 cm)
with a net bottom and underneath a plastic plate was placed. Urine
was absorbed immediately after it was urinated and transferred to a
vial in ice. All collected materials were kept at −20°C prior to use.

To prepare urine samples for chemical analysis, we first thawed
the frozen urine and mixed 160 μL urine sample with 160 μL
Dichloromethane (purity > 99.5%; DIMA Technology, Inc., Beijing,
China), stirred thoroughly, and stored it at 4°C for 12 h, and then
used the bottom phase (the layer with dichloromethane).

Behavioral tests

An infrared camera was mounted on the ceiling of the testing room
(5 m × 2.5 m × 2.2 m). Two plastic tubes (50 cm × 5 cm for each)
with one end open and the other end closed were fixed to the wall
in the corners, 150 cm apart. Either a disposable paper cup (300 mL)
filled with bedding materials or a small vial with 20 μL of an aque-
ous odor sample was placed inside the tube to mimic a rat hole and
avoid direct contact with the cats (Figure 1).

The tests were carried out from 21:00 to 6:00. We set up the
odorants, and then introduced a cat into the test room. Each cat
was allowed to freely investigate the room, and all its activities were
recorded by an infrared video camera linked with a computer. An ob-
server then watched the video replay to record the time when the
test cat approached a plastic tube and orientated its nostrils close to the opening of the plastic tube within 10-cm distance. The positions of the 2 stimuli were exchanged between trials. The observer was blind to the odorants being presented. Between trials, the plastic tubes and the test room were cleaned thoroughly with 75% alcohol solution. Each cat had been placed in test rooms for 3-night habituation period before formal trials.

**Wild rat grouping and odorant presentation**

According to the 2-heptanone (major component) levels in the urine samples as measured by gas chromatography–mass spectrometry (GC-MS), 6 males with the richest 2-heptanone were selected as the HIGH group and another 6 males with the poorest 2-heptanone as the LOW group. Randomly paired urine samples from 6 LOW rats and 6 HIGH rats, as well as paired samples from 7 BN and 7 LEW strains were individually used as odor donors to test the olfactory preferences of the domestic cats. We prepared 4 urine samples mixed equally from: (1) 7 BN males, (2) 7 LEW males, (3) 6 males of LOW wild rats, and (4) 6 males of HIGH wild rats. Second, according to the mean concentrations of 4-heptanone, 2-heptanone, and 9-hydroxy-2-nonanone in urine as measured with GC-MS, we added the synthetic analogs of the 3 ketones into mixed male urine of BN rats to produce authentic concentrations equal to those in LEW males to mimic LEW male urine. Likewise, the ketones were added into the urine mixture of LOW wild rats to simulate HIGH wild rats. Lastly, we paired the pure urine mixture with adjusted urine mixture and presented them to cats.

**Chemical analysis**

Chemical analysis was carried out with a 6890 Agilent gas chromatograph coupled to a 5973 mass spectrometer and the MS Library NIST 2002 (Agilent Technologies, Inc., Santa Clara, CA, USA). The GC had an HP-5-MS column (30 m × 0.25 mm internal diameter × 0.25 μm film thickness, Agilent Technologies, Inc., Santa Clara, CA, USA), carrier gas helium at 1.0 mL/min, and injector set at 280°C. The oven was programmed at 5°C/min from 50 to 100°C, then ramped 10°C/min until 280°C, and held for 5 min. Finally, the temperature was increased to 300°C and held for 10 min post-run to clean the column. MS was in the electron impact mode 70 eV, and the transfer line was set at 280°C. Scanning mass ranged from 30–450 amu (Zhang et al. 2008; Zhang and Zhang 2011). In total, 3 μL of the extract of urine samples was injected in the splitless mode.

Tentative identifications were made by comparing the mass spectra of GC peaks with those in the MS library and in the published literature (Zhang et al. 2008; Zhang and Zhang 2011). Seven compounds, 4-heptanone, 2-heptanone, dimethyl sulfone, 4-methyl phenol, 4-ethyl phenol, 9-hydroxy-2-nonanone, and squalene (all purity > 98%; ACROS Organics, Morris Plains, NJ, USA) were further confirmed by matching retention times and mass spectra with the authentic analogs, then we quantified them by comparing their peak areas in the samples with the established standard curve of GC peak areas versus concentrations (Zhang et al. 2008; Zhang and Zhang 2011).

**Statistical analysis**

We used Kolmogorov–Smirnov test to examine the distribution of raw data, and either parametric or nonparametric test was applied to behavioral tests and chemical data. If data were normally distributed, paired-samples t-test and independent t-test were used for behavioral and GC data, respectively; otherwise, Wilcoxon signed-rank test and Mann–Whitney U test were used, respectively. All statistical analyses were conducted using SPSS (v15.0, SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Alpha was set at $P < 0.05$.

**Ethical notes**

The procedures of animal care and use in this study fully complied with Chinese legal requirements and were approved by the Animal Use Committee of the Institute of Zoology, Chinese Academy of Sciences (approval number IOZ12017). The wild rats were caught using live traps, housed individually in plastic cages (37 × 26 × 17 cm), and then transported form Chengdu to Beijing. Bedding, food, and water were available during transportation. After the study, the cats were released and rats were still kept in animal houses for breeding.

**Results**

**Male pheromone contents in laboratory rats of 2 inbred strains and wild rats**

Using GC-MS, we chemically characterized 12 compounds from LEW and BN male urine, including 9 ketones, 2 phenols, and 1 sulfone (Figure 2).

The 9 ketones and 2 phenols were richer in LEW rats than in BN rats (Table 1). The 9 ketones, previously known as potential male pheromones, roughly accounting for 81% (GC peak area percentage) of all varying compounds in LEW males and 53% in BN males (Figure 3A; Table 1). The authentic levels of 4-heptanone, 2-heptanone ketones, and 9-hydroxy-2-nonanone of these 9 ketones in LEW and BN male urine were roughly measured to be 2.01 ppm, 2.20 ppm, and 7.38 ppm and 0.06 ppm, 3.76 ppm, and 0.86 ppm, respectively.

Likewise, from 20 male and 11 female wild rats, these 12 volatiles were also detected; according to the ketone levels, we divided the 20 males into 3 groups, HIGH ($N = 7$), MEDIUM ($N = 6$), and LOW ($N = 7$) (Figure 3B; Table 1). All 9 ketones had higher levels in males than in females, indicative of sexually dimorphic traits (Figure 4).

GC-MS analysis further revealed that all 9 ketones were significantly or marginally significantly richer in the HIGH than in the LOW group (Figure 3B). The levels of 4-heptanone, 2-heptanone, and 9-hydroxy-2-nonanone in HIGH and LOW wild rats were roughly measured to be 9.2 ppm, 48.6 ppm, and 13.8 ppm and 1.4 ppm, 4.8 ppm, and 2.1 ppm, respectively.
Olfactory responses by cats to the rat odorants with different pheromone levels

Behavioral 2-choice tests revealed that cats spent more time sniffing LEW male bedding ($t = 5.232$, $df = 7$, $P = 0.001$) or urine ($z = 2.668$, $df = 7$, $P = 0.008$) as compared with the counterparts of BN male urine (Figure 5A). Similarly, cats showed an olfactory preference for the bedding ($z = 2.666$, $df = 7$, $P = 0.008$), or urine ($z = 2.670$, $df = 7$, $P = 0.008$) from the HIGH group over the counterparts from the LOW group in wild male rats (Figure 5B).

Olfactory preferences by cats and male pheromone levels of rats

Two choice tests revealed that cats exhibited an olfactory preference for BN urine having been treated with the 3 ketones over raw BN urine ($t = 3.665$, $df = 7$, $P = 0.006$), but showed no choice between LEW urine and the BN urine added with the synthetic analogs of the 3 ketone pheromones (Figure 5A). Likewise, cats showed an overt preference for the LOW wild rat urine having been added with the 3 ketones to the raw LOW wild rat urine ($t = 6.962$, $df = 7$, $P < 0.001$), but they responded similarly to HIGH wild rat urine and LOW wild rat urine having been added with the 3 ketones (Figure 5B).

Discussion

Our experiments demonstrated that both laboratory and wild brown rats with exaggerated sex pheromones are more attractive to domestic cats and thus more likely to put themselves at an increased predation risk. Although it is well known that exaggerated male pheromones increased the benefits of mating and reproductive success, we provided the first evidence that exaggerated male pheromones may also increase attractiveness to predators and hence increase the costs due to predation (Zuk and Kolluru 1998; Kotiaho 2001; Godin and McDonough 2003; Hughes et al. 2012; Cordes et al. 2014).

BN and LEW are 2 inbred rat strains, which have differentiated genetic background and volatile profiles, and have been used as animal models in rat pheromone research (Zhang and Zhang 2011, 2014). Using these models, we recently found that when male pheromones reached a higher concentration than a certain threshold, they became exaggerated male signals that shaped female mating preferences (Zhang and Zhang 2014). We also observed that 11 urine-metabolized volatile compounds were more abundant in LEW males than in BN males (Zhang and Zhang 2011, 2014). Of these 11 compounds, the 9 ketones instead of the 2 phenols were proven to be potential male pheromones due to their male-biased expression and androgen dependency. Particularly, the 3 major ketone components 4-heptanone, 2-heptanone, and 9-hydroxy-2-nonanone have been verified by their abilities to restore sexual attractiveness of castrated male urine in laboratory rats (Zhang et al. 2008; Zhang and Zhang 2011, 2014). Here, adding these 3 ketones into BN male urine resulted in increased attractiveness to cats, suggesting that exaggerated male pheromones in rats might increase susceptibility to predation risk in natural selection.

In wild-captured rats, we further proved that domestic cats exhibited olfactory preferences for rats with high pheromone levels over those with low pheromone levels and that these 3 ketones of male pheromones could increase cat attraction. Additionally, because sex pheromones usually are conserved within species and these ketones were also male-biased in wild brown rats, this suggests that the ketones are male pheromone components of these wild rats (Zhang et al. 2008; Niehuis et al. 2013; Zhang and Zhang 2014). This implies that, in nature, wild male rats have remarkable individual differences in sex pheromone levels to produce exaggerated male signals, and thus are likely to be easily detected by predators thereby increasing predation risk on themselves (Hughes et al. 2010; Hughes et al. 2012).

Predation pressure is often regarded as a selective force of natural selection balancing sexual selection as for male signals (Godin and McDonough 2003; Head et al. 2005; Husak et al. 2006; Cordes et al. 2014). The olfactory preferences of domestic cats for exaggerated male pheromones in rat urine suggest that enhanced male pheromones, such as visual and acoustic signaling, are also linked with increased predator attraction and consequent predation risk (Godin and Briggs 1996; Godin and McDonough 2003; Head et al. 2005; Cordes et al. 2014). Because odorant signals, unlike acoustic and visual signals, are long-lasting even if the signalers are absent, both signalers with richer pheromones and their social partners thus may suffer from long-lasting predation risk by eavesdropping predators (Hughes et al. 2010, 2012).

As assumed by the handicap and the Fisherian hypotheses of sexual selection, selection for costly exaggerated male ornaments due to increased mating success appears to be balanced by natural selection (Zahavi 1975; Andersson and Simmons 2006; Prokop et al. 2012). Secondary sexual traits may represent a compromise between attractiveness to conspecific females and avoidance of detection by heterospecific predators (Zuk and Kolluru 1998; Basolo and Wagner 2004). Several theoretical studies suggested that extravagant sexual traits are costly as did empirical studies (Brooks 2000; Jennions et al. 2001; Kotiaho 2001; Hunt et al. 2004). In natural populations of brown rats, the evolution of male pheromones might arise from the opposing effects of natural and sexual selection rather than...
sexual selection alone (Wedell and Tregenza 1999; Brooks 2000; Basolo and Wagner 2004; Taylor et al. 2007; Harari and Steinitz 2013). The predation cost that females experience when mating with more attractive males can be outweighed by the benefits of increased attractiveness in their sons (Qvarnström and Forsgren 1998; Hedrick 2000; Head et al. 2005).

In conclusion, our results showed that males with exaggerated pheromones strikingly attract eavesdropping predators, thus revealing the conflict between sexual attractiveness and predation risk (Cushing 1984; Chiszar et al. 1997; Wisenden and Thiel 2002; Ylönen et al. 2003; Amo et al. 2004; Svensson et al. 2004; Hughes et al. 2010; Hughes et al. 2012). In rodents, male pheromones might

---

**Figure 3.** Comparison of the relative abundance of the urinary ketones between LEW and BN rats (A) and wild rats of HIGH and LOW groups (B) (mean ± SE; **P < 0.01, independent t-test or Mann–Whitney U test. 3E2PN: 3-ethyl-2-pentanone; 4H: 4-heptanone; 2H: 2-heptanone; 8N2N: 8-nonen-2-one; 6M5H2N: 6-methyl-5-hepten-2-one; 9H2N: 9-hydroxy-2-nonanone; 3E2,4HD: 3-ethyl-2,4-heptanedione; DTHP2N: a dialkyl tetrahydro-2H-pyran-2-one; DS: dimethyl sulfone; 4MP: 4-methyl-phenol; 4EP: 4-ethyl-phenol).**

**Table 1.** Comparisons of abundances (GC peak area) of urinary volatiles between the 2 laboratory strains of rats, and between the 2 wild groups (mean ± standard deviation) across 5000, BN = Brown Norway rats, LEW = Lewis rats, N = sample size

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>RT (min)</th>
<th>Compounds</th>
<th>Laboratory strains</th>
<th>Wild groups</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>BN (N = 7)</td>
<td>LEW (N = 7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.72</td>
<td>3-ethyl-2-pentanone</td>
<td>0.46 ± 0.90</td>
<td>9.78 ± 3.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>4.24</td>
<td>4-heptanone</td>
<td>3.36 ± 1.90</td>
<td>43.58 ± 20.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>4.56</td>
<td>2-heptanone</td>
<td>143.73 ± 52.25</td>
<td>1,112.79 ± 520.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>5.14</td>
<td>dimethyl sulfone</td>
<td>166.79 ± 58.91</td>
<td>221.43 ± 71.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>7.53</td>
<td>6-methyl-5-hepten-2-one</td>
<td>4.99 ± 2.52</td>
<td>23.38 ± 9.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>8.84</td>
<td>4-methyl-phenol</td>
<td>2.45 ± 2.34</td>
<td>32.97 ± 23.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>9.85</td>
<td>3-ethyl-2,4-heptanedione</td>
<td>8.13 ± 2.47</td>
<td>28.34 ± 11.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>11.18</td>
<td>4-ethyl-phenol</td>
<td>26.51 ± 26.19</td>
<td>148.69 ± 104.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>12.14</td>
<td>a dialkyl tetrahydro-2H-pyran-2-one</td>
<td>7.75 ± 1.34</td>
<td>54.06 ± 28.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>12.34</td>
<td>a dialkyl tetrahydro-2H-pyran-2-one</td>
<td>23.68 ± 7.78</td>
<td>189.09 ± 107.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>13.68</td>
<td>8-nonen-2-one</td>
<td>2.54 ± 1.35</td>
<td>22.26 ± 9.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>14.86</td>
<td>9-hydroxy-2-nonanone</td>
<td>25.94 ± 9.66</td>
<td>238.38 ± 111.54</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The compounds are definitively identified. RT = retention time, using independent t-test or Mann–Whitney U test. Values in parentheses are either T or Z values. Z values are given in italics.
Figure 4. Comparisons of the abundances of urinary volatiles between male and female wild rats (mean ± SE, *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, independent t-test or Mann-Whitney U test; 3E2PN: 3-ethyl-2-pentanone; 4H: 4-heptanone; 2H: 2-heptanone; 8N2N: 8-nonen-2-one; 6M5H2N: 6-methyl-5-hepten-2-one; 9H2N: 9-hydroxy-2-nonanone; 3E2AH: 3-ethyl-2,4-heptanedione; DTHP2N: a dialkyl tetrahydro-2H-pyran-2-one; DS: dimethyl sulfone; 4MP: 4-methyl-phenol; 9H2N: 9-hydroxy-2-nonanone; 3E2PN: 3-ethyl-2-pentanone; 4H: 4-heptanone; 2H: 2-heptanone; 8N2N: 8-nonen-2-one; 6M5H2N: 6-methyl-5-hepten-2-one; 9H2N: 9-hydroxy-2-nonanone; 3E2AH: 3-ethyl-2,4-heptanedione; DTHP2N: a dialkyl tetrahydro-2H-pyran-2-one; DS: dimethyl sulfone; 4MP: 4-methyl-phenol; 4EP: 4-ethyl-phenol).

Figure 5. Duration of investigation (mean ± SE, sec) by cats (N = 9) of 2 different rat scents (different rat bedding or rat urine) during a choice test in (A) laboratory inbred rats, or (B) wild rats BN+ = BN urine supplemented with 3 ketones; LOW+ = LOW urine supplemented with 3 ketones; **P < 0.01, paired t-test or Wilcoxon signed-rank test).

be a trade-off between mating benefits and predation costs (Basolo and Wagner 2004). Unlike acoustic and visual signals, pheromones are the oldest of all communicative signals. They are products of metabolism and are absolutely critical for exploring the evolution of sexual traits driven by the opposing forces of natural and sexual selection (Wyatt 2003).
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